# Louis Meunier

# Algebra 2 MATH251

# Course Outline:

Based on Lectures from Winter, 2024 by Prof. Anush Tserunyan.

# **Contents**

1	Introduction		
	1.1	Vector Spaces	2
	1.2	Creating Spaces from Other Spaces	4
	1.3	Linear Combinations and Span	6
	1.4	Linear Dependence and Span	9
2	Linear Transformations		16
	2.1	Definitions	16
	2.2	Isomorphisms, Kernel, Image	18

# 1 Introduction

**Remark 1.1.** This course is about vector spaces and linear transformations between them; a vector space involves multiplication by scalars, where the scalars come from some field. We recall first examples of fields, then vector spaces, as a motivation, before presenting a formal definition.

# 1.1 Vector Spaces

**Remark 1.2.** Much of this is recall from Algebra 1.

# **\* Example 1.1: Examples of Fields**

- 1.  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; the field of rational numbers.
- 2.  $\mathbb{R}$ ; the field of real numbers;  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- 3.  $\mathbb{C}$ ; the field of complex numbers;  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .
- 4.  $\mathbb{F}_p \equiv \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}; the(unique) field of pelements, where pprime.^a$ 
  - (a) p = 2;  $\mathbb{F}_2 \equiv \{0, 1\}$ .
  - (b) p = 3;  $\mathbb{F}_3 \equiv \{0, 1, 2\}$ .
  - (c) · · ·

a where  $a +_p b :=$  remainder of  $\frac{a+b}{p}$ ,  $a \cdot_p b :=$  remainder of  $\frac{a \cdot b}{p}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** Throughout the course, we will denote an abstract field as  $\mathbb{F}$ .

#### **® Example 1.2: Examples of Vector Spaces**

- 1.  $\mathbb{R}^3:=\{(x,y,z):x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}\}$ . We can add elements in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and multiply them by real scalars.
- 2.  $\mathbb{F}^n := \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \times \cdots \mathbb{F}}_{n \text{ times}} := \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}, \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}^1; \text{ this is a generalization of the previous example, where we took } n = 3, \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}. \text{ Operations follow identically; addition:}$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) := (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

and, taking a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , multiplication:

$$\lambda \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := (\lambda \cdot a_1, \lambda \cdot a_2, \dots, \lambda \cdot a_n).$$

We refer to these elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  as *vectors* in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ ; the vector for which  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$  is the 0 *vector*, and is the additive identity, making  $\mathbb{F}^n$  an abelian group under addition, that admits multiplication by scalars from  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 3.  $C(\mathbb{R}) := \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ continuous} \}$ . Here, we have the constant zero function as our additive identity  $(x \mapsto 0 \,\forall x)$ , and addition/scalar multiplication of two continuous real functions are continuous.
- 4.  $\mathbb{F}[t] := \{a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots + a_nt^n : a_i \in \mathbb{F} \ \forall i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , ie, the set of all polynomials in t with coefficients from  $\mathbb{F}$ . Here, we can add two polynomials;

$$(a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n) + (b_0 + b_1t + \dots + b_nt^m) := \sum_{i=0}^{\max\{n,m\}} (a_i + b_i)t^i,$$

(where we "take" undefined  $a_i/b_i$ 's as 0; that is, if m > n, then  $a_{m-n}, a_{m-n+1}, \ldots, a_m$  are taken to be 0). Scalar multiplication is defined

$$\lambda \cdot (a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots + a_nt^n) := \lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1t + \lambda a_2t^2 + \dots + \lambda a_nt^n.$$

Here, the zero polynomial is simply 0 (that is,  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ ).

# $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition** 1.1: Vector Space

A vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is an abelian group with an operation denoted + (or  $+_V$ ) and identity element<sup>2</sup>denoted  $0_V$ , equipped with scalar multiplication for each scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the following axioms:

- 1.  $1 \cdot v = v$  for  $1 \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ .
- 2.  $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot v) = (\alpha \cdot \beta)v, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V.$
- 3.  $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot v = \alpha \cdot v + \beta \cdot v, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V.$
- 4.  $\alpha \cdot (u+v) = \alpha \cdot u + \alpha \cdot v, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, u, v \in V.$

We refer to elements  $v \in V$  as vectors.

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.1

For a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the following holds:

- 1.  $0 \cdot v = 0_V, \forall v \in V \text{ (where } 0 := 0_{\mathbb{F}}\text{)}$
- 2.  $-1 \cdot v = -v$ ,  $\forall v \in V$  (where  $1 := 1_{\mathbb{F}}$ )<sup>3</sup>
- 3.  $\alpha \cdot 0_V = 0_V, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$

*Proof.* 1.  $0 \cdot v = (0+0) \cdot v = 0 \cdot v + 0 \cdot v \implies 0 \cdot v = 0_V$  (by "cancelling" one of the  $0 \cdot v$  terms on each side).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Where we take  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , for sake of consistency. Moreover, by convention, we define  $\mathbb{F}^0$  (that is, when n = 0) to be  $\{0\}$ ; the trivial vector space. <sup>2</sup>The "zero vector".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>NB: "additive inverse"

2.  $v + (-1 \cdot v) = (1 \cdot v + (-1) \cdot v) = (1 - 1) \cdot v = 0 \cdot v = 0_V \implies (-1 \cdot v) = -v$ .

3.  $\alpha \cdot 0_V = \alpha \cdot (0_V + 0_V) = \alpha \cdot 0_V + \alpha \cdot 0_V \implies \alpha \cdot 0_V = 0_V$  (by, again, cancelling a term on each side).

 $\hookrightarrow$  Wed Jan 10 14:16:29 EST 2024

# 1.2 Creating Spaces from Other Spaces

# → <u>Definition</u> 1.2: Product/Direct Sum of Vector Spaces

For vector spaces U, V over the same field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define their *product* (or *direct sum*) as the set

$$U \times V = \{(u, v) : u \in U, v \in V\},\$$

with the operations:

$$(u_1, v_1) + (u_2, v_2) := (u_1 + u_2, v_1 + v_2)$$
  
 $\lambda \cdot (u, v) := (\lambda \cdot u, \lambda \cdot v)$ 

# $\circledast$ Example 1.3: $\mathbb{F}$

 $\mathbb{F}^2 = \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F}$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is considered as the vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  (itself).

# 

For a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , a *subspace* of V is a subset  $W \subseteq V$  s.t.

- 1.  $0_V \in W^4$
- 2.  $u + v \in W \, \forall \, u, v \in W$  (closed under addition)
- 3.  $\alpha \cdot u \in W \, \forall \, u \in W, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^5$

Then, W is a vector space in its own right.

# **\* Example 1.4: Examples of Subspaces**

- 1. Let  $V := \mathbb{F}^n$ .
  - $W := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : x_1 = 0\} = \{(0, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
  - $W := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 = 0\}$

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in W$ . Then,  $x + y = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$ , and  $x_1 + y_1 + 2 \cdot (x_2 + y_2) = x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 + y_1 + 2 \cdot y_2 = 0 + 0 = 0 \implies x + y \in W$ . Similar logic follows for axioms 2., 3.

• (More generally)

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$$

$$W := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = 0 \},$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{k1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{kn}x_n = 0$$

that is, a linear combination of homogenous "conditions" on each term.

- $W^* := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 + x_2 = 1\}$  is *not* a subspace; it is not closed under addition, nor under scalar multiplication.
- 2. Let  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n := \{a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_n t^n : a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}[t]$ , the more general polynomial space. *However*, the set of all polynomials of degree *exactly* n (all axioms fail, in fact) is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$ .
  - $W := \{ p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]_n : p(1) = 0 \}.$
  - $W := \{p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]_n : p''(t) + p'(t) + 2p(t) = 0\}.$
- 3. Let  $V:=C(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of continuous function  $\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This is equivalent to requiring that  $W \neq \emptyset$ ; stated this way, axiom 3. would necessitate that  $0 \cdot w = 0_V \in W$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Note that these axioms are equivalent to saying that W is a subgroup of V with respect to vector addition; 2. ensures closed under addition, and 3. ensures the existence of additive inverses (as per  $-1 \cdot v = -v$ ).

- $W := \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(\pi) + 7f(\sqrt{2}) = 0 \}.$
- $W:=C^1(\mathbb{R}):=$  everywhere differentiable functions.
- $W := \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : \int_0^1 f \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 \}.$

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.2

Let  $W_1, W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, define the following:

1. 
$$W_1 + W_2 := \{w_1 + w_2 : w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2\}$$

2. 
$$W_1 \cap W_2 := \{ w \in V : w \in W_1 \land w \in W_2 \}$$

These are both subspaces of V.

*Proof.* 1. (a)  $0_V \in W_1$  and  $0_V \in W_2 \implies 0_V = 0_V + 0_V \in W_1 + W_2$ .

(b) 
$$(u_1 + u_2) + (v_1 + v_2) = (u_1 + v_1) + (u_2 + v_2) \in W_1 + W_2$$
.

(c) 
$$\alpha \cdot (u+v) = \alpha \cdot u + \alpha \cdot v \in W_1 + W_2$$

2. (a)  $0_V \in W_1$  and  $0_V \in W_2 \implies 0_V = 0_V + 0_V \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .

(b) 
$$u, v \in W_1 \cap W_2 \implies u + v \in W_1 \wedge u + v \in W_2 \implies u + v \in W_1 \cap W_2$$
.

(c) 
$$\alpha \cdot u \in W_1 \wedge \alpha \cdot u \in W_2 \implies \alpha \cdot u \in W_1 \cap W_2$$
.

# 1.3 Linear Combinations and Span

#### **→ Definition 1.4: Linear Combination**

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For finitely many vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ , their *linear combination* is a sum of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = a_1 \cdot v_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot v_n,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F} \, \forall i$ .

A linear combination is called *trivial* if  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ , that is, all coefficients are 0.

If n=0 (ie, we are "summing up" 0 vectors), we define the sum as the zero vector;  $\sum_{i=1}^{0} a_i v_i := 0_V$ .

#### → Definition 1.5: A More General Definition of Linear Combination

For a (possibly infinite) set S of vectors from V, a linear combination of vectors in S is a linear combination of

 $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$  for some finite subset  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq S^6$ 

# $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 1.6: Span**

For a subset  $S \subseteq V$ , we define its *span* as

 $\operatorname{Span}(S) := \operatorname{set} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{all linear combinations} \operatorname{of} S := \{a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n : a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in S\}.$ 

By convention, we set  $Span(\emptyset) = \{0_V\}.$ 

#### **\* Example 1.5**

Let  $S := \{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1), (1, 1, -2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then,

$$0_{\mathbb{R}^3} = (0,0,0) = 1 \cdot (1,0,-1) + 1 \cdot (0,1,-1) + -1 \cdot (1,1,-2).$$

We claim, moreover, that  $\mathrm{Span}(S)=U:=\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3:x+y+z=0\}$  (a plane through the origin).

*Proof.* Note that  $S \subseteq U$ , hence  $S \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S \subseteq U$ . OTOH, if  $(x, y, z) \in U$ , we have z = -x - y, and so

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, -x - y) = x \cdot (1, 0, -1) + y \cdot (0, 1, -1) \in \text{Span}(S)$$

hence  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(S)$  and thus  $\operatorname{Span}(S) = U$ .

**Remark 1.4.** We implicitly used the following claim in the proof above; we prove it more generally.

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.3

Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $S \subseteq V$ . Then,  $\operatorname{Span}(S)$  is always a subspace. Moreover, it is the smallest (minimal) subspace containing S (that is, for any subspace  $U \supseteq S$ , we have that  $U \supseteq \operatorname{Span} S$ ).

<u>Proof.</u> Because adding/scalar multiplying linear combinations of elements of S again results in a linear combination of elements of S, and  $0_V \in \text{Span}(S)$  by definition, we have that Span(S) is indeed a subspace.

If  $U \supset S$  is a subspace of V containing S, then by definition U is closed under addition, that is, taking linear combinations of its elements (in particular, of elements of S); hence,  $U \supset \operatorname{Span}(S)$ .

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Lemma 1.1

For  $S \subseteq V$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $v \in \operatorname{Span}(S) \iff \operatorname{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) = \operatorname{Span}(S)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Let  $v \in \operatorname{Span}(S) \implies v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n, a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V$ . Then, for any linear combination

$$b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m + b \cdot v = b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m + b(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>That is, we do not allow infinite sums.

is a linear combination of vectors in  $S \cup \{v\}$  (first equality) or equivalently, a combination of vectors in S (second equality) and thus  $\operatorname{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S$ . The reverse inclusion follows trivially.

$$(\longleftarrow)\operatorname{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) = \operatorname{Span} S \implies v \in \operatorname{Span}(S).$$

### **\*** Example 1.6

(From the above example) We have

$$\mathrm{Span}(\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1)\} \cup \{(1,1,-2)\}) = \mathrm{Span}(\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1)\}),$$

since  $(1, 1, -2) \in \text{Span}(\{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)\})$  (it was redundant, as it could be generated by the other two vectors).

# **→ Definition** 1.7: Spanning Set

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call  $S \subseteq V$  a spanning set for V if  $\mathrm{Span}(S) = V$ . We call such a spanning set minimal if no proper subset of S is a spanning set  $(\not\exists v \in S \text{ s.t. } S \setminus \{v\} \text{ spanning})$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Note that any  $S \subseteq V$  is a spanning for  $\operatorname{Span}(S)$ . But, S may not be minimal; indeed, consider the previous example. We were able to remove a vector from S while having the same span.

# **\* Example 1.7**

For  $\mathbb{F}^n$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the *standard spanning set* 

$$\operatorname{St}_{n} := \{ \underbrace{(1, \dots, 0)}_{:=e_{1}}, \underbrace{(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{:=e_{2}}, \dots, \underbrace{(0, \dots, 1)}_{e_{n}} \}.$$

Given any  $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , we can write

$$x = x_1 \cdot e_1 + \cdots + x_n \cdot e_n$$
.

This is clearly minimal; removing any  $e_i$  would then result in a 0 in the *i*th "coordinate" of a vector, hence  $\operatorname{St} \setminus \{e_i\}$  would span only vectors whose *i*th coordinate is 0.

#### **→ Definition** 1.8: Linear Dependence

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is said to be *linearly dependent* if there is a nontrivial linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to  $0_V$ .

Conversely, S is called *linearly independent* if there is no nontrivial linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to  $0_V$ ; all linear combinations of vectors in S that equal  $0_V$  are trivial.

# **Example 1.8**

- 1. The empty set  $\varnothing$  is linearly independent; there are no non-trivial linear combinations that equal  $0_V$  (there are no linear combinations at all).
- 2. For  $v \in V$ , the set  $\{v\}$  is linearly dependent iff  $v = 0_V$ .
- 3.  $S := \{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(1,1,-2)\} := \{v_1,v_2,v_3\}; S \text{ is linearly dependent } (v_1+v_2-v_3=(0,0,0)).$
- 4.  $V:=\mathbb{F}^3; S:=\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(0,0,1)\}=\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$  is linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 = 0_V$$
  
 $\implies a_1 = 0 \land a_2 = 0 \land -a_1 - a_2 + a_3 = 0 \implies a_3 = 0$   
 $\implies a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$ 

Hence only a trivial linear combination is possible.

5.  $St_n$  is linearly independent.

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i = 0_{\mathbb{F}^n} \implies a_i = 0 \,\forall i$$

# $\hookrightarrow \underline{Lemma} \ 1.2$

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $S \subseteq V$  (possibly infinite).

- 1. S is linearly dependent  $\iff$  there is a finite subset  $S_0 \subseteq S$  that is linearly dependent.
- 2. S is linearly independent  $\iff$  all finite subsets of S are linearly independent.

<u>*Proof.*</u> 2. follows from the negation of 1.

 $( \Leftarrow )$  Trivial.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose S linearly dependent. Then,  $0_V =$  some nontrivial linear combination of vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  in S. Let  $S_0 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , then,  $S_0$  is linearly dependent itself.

# 1.4 Linear Dependence and Span

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.4

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $S \subseteq V$ .

- 1. S linearly dependent  $\iff \exists v \in \operatorname{Span}(S \setminus \{v\}).$
- 2. S linearly independent  $\iff$  there is no  $v \in \text{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ .

*Proof.* 2. follows from the negation of 1.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose S linearly dependent. Then,  $0_V = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$  for some nontrivial linear combination of distinct vectors S. At least one of  $a_i \neq 0$ ; we can assume wlog (reindexing)  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then,

$$a_1v_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^n a_i v_i \implies v_1 = (-a_1^{-1})\sum_{i=2}^n a_i v_i = \sum_{i=2}^n (-a_1^{-1}a_i)v_i,$$

hence,  $v_1 \in \operatorname{Span}(\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ 

( $\iff$ ) Suppose  $v \in \text{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ , then  $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ , with  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in S \setminus \{v\}$ , thus

$$0_V = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n - v,$$

which is not a trivial combination (-1 on the v; v cannot "merge" with the other vectors), hence S is linearly dependent.

# $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 1.1

 $S\subseteq V$  is linearly independent  $\iff S$  a minimal spanning set of  $\operatorname{Span} S$ .

*Proof.* Follows from proposition 1.4, 2.

# → **Definition** 1.9: Maximally Independent

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is called *maximally independent* if S is linearly independent and  $\exists v \in V \setminus S$  s.t.  $S \cup \{v\}$  is still linearly independent.

In other words, there is no proper supset  $\tilde{S} \supseteq S$  that is still independent.

# $\hookrightarrow \underline{Lemma} \ 1.3$

If  $S \subseteq V$  maximally independent, then S is spanning for V.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $S \subseteq V$  be maximally independent. Let  $v \in V$ ; supposing  $v \notin S$  (in the case that  $v \in S$ , then  $v \in \operatorname{Span}(S)$  trivially). By maximality,  $S \cup \{v\}$  is linearly dependent, hence there exists a nontrivial linear combination that equals

 $0_V$ . Since S independent, this combination must include v, with a nonzero coefficient. We can write

$$av + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0_V \quad a \neq 0, v_i \in S$$

$$\implies v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-a^{-1}a_i)v_i \in \operatorname{Span} S.$$

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.1

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $S \subseteq V$ . TFAE:

- 1. S is a minimal spanning set;
- 2. S is linearly independent and spanning;
- 3. *S* is a maximally linearly independent set;
- 4. Every vector in V is equal to *unique* linear combination of vectors in S.

 $\hookrightarrow$  Mon Jan 15 13:44:34 EST 2024

<u>Proof.</u> (1.  $\implies$  2.) Suppose S is spanning for V and is minimal. Then, by corollary 1.1, we have that S is linearly independent, and is thus both linearly independent and spanning.

(2.  $\implies$  3.) Suppose S is linearly independent and spanning. Let  $v \in V \setminus S$ ; S is spanning, hence  $v \in \operatorname{Span} S$ , that is, there exists a linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to v:

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n, a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in S.$$

Thus,  $0_V = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n - v$ , thus  $S \cup \{v\}$  is linearly dependent, and so S is maximally linearly independent.

(3.  $\implies$  1.) Suppose S is maximally linearly independent. By lemma 1.3, S is spanning, and since S is linearly independent, by corollary 1.1, S is minimally spanning for  $\operatorname{Span} S$ .

(2.  $\implies$  4.) Suppose S is linearly independent and spans V, and let  $v \in V$ . We have that  $v \in \operatorname{Span} S$  and hence is equal to a linear combination of vectors in S. This gives existence; we now need to prove uniqueness.

Suppose there exist two linear combinations that equal v,

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_m u_m,$$

 $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $v_i, u_j \in S$ . With appropriate reindexing/relabelling and allowing certain scalars to equal 0, we can assume that the combinations use the same vectors (with potentially different coefficients), that is,

$$v = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k = b_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k.$$

This implies, then,

§1.4

$$(a_1 - b_1)w_1 + \cdots + (a_k - b_k)w_k = 0_V$$

and by the assumed linear independent of S, each coefficient  $(a_i - b_i) = 0 \,\forall i \implies a_i = b_i \,\forall i$ , hence, these are indeed the same representations, and thus this representation is unique.

(4.  $\implies$  2.) Suppose every vector in V admits a unique linear combination of vectors in S. Clearly, then, S is spanning. It remains to show S is linearly independent. Suppose

$$0_V = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

for  $v_i \in S$ . But we have that every vector has a unique representation, and we know that  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$  is a (valid) linear combination that gives  $0_V$ ; hence, this must be the unique combination,  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ , and the linear combination above is trivial. Hence, S is linearly independent and spanning.

#### **→ Definition 1.10: Basis**

If any (hence all) of the above statements hold, we call S a *basis* for V.

In the words of 4., we call the unique linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to v the unique representation of v in S. Its coefficients are called the Fourier coefficients of v in S.

### **\* Example 1.9**

- 1.  $\operatorname{St}_n = \{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .
- 2. In  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , the set

$$\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(0,0,1)\}$$

is a basis; it is linearly independent and spanning.

3. For  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$ , the standard basis is

$$\{1,t,t^2,\ldots,t^n\}.$$

4. For  $\mathbb{F}[t]$ , the standard basis is

$$S := \{1, t, t^2, \dots\} = \{t^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

5. Let  $\mathbb{F}[t]$  denote the space of all formal power series  $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n t^n$ ; polynomials are an example, but with only finite nonzero coefficients. Note that, then, the set S defined above is not a basis for this "extended" set. We *can* in fact find a basis for this set; we need more tools first.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.2

Every vector space has a basis.

**Remark 1.6.** This theorem relies on assuming the Axiom of Choice.

 $\hookrightarrow$  Wed Jan 17 13:37:26 EST 2024

*Proof (Attempt).* (Of theorem 1.2) We will try to "inductively" build a maximally independent set, as follows:

Begin with an empty set  $S_0 := \emptyset$ , and iteratively add more vectors to it. Let  $v_0 \in V$  be a non-zero vector, and let  $S_1 := \{v_0\}$ .

If  $S_1$  is maximal, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists a new vector  $v_1 \in V \setminus S_1$  s.t.  $S_2 := \{v_0, v_1\}$  is still independent.

If  $S_2$  is maximal, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists a new vector  $v_2 \in V \setminus S_2$  s.t.  $S_3 := \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  is still independent.

Continue in this manner; this would take arbitrarily many finite, or even infinite, steps; we would need some "choice function" that would "allow" us to choose any particular ith vector  $v_i$ .

We can make this construction precise via the Axiom of Choice and transfinite induction (on ordinals); alternatively, we will prove a statement equivalent to the Axiom of Choice, Zorn's Lemma.

**Remark 1.7.** Before stating Zorn's Lemma, we introduce the following terminology.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Axiom** 1.1: Axiom of Choice

Let X be a set of nonempty sets. Then, there exists a choice function f defined on X that maps each set of X to an element of that set.

#### → **Definition 1.11: Inclusion-Maximal Element**

A inclusion-maximal element of I is a set  $S \in I$  s.t. there is no strict super set  $S' \supseteq S$  s.t.  $S' \in I$ .

#### **→ Definition 1.12: Chain**

Let X a set. Call a collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  a *chain* if any two  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  are comparable, ie,  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ .

#### → **Definition** 1.13: Upper Bound

An *upper bound* of a collection  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set  $U \subseteq X$  s.t.  $U \supseteq J \forall J \in \tau$ ; U contains the union of all sets in J.

#### **® Example 1.10: Of The Previous Definitions**

Let 
$$X := \mathbb{N}, I := \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}).$$

The maximal elements of I would be  $\{0\}$  and  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Chains would include  $C_0 := \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}, C_1 := \{\emptyset, \{0\}\}, C_2 := \{\emptyset\}$  (or any set containing a single element).

The sets  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  are upper bounds for I, while neither is an element of I. The set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is an upper bound for  $C_0$ . A chain  $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \dots\}$  has an upper bound of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

#### → Lemma 1.4: Zorn's Lemma

Let X be an ambient set and  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a nonempty collection of subsets of X. If every chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq I$  has an upper bound in I, then I has a maximal element.

"Proof". This is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice; proving it is beyond the scope of this course :(.

*Proof of theorem 1.2, cnt'd.* We obtain a maximal independent set using Zorn's Lemma.

Let I be the collection of all linearly independent subsets of V. I is nonempty;  $\emptyset \in I$ , as is  $\{v\} \in I$  for any nonzero  $v \in V$ . To apply Zorn's, we need to show that every chain  $\mathcal{C}$  if sets in I has an upper bound in I; that is, every linearly independent set has an upper bound that itself is linearly independent.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a chain in I. Let  $S:=\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  be the union of all sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To show S is linearly independent, it suffices to show that every finite subset  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}\subseteq S$  is linearly independent. Let  $S_i\in \mathcal{C}$  be s.t.  $v_i\in S_i$  for each i. Because  $\mathcal{C}$  a chain, for each i,j we have either  $S_i\subseteq S_j$  or  $S_j\subseteq S_i$ , and so we can order  $S_1,\ldots,S_n$  in increasing order w.r.t  $\subseteq$ . This implies, then, there is a maximal  $S_{i_0}$  s.t.  $S_{i_0}\supseteq S_i \ \forall \ i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ . Moreover, we have that  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}\in S_{i_0}$ , and that  $S_{i_0}$  is linearly independent and thus  $\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n\}$  is also linearly independent.

Thus, as we can apply Zorn's Lemma, we conclude that I has a maximal element, ie, there is a maximal independent set, and thus a V indeed has a basis.

→ Fri Jan 19 13:36:58 EST 2024

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.3

For every vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , any two bases  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are equinumerous/of equal size/cardinality, ie, there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .

**Remark 1.8.** We will only prove this for vector spaces that admit a finite basis.

#### **→ Lemma 1.5: Steinitz Substitution**

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $Y \subseteq V$  be a (possibly infinite) linearly independent set and let  $Z \subseteq V$  be a finite spanning set. Then:

- 1.  $k := |Y| \le |Z| =: n$
- 2. There is  $Z' \subseteq Z$  of size n k s.t.  $Y \cup Z'$  is still spanning.

*Proof.* We prove by induction on k.

k=0 gives that  $Y=\emptyset$ , and so Z'=Z itself works  $(Z'\cup Y=Z)$  as a spanning set.

Suppose the statement holds for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let Y be an independent set such that |Y| = k + 1, ie

$$Y := \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}\}, \quad y \in V.$$

By our inductive assumption, we can consider  $Y' := \{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \subseteq Y$  of size k, to obtain a set

$$Z' = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-k}\} \subseteq Z$$
, s.t.  $Y' \cup Z' = \{y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}\}$ 

is spanning. As this is spanning, we can write  $y_{k+1}$  as a linear combination of vectors in  $Y' \cup Z'$ , ie

$$y_{k+1} = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_k y_k + b_1 z_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} z_{n-k}, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}.$$

It must be that at least one of  $b_j$ 's must be nonzero; if they were all zero, then  $y_{k+1}$  would simply be a linear combination of vector  $y_i$  giving that  $y_{k+1}$  linearly dependent, contradicting our construction of Y linearly independent.

Assume, wlog,  $b_{n-k} \neq 0$ . Then, we can write

$$z_{n-k} = b_{n-k}^{-1} y_{k+1} - b_{n-k}^{-1} a_1 y_1 - \dots - b_{n-k}^{-1} a_k y_k - b_{n-k}^{-1} b_1 z_1 - \dots - b_{n-k}^{-1} b_{n-k-1} z_{n-k-1},$$

and hence

$$z_{n-k} \in \text{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k-1}\} = \text{Span}\left(\underbrace{\{y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}\}}_{Y} \cup \underbrace{\{z_1, \dots, z_{n-k-1}\}}_{:=Z''}\right).$$

We had that  $Y' \cup Z'$  was spanning, and  $(Y' \cup Z') \setminus (Y \cup Z'') = \{z_{n-k}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(Y \cup Z'')$ , and we thus have that  $Y \cup Z''$  is also spanning.

# **⇔ Corollary 1.2: Finite Basis Case for theorem 1.3**

Let V be a vector space that admits a finite basis. Then, any two bases of V are equinumerous.

*Proof.* Let Y, Z be two finite bases for V. Then, Y is independent and Z is spanning, so by Steinitz Substitution,  $\overline{|Y|} \le |Z|$ . OTOH, Z is independent, and Y is spanning, so by Steinitz Substitution,  $|Z| \le |Y|$ , and we conclude that |Y| = |Z|. Let n := |Y|.

It remains to show that there exist no infinite bases for V; it suffices to show that there is no independent set of size n+1. To this end, let  $I \subseteq V$  such that |I| = n+1 be an independent set. Y is still spanning, hence, by the substitution lemma,  $n+1 \le n$ , a contradiction. Hence, I as defined cannot exist and so any basis of V must be of size n.

#### **→ Definition 1.14: Dimension**

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . The *dimension* of V, denote

$$\dim(V)$$

as the cardinality/size of any basis for V. We call V finite dimensional if  $\dim(V)$  is a natural number, i.e. V admits a finite basis. Otherwise, we say V is infinite dimensional.

### **⇔** Corollary 1.3: of Steinitz Substitution

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and denote  $n := \dim(V)$ . Then:

1. Every linearly independent subset  $I \subseteq V$  has size  $\leq n$ ;

- 2. Every spanning set  $S \subseteq V$  for V has size  $\geq n$ ;
- 3. Every independent set I can be completed to a basis to V, ie, there exists a basis B for V s.t.  $I \subseteq B$ .

*Proof.* Fix a basis B for V, |B| =: n.

- 1. If I is a independent set, then because B spanning, Steinitz Substitution gives  $|I| \leq |B|$ .
- 2. If S spanning for V, then because B is linearly independent, Steinitz Substitution gives  $|B| \leq |S|$ .
- 3. Let I be an independent set. Then, because B is spanning, Steinitz Substitution gives  $B' \subseteq B$  of size n |I| s.t.  $I \cup B'$  is spanning. Moreover,  $|I \cup B'| \le n$ , and by 2. it must have size  $\ge n$ , and thus has size precisely n and is thus a minimally spanning set and thus a basis.

# → Corollary 1.4: Monotonicity of Dimension

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For any subspace  $W \subset \dim W < \dim V$ , and

$$\dim W = \dim V \iff W = V.$$

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $B \subseteq W$  be a basis for W. Because B is independent,  $|B| \leq \dim(V)$  by 1. of corollary 1.3, so  $\dim(W) = \overline{|B|} \leq \dim(V)$ .

If  $|B| = \dim(V)$ , then B is a basis for V again by 1. of corollary 1.3, so  $W = \operatorname{Span}(B) = V$ .

 $\hookrightarrow$  Mon Jan 22 13:43:44 EST 2024

# 2 Linear Transformations

### 2.1 Definitions

#### **→ Definition 2.1: Linear Transformation**

Let V, W be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A function  $T: V \to W$  is called a *linear transformation* if it preserves the vector space structures, that is,

- 1.  $T(v_0 + v_1) = T(v_0) + T(v_1), \forall v_0, v_1 \in V;$
- 2.  $T(\alpha \cdot v) = \alpha \cdot T(v), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V;$
- 3.  $T(0_V) = 0_W$ .

**Remark 2.1.** *Note that 3. is redundant, implied by 2., but included for emphasis:* 

$$T(0_V) = T(0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot 0_V) = 0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot T(0_V) = 0_W.$$

# **\* Example 2.1: Linear Transformations**

- 1.  $T: \mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2) := (a_1 + 2a_2, a_1)$ .
- 2. Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $T_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the rotation by  $\theta$ . The linearity of this is perhaps most obvious in polar coordinates, ie  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $v = r(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$  for appropriate  $r, \alpha$ , and  $T_{\theta}(v) = r(\cos(\alpha + \theta), \sin(\alpha + \theta))$ .
- 3.  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , a reflection about the x-axis, ie, T(x,y) = (x,-y).
- 4. Projections,  $T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$ .
- 5. The transpose on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , ie,  $T:M_n(\mathbb{F})\to M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , where  $A\mapsto A^t$ .
- 6. The derivative on space of polynomials of degree leq  $n, D : \mathbb{F}[t]_{n+1} \to \mathbb{F}[t]_n, p(t) \mapsto p'(t)$ .

### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.1

Linear transformations are completely determined by their values on a basis.

That is, let  $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for a vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let W also be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W$  be arbitrary vectors. Then, there is a unique linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  s.t.  $T(v_i) = w_i \, \forall \, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* We aim to define T(v) for arbitrary  $v \in V$ . We can write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

as the unique representation of v in terms of the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, we simply define

$$T(v) := a_1 w_1 + \cdots + a_n w_n$$

for our given  $w_i$ 's. Then,  $T(v_i) = 1 \cdot w_i = w_i$ , as desired, and T is linear;

1. Let  $u,v\in V; u:=\sum_n a_iv_i, v:=\sum_n b_iv_i.$  Then,

$$T(u+v) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i v_i) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i) v_i) = (a_i + b_i) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i w_i.$$

2. Scalar multiplication follows similarly.

To show uniqueness, suppose  $T_0, T_1$  are two linear transformations satisfying  $T_0(v_i) = w_i = T_1(v_i)$ . Let  $v \in V$ , and write  $v = \sum_n a_i v_i$ . By linearity,

$$T_k(v) = T_k(\sum_n a_i v_i) = \sum_n a_i T(v_i) = \sum_n a_i w_i,$$

for k = 0, 1, hence,  $T_1(v) = T_0(v)$  for arbitrary v, hence the transformations are equivalent.

#### → Definition 2.2: Some Important Transformations

We denote  $T_0: V \to W$  by  $T_0(v) := 0_W \, \forall v \in V$  the zero transformation. We denote  $I_V: V \to V$ ,  $I_V(v) := v \, \forall v \in V$ , as the identity transformation.

→ Mon Jan 22 14:26:24 EST 2024

# 2.2 Isomorphisms, Kernel, Image

# 

Let V, W be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . An *isomorphism* from V to W is a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  (a homomorphism for vector spaces) which admits an inverse  $T^{-1}$  that is also linear.

If such an isomorphism exists, we say V and W are isomorphic.

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.1

 $T:V\to W$  is an isomorphism  $\iff T$  is linear and bijective.

*Proof.* The direction  $\implies$  is trivial.

Suppose  $T:V\to W$  is linear and bijective, ie  $T^{-1}$  exists. We need to show that  $T^{-1}$  is linear. Let  $w_1,w_2\in W, a_1,a_2\in \mathbb{F}$ . Then:

$$T^{-1}(a_1w_1 + a_2w_2) = T^{-1}(a_1T(T^{-1}(w_1)) + a_2T(T^{-1}(w_2)))$$
(by linearity of  $T$ ) 
$$= T^{-1}(T(a_1T^{-1}(w_1) + a_2T^{-1}(w_2)))$$

$$= a_1T^{-1}(w_1) + a_2T^{-1}(w_2).$$

**Remark 2.2.** This proposition holds for all structures that only have operations; it does not for those with relations, such as graphs, orders, etc..

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.2

§2.2

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every n-dimensional vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . In particular, all n-dim vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  are isomorphic.

<u>Proof.</u> Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for V, and let  $T : V \to \mathbb{F}^n$  be the unique linear transformation determined by  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $T(v_i) = e_i$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . We show that T is a bijection.

(Injective) Suppose  $T(x)=T(y), x,y\in V$ . Write  $x=a_1v_1+\cdots+a_nv_n,y=b_1v_1+\cdots+b_nv_n$ , the unique representation of x,y in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . We have:

$$a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n = a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = T(x) = T(y) = \dots = b_1e_1 + \dots + b_ne_n$$

but by the uniqueness of representation in a basis, it follows that each  $a_i = b_i$ , hence, x = y.

(Surjective) Let  $w \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then,  $w = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n$  (uniquely). But then,

$$w = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n) = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n),$$

where  $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n \in V$ , hence T indeed surjective.

**Remark 2.3.** Replacing  $\mathbb{F}^n$  with an arbitrary n-dim vector space W over  $\mathbb{F}$  yields the following.

# **→ Theorem 2.3: Freeness of Vector Space**

Let W,V be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\beta,\gamma$  be bases for V,W respectively. Every bijection  $T:\beta\to\gamma$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\hat{T}:V\to W$ .

In particular, all vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  with equinumerous bases are isomorphic.

**Remark 2.4.** The proof follows very similarly to the previous theorem, but extended to arbitrary, possible infinite, spaces.

*Proof.* 

### → **Definition** 2.4: Image/Kernel

For a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , where V, W are vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define the *image* 

$$Im(T) := T(v),$$

and its kernel

$$\ker(T) = T^{-1}(\{0_W\}).$$

# $\hookrightarrow \underline{ \text{Proposition}} \ 2.2$

 $\ker(T)$  and  $\operatorname{Im} T$  are subspaces of V,W resp.

<u>Proof.</u> (ker(T)) Let  $v_0, v_1 \in \ker T$  and  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ , then

$$T(a_0v_0 + a_1v_1) = a_0T(v_0) + a_1T(v_1) = 0_W \implies a_0v_0 + a_1v_1 \in \ker T.$$

(Im(T)) Let  $w_0, w_1 \in \text{Im } T$ ,  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $w_i = T(v_i), v_i \in V$ , and so

$$a_0w_0 + a_1w_1 = a_0T(v_0) + a_1T(v_1) = T(a_0v_0 + a_1v_1) \implies a_0w_0 + a_1w_1 \in \operatorname{Im} T.$$

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.3

Let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear transformation, where V,W vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\beta$  be a (possibly infinite) basis

for V. Then, T(B) spans  $\mathrm{Im}(T)$ .

In particular, T is surjective iff  $T(\beta)$  spans W.

 $\hookrightarrow \textit{Wed Jan 24 14:26:13 EST 2024}$