

# MATH578 - Numerical Analysis 1

Based on lectures from Fall 2025 by Prof. J.C. Nave.  
Notes by Louis Meunier

## Contents

1 Polynomial Interpolation .....	2
----------------------------------	---

## §1 POLYNOMIAL INTERPOLATION

In general, the goal of interpolation is, given a function  $f(x)$  on  $[a, b]$  and a series of distinct ordered points (often called *nodes* or *collocation points*)  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n \subseteq [a, b]$ , to find a polynomial  $P(x)$  such that  $f(x_j) = P(x_j)$  for each  $j$ .

↪ **Theorem 1.1** (Existence and Uniqueness of Lagrange Polynomial): Let  $f \in C[a, b]$  and  $\{x_j\}$  a set of  $n$  distinct points. Then, there exists a unique  $P(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ , the space of  $n - 1$ -degree polynomials, such that  $P(x_j) = f(x_j)$  for each  $j$ .

We call such a  $P$  the *Lagrange polynomial* associated to the points  $\{x_j\}$  for  $f$ .

PROOF. We define the following  $n - 1$  degree “fundamental polynomials” associated to  $\{x_j\}$ ,

$$\ell_j(x) \equiv \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq j}} \frac{x - x_i}{x_j - x_i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, one readily verifies  $\ell_j(x_i) = \delta_{ij}$ , and that the distinctness of the nodes guarantees the denominator in each term of the product is nonzero. Define

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(x_j) \ell_j(x),$$

which, being a linear combination of  $n - 1$  degree polynomials is also in  $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ .

Moreover,

$$P(x_i) = \sum f(x_j) \delta_{i,j} = f(x_i),$$

as desired.

For uniqueness, suppose  $\bar{P}$  another  $n - 1$  degree polynomial satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Then,  $q(x) \equiv P(x) - \bar{P}(x)$  is also a degree  $n - 1$  polynomial with  $q(x_i) = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence,  $q$  a polynomial with more distinct roots than its degree, and thus it must be identically zero, hence  $P = \bar{P}$ , proving uniqueness. ■

↪ **Theorem 1.2** (Interpolation Error): Suppose  $f \in C^n[a, b]$ , and let  $P(x)$  be the Lagrange polynomial for a set of  $n$  points  $\{x_j\}$ , with  $x_1 = a, x_n = b$ . Then, for each  $x \in [a, b]$ , there is a  $\xi \in [a, b]$  such that

$$f(x) - P(x) = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} (x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_n).$$

Moreover, if we put  $h := \max_i (x_{i+1} - x_i)$ , then

$$\|f - P\|_\infty \leq \frac{h^n}{4n} \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty.$$

PROOF. We prove the first identity, and leave the second “Moreover” as a homework problem. Notice that it holds trivially for  $x = x_j$  for any  $j$ , so assume  $x \neq x_j$  for any  $j$ , and define the function

$$g(t) := f(t) - P(t) - \omega(t) \frac{f(x) - P(x)}{\omega(x)}, \quad \omega(t) := (t - x_1) \dots (t - x_n) \in \mathbb{P}_n[t].$$

Then, we observe the following:

- $g \in C^n[a, b]$
- $g(x) = 0$
- $g(t = x_j) = 0$  for each  $j$

Recall that by Rolle’s Theorem, if a  $C^1$  function has  $\geq m$  roots, then its derivative has  $\geq m - 1$  roots. Thus, applying this principle inductively to  $g(t)$ , we conclude that  $g^{(n)}(t)$  has at least one root. Take  $\zeta$  to be such a root. Then, one readily verifies that  $P^{(n)} \equiv 0$  and  $\omega^{(n)} \equiv n!$  (using polynomial properties), from which we may use the fact that  $g^{(n)}(\zeta) = 0$  to simplify to the required identity. ■

**Remark 1.1:** In general, larger  $n$  leads to smaller maximum step size  $h$ . However, it is *not* true that  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $P \rightarrow f$  in  $L^\infty$ . From the previous theorem, one would need to guarantee  $\|f^{(n)}\| \rightarrow 0$  (or at least, doesn’t grow faster than  $\frac{h^n}{4n}$ ), which certainly won’t hold in general; we have no control on the  $n$ th-derivative of an arbitrarily given function. However, we can try to optimize our choice of points  $\{x_j\}$  for a given  $j$ .

We switch notation for convention’s sake to  $n + 1$  points  $x_j$ . Our goal is the optimization problem

$$\min_{x_j} \max_{x \in [a, b]} \left| \prod_j (x - x_j) \right|,$$

the only term in the error bound above that we have control over. Remark that we can expand the product term:

$$\prod_j (x - x_j) = x^{n+1} - r(x),$$

where  $r(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . So, really, we equivalently want to solve the problem

$$\min_{r \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|x^{n+1} - r(x)\|_\infty,$$

namely, what  $n$ -degree polynomial minimizes the max difference between  $x^{n+1}$ ?

↪ **Theorem 1.3** (De la Vallé-Poussin Oscillation Theorem): Let  $f \in C([a, b])$ , and suppose  $r \in \mathbb{P}_n$  for which there exists  $n + 2$  distinct points  $\{x_j\}$  such that  $a \leq x_0 < \dots < x_{n+1} \leq b$  at which the error  $f(x) - r(x)$  “oscillate” sign, i.e.

$$\text{sign}(f(x_j) - r(x_j)) = -\text{sign}(f(x_{j+1}) - r(x_{j+1})).$$

Then,

$$\min_{P \in \mathbb{P}_n} \|f - P\|_\infty \geq \min_{0 \leq j \leq n+1} |f(x_j) - r(x_j)|.$$

↪ **Definition 1.1** (Chebyshev Polynomial): The *degree  $n$  Chebyshev polynomial*, defined on  $[-1, 1]$ , is defined by

$$T_n(x) := \cos(n \cos^{-1}(x)).$$

**Remark 1.2:** The fact that  $T_n$  actually is a polynomial follows from the double angle formula for  $\cos$ , which says

$$\cos((n+1)\theta) = 2\cos(\theta)\cos(n\theta) - \cos((n-1)\theta).$$

In the context of  $T_n$ , this implies that for any  $n \geq 1$ , the recursive formula

$$T_{n+1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x).$$

This formula with a simple induction argument proves that each  $T_n$  a polynomial, with for instance  $T_0(x) = 1, T_1(x) = x, T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$  and so on.

↪ **Proposition 1.1:**  $\{T_n\}$  are orthogonal with respect to the inner product given by

$$(f, g) := \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x)\omega_2(x) \, dx,$$

where  $\omega_2(x) := (1 - x^2)^{1/2}$ .

**Remark 1.3:** Defining similar *weight* functions by  $\omega_n(x) := (1 - x^n)^{1/n}$ , one can derive a more general class of polynomials called *Geigenbauer polynomials*, which are respectively orthogonal with respect to  $\int \cdot \cdot \omega_n$ .

↪ **Proposition 1.2** (Some Properties of  $T_n$ ):

- $|T_n(x)| \leq 1$  on  $[-1, 1]$
- The roots of  $T_n(x)$  are the  $n$  points

$$\xi_j := \cos\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n}\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

- For  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|T_n(x)|$  is maximal on  $[-1, 1]$  at the  $n+1$  points

$$\eta_j := \cos\left(\frac{j\pi}{n}\right), \quad j = 0, \dots, n,$$

with  $T_n(\eta_j) = (-1)^j$ .

Note too that  $T_{n+1}(x)$  has leading coefficient  $2^n$ , which can be seen by the recursive formula above; define the *normalized* Chebyshev polynomials by  $\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) := 2^{-n}T_{n+1}(x)$ . Thus, we may write

$$\hat{T}_{n+1}(x) = x^{n+1} - r_n(x),$$

with  $r_n(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ . It follows for one that

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |x^{n+1} - r_n(x)| = 2^{-n}.$$

Moreover, we know that at the  $n+2$  points  $\eta_j$ , we have

$$\hat{T}_{n+1}(\eta_j) = 2^{-j}(-1)^j = \eta_j^{n+1} - r_n(\eta_j).$$

Namely, because of the inclusion of  $(-1)^j$  term, this means that  $\hat{T}_{n+1}(x)$  oscillates sign between the  $\eta_j$  points, which fulfils the condition stated in the Oscillation Theorem. Thus, these observations readily imply the following result, settling our original question on optimizing locations of interpolation points for Lagrange interpolation:

↪ **Theorem 1.4** (Optimal Approximation of  $x^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}_n$ ): The optimal approximation of  $x^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{P}_n$  on  $[-1, 1]$  with respect to the  $L^\infty$  norm is given by

$$r_n(x) := x^{n+1} - 2^{-n}T_{n+1}(x).$$

Thus, the optimal Lagrange interpolation points are the  $n+1$  roots of  $x^{n+1} - r_n(x)$ , namely  $\xi_j = \cos\left(\frac{(2j+1)\pi}{2n+2}\right)$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n$ .

**Remark 1.4:** This, and previous results, were stated over  $[-1, 1]$ . A linear change of coordinates transforming any closed interval to  $[-1, 1]$  readily leads to analogous results.