MATH457 - Algebra 4 Representation Theory; Galois Theory

Based on lectures from Winter 2025 by Prof. Henri Darmon. Notes by Louis Meunier

Contents

1 Representation Theory	2
1.1 Introduction	2

§1 Representation Theory

§1.1 Introduction

Definition 1.1 (Linear Representation): A *linear representation* of a group *G* is a vector space *V* over a field \mathbb{F} equipped with a map $G \times V \to V$ that makes *V* a *G*-set in such a way that for each $g \in G$, the map $v \mapsto gv$ is a linear homomorphism of *V*.

This induces a homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V),$$

or, in particular, when $n = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} V < \infty$, a homomorphism

$$\rho: G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}).$$

Alternatively, a linear representation V can be viewed as a module over the group ring $\mathbb{F}[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} : \lambda_g g : \lambda_g \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \text{ (where we require all but finitely many scalars } \lambda_g \text{ to be zero)}.$

 \hookrightarrow **Definition 1.2** (Irreducible Representation): A linear representation *V* of a group *G* is called *irreducible* if there exists no proper, nontrivial *subspace W* \subseteq *V* such that *W* is *G*-stable.

⊗ Example 1.1:

1. Consider $G = \mathbb{Z}/2 = \{1, \tau\}$. If V a linear representation of G and $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$. Then, V uniquely determined by $\rho(\tau)$. Let p(x) be the minimal polynomial of $\rho(\tau)$. Then, $p(x) \mid x^2 - 1$. Suppose \mathbb{F} is a field in which $2 \neq 0$. Then, $p(x) \mid (x - 1)(x + 1)$ and so p(x) has either 1, -1, or both as eigenvalues and thus we may write

$$V = V_+ \oplus V_-$$

where $V_{\pm} := \{v \mid \tau v = \pm v\}$. Hence, V is irreducible only if one of V_+, V_- all of V and the other is trivial, or in other words τ acts only as multiplication by 1 or -1.

2. Let $G = \{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ be a finite abelian group, and suppose \mathbb{F} an algebraically closed field of characeristic 0 (such as \mathbb{C}). Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ and denote $T_j := \rho(g_j)$ for j = 1, ..., N. Then, $\{T_1, ..., T_N\}$ is a set of mutually commuting linear transformations. Then, there exists a simultaneous eigenvector, say v, for $\{T_1, ..., T_N\}$, and so span (v) a G-stable subspace of V. Thus, if V irreducible, it must be that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} V = 1$.

PROOF. Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$, label $G = \{g_1, ..., g_N\}$ and put $T_j := \rho(g_j)$ for j = 1, ..., N. Then, $\{T_1, ..., T_N\}$ a family of mutually commuting linear transformations on V. Then,

1.1 Introduction 2

there is a simultaneous eigenvector v for $\{T_1, ..., T_N\}$ and thus span(v) is $T_1, ..., T_N$ -stable and so V = span(v).

Lemma 1.1: Let *V* be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} and let $T_1, ..., T_N : V \to V$ be a family of mutually commuting linear automorphisms on *V*. Then, there is a simultaneous eigenvector for $T_1, ..., T_N$.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.1: Let \mathbb{F} a field where 2 ≠ 0 and V an irreducible representation of S_3 . Then, there are three distinct (i.e., up to homomorphism) possibilities for V.

PROOF. Let $\rho: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ and let $T = \rho((23))$. Then, notice that $p_T(x) \mid (x^2 - 1)$ so T has eigenvalues in $\{-1, 1\}$.

If the only eigenvalue of T is -1, we claim that V one-dimensional.

If *T* has 1 as an eigenvalue.

 \hookrightarrow Proposition 1.2: D_8 has a unique faithful irreducible representation, of dimension 2 over a field F in which 0 ≠ 2.

PROOF. Write $G=D_8=\left\{1,r,r^2,r^3,v,h,d_1,d_2\right\}$ as standard. Let ρ be our irreducible, faithful representation and let $T=\rho(r^2)$. Then, $p_T(x)\mid x^2-1=(x-1)(x+1)$ and so $V=V_+\oplus V_-$, the respective eigenspaces for $\lambda=+1,-1$ respectively for T. Then, notice that since r^2 in the center of G, both V_+ and V_- are preserved by the action of G, hence one must be trivial and the other the entirety of V. V can't equal V_+ , else T=I on all of V hence ρ not faithful so $V=V_-$.

Next, it must be that $\rho(h)$ has both eigenvalues 1 and -1. Let $v_1 \in V$ be such that $hv_1 = v_1$ and $v_2 = rv_1$. We claim that $W \coloneqq \operatorname{span} \{v_1, v_2\}$, namely V = W 2-dimensional.

We simply check each element. $rv_1 = v_2$ and $rv_2 = r^2v_1 = -v_1$ which are both in W hence r and thus $\langle r \rangle$ fixes W. Next, $hv_1 = v_1$ and $vv_2 = vrv_1 = rhv_1 = rv_1 = v_2$ (since $rhr^{-1} = v$) and so $hv_2 = -v_2$ and $vv_1 = -v_1$ and so W G-stable. Finally, d_1 and d_2 are just products of these elements and so W G-stable.

→Theorem 1.2 (Maschke's): Any representation of a finite group G over \mathbb{C} can be written as a direct sum of irreducible representations, i.e.

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$$

where V_i irreducible.

1.1 Introduction 3

Remark 1.1: $|G| < \infty$ essential. For instance, consider $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and 2-dimensional representation given by $n \mapsto \binom{1}{0} \binom{n}{1}$. Then, $n \cdot e_1 = e_1$ and $n \cdot e_2 = ne_1 + e_2$. We have that $\mathbb{C}e_1$ irreducible then. But if $v = ae_1 + e_2 \in W := V \setminus \mathbb{C}e_1$, then $Gv = (a+1)e_1 + e_2$ so $Gv - v = e_1 \in W$, contradiction.

Remark 1.2: $|\mathbb{C}|$ essential. Suppose $F = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $V = Fe_1 \oplus Fe_2 \oplus Fe_3$, and $G = S_3$ acts on V by permuting the basis vectors e_i . Then notice that $F(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$ an irreducible subspace in V. Let W = F(w) with $w := ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$ be any other G-stable subspace. Then, by applying (123) repeatedly to w and adding the result, we find that $(a + b + c)(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \in W$. Similarly, by applying (12), (23), (13) to w, we find $(a - b)(e_1 - e_2)$, $(b - c)(e_2 - e_3)$, $(a - c)(e_1 - e_3)$ all in W. It must be that at least one of a - b, a - c, b - c nonzero, else we'd have $w \in F(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$. Assume wlog $a - b \neq 0$. Then, we may apply $(a - b)^{-1}$ and find $e_1 - e_2 \in W$. By applying (23), (13) to this vector and scaling, we find further $e_2 - e_3$ and $e_1 - e_3 \in W$. But then,

$$2(e_1 - e_2) + 2(e_1 - e_3) = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \in W,$$

so $F(e_1 + e_2 + e_3)$ a subspace of W, a contradiction.

Proposition 1.3: Let *V* be a representation of |G| < ∞ over \mathbb{C} and let $W \subseteq V$ a sub-representation. Then, *W* has a *G*-stable complement W', such that $V = W \oplus W'$.

Proof. Denote by ρ the homomorphism induced by the representation. Let $W_{0'}$ be any complementary subspace of W and let

$$\pi: V \to W$$

be a projection onto W along $W_{0'}$, i.e. $\pi^2 = \pi$, $\pi(V) = W$, and $\ker(\pi) = W_{0'}$. Let us "replace" π by the "average"

$$\tilde{\pi} \coloneqq \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) \pi \rho(g)^{-1}.$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) $\tilde{\pi}$ *G*-equivariant, that is $\tilde{\pi}(gv) = g\tilde{\pi}(v)$ for every $g \in G, v \in V$.
- (2) $\tilde{\pi}$ a projection onto W.

Let $W' = \ker(\tilde{\pi})$. Then, W' *G*-stable, and $V = W \oplus W'$.