

# MATH358 - Advanced Calculus

Based on lectures from Winter 2025 by Prof. John Toth.

Notes by Louis Meunier

## Contents

1 Differentiation .....	2
-------------------------	---

## §1 DIFFERENTIATION

For a function  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  differentiable at  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  if  $L := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0+h) - f(x_0)}{h}$  exists, and write  $f'(x_0) = L$ . Equivalently,  $f'(x_0)$  exists and is equal to  $L$  if

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(x_0) - L(x - x_0)|}{|x - x_0|} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ . This characterization motivates the generalization we'll follow in the general dimensional case.

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a connected, open set. We call such a set a *domain* to follow. Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ .

↪ **Definition 1.1:**  $f$  differentiable at  $x_0 \in \Omega$  if there exists a linear map  $L : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  (which can be viewed as a matrix) such that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow x_0 \\ x \neq x_0}} \frac{\|f(x) - f(x_0) - L(x - x_0)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}}{\|x - x_0\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}} = 0.$$

Equivalently,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta$ , then

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0) - L(x - x_0)\| \leq \varepsilon \|x - x_0\|. \quad \dagger$$

↪ **Theorem 1.1:** If  $L$  as in the previous definition exists, then it is unique.

We write then  $Df(x_0) := L$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $L_1, L_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  are two linear maps such that  $\dagger$  holds. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\dagger$  holds for both  $L_1, L_2$  with  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Then, for  $x$  such that  $0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(L_1 - L_2)(x - x_0)\| &\leq \|f(x) - f(x_0) - L_1(x - x_0)\| + \|f(x) - f(x_0) - L_2(x - x_0)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|x - x_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $h = \frac{x - x_0}{\|x - x_0\|}$  which is a unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, this gives

$$\|(L_1 - L_2)h\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

For any vector  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there is a constant  $\rho = \|y\|$  and appropriate  $h$  such that  $y = \rho h$ , and so

$$\|(L_1 - L_2)(\rho h)\| = |\rho| \|(L_1 - L_2)h\| \leq |\rho| \varepsilon,$$

by linearity, and since  $\varepsilon$  arbitrary, it must be that  $L_1 = L_2$ . ■

↪ **Proposition 1.1:** If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is differentiable at  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , then  $f$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .

PROOF. Let  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\dagger$  holds. Then, for  $x$  such that  $0 < \|x - x_0\| < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| &\leq \|L(x - x_0)\| + \|f(x) - f(x_0) - L(x - x_0)\| \\ &< (\|L\| + 1) \|x - x_0\| =: K \|x - x_0\|.\end{aligned}$$

where  $\|L\|$  is the “maximal value” of  $L(x - x_0)$ , which is finite. Let, then,  $\delta' < \min\{\delta, \frac{\varepsilon}{K}\}$ . Then, if  $x$  is such that  $\|x - x_0\| < \delta'$ , then

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0)\| < K \|x - x_0\| < \varepsilon,$$

proving continuity. ■

↪ **Definition 1.2:** Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Define, for  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \lim_{\substack{h \rightarrow 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \left[ \frac{f_j(x_1, \dots, x_i + h, \dots, x_n) - f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{h} \right],$$

the partial derivative of the  $j$ th component of  $f$  with respect to  $x_i$ .

↪ **Proposition 1.2:** If  $f$  differentiable at  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , then  $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(x_0)$  exists for each  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$ , and moreover,  $L = Df(x_0) = \left( \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$ .

PROOF. Denote the entries of  $L = (a_{ji})$ . Put for arbitrary  $h, x = x_0 + he_i$ . Then,

$$\frac{\|f(x) - f(x_0) - L(x - x_0)\|}{\|x - x_0\|} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left[ \frac{f_j(x) - f_j(x_0)}{h} - a_{ji} \right]^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This term converges to zero by assumption as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , and by continuity of  $(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and the fact that the summation is over nonnegative summands, it must be that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_j(x_0 + he_i) - f_j(x_0)}{h} = a_{ji}$$

for each  $i, j$ . The LHS limit is simply  $\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}(x_0)$ , completing the proof. ■