MATH455 - Analysis 4

Based on lectures from Winter 2025 by Prof. Jessica Lin. Notes by Louis Meunier

Contents

1 Abstract Metric and Topological Spaces	2
1.1 Review of Metric Spaces	2
1.2 Compactness, Separability	3
1.3 Arzelà-Ascoli	5
1.4 Baire Category Theorem	8
1.4 Baire Category Theorem	9
1.5 Topological Spaces	10
1.5 Topological Spaces	12
1.7 Continuity and Compactness	14
1.8 Connected Topological Spaces	16
1.9 Urysohn's Lemma and Urysohn's Metrization Theorem	17
1.10 Stone-Weierstrass Theorem	20
2 Functional Analysis	22
2.1 Introduction to Linear Operators	22
2.2 Finita vargus Infinita Dimensional	2/

$\S 1$ Abstract Metric and Topological Spaces

§1.1 Review of Metric Spaces

Throughout fix *X* a nonempty set.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.1** (Metric): $\rho: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *metric*, and thus (X, ρ) a *metric space*, if for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- $\rho(x,y) \geq 0$,
- $\rho(x,y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$,
- $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$, and
- $\rho(x,y) \le \rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y)$.

 \hookrightarrow Definition 1.2 (Norm): Let *X* a linear space. A function $\|\cdot\|: X \to [0, \infty)$ is called a *norm* if for all *u*, *v* ∈ *X* and *α* ∈ \mathbb{R} ,

- $\bullet \|u\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0,$
- $||u+v|| \le ||u|| + ||v||$, and
- $\bullet \|\alpha u\| = |\alpha| \|u\|.$

Remark 1.1: A norm induces a metric by $\rho(x, y) := ||x - y||$.

 \hookrightarrow Definition 1.3: Given two metrics ρ , σ on X, we say they are *equivalent* if \exists C > 0 such that $\frac{1}{C}\sigma(x,y) \le \rho(x,y) \le C\sigma(x,y)$ for every $x,y \in X$. A similar definition follows for equivalence of norms.

Given a metric space (X, ρ) , then, we have the notion of

- open balls $B(x,r) = \{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) < r \}$,
- open sets (subsets of X with the property that for every $x \in X$, there is a constant r > 0 such that $B(x,r) \subseteq X$), closed sets, closures, and
- convergence.

 \hookrightarrow Definition 1.4 (Convergence): $\{x_n\}\subseteq X$ converges to $x\in X$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\rho(x_n,x)=0$.

We have several (equivalent) notions, then, of continuity; via sequences, $\varepsilon - \delta$ definition, and by pullbacks (inverse images of open sets are open).

1.1 Review of Metric Spaces

 \hookrightarrow Definition 1.5 (Uniform Continuity): $f:(X,\rho)\to (Y,\sigma)$ uniformly continuous if f has a "modulus of continuity", i.e. there is a continuous function $\omega:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ such that $\sigma(f(x_1),f(x_2)) \le \omega(\rho(x_1,x_2))$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Remark 1.2: For instance, we say f Lipschitz continuous if there is a constant C>0 such that $\omega(\cdot)=C(\cdot)$. Let $\alpha\in(0,1)$. We say f α -Holder continuous if $\omega(\cdot)=C(\cdot)^{\alpha}$ for some constant C.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.6** (Completeness): We say (X, ρ) *complete* if every cauchy sequence in (X, ρ) converges to a point in X.

Remark 1.3: If (X, ρ) complete and $E \subseteq X$, then (E, ρ) is complete iff E closed in X.

§1.2 Compactness, Separability

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.7** (Open Cover, Compactness): $\{X_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq 2^{X}$, where X_{λ} open in X and Λ an arbitrary index set, an *open cover* of X if for every $x \in X$, $\exists \lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $x \in X_{\lambda}$.

X is *compact* if every open cover of X admits a compact subcover. We say $E \subseteq X$ compact if (E, ρ) compact.

Definition 1.8 (Totally Bounded, ε-nets): (X, ρ) totally bounded if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite cover of X of balls of radius ε . If $E \subseteq X$, an ε-net of E is a collection $\{B(x_i, \varepsilon)\}_{i=1}^N$ such that $E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \varepsilon)$ and $x_i \in X$ (note that x_i need not be in E).

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.9** (Sequentially Compact): (X, ρ) *sequentially compact* if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence whose limit is in X.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.10** (Relatively / Pre-Compact): $E \subseteq X$ relatively compact if \overline{E} compact.

\hookrightarrow Theorem 1.1: TFAE:

- 1. *X* complete and totally bounded;
- 2. *X* compact;
- 3. *X* sequentially compact.

Remark 1.4: $E \subseteq X$ relatively compact if every sequence in E has a convergent subsequence.

Let $f:(X,\rho)\to (Y,\sigma)$ continuous with (X,ρ) compact. Then,

- f(X) compact in Y;
- if $Y = \mathbb{R}$, the max and min of f over X are achieved;
- *f* is uniformly continuous.

Let $C(X) := \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}$ and $\|f\|_{\infty} := \max_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ the sup (max, in this case) norm. Then,

 \hookrightarrow Theorem 1.2: Let (X, ρ) compact. Then, $(C(X), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

PROOF. Let $\{f_n\}\subseteq C(X)$ Cauchy with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ such that for each $k\geq 1$, $\|f_{n_{k+1}}-f_{n_k}\|_\infty\leq 2^{-k}$ (to construct this subsequence, let $n_1\geq 1$ be such that $\|f_n-f_{n_1}\|_\infty<\frac{1}{2}$ for all $n\geq n_1$, which exists since $\{f_n\}$ Cauchy. Then, for each $k\geq 1$, define inductively n_{k+1} such that $n_{k+1}>n_k$ and $\|f_n-f_{n_{k+1}}\|_\infty<\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}$ for each $n\geq n_{k+1}$. Then, for any $k\geq 1$, $\|f_{n_{k+1}}-f_{n_k}\|_\infty<2^{-k}$, since $n_{k+1}>n_k$.).

Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $k \geq 1$,

$$\|f_{n_{k+j}} - f_{n_k}\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{\ell=k}^{k+j-1} \|f_{n_{\ell+1}} - f_{n_{\ell}}\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{\ell} 2^{-\ell}$$

and hence for each $x \in X$, with $c_k \coloneqq f_{n_k}(x)$,

$$|c_{k+j}-c_k| \leq \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell}.$$

The RHS is the tail of a converging series, and thus $|c_{k+j}-c_k|\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$ i.e. $\{c_k\}$ a Cauchy sequence, in \mathbb{R} . $(\mathbb{R},|\cdot|)$ complete, so $\lim_{k\to\infty}c_k=:f(x)$ exists for each $x\in X$. So, for each $x\in X$, we find

$$|f_{n_k}(x)-f(x)|\leq \sum_{\ell=k}^\infty 2^{-\ell},$$

and since the RHS is independent of x, we may pass to the sup norm, and find

$$\|f_{n_k}-f\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\ell=k}^\infty 2^{-\ell},$$

with the RHS $\to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Hence, $f_{n_k} \to f$ in C(X) as $k \to \infty$. In other words, we have uniform convergence of $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$. Each $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$ continuous, and thus f also continuous, and thus $f \in C(X)$.

It remains to show convergence along the whole sequence. Suppose otherwise. Then, there is some $\alpha>0$ and a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_j}\right\}\subseteq \{f_n\}$ such that $\|f_{n_j}-f\|_\infty>$

 $\alpha > 0$ for every $j \ge 1$. Then, let k be sufficiently large such that $||f - f_{n_k}||_{\infty} \le \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then, for every $j \ge 1$ and k sufficiently large,

$$\begin{split} \|f_{n_j}-f_{n_k}\|_{\infty} &\geq \|f_{n_j}-f\|_{\infty} - \|f-f_{n_k}\|_{\infty} \\ &> \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{2} > 0, \end{split}$$

which contradicts the Cauchy-ness of $\{f_n\}$, completing the proof.

Definition 1.11 (Density/Separability): A set $D \subseteq X$ is called *dense* in X if for every nonempty open subset $A \subseteq X$, $D \cap A \neq \emptyset$. We say X *separable* if there is a countable dense subset of X.

Remark 1.5: If *A* dense in *X*, then $\overline{A} = X$.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.1**: If *X* compact, *X* separable.

PROOF. Since X compact, it is totally bounded. So, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is some K_n and $\{x_i\} \subseteq X$ such that $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{K_n} B\big(x_i, \frac{1}{n}\big)$. Then, $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{K_n} \{x_i\}$ countable and dense in X.

§1.3 Arzelà-Ascoli

The goal in this section is to find conditions for a sequence of functions $\{f_n\} \subseteq C(X)$ to be precompact, namely, to have a uniformly convergent subsequence.

Corollary 1.1: Any Cauchy sequence converges if it has a convergent subsequence.

PROOF. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in a metric space (X,ρ) with convergent subsequence $\big\{x_{n_k}\big\}$ which converges to some $x\in X$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $N\geq 1$ be such that if $m,n\geq N$, $\rho(x_n,x_m)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $K\geq 1$ be such that if $k\geq K$, $\rho\big(x_{n_k},x\big)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $n,n_k\geq \max\{N,K\}$, then

$$\rho(x,x_n) \leq \rho \Big(x,x_{n_k}\Big) + \rho \Big(x_{n_k},x_n\Big) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Definition 1.12 (Equicontinuous): A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$ is called *equicontinuous* at $x \in X$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(x, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that if $\rho(x, x') < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(x')| < \varepsilon$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Remark 1.6: \mathcal{F} equicontinuous at x iff every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ share the same modulus of continuity.

1.3 Arzelà-Ascoli 5

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.13** (Pointwise/uniformly bounded): $\{f_n\}$ pointwise bounded if $\forall x \in X$, $\exists M(x) > 0$ such that $|f_n(x)| \leq M(x) \ \forall n$, and uniformly bounded if such an M exists independent of x.

 \hookrightarrow Lemma 1.1 (Arzelà-Ascoli Lemma): Let X separable and let $\{f_n\}\subseteq C(X)$ be pointwise bounded and equicontinuous. Then, there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ and a function f which converges pointwise to f on all of X.

PROOF. Let $D=\left\{x_j\right\}_{j=1}^\infty\subseteq X$ be a countable dense subset of X. Since $\{f_n\}$ p.w. bounded, $\{f_n(x_1)\}$ as a sequence of real numbers is bounded and so by the Bolzano-Weierstrass (BW) Theorem there is a convergent subsequence $\left\{f_{n(1,k)}(x_1)\right\}_k$ that converges to some $a_1\in\mathbb{R}$. Consider now $\left\{f_{n(1,k)}(x_2)\right\}_k$, which is again a bounded sequence of \mathbb{R} and so has a convergent subsequence, call it $\left\{f_{n(2,k)}(x_2)\right\}_k$ which converges to some $a_2\in\mathbb{R}$. Note that $\left\{f_{n(2,k)}\right\}\subseteq\left\{f_{n(1,k)}\right\}$, so also $f_{n(2,k)}(x_1)\to a_1$ as $k\to\infty$. We can repeat this procedure, producing a sequence of real numbers $\{a_\ell\}$, and for each $j\in\mathbb{N}$ a subsequence $\left\{f_{n(j,k)}\right\}_k\subseteq\{f_n\}$ such that $f_{n(j,k)}(x_\ell)\to a_\ell$ for each $1\le\ell\le j$. Define then

$$f: D \to \mathbb{R}, f(x_j) := a_j.$$

Consider now

$$f_{n_k} \coloneqq f_{n(k,k)}, k \ge 1,$$

the "diagonal sequence", and remark that $f_{n_k}(x_j) \to a_j = f(x_j)$ as $k \to \infty$ for every $j \ge 1$. Hence, $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}_k$ converges to f on D, pointwise.

We claim now that $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$ converges on all of X to some function $f:X\to\mathbb{R}$, pointwise. Put $g_k:=f_{n_k}$ for notational convenience. Fix $x_0\in X$, $\varepsilon>0$, and let $\delta>0$ be such that if $x\in X$ such that $\rho(x,x_0)<\delta$, $|g_k(x)-g_k(x_0)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for every $k\geq 1$, which exists by equicontinuity. Since D dense in X, there is some $x_j\in D$ such that $\rho(x_j,x_0)<\delta$. Then, since $g_k(x_j)\to f(x_j)$ (pointwise), $\left\{g_k(x_j)\right\}_k$ is Cauchy and so there is some $K\geq 1$ such that for every $k,\ell\geq K$, $|g_\ell(x_j)-g_k(x_j)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. And hence, for every $k,\ell\geq K$,

$$|g_k(x_0) - g_\ell(x_0)| \leq |g_k(x_0) - g_k\big(x_j\big)| + |g_k\big(x_j\big) - g_\ell\big(x_j\big)| + |g_\ell\big(x_j\big) - g_\ell(x_0)| < \varepsilon,$$

so namely $\{g_k(x_0)\}_k$ Cauchy as a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Since \mathbb{R} complete, then $\{g_k(x_0)\}_k$ also converges, to, say, $f(x_0) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since x_0 was arbitrary, this means there is some function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $g_k \to f$ pointwise on X as we aimed to show.

1.3 Arzelà-Ascoli 6

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.14** (Uniformly Equicontinuous): $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$ is said to be uniformly equicontinuous if for every $\varepsilon < 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\forall \, x,y \in X$ with $\rho(x,y) < \delta$, $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$. That is, every function in \mathcal{F} has the same modulus of continuity.

→ **Proposition 1.2** (Sufficient Conditions for Uniform Equicontinuity):

- 1. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$ uniformly Lipschitz
- 2. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X) \cap C^1(X)$ has a uniform L^{∞} bound on the first derivative
- 3. $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$ uniformly Holder continuous
- 4. (X, ρ) compact and \mathcal{F} equicontinuous

→Theorem 1.3 (Arzelà-Ascoli): Let (X, ρ) a compact metric space and $\{f_n\} \subseteq C(X)$ be a uniformly bounded and (uniformly) equicontinuous family of functions. Then, $\{f_n\}$ is precompact in C(X), i.e. there exists $\{f_{n_k}\} \subseteq \{f_n\}$ such that f_{n_k} is uniformly convergent on X.

PROOF. Since (X, ρ) compact it is separable and so by the lemma there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ that converges pointwise on X. Denote by $g_k \coloneqq f_{n_k}$ for notational convenience.

We claim $\{g_k\}$ uniformly Cauchy. Let $\varepsilon>0$. By uniform equicontinuity, there is a $\delta>0$ such that $\rho(x,y)<\delta\Rightarrow |g_k(x)-g_k(y)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Since X compact it is totally bounded so there exists $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that $X\subseteq\bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i,\delta)$. For every $1\le i\le N$, $\{g_k(x_i)\}$ converges by the lemma hence is Cauchy in \mathbb{R} . So, there exists a K_i such that for every $k,\ell\ge K_i$ $|g_k(x_i)-g_\ell(x_i)|\le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Let $K:=\max\{K_i\}$. Then for every $\ell,k\le K$, $|g_k(x_i)-g_\ell(x_i)|\le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ for every i=1,...,N. So, for all $x\in X$, there is some x_i such that $\rho(x,x_i)<\delta$, and so for every $k,\ell\ge K$,

$$\begin{split} |g_k(x) - g_\ell(x)| &\leq |g_k(x) - g_k(x_i)| \\ &+ |g_k(x_i) - g_\ell(x_i)| \\ &+ |g_\ell(x_i) - g_\ell(x)| < \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

the first and last follow by the equicontinuity and the second from the lemma. This holds for every x and thus $\|g_k-g_\ell\|_\infty<\varepsilon$, so $\{g_k\}$ Cauchy in C(X). But C(X) complete so converges in the space.

Remark 1.7: If $K \subseteq X$ a compact set, then K bounded and closed.

→Theorem 1.4: Let (X, ρ) compact and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(X)$. Then, \mathcal{F} a compact subspace of C(X) iff \mathcal{F} closed, uniformly bounded, and (uniformly) equicontinuous.

1.3 Arzelà-Ascoli 7

PROOF. (\Leftarrow) Let $\{f_n\}\subseteq \mathcal{F}$. By Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, there exists a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$ that converges uniformly to some $f\in C(X)$. Since \mathcal{F} closed, $f\in \mathcal{F}$ and so \mathcal{F} sequentially compact hence compact.

 (\Rightarrow) $\mathcal F$ compact so closed and bounded in C(X). To prove equicontinuous, we argue by contradiction. Suppose otherwise, that $\mathcal F$ not-equicontinuous at some $x\in X$. Then, there is some $\varepsilon_0>0$ and $\{f_n\}\subseteq \mathcal F$ and $\{x_n\}\subseteq X$ such that $|f_n(x_n)-f_n(x)|\geq \varepsilon_0$ while $\rho(x,x_n)<\frac{1}{n}$. Since $\{f_n\}$ bounded and $\mathcal F$ compact, there is a subsequence $\left\{f_{n_k}\right\}$ that converges to f uniformly. Let K be such that $\forall\, k\geq K$, $\|f_{n_k}-f\|_\infty\leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} |f\Big(x_{n_k}\Big) - f \mid &\geq |\ |f\Big(x_{n_k}\Big) - f_{n_k}\Big(x_{n_k}\Big)| - |f_{n_k}\Big(x_{n_k}\Big) - f_{n_k}(x)| - |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)|\ | \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{3}, \end{split}$$

while $\rho(x_{n_k}, x) \leq \frac{1}{n_k}$, so f cannot be continuous at x, a contradiction.

§1.4 Baire Category Theorem

We'll say a set $E \subseteq X$ hollow if int $E = \emptyset$, or equivalently if E^c dense in X.

- \hookrightarrow Theorem 1.5 (Baire Category Theorem): Let X be a complete metric space.
 - (a) Let $\{F_n\}$ a collection of closed hollow sets. Then, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ also hollow.
 - (b) Let $\{\mathcal{O}_n\}$ a collection of open dense sets. Then, $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{O}_n$ also dense.

Proof. Notice that $(a) \Leftrightarrow (b)$ by taking complements. We prove (b).

Put $G := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_n$. Fix $x \in X$ and r > 0, then to show density of G is to show $G \cap B(x,r) \neq \emptyset$.

Since \mathcal{O}_1 dense, then $\mathcal{O}_1\cap B(x,r)$ nonempty and in particular open. So, let $x_1\in X$ and $r_1<\frac{1}{2}$ such that $\overline{B}(x,r_1)\subseteq B(x,2r_1)\subseteq \mathcal{O}_1\cap B(x,r)$.

Similarly, since \mathcal{O}_2 dense, $\mathcal{O}_2 \cap B(x_1,r_1)$ open and nonempty so there exists $x_2 \in X$ and $r_2 < 2^{-2}$ such that $\overline{B}(x_2,r_2) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_2 \cap B(x_1,r_1)$.

Repeat in this manner to find $x_n \in X$ with $r_n < 2^{-n}$ such that $\overline{B}(x_n, r_n) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n \cap B(x_{n-1}, r_{n-1})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This creates a sequence of sets

$$\overline{B}(x_1,r_1)\supseteq \overline{B}(x_2,r_2)\supseteq \cdots,$$

with $r_n \to 0$. Hence, the sequence of points $\{x_n\}$ Cauchy and since X complete, $x_j \to x_0 \in X$, so in particular

$$\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B}(x_n, r_n),$$

hence $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_n$ for every n and thus $G \cap B(x,r)$ nonempty.

 \hookrightarrow Corollary 1.2: Let X complete and $\{F_n\}$ a sequence of closed sets in X. If $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$, there is some n_0 such that $\operatorname{int}(F_{n_0}) \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. If not, violates BCT since *X* is not hollow in itself.

 \hookrightarrow Corollary 1.3: Let X complete and $\{F_n\}$ a sequence of closed sets in X. Then, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial F_n$ hollow.

PROOF. We claim $\operatorname{int}(\partial F_n)=\varnothing$. Suppose not, then there exists some $B(x_0,r)\subseteq\partial F_n$. Then $x_0\in\partial F_n$ but $B(x_0,r)\cap F_n^c=\varnothing$, a contradiction. So, since ∂F_n closed and $\partial F_n\cap B(x_0,r)=\varnothing$ for every such ball, by BCT $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty\partial F_n$ must be hollow.

1.4.1 Applications of Baire Category Theorem

→Theorem 1.6: Let $\mathcal{F} \subset C(X)$ where X complete. Suppose \mathcal{F} pointwise bounded. Then, there exists a nonempty, open set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq X$ such that \mathcal{F} uniformly bounded on \mathcal{O} .

Proof. Let

$$\begin{split} E_n &:= \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq n \, \forall \, f \in \mathcal{F}\} \\ &= \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \underbrace{\{x : |f(x)| \leq n\}}_{\text{closed}}. \end{split}$$

Since $\mathcal F$ pointwise bounded, for every $x\in X$ there is some $M_x>0$ such that $|f(x)|\leq M_x$ for every $f\in \mathcal F$. Hence, for every $n\in \mathbb N$ such that $n\geq M_x$, $x\in E_n$ and thus $X=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n$.

 E_n closed and hence by the previous corollaries there is some n_0 such that $\operatorname{int} \left(E_{n_0} \right) \neq \varnothing$ and hence there is some r > 0 and $x_0 \in X$ such that $B(x_0, r) \subseteq E_{n_0}$. Then, for every $x \in B(x_0, r)$, $|f(x)| \leq n_0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, which gives our desired nonempty open set upon which \mathcal{F} uniformly bounded.

→Theorem 1.7: Let X complete, and $\{f_n\} \subseteq C(X)$ such that $f_n \to f$ pointwise on X. Then, there exists a dense subset $D \subseteq X$ such that $\{f_n\}$ equicontinuous on D and f continuous on D.

Proof. For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\begin{split} E(m,n) &\coloneqq \left\{ x \in X : |f_j(x) - f_k(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \, \forall \, j,k \geq n \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{j,k \geq n} \left\{ x : |f_j(x) - f_k(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m} \right\}. \end{split}$$

The union of the boundaries of these sets are hollow, hence $D\coloneqq \left(\bigcup_{m,n\geq 1}\partial E(m,n)\right)^c$ is dense. Then, if $x\in D\cap E(m,n)$, then $x\in \left(\partial E(m,n)\right)^c$ implies $x\in \mathrm{int}(E(m,n))$.

We claim $\{f_n\}$ equicontinuous on D. Let $x_0 \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Then, since $\{f_n(x_0)\}$ convergent it is therefore Cauchy (in \mathbb{R}). Hence, there is some N such that

 $|f_j(x_0)-f_k(x_0)|\leq \frac{1}{m}$ for every $j,k\geq N$, so $x_0\in D\cap E(m,N)$ hence $x_0\in {\rm int}(E(m,N)).$

Let $B(x_0,r)\subseteq E(m,N).$ Since f_N continuous at x_0 there is some $\delta>0$ such that $\delta< r$ and

$$|f_N(x)-f_N(x_0)|<\frac{1}{m}\,\forall\,x\in B(x_0,\delta),$$

and hence

$$\begin{split} |f_j(x)-f_j(x_0)| &\leq |f_j(x)-f_N(x)| + |f_N(x)-f_N(x_0)| + |f_N(x_0)-f_j(x_0)| \\ &\leq \frac{3}{m} \leq \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon, \end{split}$$

for every $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$ and $j \ge N$, where the first, last bounds come from Cauchy and the middle from continuity of f_N . Hence, we've show $\{f_n\}$ equicontinuous at x_0 since δ was independent of f.

In particular, this also gives for every $x \in B(x_0, \delta)$ the limit

$$\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon>\lim_{j\to\infty}\lvert f_j(x)-f_j(x_0)\rvert=\lvert f(x)-f(x_0)\rvert,$$

so f continuous on D.

§1.5 Topological Spaces

Throughout, assume $X \neq \emptyset$.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.15** (Topology): Let $X \neq \emptyset$. A *topology* \mathcal{T} on X is a collection of subsets of X, called *open sets*, such that

- $X, \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}$;
- If $\{E_n\}\subseteq\mathcal{T}$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^N E_n\in\mathcal{T}$ (closed under *finite* intersections);
- If $\{E_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, $\bigcup_n E_n \in \mathcal{T}$ (closed under arbitrary unions).

If $x \in X$, a set $E \in \mathcal{T}$ containing x is called a neighborhood of x.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.3**: $E \subseteq X$ open \Leftrightarrow for every $x \in E$, there is a neighborhood of x contained in E.

PROOF. \Rightarrow is trivial by taking the neighborhood to be E itself. \Leftarrow follows from the fact that, if for each x we let \mathcal{U}_x a neighborhood of x contained in E, then

$$E = \bigcup_{x \in E} \mathcal{U}_x,$$

so *E* open being a union of open sets.

1.5 Topological Spaces 10

Example 1.1: Every metric space induces a natural topology given by open sets under the metric. The *discrete topology* is given by $\mathcal{T} = 2^X$ (and is actually induced by the discrete metric), and is the largest topology. The *trivial topology* $\{\emptyset, X\}$ is the smallest. The *relative topology* defined on a subset $Y \subseteq X$ is given by $\mathcal{T}_Y := \{E \cap Y : E \in \mathcal{T}\}$.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.16** (Base): Given a topological space (X,\mathcal{T}) , let $x\in X$. A collection \mathcal{B}_x of neighborhoods of x is called a *base* of \mathcal{T} at x if for every neighborhood \mathcal{U} of x, there is a set $B\in\mathcal{B}_x$ such that $B\subseteq\mathcal{U}$.

We say a collection \mathcal{B} a base for all of \mathcal{T} if for every $x \in X$, there is a base for $x, \mathcal{B}_x \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.4**: If (X, \mathcal{T}) a topological space, then $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ a base for $\mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow$ every nonempty open set $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{T}$ can be written as a union of elements of \mathcal{B} .

Proof. \Rightarrow If $\mathcal U$ open, then for $x \in \mathcal U$ there is some basis element B_x contained in $\mathcal U$. So in particular $\mathcal U = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal U} B_x$.

 \Leftarrow Let $x \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{B}_x \coloneqq \{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid x \in B\}$. Then, for every neighborhood of x, there is some B in \mathcal{B}_x such that $B \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ so \mathcal{B}_x a base for \mathcal{T} at x.

Remark 1.8: A base \mathcal{B} defines a unique topology, $\{\emptyset, \cup \mathcal{B}_x\}$.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.5**: $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^X$ a base for a topology on $X \Leftrightarrow$

- $X = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$
- If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, then there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) If \mathcal{B} a base, then X open so $X=\cup_B B$. If $B_1,B_2\in\mathcal{B}$, then $B_1\cap B_2$ open so there must exist some $B\subseteq B_1\cap B_2$ in \mathcal{B} .

(**⇐**) Let

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\mathcal{U} \mid \forall \, x \in \mathcal{U}, \exists \, B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } x \in B \subseteq \mathcal{U}\}.$$

One can show this a topology on X with \mathcal{B} as a base.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.17**: If $\mathcal{T}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_2$, we say \mathcal{T}_1 weaker/coarser and \mathcal{T}_2 stronger/finer.

Given a subset $S \subseteq 2^X$, define

to be the topology *generated* by S.

 $\mathcal{T}(S) = \bigcap$ all topologies containing S = unique weakest topology containing S

1.5 Topological Spaces 11

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.6: If $S \subseteq 2^X$,

$$\mathcal{T}(S) = \big \lfloor \ \big | \{ \text{finite intersections of elts of } S \}.$$

We call S a "subbase" for $\mathcal{T}(S)$ (namely, we allow finite intersections of elements in S to serve as a base for $\mathcal{T}(S)$).

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{B} := \{X, \text{finite intersections of elements of } S\}$. We claim this a base for $\mathcal{T}(S)$.

Definition 1.18 (Point of closure/accumulation point): If $E \subseteq X, x \in X$, x is called a *point* of closure if $\forall \mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{U}_x \cap E \neq \emptyset$. The collection of all such sets is called the *closure* of E, denote \overline{E} . We say E closed if $E = \overline{E}$.

\hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.7**: Let $E \subseteq X$, then

- \overline{E} closed,
- \overline{E} is the smallest closed set containing E,
- E open $\Leftrightarrow E^c$ closed.

§1.6 Separation, Countability, Separability

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.19**: A neighborhood of a set $K \subseteq X$ is any open set containing K.

- \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.20** (Notions of Separation): We say (X, \mathcal{T}) :
- Tychonoff Separable if $\forall x,y \in X, \exists \mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{U}_y$ such that $y \notin \mathcal{U}_x, x \notin \mathcal{U}_y$
- *Hausdorff Separable* if $\forall \, x,y \in X$ can be separated by two disjoint open sets i.e. $\exists \, \mathcal{U}_x \cap \mathcal{U}_y = \varnothing$
- Normal if Tychonoff and in addition any 2 disjoint closed sets can be separated by disjoint neighborhoods.

Remark 1.9: Metric space \subseteq normal space \subseteq Hausdorff space \subseteq Tychonoff space.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.8**: Tychonoff $\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \{x\} \text{ closed.}$

Proof. For every $x \in X$,

$$\begin{split} \{x\} \text{ closed} &\Leftrightarrow \{x\}^c \text{ open} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall \, y \in \{x\}^c, \exists \, \mathcal{U}_y \subseteq \{x\}^c \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall \, y \neq x, \exists \, \mathcal{U}_y \text{ s.t. } x \notin \mathcal{U}_y, \end{split}$$

and since this holds for every x, X Tychonoff.

→ Proposition 1.9: Every metric space normal.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.10**: Let X Tychonoff. Then X normal $\Leftrightarrow \forall F \subseteq X$ closed and neighborhood \mathcal{U} of F, there exists an open set \mathcal{O} such that

$$F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$$
.

This is called the "nested neighborhood property" of normal spaces.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let F closed and $\mathcal U$ a neighborhood of F. Then, F and $\mathcal U^c$ closed disjoint sets so by normality there exists $\mathcal O, \mathcal V$ disjoint open neighborhoods of $F, \mathcal U^c$ respectively. So, $\mathcal O \subseteq \mathcal V^c$ hence $\overline{\mathcal O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal V}^c$ and thus

$$F \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathcal{V}^c \subset \mathcal{U}.$$

(\Leftarrow) Let A,B be disjoint closed sets. Then, B^c open and moreover $A\subseteq B^c$. Hence, there exists some open set \mathcal{O} such that $A\subseteq \mathcal{O}\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}\subseteq B^c$, and thus $B\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}^c$. Then, \mathcal{O} and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}^c$ are disjoint open neighborhoods of A,B respectively so X normal.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.21** (Separable): A space *X* is called *separable* if it contains a countable dense subset.

- \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.22** (1st, 2nd Countable): A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is called
- 1st countable if there is a countable base at each point
- 2nd countable if there is a countable base for all of \mathcal{T} .
- **Example 1.2**: Every metric space is first countable.

→Proposition 1.11: Every 2nd countable space is separable.

Definition 1.23 (Convergence): Let $\{x_n\}$ ⊆ X. Then, we say $x_n \to x$ in \mathcal{T} if for every neighborhood \mathcal{U}_x , there exists an N such that $\forall n \geq N, x_n \in \mathcal{U}_x$.

Remark 1.10: In general spaces, such a limit may not be unique. For instance, under the trivial topology, the only nonempty neighborhood is the whole space, so every sequence converges to every point in the space.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.12**: Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be Hausdorff. Then, all limits are unique.

PROOF. Suppose otherwise, that $x_n \to \text{both } x$ and y. If $x \neq y$, then since X Hausdorff there are disjoint neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{U}_y$ containing x, y. But then x_n cannot be on both \mathcal{U}_x and \mathcal{U}_y for sufficiently large n, contradiction.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.13: Let X be 1st countable and $E \subseteq X$. Then, $x \in \overline{E} \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $\{x_j\} \subseteq E$ such that $x_j \to x$.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let $\mathcal{B}_x = \left\{B_j\right\}$ be a base for X at $x \in \overline{E}$. Wlog, $B_j \supseteq B_{j+1}$ for every $j \ge 1$ (by replacing with intersections, etc if necessary). Hence, $B_j \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every j. Let $x_j \in B_j \cap E$, then by the nesting property $x_j \to x$ in \mathcal{T} .

 (\Leftarrow) Suppose otherwise, that $x \notin \overline{E}$. Let $\{x_j\} \in E_j$. Then, \overline{E}^c open, and contains x. Then, \overline{E}^c a neighborhood of x but does not contain any x_j so $x_j \not\to x$.

§1.7 Continuity and Compactness

Definition 1.24: Let $(X, \mathcal{T}), (Y, \mathcal{S})$ be two topological spaces. Then, a function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be continuous at x_0 if for every neighborhood \mathcal{O} of $f(x_0)$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(x_0)$ such that $f(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. We say f continuous on X if it is continuous at every point in X.

→Proposition 1.14: f continuous $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{O}$ open in Y, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$ open in X.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.25** (Weak Topology): Consider $\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \{f_{\lambda}: X \to X_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ where X, X_{λ} topological spaces. Then, let

$$S := \{ f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}) \mid f_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\lambda} \in X_{\lambda} \} \subseteq X.$$

We say that the topology $\mathcal{T}(S)$ generated by S is the *weak topology* for X induced by the family \mathcal{F} .

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.15**: The weak topology is the weakest topology in which each f_{λ} continuous on X.

Example 1.3: The key example of the weak topology is given by the product topology. Consider $\{X_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a collection of topological spaces. We can defined a "natural" topology on the product $X := \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ by consider the weak topology induced by the family of projection maps, namely, if $\pi_{\lambda} : X \to X_{\lambda}$ a coordinate-wise projection and $\mathcal{F} = \{\pi_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, then we say the weak topology induced by \mathcal{F} is the *product topology* on X. In particular, a base for this topology is given, by previous discussions,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \bigcap_{j=1}^n \pi_{\lambda_j}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{O}_j \right) \right\} = \left\{ \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} : \mathcal{U}_{\lambda} \text{ open and all by finitely many } U_{\lambda'} s = X_{\lambda} \right\}.$$

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.26** (Compactness): A space *X* is said to be *compact* if every open cover of *X* admits a finite subcover.

\hookrightarrow Proposition 1.16:

- Closed subsets of compact spaces are compact
- X compact \Leftrightarrow if $\{F_k\} \subseteq X$ -nested and closed, $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \neq \emptyset$.
- Continuous images of compact sets are compact
- Continuous real-valued functions on a compact topological space achieve their min, max.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.17**: Let K compact be contained in a Hausdorff space X. Then, K closed in X.

PROOF. We show K^c open. Let $y \in K^c$. Then for every $x \in K$, there exists disjoint open sets $\mathcal{U}_{xy}, \mathcal{O}_{xy}$ containing y, x respectively. Then, it follows that $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{xy}\right\}_{x \in K}$ an open cover of K, and since K compact there must exist some finite subcover, $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{x_iy}$. Let $E := \bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathcal{U}_{x_iy}$. Then, E is an open neighborhood of y with $E \cap \mathcal{O}_{x_iy} = \emptyset$ for every i = 1, ...N. Thus, $E \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{x_iy}^c = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{x_iy}\right)^c \subseteq K^c$ so since y was arbitrary K^c open.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.27** (Sequential Compactness): We say (X, \mathcal{T}) sequentially compact if every sequence in X has a converging subsequence with limit contained in X.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 1.18**: Let (X, \mathcal{T}) second countable. Then, X compact \Leftrightarrow sequentially compact.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) Let $\{x_k\}\subseteq X$ and put $F_n:=\overline{\{x_k\mid k\geq n\}}$. Then, $\{F_n\}$ defines a sequence of closed and nested subsets of X and, since X compact, $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F_n$ nonempty. Let x_0 in this intersection. Since X 2nd and so in particular 1st countable, let $\{B_j\}$ a (wlog nested) countable base at x_0 . $x_0\in F_n$ for every $n\geq 1$ so each B_j must intersect some

 F_n . Let n_j be an index such that $x_{n_j} \in B_j$. Then, if $\mathcal U$ a neighborhood of x_0 , there exists some N such that $B_j \subseteq \mathcal U$ for every $j \geq N$ and thus $\left\{x_{n_j}\right\} \subseteq B_N \subseteq \mathcal U$, so $x_{n_j} \to x_0$ in X.

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Remark that since } X \text{ second countable, every open cover of } X \text{ certainly has a countable subcover by intersecting a given cover with our countable basis. So, assume we have a countable cover <math>X \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{O}_n$ and suppose towards a contradiction that no finite subcover exists. Then, for every $n \geq 1$, there exists some $m(n) \geq n$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{m(n)} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_i \neq \varnothing$. Let x_n in this set for every $n \geq 1$. Since X sequentially compact, there exists a convergent subsequence $\left\{x_{n_k}\right\} \subseteq \left\{x_n\right\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \to x_0$ in X, so there exists some \mathcal{O}_N such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_N$. But by construction, $x_{n_k} \notin \mathcal{O}_N$ if $n_k \geq N$, and we have a contradiction.

\hookrightarrow **Theorem 1.8**: If *X* compact and Hausdorff, *X* normal.

PROOF. We show that any closed set F and any point $x \notin F$ can be separated by disjoint open sets. Then, the proof in the more general case follows.

For each $y \in X$, X is Hausdorff so there exists disjoint open neighborhoods \mathcal{O}_{xy} and \mathcal{U}_{xy} of x,y respectively. Then, $\left\{\mathcal{U}_{xy} \mid y \in F\right\}$ defines an open cover of F. Since F closed and thus, being a subset of a compact space, compact, there exists a finite subcover $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{U}_{xy_i}$. Put $\mathcal{N} := \bigcap_{i=1}^N \mathcal{O}_{xy_i}$. This is an open set containing x, with $\mathcal{N} \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{U}_{xy_i} = \varnothing$ hence F and x separated by $\mathcal{N}, \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{U}_{xy_i}$.

§1.8 Connected Topological Spaces

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.28** (Separate): 2 non-empty sets $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ separate X if $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ disjoint and $X = \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2$.

 \rightarrow **Definition 1.29** (Connected): We say *X* connected if it cannot be separated.

Remark 1.11: Note that if X can be separated, then $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ are closed as well as open, being complements of each other.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.19: Let $f: X \to Y$ continuous. Then, if X connected, so is f(X).

PROOF. Suppose otherwise, that $f(X) = \mathcal{O}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{O}_2$ for nonempty, open, disjoint $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$. Then, $X = f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1) \sqcup f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_2)$, and each of these inverse images remain nonempty and open in X, so this a contradiction to the connectedness of X.

Remark 1.12: On \mathbb{R} , $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ connected \Leftrightarrow an interval \Leftrightarrow convex.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.30** (Intermediate Value Property): We say X has the intermediate value property (IVP) if $\forall f \in C(X)$, f(X) an interval.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.20: *X* has IVP \Leftrightarrow *X* connected.

PROOF. (\Leftarrow) If X connected, f(X) connected in \mathbb{R} hence an interval.

 $(\Rightarrow) \text{ Suppose otherwise, that } X = \mathcal{O}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{O}_2. \text{ Then define the function } f: X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by } x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{O}_2 \\ 0 \text{ if } x \in \mathcal{O}_1 \end{cases}. \text{ Then, for every } A \subseteq \mathbb{R},$

$$f^{-1}(A) = \begin{cases} \varnothing & \text{if } \{0,1\} \not\subseteq A \\ \mathcal{O}_1 & \text{if } 0 \in A \\ \mathcal{O}_2 & \text{if } 1 \in A \\ X & \text{if } \{0,1\} \subseteq A \end{cases},$$

which are all open sets, hence f continuous. But $f(X) = \{0,1\}$ which is not an interval, hence the IVP fails and so X must be connected.

Definition 1.31 (Arcwise/Path Connected): *X arc connected/path connected* if $\forall x, y \in X$, there exists a continuous function $f : [0,1] \rightarrow X$ such that f(0) = x, f(1) = y.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.21: Arc connected \Rightarrow connected.

PROOF. Suppose otherwise, $X=\mathcal{O}_1\sqcup\mathcal{O}_2$. Let $x\in\mathcal{O}_1,y\in\mathcal{O}_2$ and define a continuous function $f:[0,1]\to X$ such that f(0)=x and f(1)=y. Then, $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ each open, nonempty and disjoint for i=1,2, but

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_1) \sqcup f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_2) = [0,1],$$

a contradiction to the connectedness of [0, 1].

§1.9 Urysohn's Lemma and Urysohn's Metrization Theorem

We present the main lemma of this section first, but need more tools before proving it.

→Lemma 1.2 (Urysohn's): Let $A, B \subseteq X$ closed and disjoint subsets of a normal space X. Then, $\forall [a,b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, there exists a continuous function $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(X) \subseteq [a,b]$, $f|_A = a$ and $f|_B = b$.

Remark 1.13: We have a partial converse of this statement as well:

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.22: Let X Tychonoff and suppose X satisfies the properties of Urysohn's Lemma. Then, X normal.

PROOF. Let A,B be closed nonempty disjoint subsets. Let $f:X\to\mathbb{R}$ continuous such that $f|_A=0$, $f|_B=1$ and $0\leq f\leq 1$. Let I_1,I_2 be two disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} with $0\in I_1$ and $1\in I_2$. Then, $f^{-1}(I_1)$ open and contains A, and $f^{-1}(I_2)$ open and contains B. Moreover, $f^{-1}(I_1)\cap f^{-1}(I_2)=\varnothing$; hence, $f^{-1}(I_1),f^{-1}(I_2)$ disjoint open neighborhoods of A,B respectively, so indeed X normal.

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.32** (Normally Ascending): Let (X,\mathcal{T}) a topological space and $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. A collection of open sets $\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is said to be *normally ascending* if $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$,

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda_1}}\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\lambda_2} \text{ if } \lambda_1<\lambda_2.$$

Lemma 1.3: Let $\Lambda \subseteq (a,b)$ a dense subset, and let $\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a normally ascending collection of subsets of *X*. Let *f* : *X* → \mathbb{R} defined such that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} b & \text{if } x \in \left(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\right)^{c} \\ \inf\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid x \in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\} \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

Then, *f* continuous.

PROOF. We claim $f^{-1}(-\infty,c)$ and $f^{-1}(c,\infty)$ open for every $c\in\mathbb{R}$. Since such sets define a subbase for \mathbb{R} , it suffices to prove continuity on these sets. We show just the first for convenience. Notice that since $f(x)\in[a,b]$, if $c\in(a,b)$ then $f^{-1}(-\infty,c)=f^{-1}[a,c)$, so really it suffices to show that $f^{-1}[a,c)$ open to complete the proof.

Suppose $x \in f^{-1}([a,c])$ so $a \leq f(x) < c$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ be such that $a < \lambda < f(x)$. Then, $x \notin \mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$. Let also $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ such that $f(x) < \lambda' < c$. By density of Λ , there exists a $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(x) + \varepsilon \in \Lambda$, so in particular

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{f(x)+\varepsilon}\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\lambda'}\Rightarrow x\in \mathcal{O}_{\lambda'},$$

by nesting. So, repeating this procedure, we find

$$f^{-1}([a,c)) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \le \lambda < \lambda' < c} \mathcal{O}_{\lambda'} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda},$$

noticing the set on the right is open. By similar reasoning, the opposite inclusion holds and we have equality. Hence, f continuous.

Lemma 1.4: Let *X* normal, $F \subseteq X$ closed, and \mathcal{U} a neighborhood of *F*. Then, for any $(a,b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, there exists a dense subset $\Lambda \subseteq (a,b)$ and a normally ascending collection $\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that

$$F\subseteq\mathcal{O}_\lambda\subseteq\overline{\mathcal{O}}_\lambda\subseteq\mathcal{U},\qquad\forall\,\lambda\in\Lambda.$$

Remark 1.14: This is essentially a generalization of the nested neighborhood property, and indeed the proof essentially just uses this property repeatedly to construct the collection $\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\}$.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we assume (a,b)=(0,1), for the two intervals are homeomorphic, i.e. the function $f:(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$, f(x):=a(1-x)+bx is continuous, invertible with continuous inverse and with f(0)=a, f(1)=b so a homeomorphism.

Let

$$\Lambda \coloneqq \left\{\frac{m}{2^n} \mid m,n \in \mathbb{N} \mid 1 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}\right\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{\left\{\frac{m}{2^n} \mid m \in \mathbb{N}1 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}\right\}}_{=:\Lambda_n},$$

which is clearly dense in (0,1). We need now to define our normally ascending collection. We do so by defining on each Λ_1 and proceding inductively.

For Λ_1 , since X normal, let $\mathcal{O}_{1/2}$ be such that $F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{1/2} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{1/2} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, which exists by the nested neighborhood property.

For $\Lambda_2=\left\{\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4}\right\}$, we use the nested neighborhood property again, but first with F as the closed set and $\mathcal{O}_{1/2}$ an open neighborhood of it, and then with $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{1/2}$ as the closed set and \mathcal{U} an open neighborhood of it. In this way, we find

$$\underbrace{F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{1/4} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{1/4} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{1/2}}_{\text{nested nbhd}} \subseteq \underbrace{\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{1/2} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{3/4} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{3/4} \subseteq \mathcal{U}}_{\text{nested nbhd}}.$$

We repeat in this manner over all of Λ , in the end defining a normally ascending collection $\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$.

PROOF (Of Urysohn's Lemma, Lem. 1.2). Let F=A and $\mathcal{U}=B^c$ as in the previous lemma Lem. 1.4. Then, there is some dense subset $\Lambda\subseteq(a,b)$ and a normally ascending collection $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ such that $A\subseteq\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\subseteq\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\lambda}\subseteq B^c$ for every $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Let f(x) as in the previous previous lemma, Lem. 1.3. Then, if $x\in B$, $B\subseteq\left(\bigcup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}\right)^c$ and so f(x)=b. Otherwise if $x\in A$, then $x\in\bigcap_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{O}_{\lambda}$ and thus $f(x)=\inf\{\lambda\in\Lambda\}=a$. By the first lemma, f continuous, so we are done.

 \hookrightarrow Theorem 1.9 (Urysohn's Metrization Theorem): Let X be a second countable topological space. Then, X is metrizable (that is, there exists a metric on X that induces the topology) if and only if X normal.

PROOF. (\Rightarrow) We have already showed, every metric space is normal.

 (\Leftarrow) Let $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$ be a countable basis for \mathcal{T} and put

$$A\coloneqq \big\{(n,m)\in \mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\ |\ \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n\subseteq \mathcal{U}_m\big\}.$$

By Urysohn's lemma, for each $(n,m)\in A$ there is some continuous function $f_{n,m}:X\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{n,m}$ is 1 on \mathcal{U}_m^c and 0 on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_n$ (these are disjoint closed sets). For $x,y\in X$, define

$$\rho(x,y) \coloneqq \sum_{(n,m) \in A} \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} \ |f_{n,m}(x) - f_{n,m}(y)|.$$

The absolute valued term is ≤ 2 , so this function will always be finite. Moreover, one can verify that it is indeed a metric on X. It remains to show that it induces the same topology; it suffices to compare bases of the two.

Let $x\in\mathcal{U}_m$. We wish to show there exists $B_\rho(x,\varepsilon)\subseteq\mathcal{U}_m$. $\{x\}$ is closed in X being normal, so there exists some n such that

$$\{x\}\subseteq \mathcal{U}_n\subseteq \overline{\mathcal{U}}_n\subseteq \mathcal{U}_m,$$

so $(n,m)\in A$ and so $f_{n,m}(x)=0.$ Let $arepsilon=rac{1}{2^{n+m}}.$ Then, if ho(x,y)<arepsilon, it must be

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} &> \sum_{(n',m') \in A} \frac{1}{2^{n'+m'}} \ |f_{n',m'}(x) - f_{n',m'}(y)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} \ |\underbrace{f_{n,m}(x)}_{=0} - f_{n,m}(y)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n+m}} \ |f_{n,m}(y)|, \end{split}$$

so $|f_{n,m}(y)| < 1$ and thus $y \notin \mathcal{U}_m^c$ so $y \in \mathcal{U}_m$. It follow that $B_\rho(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_m$, and so every open set in X is open with respect to the metric topology.

Conversely, if $B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon)$ some open ball in the metric topology, then notice that $y\mapsto \rho(x,y)$ for fixed y a continuous function, and thus $(\rho(x,\cdot))^{-1}(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$ an open set in $\mathcal T$ containing x. But this set also just equal to $B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon)$, hence $B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon)$ open in $\mathcal T$. We conclude the two topologies are equal, completing the proof.

Remark 1.15: Recall metric \Rightarrow first countable hence not first countable \Rightarrow not metrizable.

§1.10 Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

We need to use the following theorem, which we'll prove later.

→Theorem 1.10 (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem): Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial p(x) such that $||f - p||_{\infty} < \varepsilon$.

Definition 1.33 (Algebra, Separation of Points): We call a subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ an *algebra* if it is a linear subspace that is closed under multiplication (that is, $f, g \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow f \cdot g \in \mathcal{A}$).

We say \mathcal{A} separates points in X if for every $x, y \in X$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

→Theorem 1.11 (Stone-Weierstrass): Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ an algebra that separates points and contains constant functions. Then, \mathcal{A} dense in C(X).

We tacitly assume the conditions of the theorem in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1.5: For every $F \subseteq X$ closed, and every $x_0 \in F^c$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(x_0)$ such that $F \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there is some $h \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h < \varepsilon$ on \mathcal{U} , $h > 1 - \varepsilon$ on F, and $0 \le h \le 1$ on X.

In particular, \mathcal{U} is *independent* of choice of ε .

Proof.

Lemma 1.6: For every disjoint closed set *A*, *B* and ε > 0, there exists *h* ∈ \mathcal{A} such that $h|_A$ < ε , $h|_B$ > 1 − ε , and 0 ≤ h ≤ 1 on X.

Proof. (Of Stone-Weierstrass) WLOG, assume $f \in C(X)$, $0 \le f \le 1$, by replacing with

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{f(x) + ||f||_{\infty}}{||f + ||f||_{\infty}||_{\infty}}$$

if necessary, since if there exists a $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|\tilde{f} - \tilde{g}\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$, then using the properties of \mathcal{A} we can find some appropriate $g \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\|f - g\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the set $\left\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, ..., \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right\}$, and let for $1 \le j \le n$

$$A_j \coloneqq \bigg\{ x \in X \mid f(x) \leq \frac{j-1}{n} \bigg\}, \qquad B_j \coloneqq \bigg\{ x \in X \mid f(x) \geq \frac{j}{n} \bigg\},$$

which are both closed and disjoint. By the lemma, there exists $g_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$|g_j|_{A_j} < \frac{1}{n}, \qquad g_j|_{B_j} > 1 - \frac{1}{n},$$

21

1.10 Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

with $0 \le g_i \le 1$. Let then

$$g(x)\coloneqq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x)\in \mathcal{A}.$$

We claim then $||f - g||_{\infty} \leq \frac{3}{n}$, which proves the claim by taking n sufficiently large.

Suppose $k \in [1, n]$. If $f(x) \leq \frac{k}{n}$, then

$$g_j(x) = \begin{cases} <\frac{1}{n} \text{ if } j-1 \ge k\\ \le 1 \text{ else} \end{cases},$$

so

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(x) = \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{j=1}^k g_j(x) + \sum_{j=k+1}^n g_j(x) \right] \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[k + \frac{n-k}{n} \right] \leq \frac{k}{n} + \frac{n-k}{n^2} \leq \frac{k+1}{n}.$$

Similarly if $f(x) \ge \frac{k-1}{n}$, then

$$g_j(x) = \begin{cases} > 1 - \frac{1}{n} \text{ if } j \leq k - 1, \\ \geq 0 \quad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

so

$$g(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{n} (k-1) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{k-1}{n} - \frac{k-1}{n^2} \geq \frac{k-2}{n}.$$

So, we've show that if $\frac{k-1}{n} \le f(x) \le \frac{k}{n}$, then $\frac{k-2}{n} \le g(x) \le \frac{k+1}{n}$, and so repeating this argument and applying triangle inequality we conclude $\|f-g\|_{\infty} \le \frac{3}{n}$.

 \hookrightarrow **Theorem 1.12** (Borsuk): X compact, Hausdorff and C(X) separable $\Leftrightarrow X$ is metrizable.

§2 Functional Analysis

Here, we will primarily work with a normed vector space (nvs). Moreover, we usually work in:

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 2.1** (Banach Space): A normed vector space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a *Banach space* if it is complete as a metric space under the norm-induced metric.

§2.1 Introduction to Linear Operators

Definition 2.2 (Linear Operator, Operator Norm): Let *X*, *Y* be vector spaces. Then, a map $T: X \to Y$ is called *linear* if $\forall x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha T(x) + \beta T(y)$.

If X, Y normed vector spaces, we say T is a bounded linear operator if T linear and the *operator norm*

$$\|T\| = \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X, \\ \|x\|_{Y} < 1}} \|Tx\|_{Y} < \infty$$

is finite. Then, we put

$$\mathcal{L}(X,Y) := \{ \text{bounded linear operators } X \to Y \}.$$

→Theorem 2.1 (Bounded iff Continuous): If X, Y are nvs, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ iff and only if T is continuous, i.e. if $x_n \to x$ in X, then $Tx_n \to Tx$ in Y.

PROOF. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$,

$$\begin{split} \|Tx_n - Tx\|_Y &= \|T(x_n - x)\|_Y \\ &= \|x_n - x\|_X \cdot \|\frac{T(x_n - x)}{\|x_n - x\|_X}\|_Y \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|T\|}_{\leq \infty} \|x_n - x\|_X \to 0, \end{split}$$

hence T continuous. Conversely, if T continuous, then by linearity T0=0, so by continuity, there is some $\delta>0$ such that $\|Tx\|_Y<1$ if $\|x\|_X<\delta$. For $x\in X$ nonzero, let $\lambda=\frac{\delta}{\|x\|_X}$. Then, $\|\lambda x\|_X\leq\delta$ so $\|T(\lambda x)\|_Y<1$, i.e. $\frac{\|T(x)\|_Y\delta}{\|x\|_X}<1$. Hence,

$$||T|| = \sup_{x \in X: x \neq 0} \frac{||T(x)||_Y}{||x||_X} \le \frac{1}{\delta},$$

so $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

 \hookrightarrow **Proposition 2.1** (Properties of $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$): If X,Y nvs, $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ a nvs, and if X,Y Banach, then so is $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$.

PROOF. (a) For $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \in X$, then

$$\begin{split} \|(\alpha T + \beta S)(x)\|_{Y} &\leq |\alpha| \ \|Tx\|_{Y} + |\beta| \ \|Sx\|_{Y} \\ &\leq |\alpha| \ \|T\| \ \|x\|_{X} + |\beta| \ \|T\| \ \|x\|_{X}. \end{split}$$

Dividing both sides by ||x||, we find $||\alpha T + \beta S|| < \infty$. The same argument gives the triangle inequality on $||\cdot||$. Finally, T = 0 iff $||Tx||_Y = 0$ for every $x \in X$ iff ||T|| = 0.

(b) Let $\{T_n\}\subseteq \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be a Cauchy sequence. We have that

$$\|T_nx-T_mx\|_Y \leq \|T_n-T_m\|\ \|x\|_X,$$

so in particular the sequence $\{T_n(x)\}$ a Cauchy sequence in Y for any $x \in X$. Y complete so this sequence converges, say $T_n(x) \to y^*$ in Y. Let $T(x) := y^*$ for each x. We claim that $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ and that $T_n \to T$ in the operator norm. We check:

$$\begin{split} \alpha T(x_1) + \beta T(x_2) &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha T_n(x_1) + \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta T_n(x_2) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} [T_n(\alpha x_1) + T_n(\beta x_2)] \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2) \\ &= T(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2), \end{split}$$

so T linear.

Let now $\varepsilon > 0$ and N such that for every $n \ge N$ and $k \ge 1$ such that $\|T_n - T_{n+k}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \|T_n(x) - T_{n+k}(x)\|_Y &= \left\| \left(T_n - T_{n+k}\right)(x) \right\|_Y \\ &\leq \left\|T_n - T_{n+k}\right\| \left\|x\right\|_X \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|_X. \end{split}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we find that

$$\|T_n(x)-T(x)\|_Y<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\ \|x\|_X,$$

so normalizing both sides by $||x||_X$, we find $||T_n - T|| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and we have convergence.

Definition 2.3 (Isomorphism): We say $T \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ an *isomorphism* if T is bijective and $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y,X)$. In this case we write $X \simeq Y$, and say X,Y isomorphic.

§2.2 Finite versus Infinite Dimensional

If X a nvs, then we can look for a basis β such that $\operatorname{span}(\beta) = X$. If $\beta = \{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ has no proper subset spanning X, then we say $\dim(X) = n$.

As we saw on homework, any two norms on a finite dimensional space are equivalent.

- →Corollary 2.1: (a) Any two nvs of the same finite dimension are isomorphic.
- (b) Any finite dimensional space is complete, and so any finite dimensional subspace is closed.
 - (c) $\overline{B}(0,1)$ is compact in a finite dimensional space.

PROOF. (a) Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ have finite dimension n. Then, we claim $(X, \|\cdot\|) \simeq (\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$. Let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be a basis for X. Let $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to X$ given by

$$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i,$$

where $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which is clearly linear. Moreover,

$$Tx = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0,$$

so T injective, and so being linear between two spaces of the same dimension gives T surjective. It remains to check boundedness.

First, we claim $x\mapsto \|T(x)\|$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n . $\|T(x)\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0$ by the injectivity of T, and the properties $\|T(\lambda x)\|=|\lambda|\ \|Tx\|$ and $\|T(x+y)\|\leq \|Tx\|+\|Ty\|$ follow from linearity of T and the fact that $\|\cdot\|$ already a norm. Hence, $\|T(\cdot)\|$ a norm on \mathbb{R}^n and so equivalent to $|\cdot|$, i.e. there exists constants $C_1,C_2>0$ such that

$$|C_1|x| \le ||T(x)|| \le C_2|x|,$$

for every $x \in X$. It follows that ||T|| (operator norm now) is bounded.

Letting T(x) = y, we find similarly

$$|C_{1'}||y|| \le |T^{-1}(y)| \le C_{2'}||y||,$$

so $||T^{-1}||$ also bounded. Hence, we've shown any n-dimensional space is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , so by transitivity of isomorphism any two n-dimensional spaces are isomorphic.

- (b) The property of completeness is preserved under isomorphism, so this follows from the previous statement since \mathbb{R}^n complete.
- (c) Consider $\overline{B}(0,1)\subseteq X$. Let T be an isomorphism $X\to\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for $x\in\overline{B}(0,1)$, $\|Tx\|\leq \|T\|<\infty$, so $T\left(\overline{B}(0,1)\right)$ is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n , and since T and its inverse continuous, $T\left(\overline{B}(0,1)\right)$ closed in \mathbb{R}^n . Hence, $T\left(\overline{B}(0,1)\right)$ closed and bounded hence compact in \mathbb{R}^n , so since T^{-1} continuous $T^{-1}\left(T\left(\overline{B}(0,1)\right)\right)=\overline{B}(0,1)$ also compact, in X.