$\begin{tabular}{ll} MATH251-Algebra~2\\ {\it Vector spaces, linear (in) dependence, span, bases; linear transformations, kernel, image, isomorphisms, nilpotent operators.} \end{tabular}$ 

Based on lectures from Winter, 2024 by Prof. Anush Tserunyan Notes by Louis Meunier

# **Contents**

1	Intr	roduction	2
	1.1	Vector Spaces	2
	1.2	Creating Spaces from Other Spaces	4
	1.3	Linear Combinations and Span	8
	1.4	Linear Dependence and Span	11
2	Line	ear Transformations	18
	2.1	Definitions	18
	2.2	Isomorphisms, Kernel, Image	20
	2.3	The Space $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$	25
	2.4	Matrix Representation of Linear Transformations, Finite Fields	26
	2.5	Matrix Representation of Linear Transformations, General Spaces	29
	2.6	Composition of Linear Transformations, Matrix Multiplication	31
	2.7	Inverses of Transformations and Matrices	32
	2.8	Invariant Subspaces and Nilpotent Transformations	34
	2.9	Dual Spaces	37

# 1 Introduction

**Remark 1.1.** This course is about vector spaces and linear transformations between them; a vector space involves multiplication by scalars, where the scalars come from some field. We recall first examples of fields, then vector spaces, as a motivation, before presenting a formal definition.

# 1.1 Vector Spaces

Remark 1.2. Much of this is recall from Algebra 1.

### **\* Example 1.1: Examples of Fields**

- 1.  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; the field of rational numbers.
- 2.  $\mathbb{R}$ ; the field of real numbers;  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .
- 3.  $\mathbb{C}$ ; the field of complex numbers;  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .
- 4.  $\mathbb{F}_p \equiv \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \equiv \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}; the(unique) field of pelements, where pprime.^a$ 
  - (a) p = 2;  $\mathbb{F}_2 \equiv \{0, 1\}$ .
  - (b) p = 3;  $\mathbb{F}_3 \equiv \{0, 1, 2\}$ .
  - (c) · · ·

 $\overline{a}$  where  $a+_pb:=$  remainder of  $\frac{a+b}{p},$   $a\cdot_pb:=$  remainder of  $\frac{a\cdot b}{p}.$ 

**Remark 1.3.** Throughout the course, we will denote an abstract field as  $\mathbb{F}$ .

### **® Example 1.2: Examples of Vector Spaces**

- 1.  $\mathbb{R}^3:=\{(x,y,z):x,y,z\in\mathbb{R}\}$ . We can add elements in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and multiply them by real scalars.
- 2.  $\mathbb{F}^n := \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \times \cdots \mathbb{F}}_{n \text{ times}} := \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}, \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{N}^1; \text{ this is a generalization of the previous example, where we took } n = 3, \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}. \text{ Operations follow identically; addition:}$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) + (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) := (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

and, taking a scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , multiplication:

$$\lambda \cdot (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) := (\lambda \cdot a_1, \lambda \cdot a_2, \dots, \lambda \cdot a_n).$$

We refer to these elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  as *vectors* in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ ; the vector for which  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$  is the 0 *vector*, and is the additive identity, making  $\mathbb{F}^n$  an abelian group under addition, that admits multiplication by scalars from  $\mathbb{F}$ .

- 3.  $C(\mathbb{R}) := \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ continuous}\}$ . Here, we have the constant zero function as our additive identity  $(x \mapsto 0 \forall x)$ , and addition/scalar multiplication of two continuous real functions are continuous.
- 4.  $\mathbb{F}[t] := \{a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots + a_nt^n : a_i \in \mathbb{F} \forall i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , ie, the set of all polynomials in t with coefficients from  $\mathbb{F}$ . Here, we can add two polynomials;

$$(a_0 + a_1t + \dots + a_nt^n) + (b_0 + b_1t + \dots + b_mt^m) := \sum_{i=0}^{\max\{n,m\}} (a_i + b_i)t^i,$$

(where we "take" undefined  $a_i/b_i$ 's as 0; that is, if m>n, then  $a_{m-n},a_{m-n+1},\ldots,a_m$  are taken to be 0). Scalar multiplication is defined

$$\lambda \cdot (a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \dots + a_nt^n) := \lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1t + \lambda a_2t^2 + \dots + \lambda a_nt^n.$$

Here, the zero polynomial is simply 0 (that is,  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Where we take  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , for sake of consistency. Moreover, by convention, we define  $\mathbb{F}^0$  (that is, when n = 0) to be  $\{0\}$ ; the trivial vector space.

# $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition** 1.1: Vector Space

A vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  is an abelian group with an operation denoted + (or  $+_V$ ) and identity element<sup>2</sup>denoted  $0_V$ , equipped with scalar multiplication for each scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying the following axioms:

- 1.  $1 \cdot v = v$  for  $1 \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ .
- 2.  $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot v) = (\alpha \cdot \beta)v, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V.$
- 3.  $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot v = \alpha \cdot v + \beta \cdot v, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V.$
- 4.  $\alpha \cdot (u+v) = \alpha \cdot u + \alpha \cdot v, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, u, v \in V.$

We refer to elements  $v \in V$  as vectors.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.1

For a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , the following holds:

- 1.  $0 \cdot v = 0_V, \forall v \in V \text{ (where } 0 := 0_{\mathbb{F}}\text{)}$
- 2.  $-1 \cdot v = -v, \forall v \in V \text{ (where } 1 := 1_{\mathbb{F}})^3$
- 3.  $\alpha \cdot 0_V = 0_V, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$

*Proof.* 1.  $0 \cdot v = (0+0) \cdot v = 0 \cdot v + 0 \cdot v \implies 0 \cdot v = 0_V$  (by "cancelling" one of the  $0 \cdot v$  terms on each side).

- 2.  $v + (-1 \cdot v) = (1 \cdot v + (-1) \cdot v) = (1 1) \cdot v = 0 \cdot v = 0_V \implies (-1 \cdot v) = -v$ .
- 3.  $\alpha \cdot 0_V = \alpha \cdot (0_V + 0_V) = \alpha \cdot 0_V + \alpha \cdot 0_V \implies \alpha \cdot 0_V = 0_V$  (by, again, cancelling a term on each side).

← Lecture 01; Last Updated: Wed Jan 10 14:16:29 EST 2024

# 1.2 Creating Spaces from Other Spaces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The "zero vector".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>NB: "additive inverse"

# → **Definition** 1.2: Product/Direct Sum of Vector Spaces

For vector spaces U, V over the same field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define their *product* (or *direct sum*) as the set

$$U \times V = \{(u, v) : u \in U, v \in V\},\$$

with the operations:

$$(u_1, v_1) + (u_2, v_2) := (u_1 + u_2, v_1 + v_2)$$
  
 $\lambda \cdot (u, v) := (\lambda \cdot u, \lambda \cdot v)$ 

### $\circledast$ Example 1.3: $\mathbb{F}$

 $\mathbb{F}^2=\mathbb{F} imes\mathbb{F},$  where  $\mathbb{F}$  is considered as the vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  (itself).

# $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition** 1.3: Subspace

For a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , a *subspace* of V is a subset  $W \subseteq V$  s.t.

- 1.  $0_V \in W^4$
- 2.  $u + v \in W \, \forall \, u, v \in W$  (closed under addition)
- 3.  $\alpha \cdot u \in W \, \forall \, u \in W, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^5$

Then, W is a vector space in its own right.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This is equivalent to requiring that  $W \neq \emptyset$ ; stated this way, axiom 3. would necessitate that  $0 \cdot w = 0_V \in W$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Note that these axioms are equivalent to saying that W is a subgroup of V with respect to vector addition; 2. ensures closed under addition, and 3. ensures the existence of additive inverses (as per  $-1 \cdot v = -v$ ).

### **® Example 1.4: Examples of Subspaces**

- 1. Let  $V := \mathbb{F}^n$ .
  - $W := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : x_1 = 0\} = \{(0, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{F}\}.$
  - $W := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 = 0\}$

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n), y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in W$ . Then,  $x + y = (x_1 + y_1, ..., x_n + y_n)$ , and  $x_1 + y_1 + 2 \cdot (x_2 + y_2) = x_1 + 2 \cdot x_2 + y_1 + 2 \cdot y_2 = 0 + 0 = 0 \implies x + y \in W$ . Similar logic follows for axioms 2., 3.

• (More generally)

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = 0$$

$$W := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n : a_{21}x_1 + \cdots + a_{2n}x_n = 0 \},$$

$$a_{k1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{kn}x_n = 0$$

that is, a linear combination of homogenous "conditions" on each term.

- $W^* := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_1 + x_2 = 1\}$  is *not* a subspace; it is not closed under addition, nor under scalar multiplication.
- 2. Let  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n := \{a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_nt^n : a_i \in \mathbb{F}\}$ . Then,  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}[t]$ , the more general polynomial space. *However*, the set of all polynomials of degree *exactly* n (all axioms fail, in fact) is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$ .
  - $W := \{p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]_n : p(1) = 0\}.$
  - $W := \{p(t) \in \mathbb{F}[t]_n : p''(t) + p'(t) + 2p(t) = 0\}.$
- 3. Let  $V:=C(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of continuous function  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ .
  - $W := \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : f(\pi) + 7f(\sqrt{2}) = 0 \}.$
  - $W:=C^1(\mathbb{R}):=$  everywhere differentiable functions.
  - $W := \{ f \in C(\mathbb{R}) : \int_0^1 f \, dx = 0 \}.$

Let  $W_1, W_2$  be subspaces of a vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, define the following:

1. 
$$W_1 + W_2 := \{w_1 + w_2 : w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2\}$$

2. 
$$W_1 \cap W_2 := \{ w \in V : w \in W_1 \land w \in W_2 \}$$

These are both subspaces of V.

Proof.

- 1. (a)  $0_V \in W_1$  and  $0_V \in W_2 \implies 0_V = 0_V + 0_V \in W_1 + W_2$ .
- (b)  $(u_1 + u_2) + (v_1 + v_2) = (u_1 + v_1) + (u_2 + v_2) \in W_1 + W_2$ .
- (c)  $\alpha \cdot (u+v) = \alpha \cdot u + \alpha \cdot v \in W_1 + W_2$
- 2. (a)  $0_V \in W_1$  and  $0_V \in W_2 \implies 0_V = 0_V + 0_V \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .
  - (b)  $u, v \in W_1 \cap W_2 \implies u + v \in W_1 \wedge u + v \in W_2 \implies u + v \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .
  - (c)  $\alpha \cdot u \in W_1 \wedge \alpha \cdot u \in W_2 \implies \alpha \cdot u \in W_1 \cap W_2$ .

# 1.3 Linear Combinations and Span

#### **→ Definition** 1.4: Linear Combination

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For finitely many vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ , their *linear combination* is a sum of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = a_1 \cdot v_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot v_n,$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F} \, \forall i$ .

A linear combination is called *trivial* if  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ , that is, all coefficients are 0.

If n=0 (ie, we are "summing up" 0 vectors), we define the sum as the zero vector;  $\sum_{i=1}^{0} a_i v_i := 0_V$ .

← Lecture 02; Last Updated: Tue Jan 23 15:04:02 EST 2024

#### → **Definition 1.5:** A More General Definition of Linear Combination

For a (possibly infinite) set S of vectors from V, a linear combination of vectors in S is a linear combination of  $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$  for some finite subset  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq S$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>That is, we do not allow infinite sums.

### $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 1.6: Span**

For a subset  $S \subseteq V$ , we define its *span* as

$$\operatorname{Span}(S) := \operatorname{set} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{all linear combinations} \operatorname{of} S := \{a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n : a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in S\}.$$

By convention, we set  $Span(\emptyset) = \{0_V\}.$ 

### **\* Example 1.5**

Let  $S := \{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1), (1, 1, -2)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ . Then,

$$0_{\mathbb{R}^3} = (0,0,0) = 1 \cdot (1,0,-1) + 1 \cdot (0,1,-1) + -1 \cdot (1,1,-2).$$

We claim, moreover, that  $\mathrm{Span}(S)=U:=\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3:x+y+z=0\}$  (a plane through the origin).

*Proof.* Note that  $S \subseteq U$ , hence  $S \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S \subseteq U$ . OTOH, if  $(x, y, z) \in U$ , we have z = -x - y, and so

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, -x - y) = x \cdot (1, 0, -1) + y \cdot (0, 1, -1) \in \text{Span}(S)$$

hence  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(S)$  and thus  $\operatorname{Span}(S) = U$ .

**Remark 1.4.** We implicitly used the following claim in the proof above; we prove it more generally.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 1.3

Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $S \subseteq V$ . Then,  $\operatorname{Span}(S)$  is always a subspace. Moreover, it is the smallest (minimal) subspace containing S (that is, for any subspace  $U \supseteq S$ , we have that  $U \supseteq \operatorname{Span} S$ ).

<u>Proof.</u> Because adding/scalar multiplying linear combinations of elements of S again results in a linear combination of elements of S, and  $0_V \in \text{Span}(S)$  by definition, we have that Span(S) is indeed a subspace.

If  $U \supset S$  is a subspace of V containing S, then by definition U is closed under addition, that is, taking linear combinations of its elements (in particular, of elements of S); hence,  $U \supset \operatorname{Span}(S)$ .

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Lemma 1.1

For  $S \subseteq V$  and  $v \in V$ ,  $v \in \text{Span}(S) \iff \text{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) = \text{Span}(S)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Let  $v \in \operatorname{Span}(S) \Longrightarrow v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n, a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in V$ . Then, for any linear combination

$$b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m + b \cdot v = b_1u_1 + \cdots + b_mu_m + b(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n)$$

is a linear combination of vectors in  $S \cup \{v\}$  (first equality) or equivalently, a combination of vectors in S (second equality) and thus  $\operatorname{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S$ . The reverse inclusion follows trivially.

$$(\Leftarrow) \operatorname{Span}(S \cup \{v\}) = \operatorname{Span} S \implies v \in \operatorname{Span}(S).$$

### **\* Example 1.6**

(From the above example) We have

$$Span(\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1)\} \cup \{(1,1,-2)\}) = Span(\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1)\}),$$

since  $(1, 1, -2) \in \text{Span}(\{(1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1)\})$  (it was redundant, as it could be generated by the other two vectors).

### **→ Definition** 1.7: Spanning Set

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . We call  $S \subseteq V$  a spanning set for V if  $\mathrm{Span}(S) = V$ . We call such a spanning set minimal if no proper subset of S is a spanning set  $(\not\exists v \in S \text{ s.t. } S \setminus \{v\} \text{ spanning})$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Note that any  $S \subseteq V$  is a spanning for Span(S). But, S may not be minimal; indeed, consider the previous example. We were able to remove a vector from S while having the same span.

#### **\* Example 1.7**

For  $\mathbb{F}^n$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , the *standard spanning set* 

$$\operatorname{St}_{n} := \{ \underbrace{(1, \dots, 0)}_{:=e_{1}}, \underbrace{(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)}_{:=e_{2}}, \dots, \underbrace{(0, \dots, 1)}_{e_{n}} \}.$$

Given any  $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , we can write

$$x = x_1 \cdot e_1 + \dots \cdot x_n \cdot e_n.$$

This is clearly minimal; removing any  $e_i$  would then result in a 0 in the *i*th "coordinate" of a vector, hence  $\operatorname{St}\setminus\{e_i\}$  would span only vectors whose *i*th coordinate is 0.

### → **Definition** 1.8: Linear Dependence

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is said to be *linearly dependent* if there is a nontrivial linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to  $0_V$ .

Conversely, S is called *linearly independent* if there is no nontrivial linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to  $0_V$ ; all linear combinations of vectors in S that equal  $0_V$  are trivial.

← Lecture 03; Last Updated: Tue Jan 23 15:04:36 EST 2024

### **Example 1.8**

- 1. The empty set  $\varnothing$  is linearly independent; there are no non-trivial linear combinations that equal  $0_V$  (there are no linear combinations at all).
- 2. For  $v \in V$ , the set  $\{v\}$  is linearly dependent iff  $v = 0_V$ .
- 3.  $S:=\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(1,1,-2)\}:=\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}; S \text{ is linearly dependent } (v_1+v_2-v_3=(0,0,0)).$
- 4.  $V:=\mathbb{F}^3; S:=\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(0,0,1)\}=\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$  is linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose

$$a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + a_3v_3 = 0_V$$

$$\implies a_1 = 0 \land a_2 = 0 \land -a_1 - a_2 + a_3 = 0 \implies a_3 = 0$$

$$\implies a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$$

Hence only a trivial linear combination is possible.

5.  $St_n$  is linearly independent.

Proof.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i = 0_{\mathbb{F}^n} \implies a_i = 0 \,\forall i$$

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Lemma 1.2

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb F$  , and  $S\subseteq V$  (possibly infinite).

- 1. S is linearly dependent  $\iff$  there is a finite subset  $S_0 \subseteq S$  that is linearly dependent.
- 2. S is linearly independent  $\iff$  all finite subsets of S are linearly independent.

*Proof.* 2. follows from the negation of 1.

(  $\iff$  ) Trivial.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose S linearly dependent. Then,  $0_V=$  some nontrivial linear combination of vectors  $v_1,\ldots,v_n$  in S. Let  $S_0=\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ , then,  $S_0$  is linearly dependent itself.

# 1.4 Linear Dependence and Span

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $S \subseteq V$ .

- 1. S linearly dependent  $\iff \exists v \in \text{Span}(S \setminus \{v\}).$
- 2. S linearly independent  $\iff$  there is no  $v \in \text{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ .

*Proof.* 2. follows from the negation of 1.

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose S linearly dependent. Then,  $0_V = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i$  for some nontrivial linear combination of distinct vectors S. At least one of  $a_i \neq 0$ ; we can assume wlog (reindexing)  $a_1 \neq 0$ . Then,

$$a_1 v_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^n a_i v_i \implies v_1 = (-a_1^{-1}) \sum_{i=2}^n a_i v_i = \sum_{i=2}^n (-a_1^{-1} a_i) v_i,$$

hence,  $v_1 \in \operatorname{Span}(\{v_2, \dots, v_n\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ 

( $\iff$ ) Suppose  $v \in \text{Span}(S \setminus \{v\})$ , then  $v = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ , with  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in S \setminus \{v\}$ , thus

$$0_V = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n - v,$$

which is not a trivial combination (-1 on the v; v cannot "merge" with the other vectors), hence S is linearly dependent.

# $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 1.1

 $S \subseteq V$  is linearly independent  $\iff S$  a minimal spanning set of  $\operatorname{Span} S$ .

*Proof.* Follows from proposition 1.4, 2.

# → **Definition** 1.9: Maximally Independent

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A set  $S \subseteq V$  is called *maximally independent* if S is linearly independent and  $\exists v \in V \setminus S$  s.t.  $S \cup \{v\}$  is still linearly independent.

In other words, there is no proper supset  $\tilde{S} \supseteq S$  that is still independent.

### $\hookrightarrow \underline{Lemma} \ 1.3$

If  $S \subseteq V$  maximally independent, then S is spanning for V.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $S \subseteq V$  be maximally independent. Let  $v \in V$ ; supposing  $v \notin S$  (in the case that  $v \in S$ , then  $v \in \operatorname{Span}(S)$  trivially). By maximality,  $S \cup \{v\}$  is linearly dependent, hence there exists a nontrivial linear combination that equals

 $0_V$ . Since S independent, this combination must include v, with a nonzero coefficient. We can write

$$av + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i = 0_V \quad a \neq 0, v_i \in S$$

$$\implies v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-a^{-1}a_i)v_i \in \operatorname{Span} S.$$

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.1

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $S \subseteq V$ . TFAE:

- 1. S is a minimal spanning set;
- 2. S is linearly independent and spanning;
- 3. *S* is a maximally linearly independent set;
- 4. Every vector in V is equal to *unique* linear combination of vectors in S.

← Lecture 04; Last Updated: Fri Jan 26 13:32:10 EST 2024

<u>Proof.</u> (1.  $\implies$  2.) Suppose S is spanning for V and is minimal. Then, by corollary 1.1, we have that S is linearly independent, and is thus both linearly independent and spanning.

(2.  $\Longrightarrow$  3.) Suppose S is linearly independent and spanning. Let  $v \in V \setminus S$ ; S is spanning, hence  $v \in \operatorname{Span} S$ , that is, there exists a linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to v:

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n, a_i \in \mathbb{F}, v_i \in S.$$

Thus,  $0_V = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n - v$ , thus  $S \cup \{v\}$  is linearly dependent, and so S is maximally linearly independent.

- (3.  $\implies$  1.) Suppose S is maximally linearly independent. By lemma 1.3, S is spanning, and since S is linearly independent, by corollary 1.1, S is minimally spanning for  $\operatorname{Span} S$ .
- (2.  $\implies$  4.) Suppose S is linearly independent and spans V, and let  $v \in V$ . We have that  $v \in \operatorname{Span} S$  and hence is equal to a linear combination of vectors in S. This gives existence; we now need to prove uniqueness.

Suppose there exist two linear combinations that equal v,

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = b_1u_1 + \dots + b_mu_m,$$

 $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $v_i, u_j \in S$ . With appropriate reindexing/relabelling and allowing certain scalars to equal 0, we can assume that the combinations use the same vectors (with potentially different coefficients), that is,

$$v = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k = b_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k.$$

This implies, then,

$$(a_1 - b_1)w_1 + \cdots + (a_k - b_k)w_k = 0_V$$

and by the assumed linear independent of S, each coefficient  $(a_i - b_i) = 0 \,\forall i \implies a_i = b_i \,\forall i$ , hence, these are indeed the same representations, and thus this representation is unique.

(4.  $\implies$  2.) Suppose every vector in V admits a unique linear combination of vectors in S. Clearly, then, S is spanning. It remains to show S is linearly independent. Suppose

$$0_V = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

for  $v_i \in S$ . But we have that every vector has a unique representation, and we know that  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$  is a (valid) linear combination that gives  $0_V$ ; hence, this must be the unique combination,  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ , and the linear combination above is trivial. Hence, S is linearly independent and spanning.

#### **→ Definition 1.10: Basis**

If any (hence all) of the above statements hold, we call S a *basis* for V.

In the words of 4., we call the unique linear combination of vectors in S that is equal to v the unique representation of v in S. Its coefficients are called the Fourier coefficients of v in S.

### **\* Example 1.9**

- 1.  $\operatorname{St}_n = \{e_i : 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .
- 2. In  $\mathbb{F}^3$ , the set

$$\{(1,0,-1),(0,1,-1),(0,0,1)\}$$

is a basis; it is linearly independent and spanning.

3. For  $\mathbb{F}[t]_n$ , the standard basis is

$$\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n\}.$$

4. For  $\mathbb{F}[t]$ , the standard basis is

$$S := \{1, t, t^2, \dots\} = \{t^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

5. Let  $\mathbb{F}[t]$  denote the space of all formal power series  $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n t^n$ ; polynomials are an example, but with only finite nonzero coefficients. Note that, then, the set S defined above is not a basis for this "extended" set. We *can* in fact find a basis for this set; we need more tools first.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.2

Every vector space has a basis.

**Remark 1.6.** This theorem relies on assuming the Axiom of Choice.

*Proof (Attempt).* (Of theorem 1.2) We will try to "inductively" build a maximally independent set, as follows:

Begin with an empty set  $S_0 := \emptyset$ , and iteratively add more vectors to it. Let  $v_0 \in V$  be a non-zero vector, and let  $S_1 := \{v_0\}$ .

If  $S_1$  is maximal, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists a new vector  $v_1 \in V \setminus S_1$  s.t.  $S_2 := \{v_0, v_1\}$  is still independent.

If  $S_2$  is maximal, then we are done. Otherwise, there exists a new vector  $v_2 \in V \setminus S_2$  s.t.  $S_3 := \{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  is still independent.

Continue in this manner; this would take arbitrarily many finite, or even infinite, steps; we would need some "choice function" that would "allow" us to choose any particular ith vector  $v_i$ .

We can make this construction precise via the Axiom of Choice and transfinite induction (on ordinals); alternatively, we will prove a statement equivalent to the Axiom of Choice, Zorn's Lemma.

**Remark 1.7.** Before stating Zorn's Lemma, we introduce the following terminology.

#### **→ Axiom 1.1: Axiom of Choice**

Let X be a set of nonempty sets. Then, there exists a choice function f defined on X that maps each set of X to an element of that set.

#### → Definition 1.11: Inclusion-Maximal Element

A inclusion-maximal element of I is a set  $S \in I$  s.t. there is no strict super set  $S' \supseteq S$  s.t.  $S' \in I$ .

#### **→ Definition 1.12: Chain**

Let X a set. Call a collection  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  a *chain* if any two  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  are comparable, ie,  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ .

### **→ Definition 1.13: Upper Bound**

An *upper bound* of a collection  $\tau \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a set  $U \subseteq X$  s.t.  $U \supset J \forall J \in \tau$ ; U contains the union of all sets in J.

#### **\* Example 1.10: Of The Previous Definitions**

Let 
$$X := \mathbb{N}, I := \{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}).$$

The maximal elements of I would be  $\{0\}$  and  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Chains would include  $C_0 := \{\emptyset, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}, C_1 := \{\emptyset, \{0\}\}, C_2 := \{\emptyset\}$  (or any set containing a single element).

The sets  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  are upper bounds for I, while neither is an element of I. The set  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is an upper bound for  $C_0$ . A chain  $\{\emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \dots\}$  has an upper bound of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

#### → Lemma 1.4: Zorn's Lemma

Let X be an ambient set and  $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a nonempty collection of subsets of X. If every chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq I$  has an upper bound in I, then I has a maximal element.

"Proof". This is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice; proving it is beyond the scope of this course :(.

Proof of theorem 1.2, cnt'd. We obtain a maximal independent set using Zorn's Lemma.

Let I be the collection of all linearly independent subsets of V. I is nonempty;  $\emptyset \in I$ , as is  $\{v\} \in I$  for any nonzero  $v \in V$ . To apply Zorn's, we need to show that every chain  $\mathcal{C}$  if sets in I has an upper bound in I; that is, every linearly independent set has an upper bound that itself is linearly independent.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a chain in I. Let  $S:=\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  be the union of all sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To show S is linearly independent, it suffices to show that every finite subset  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}\subseteq S$  is linearly independent. Let  $S_i\in \mathcal{C}$  be s.t.  $v_i\in S_i$  for each i. Because  $\mathcal{C}$  a chain, for each i,j we have either  $S_i\subseteq S_j$  or  $S_j\subseteq S_i$ , and so we can order  $S_1,\ldots,S_n$  in increasing order w.r.t  $\subseteq$ . This implies, then, there is a maximal  $S_{i_0}$  s.t.  $S_{i_0}\supseteq S_i \ \forall \ i\in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ . Moreover, we have that  $\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}\in S_{i_0}$ , and that  $S_{i_0}$  is linearly independent and thus  $\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n\}$  is also linearly independent.

Thus, as we can apply Zorn's Lemma, we conclude that I has a maximal element, ie, there is a maximal independent set, and thus a V indeed has a basis.

← Lecture 06; Last Updated: Fri Jan 19 13:36:58 EST 2024

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 1.3

For every vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , any two bases  $\mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_2$  are equinumerous/of equal size/cardinality, ie, there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$ .

**Remark 1.8.** We will only prove this for vector spaces that admit a finite basis.

#### **→ Lemma 1.5: Steinitz Substitution**

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $Y \subseteq V$  be a (possibly infinite) linearly independent set and let  $Z \subseteq V$  be a finite spanning set. Then:

- 1.  $k := |Y| \le |Z| =: n$
- 2. There is  $Z' \subseteq Z$  of size n k s.t.  $Y \cup Z'$  is still spanning.

*Proof.* We prove by induction on k.

k=0 gives that  $Y=\emptyset$ , and so Z'=Z itself works  $(Z'\cup Y=Z)$  as a spanning set.

Suppose the statement holds for some  $k \ge 0$ . Let Y be an independent set such that |Y| = k + 1, ie

$$Y := \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}\}, \quad y \in V.$$

By our inductive assumption, we can consider  $Y' := \{y_1, \dots, y_k\} \subseteq Y$  of size k, to obtain a set

$$Z' = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-k}\} \subseteq Z$$
, s.t.  $Y' \cup Z' = \{y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k}\}$ 

is spanning. As this is spanning, we can write  $y_{k+1}$  as a linear combination of vectors in  $Y' \cup Z'$ , ie

$$y_{k+1} = a_1 y_1 + \dots + a_k y_k + b_1 z_1 + \dots + b_{n-k} z_{n-k}, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}.$$

It must be that at least one of  $b_j$ 's must be nonzero; if they were all zero, then  $y_{k+1}$  would simply be a linear combination of vector  $y_i$  giving that  $y_{k+1}$  linearly dependent, contradicting our construction of Y linearly independent.

Assume, wlog,  $b_{n-k} \neq 0$ . Then, we can write

$$z_{n-k} = b_{n-k}^{-1} y_{k+1} - b_{n-k}^{-1} a_1 y_1 - \dots - b_{n-k}^{-1} a_k y_k - b_{n-k}^{-1} b_1 z_1 - \dots - b_{n-k}^{-1} b_{n-k-1} z_{n-k-1},$$

and hence

$$z_{n-k} \in \text{Span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-k-1}\} = \text{Span}\left(\underbrace{\{y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}\}}_{Y} \cup \underbrace{\{z_1, \dots, z_{n-k-1}\}}_{:=Z''}\right).$$

We had that  $Y' \cup Z'$  was spanning, and  $(Y' \cup Z') \setminus (Y \cup Z'') = \{z_{n-k}\} \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(Y \cup Z'')$ , and we thus have that  $Y \cup Z''$  is also spanning.

#### **⇔ Corollary 1.2: Finite Basis Case for theorem 1.3**

Let V be a vector space that admits a finite basis. Then, any two bases of V are equinumerous.

*Proof.* Let Y, Z be two finite bases for V. Then, Y is independent and Z is spanning, so by Steinitz Substitution,  $\overline{|Y|} \leqslant |Z|$ . OTOH, Z is independent, and Y is spanning, so by Steinitz Substitution,  $|Z| \leqslant |Y|$ , and we conclude that |Y| = |Z|. Let n := |Y|.

It remains to show that there exist no infinite bases for V; it suffices to show that there is no independent set of size n+1. To this end, let  $I \subseteq V$  such that |I| = n+1 be an independent set. Y is still spanning, hence, by the substitution lemma,  $n+1 \le n$ , a contradiction. Hence, I as defined cannot exist and so any basis of V must be of size n.

#### **→ Definition 1.14: Dimension**

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  . The *dimension* of V, denote

$$\dim(V)$$

as the cardinality/size of any basis for V. We call V finite dimensional if  $\dim(V)$  is a natural number, i.e. V admits a finite basis. Otherwise, we say V is infinite dimensional.

### → Corollary 1.3: of Steinitz Substitution

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and denote  $n := \dim(V)$ . Then:

- 1. Every linearly independent subset  $I \subseteq V$  has size  $\leq n$ ;
- 2. Every spanning set  $S \subseteq V$  for V has size  $\geq n$ ;
- 3. Every independent set I can be completed to a basis to V, ie, there exists a basis B for V s.t.  $I \subseteq B$ .

*Proof.* Fix a basis B for V, |B| =: n.

- 1. If I is a independent set, then because B spanning, Steinitz Substitution gives  $|I| \leq |B|$ .
- 2. If S spanning for V, then because B is linearly independent, Steinitz Substitution gives  $|B| \leq |S|$ .
- 3. Let I be an independent set. Then, because B is spanning, Steinitz Substitution gives  $B' \subseteq B$  of size n |I| s.t.  $I \cup B'$  is spanning. Moreover,  $|I \cup B'| \le n$ , and by 2. it must have size  $\ge n$ , and thus has size precisely n and is thus a minimally spanning set and thus a basis.

### → Corollary 1.4: Monotonicity of Dimension

Let V be a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . For any subspace  $W \subseteq \dim W \leq \dim V$ , and

$$\dim W = \dim V \iff W = V.$$

*Proof.* Let  $B \subseteq W$  be a basis for W. Because B is independent,  $|B| \leqslant \dim(V)$  by 1. of corollary 1.3, so  $\dim(W) = \overline{|B|} \leqslant \dim(V)$ .

If  $|B| = \dim(V)$ , then B is a basis for V again by 1. of corollary 1.3, so  $W = \operatorname{Span}(B) = V$ .

 $\hookrightarrow$  Lecture 07; Last Updated: Mon Jan 22 13:43:44 EST 2024

# 2 Linear Transformations

#### 2.1 Definitions

#### **→ Definition 2.1: Linear Transformation**

Let V, W be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . A function  $T: V \to W$  is called a *linear transformation* if it preserves the vector space structures, that is,

1. 
$$T(v_0 + v_1) = T(v_0) + T(v_1), \forall v_0, v_1 \in V;$$

2. 
$$T(\alpha \cdot v) = \alpha \cdot T(v), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, v \in V;$$

3. 
$$T(0_V) = 0_W$$
.

**Remark 2.1.** *Note that 3. is redundant, implied by 2., but included for emphasis:* 

$$T(0_V) = T(0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot 0_V) = 0_{\mathbb{F}} \cdot T(0_V) = 0_W.$$

### **\* Example 2.1: Linear Transformations**

- 1.  $T: \mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$ ,  $T(a_1, a_2) := (a_1 + 2a_2, a_1)$ .
- 2. Let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $T_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be the rotation by  $\theta$ . The linearity of this is perhaps most obvious in polar coordinates, ie  $v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $v = r(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)$  for appropriate  $r, \alpha$ , and  $T_{\theta}(v) = r(\cos(\alpha + \theta), \sin(\alpha + \theta))$ .
- 3.  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , a reflection about the x-axis, ie, T(x,y) = (x,-y).
- 4. Projections,  $T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$ .
- 5. The transpose on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , ie,  $T:M_n(\mathbb{F})\to M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , where  $A\mapsto A^t$ .
- 6. The derivative on space of polynomials of degree leq  $n, D : \mathbb{F}[t]_{n+1} \to \mathbb{F}[t]_n, p(t) \mapsto p'(t)$ .

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.1

Linear transformations are completely determined by their values on a basis.

That is, let  $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for a vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let W also be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W$  be arbitrary vectors. Then, there is a unique linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  s.t.  $T(v_i) = w_i \, \forall \, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* We aim to define T(v) for arbitrary  $v \in V$ . We can write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n$$

as the unique representation of v in terms of the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then, we simply define

$$T(v) := a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n,$$

for our given  $w_i$ 's. Then,  $T(v_i) = 1 \cdot w_i = w_i$ , as desired, and T is linear;

1. Let  $u, v \in V$ ;  $u := \sum_n a_i v_i, v := \sum_n b_i v_i$ . Then,

$$T(u+v) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i v_i) = T(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i) v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i) w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i w_i = T(u) + T(v).$$

2. Scalar multiplication follows similarly.

To show uniqueness, suppose  $T_0, T_1$  are two linear transformations satisfying  $T_0(v_i) = w_i = T_1(v_i)$ . Let  $v \in V$ , and write  $v = \sum_n a_i v_i$ . By linearity,

$$T_k(v) = T_k(\sum_n a_i v_i) = \sum_n a_i T(v_i) = \sum_n a_i w_i,$$

for k = 0, 1, hence,  $T_1(v) = T_0(v)$  for arbitrary v, hence the transformations are equivalent.

#### **→ Definition 2.2: Some Important Transformations**

We denote  $T_0: V \to W$  by  $T_0(v) := 0_W \forall v \in V$  the zero transformation. We denote  $I_V: V \to V$ ,  $I_V(v) := v \forall v \in V$ , as the identity transformation.

← Lecture 08; Last Updated: Thu Jan 25 12:38:49 EST 2024

### 2.2 Isomorphisms, Kernel, Image

#### → **Definition 2.3: Isomorphism**

Let V, W be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . An *isomorphism* from V to W is a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  (a homomorphism for vector spaces) which admits an inverse  $T^{-1}$  that is also linear.

If such an isomorphism exists, we say V and W are isomorphic.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.1

 $T:V \to W$  is an isomorphism  $\iff T$  is linear and bijective.

*Proof.* The direction  $\implies$  is trivial.

Suppose  $T:V\to W$  is linear and bijective, ie  $T^{-1}$  exists. We need to show that  $T^{-1}$  is linear. Let  $w_1,w_2\in W, a_1,a_2\in \mathbb{F}$ . Then:

$$T^{-1}(a_1w_1 + a_2w_2) = T^{-1}(a_1T(T^{-1}(w_1)) + a_2T(T^{-1}(w_2)))$$
(by linearity of  $T$ ) 
$$= T^{-1}(T(a_1T^{-1}(w_1) + a_2T^{-1}(w_2)))$$

$$= a_1T^{-1}(w_1) + a_2T^{-1}(w_2).$$

**Remark 2.2.** This proposition holds for all structures that only have operations; it does not for those with relations, such as graphs, orders, etc..

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.2

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every n-dimensional vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . In particular, all n-dim vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  are isomorphic.

<u>Proof.</u> Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for V, and let  $T : V \to \mathbb{F}^n$  be the unique linear transformation determined by  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $T(v_i) = e_i$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . We show that T is a bijection.

(Injective) Suppose  $T(x) = T(y), x, y \in V$ . Write  $x = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n, y = b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n$ , the unique representation of x, y in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . We have:

$$a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n = a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = T(x) = T(y) = \dots = b_1e_1 + \dots + b_ne_n$$

but by the uniqueness of representation in a basis, it follows that each  $a_i = b_i$ , hence, x = y.

(Surjective) Let  $w \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then,  $w = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n$  (uniquely). But then,

$$w = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n) = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n),$$

where  $a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n \in V$ , hence T indeed surjective.

**Remark 2.3.** Replacing  $\mathbb{F}^n$  with an arbitrary n-dim vector space W over  $\mathbb{F}$  yields the following.

#### → Theorem 2.3: Freeness of Vector Space

Let W,V be vector spaces over  $\mathbb F$  and let  $\beta,\gamma$  be bases for V,W respectively. Every bijection  $T:\beta\to\gamma$  can be extended to an isomorphism  $\hat T:V\to W$ .

In particular, all vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$  with equinumerous bases are isomorphic.

**Remark 2.4.** The proof follows very similarly to the previous theorem, but extended to arbitrary, possible infinite, spaces.

Proof.

### → <u>Definition</u> 2.4: Image/Kernel

For a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , where V, W are vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ , we define the *image* 

$$Im(T) := T(v),$$

and its kernel

$$Ker(T) = T^{-1}(\{0_W\}).$$

Ker(T) and Im T are subspaces of V, W resp.

*Proof.* (Ker(T)) Let  $v_0, v_1 \in \text{Ker } T$  and  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ , then

$$T(a_0v_0 + a_1v_1) = a_0T(v_0) + a_1T(v_1) = 0_W \implies a_0v_0 + a_1v_1 \in \text{Ker } T.$$

 $(\operatorname{Im}(T))$  Let  $w_0, w_1 \in \operatorname{Im} T$ ,  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $w_i = T(v_i), v_i \in V$ , and so

$$a_0w_0 + a_1w_1 = a_0T(v_0) + a_1T(v_1) = T(a_0v_0 + a_1v_1) \implies a_0w_0 + a_1w_1 \in \operatorname{Im} T.$$

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.3

Let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear transformation, where V,W vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $\beta$  be a (possibly infinite) basis for V. Then, T(B) spans  $\mathrm{Im}(T)$ .

In particular, T is surjective iff  $T(\beta)$  spans W.

*Proof.* Let  $w \in \text{Im}(T)$ , so w = T(v) for some  $v \in V$ , where we have  $v := a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n, v_i \in \beta$ . Then,

$$w = T(v) = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n) \in \operatorname{Span}(\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}) \subseteq \operatorname{Span}(T(\beta)).$$

← Lecture 09; Last Updated: Fri Jan 26 13:39:26 EST 2024

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.4

Let  $T:V\to W$  be a linear transformation, where V,W vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . TFAE:

- 1. T is injective.
- 2. Ker(T) is the trivial subspace  $\{0_V\}$ .
- 3.  $T(\beta)$  is independent for each basis  $\beta$  for V.
- 3'.  $T(\beta)$  is independent for some basis  $\beta$  for V.

*Proof.* (1.  $\implies$  2.) Trivial; only  $0_V$  can be mapped to  $0_W$ .

(2.  $\implies$  1.) Suppose  $Ker(T) = \{0_V\}$  and let  $T(x) = T(y), x, y \in V$ . By linearity,

$$T(x-y) = T(x) - T(y) = 0_W \implies x-y \in \operatorname{Ker}(T) \implies x-y = 0_V \implies x = y.$$

(2.  $\Longrightarrow$  3.) Fix a basis  $\beta$  for V. To show that  $T(\beta)$  linearly independent, take an arbitrary linear combination  $a_1w_1 + \cdots + a_nw_n \in T(\beta)$ . Suppose  $\sum_i a_iw_i = 0_W$ . Since  $w_i \in T(\beta)$ ,  $w_i = T(v_i)$ ,  $v_i \in \beta$ , hence

$$0_W = a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_n w_n = a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n) = T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n)$$

$$\implies a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \in \text{Ker}(T)$$

$$\implies a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0_V,$$

but each  $v_i$  is linearly independent, hence this must be a trivial linear combination, and thus  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ .

- $(3) \implies (3')$  Trivial; stronger statement implies weaker statement.
- (3')  $\Longrightarrow$  (2) Suppose  $T(\beta)$  linearly independent for some basis  $\beta$  for V. Suppose  $T(v) = 0_W, v \in V$ . We write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n, v_i \in \beta.$$

Then,

$$0_W = T(v) = T(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1T(v_1) + \dots + a_nT(v_n),$$

but  $\{T(v_i)\}\subseteq T(\beta)$  is linearly independent, hence, this combination must be trivial and each  $a_i=0$ , and thus  $v=0_V$  and so  $\mathrm{Ker}(T)=\{0_V\}$  is trivial.

### → **Definition** 2.5: Rank, nullity

Let V,W be vector spaces over  $\mathbb F$  and  $T:V\to W$  be linear. Define  $\mathit{rank}$  of T as

$$rank(T) := \dim(Im(T)),$$

and *nullity* of T as

$$\operatorname{nullity}(T) := \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)).$$

#### → Theorem 2.4: Rank-Nullity Theorem

Let V, W be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}, \dim(V) < \infty$ . Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then,

$$\operatorname{nullity}(T) + \operatorname{rank}(T) = \dim(V).$$

**Remark 2.5.** Intuitively: the nullity is the number of vectors we "collapse"; the rank is what is left. Together, we have the entire space.

**Remark 2.6.** This follows directly from the first isomorphism theorem for vector spaces, and the fact that  $\dim(V/\ker(T)) = \dim(V) - \dim(\ker(T))$ ; however, we will prove it without this result below.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  be a basis for  $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$ , and complete it to a basis  $\beta := \{v_1, \ldots, v_k, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-k}\}$  for V, where  $\overline{n := \dim(V)}$ . We need to show that  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = n - k$ .

Recall that  $\{T(v_1), \ldots, T(v_k), T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_{n-k})\}$  spans  $\operatorname{Im}(T)$ . But  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ , so  $T(v_i) = 0_W \, \forall \, i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Hence, letting  $\gamma := \{T(u_1), \ldots, T(u_{n-k})\}$  spans  $\operatorname{Im}(T)$ . It remains to show that  $\gamma$  is independent.

Let  $a_1T(u_1) + \cdots + a_{n-k}T(u_{n-k}) = 0_W$ ; by linearity,

$$T(a_1u_1 + \dots + a_{n-k}u_{n-k}) = 0_W$$

$$\implies a_1u_1 + \dots + a_{n-k}u_{n-k} \in \text{Ker}(T)$$

$$\implies a_1u_1 + \dots + a_{n-k}u_{n-k} = b_1v_1 + \dots + b_kv_k,$$

but each of these  $u_i, v_j \in \beta$ , hence, each coefficient must be identically zero as  $\beta$  linearly independent, and thus  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = n - k$ . This completes the proof.

### **⇔ Corollary 2.1: Pigeonhole Principle for Dimension**

Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. If T injective, then  $\dim(W) \geqslant \dim(V)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\dim(V) < \infty$ , then  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$ , and we have that  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) \leq \dim(W)$  and conclude  $\dim(V) \leq \dim(W)$ .

If 
$$\dim(V) = \infty$$
, then  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \infty$  and  $\dim(W) \geqslant \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \infty$ .

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.2

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and V, W be n-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . For a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , TFAE:

- 1. T injective;
- 2. T surjective;
- 3.  $\operatorname{rank}(T) = n$ .

*Proof.* (2.  $\iff$  3.) Follows from rank $(T) = \dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = n \iff \operatorname{Im}(T) = W$ .

- (1.  $\implies$  3.) We have  $\operatorname{nullity}(T) = 0$  so  $\operatorname{rank}(T) = \dim(V) = n$ .
- (3.  $\implies$  1.) If rank(T) = n, then nullity(T) = 0.

 $\hookrightarrow \textit{Lecture 10; Last Updated: Mon Feb 5 14:03:23 EST 2024}$ 

#### → Theorem 2.5: First Isomorphism Theorem for Vector Spaces

Let V, W be vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation. Then,

$$V/\operatorname{Ker}(T) \cong \operatorname{Im}(T)$$
,

by the isomorphism given by  $v + \text{Ker}(T) \mapsto T(v)$ .

<u>Proof.</u> From group theory, we know that  $\hat{T}: V/\operatorname{Ker}(T) \to \operatorname{Im}(T)$ , where  $\hat{T}(v+\operatorname{Ker}(T)) := T(v)$  is well-defined, and is an isomorphism of abelian groups. We need only to check that  $\hat{T}$  is linear, namely, that is respects scalar multiplication.

We have

$$\hat{T}(a \cdot (v + \text{Ker}(T))) = \hat{T}((a \cdot v) + \text{Ker}(T))$$
$$= T(av) = a \cdot T(v)$$
$$= a\hat{T}(v + \text{Ker}(T)),$$

as desired.

# **2.3** The Space Hom(V, W)

### → **Definition** 2.6: Homomorphism Space

For vector spaces V, W over  $\mathbb{F}$ , let  $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$  (also denoted  $\ell(V, W)$ ) denote the set of all linear transformations from V to W. We can turn this into a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  as follows:

1. Addition of linear transformations: for  $T_0, T_1 \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ , define

$$(T_0 + T_1): V \to W, \quad v \mapsto T_0(v) + T_1(v).$$

 $(T_0 + T_1)$  is clearly a linear transformation, as the linear combination of linear transformations  $T_0, T_1$ .

2. Scalar multiplication of linear transformations: for  $T \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , define

$$(a \cdot T) : V \to W, \quad v \mapsto a \cdot T(v),$$

which is again clearly linear in its own right.

# $\hookrightarrow$ **Proposition 2.5**

Endowed with the operations described above,  $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}.$ 

*Proof.* Follows easily from the definitions.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.6: Basis for $\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$

For vector spaces V, W over  $\mathbb{F}$  and bases  $\beta, \gamma$  for V, W resp., the following set

$$\{T_{v,w} = v \in \beta, w \in \gamma\},\$$

is a basis for  $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$ , where for each  $v \in \beta$  and  $w \in \gamma$ ,  $T_{v,w} \in \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$  defined as the unique linear transformation such that

$$T_{v,w}(v') = \begin{cases} w & v' = v \\ 0_W & v' \neq v \iff \beta \setminus \{v\} \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* Left as a (homework) exercise.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.3

If V, W finite dimensional, then  $\dim(\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)) = \dim(V) \cdot \dim(W)$ .

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.6

Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}, \gamma = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  be bases for V, W resp. Then, by theorem 2.6,

$$\{T_{v_i,w_j}: i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}, j \in \{1,\ldots,m\}\}$$

is a basis for  $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$ , and it has  $n\cdot m$  vectors by construction.

# 2.4 Matrix Representation of Linear Transformations, Finite Fields

Consider a linear transformation  $T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$  between finite fields. We know that T is uniquely determined by its value of basis vectors, so fix the standard bases

$$\beta = \{e_1^{(n)}, \dots, e_n^{(n)}\} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\},\$$

and note that T is determined by  $\{T(v_1), \ldots, T(v_n)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^m$ .

**Remark 2.7.** We denote vectors in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  as column vectors, ie  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ .

Each  $T(v_i)$  is a column vector in  $\mathbb{F}^m$ , and we an put these into a  $m \times n$  matrix, namely:<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Where [T] denotes a matrix named "T".

$$[T] := \begin{pmatrix} | & & | \\ T(v_1) & \cdots & T(v_n) \\ | & & | \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}}_{n}$$

We call this the *matrix representation* of T in the standard bases. The operation of multiplying an  $m \times n$  matrix and a  $n \times 1$  vector is precisely defined so that

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Proposition 2.7**

 $T(v) = [T] \cdot v \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{F}^n.$ 

Proof. Let 
$$v = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}$$
, where  $v = x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n$ . Then

$$T(v) = x_1 T(v_1) + \dots + x_n T(v_n)$$
$$T(v_i) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mi} \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$T(v) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \cdot x_1 + \dots + a_{1n} \cdot x_n \\ & \ddots \\ a_{m1} \cdot x_1 + \dots + a_{mn} \cdot x_n \end{pmatrix} = [T] \cdot v$$

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 2.7**

For a given  $m \times n$  matrix A over  $\mathbb{F}$ , define  $L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$  by  $L_A(v) := A \cdot v$ , where v is viewed as an  $n \times 1$  column. It follows from definition that the  $L_A$  is linear.

In other words, every  $T \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m)$  is equal to  $L_A$  for some A.

← Lecture 11; Last Updated: Fri Feb 9 14:12:09 EST 2024

The map

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m) \to M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$$

$$T \mapsto [T]$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces, with inverse

$$M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m)$$
  
 $A \mapsto L_A.$ 

<u>Proof.</u> Linearity: Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . Fix  $T_1, T_2 \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

1.

$$[T_{1} + T_{2}] = \begin{pmatrix} & & | & & | \\ \cdots & (T_{1} + T_{2})(v_{i}) & \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & | & & | \\ \cdots & T_{1}(v_{i}) + T_{2}(v_{i}) & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} & & | & & | \\ \cdots & T_{1}(v_{i}) & \cdots \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} & & | & & | \\ \cdots & T_{2}(v_{i}) & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= [T_{1}] + [T_{2}]$$

2. It remains to show that  $\alpha \cdot [T] = [\alpha \cdot T]$ ; the proof follows similarly to 1.

<u>Inverse</u>: We need to show that 1.  $A \mapsto L_A \mapsto [L_A]$  is the identity on  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ , and conversely, that 2.  $T \mapsto [T] \mapsto L_{[T]}$  is the identity on  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n,\mathbb{F}^m)$ .

- 1. We need to show that  $[L_A] = A$ . The jth column of  $[L_A]$  is  $L_A(v_j) = A \cdot v_j = j$ th column of  $A =: A^{(j)}$ . Hence, the jth column of  $[L_A]$  is equal to the jth column of A, and thus they are equal.
- 2. We showed this in proposition 2.7.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.4

 $\dim(\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbb{F}^n,\mathbb{F}^m)) = \dim(M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})) = m \cdot n.$ 

**Remark 2.8.** This was stated previously in proposition 2.6 by constructing an explicit basis. Indeed, this basis is precisely the image of the standard basis for  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  under the map  $A \mapsto L_A$ .

# 2.5 Matrix Representation of Linear Transformations, General Spaces

**Remark 2.9.** The previous section was concerned with representing transformations between finite fields  $\mathbb{F}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{F}^m$ ; this section aims to make the same construction for any finite dimensional V, W.

#### **→ Definition 2.8: Coordinate Vector**

Let V be a finite dimensional space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\beta := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for V. Let  $v \in V$ , with (unique) representation  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$ . We denote

$$[v]_{\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$$

the *coordinate vector* of v in base  $\beta$ .

**Remark 2.10.** Recall that  $V \cong \mathbb{F}^n$  where  $\dim(V) = n$ , by the unique linear transformation  $v_i \mapsto e_i$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  the standard basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . We denote this transformation

$$I_{\beta}:V\to\mathbb{F}.$$

For an arbitrary  $v \in V$ ,  $I_{\beta}(v)$  maps v to its coordinate vector:

$$I_{\beta}(v) = I_{\beta}(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) = a_1I_{\beta}(v_1) + \dots + a_nI_{\beta}(v_n)$$
  
=  $a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n = [v]_{\beta}$ .

### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.9

The map

$$I_{\beta}: V \to \mathbb{F}^n, \quad v \mapsto [v]_{\beta}$$

is an isomorphism.

Suppose we are given a linear transformation  $T:V\to W$ , where V,W finite dimensional spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Fix  $\beta:=\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$  and  $\gamma:=\{w_1,\ldots,w_m\}$  as bases for V,W resp. We can denote  $[T(v_i)]_{\gamma}$  as  $T(v_i)$  in base  $\gamma$  (in the field m), and construct a matrix for T:<sup>8</sup>

$$[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} := \begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ [T(v_1)]_{\gamma} & \cdots & [T(v_n)]_{\gamma} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}$$

We call this the *matrix representation* of T from  $\beta$  to  $\gamma$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Where we denote  $[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}$  as the matrix representation of the transform  $T:V\to W$ , with basis  $\beta,\gamma$  for V,W respectively.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.7

Let  $T: V \to W, \beta, \gamma$  as above.

1. The following diagram commutes:

Namely,  $I_{\gamma} \circ T = L_{[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}} \circ I_{\beta}$ , or equivalently, given  $v \in V$ ,  $[T(v)]_{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} \cdot [v]_{\beta}$ .

2. The map  $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W) \to M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}), T \mapsto [T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}$  is a vector space isomorphism with inverse begin the map  $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V,W), A \mapsto I^{-1}_{\gamma} \circ L_A \circ I_{\beta}$ 

*Proof.* 2. is left as a (homework) exercise; it follows directly from 1.

Fix  $v \in V$ . We need to show that  $I_{\gamma} \circ T(v) = L_{[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}} \circ I_{\beta}(v)$ . We have

$$I_{\gamma} \circ T(v) = [T(v)]_{\gamma}.$$

ОТОН,

$$L_{[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}} \circ I_{\beta}(v) = L_{[T]^{\gamma}_{\beta}}([v]_{\beta}) = [T]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [v]_{\beta}.$$

We need to show, then, that  $[T(v)]_{\gamma} = [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} \cdot [v]_{\beta}$ . Let  $v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$ , so  $[v]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Recall that  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} | & | \\ [T(v_1)]_{\gamma} & \cdots & [T(v_n)]_{\gamma} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}$$
. Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} \cdot [v]_{\beta} &= a_1 [T(v_1)]_{\gamma} + \dots + a_n [T(v_n)]_{\gamma} = [a_1 T(v_1) + \dots + a_n T(v_n)]_{\gamma} \quad \textit{(by linearly of } I_{\gamma} \textit{)} \\ &= [T(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n)]_{\gamma} \quad \textit{(by linearity of } T\textit{)} \\ &= [T(v)]_{\gamma}, \end{split}$$

which is precisely what we wanted to show.

**Remark 2.11.** For  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ , we have

$$A \cdot x = x_1 \cdot A^{(1)} + x_2 \cdot A^{(2)} + \dots + x_n \cdot A^{(n)},$$

where  $A^{(j)}$  is the jth column of A; thus  $A \cdot x$  is a linear combination of A, with coefficients given by the vector x; this

# 2.6 Composition of Linear Transformations, Matrix Multiplication

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.10

Composition is associative; given  $T: V \to W, S: W \to U$ , and  $R: U \to X$ , then

$$(R \circ S) \circ T = R \circ (S \circ T).$$

*Proof.* Fix  $v \in V$ . Then

$$(R \circ S) \circ T(v) = (R \circ S)(T(v)) = R(S(T(v)))$$

ОТОН:

$$R \circ (S \circ T)(v) = R((S \circ T)(v)) = R(S(T(v))).$$

Let  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $B \in M_{l \times m}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then,  $L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^m$  and  $L_B : \mathbb{F}^m \to \mathbb{F}^l$ , and have composition  $L_B \circ L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^l$ . We know that  $L_B \circ L_A$  is a linear transformation, and thus must be equal to  $L_C$  for some matrix  $C \in M_{l \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ . Indeed, C is the matrix representation of the transformation  $[L_B \circ L_A]$ , as proven previously.

Let  $\beta = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , then

$$[L_B \circ L_A] = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & | \\ L_B \circ L_A(e_1) & \cdots & L_B \circ L_A(e_n) \\ & | & & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & | \\ B \cdot (A \cdot e_1) & \cdots & B \cdot (A \cdot e_n) \\ & | & & | \end{pmatrix}$$

# → **Definition** 2.9: Matrix Multiplication

For matrices  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F})$  and  $B \in M_{l \times m}(\mathbb{F})$ , define their product  $B \cdot A$  to be the matrix

$$[L_B \circ L_A] = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ B \cdot (A \cdot e_1) & \cdots & B \cdot (A \cdot e_n) \\ | & | & | \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ B \cdot A^{(1)} & \cdots & B \cdot A^{(2)} \\ | & | & | \end{pmatrix} = (c_{ij})_{1 \le i \le l}^{1 \le j \le n}$$

where  $A^{(j)}$  is the jth column of A,  $c_{ij} := \begin{pmatrix} - & B_{(i)} & - \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} | & A^{(j)} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$[L_B \circ L_A] = B \cdot A$$
, ie  $L_B \circ L_A = L_{B \cdot A}$ .

*Proof.* Follows from our definition.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.5

Matrix multiplication is association;  $C \cdot (B \cdot A) = (C \cdot B) \cdot A$  for  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{F}), B \in M_{l \times m}(\mathbb{F}), C \in M_{k \times l}(\mathbb{F})$ .

*Proof.* 
$$C \cdot (B \cdot A) = [L_C \circ (L_B \circ L_A)] = [(L_C \circ L_B) \circ L_A] = (C \cdot B) \cdot A.$$

**Remark 2.12.** This is proven by the linear transformation representation of matrices; try proving this directly from our definition.

### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.6

Let V, W, U be finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $T: V \to W, S: W \to U$  be linear transformations and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  be bases for V, W, U resp. Then,

$$[S \circ T]^{\gamma}_{\alpha} = [S]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Follows from the commutativity of the diagrams:

In "words", for  $v \in V$ ,

$$[S \circ T]^{\gamma}_{\alpha} \cdot [v]_{\alpha} = [(S \circ T)(v)]^{\gamma}_{\alpha} = [S(T(v))]_{\alpha} = [S]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [T(v)]_{\beta} = [S]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [T]^{\beta}_{\alpha} \cdot [v]_{\alpha}$$

ie we have shown that  $L_{[S \circ T]^{\gamma}_{\alpha}} = L_{[S]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}}$ . Because  $A \mapsto L_A$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $[S \circ T]^{\gamma}_{\alpha} = [S]^{\gamma}_{\beta} \cdot [T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ .

#### 2.7 Inverses of Transformations and Matrices

**Remark 2.13.** Recall that, given a function  $f: X \to Y$ , a function  $g: Y \to X$  is called

- 1. a left inverse of f if  $g \circ f = \mathrm{Id}_X$ ;
- 2. a right inverse of f if  $f \circ g = Id_X$ ;
- 3. a (two-sided) inverse of f if g both a left and right inverse of f.

If an inverse exists, it is unique; let  $g_0, g_1$  be inverse of f, then,  $g_0 = g_0 \circ (f \circ g_1) = (g_0 \circ f) \circ g_1 = g_1$ .

Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then,

- 1. f has a left-inverse  $\iff$  f injective;
- 2. f has a right-inverse  $\iff$  f surjective;
- 3. f has an inverse  $\iff$  f bijective.

<u>Proof.</u> ((a),  $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose  $g: Y \to X$  is a left-inverse of f and  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Then,  $g \circ f(x_1) = g \circ f(x_2) \Longrightarrow x_1 = x_2$  and so f injective.

((b),  $\Longrightarrow$  ) Suppose  $g:Y\to X$  is a right-inverse of f and let  $y\in Y$ . Then,  $f(g(y))=y\implies y\in f(X)$ .

The remainder of the cases and directions are left as an exercise.

**Remark 2.14.** *Proof of* (b),  $\iff$  *uses Axiom of Choice.* 

### **\* Example 2.2**

- 1. The differentiation transform  $\delta: \mathbb{F}[t]_{n+1} \to \mathbb{F}[t]_n, p(t) \mapsto p'(t)$  has a right inverse, the integration transform,  $\iota: \mathbb{F}[t]_n \to \mathbb{F}[t]_{n+1}$ ,  $p(t) \mapsto$  antiderivative of p(t); conversely,  $\iota$  has left inverse  $\delta$ ; they do not admit inverses.
- 2. Let  $f: \mathbb{F}[\![t]\!] \to \mathbb{F}[\![t]\!]$  be the left-shift map, where  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^{n-1}$ . Then,  $g: \mathbb{F}[\![t]\!] \to \mathbb{F}[\![t]\!]$  with  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0} a_n t^{n+1}$ , the right-shift map, is a right inverse of f, but f has no left inverse (it is not injective).

**Remark 2.15.** The existence of only one-sided inverses existing happens only when in infinite-dimensional vectors spaces, or when the dimension of the domain is not the same as the dimension of the codomain.

### **⇔ Corollary 2.7: Of Rank-Nullity Theorem**

Let  $T: V \to W$  s.t.  $\dim(V) = \dim(W) < \infty$ . TFAE:

- 1. T has a left-inverse;
- 2. T has a right-inverse;
- 3. T is invertible (has an inverse).

*Proof.* We have already that T injective  $\iff T$  surjective  $\iff T$  bijective.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 2.10: Matrix Inverse**

We call a  $n \times n$  matrix B over  $\mathbb{F}$  the *inverse* of an  $n \times n$  matrix A over  $\mathbb{F}$  if  $A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n$ . We denote  $B = A^{-1}$ .

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ . Then,

- 1.  $L_A$  is invertible  $\iff$  A is invertible, in which case  $L_A^{-1} = L_{A^{-1}}$ ;
- 2. A is invertible  $\iff$  it has a left-inverse, ie  $B \cdot A = I_n \iff$  it has a right-inverse, ie  $A \cdot B = I_n$ .
- Proof. 1.  $L_A$  invertible  $\iff \exists T: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$ -linear s.t.  $L_A \circ T = T \circ L_A = I_{\mathbb{F}^n} \iff \exists$  a matrix  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  such that  $L_A \circ L_B = L_B \circ L_A = I_{\mathbb{F}^n} \iff$  there is a matrix  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  s.t.  $L_{AB} = L_{BA} = I_{\mathbb{F}^n} \iff$  there is a  $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  s.t.  $A \cdot B = B \cdot A = I_n$ .
  - 2. Follows directly from corollary 2.7 and part 1.

# 2.8 Invariant Subspaces and Nilpotent Transformations

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 2.11:** *T*-Invariant

Let  $T: V \to V$  be a linear transformation. We call a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  T-invariant if  $T(W) \subseteq W$ .

### **® Example 2.3: Examples of Invariant Subspaces**

- 1. For any  $T:V\to V$ ,  $\mathrm{Im}(T)$  is T-invariant.
- 2. For any  $T: V \to V$ ,  $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$  is T-invariant, since  $T(v) = 0_V \in \operatorname{Ker}(T) \, \forall \, v \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ . Moreover, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$  is T-invariant.

← Lecture 14; Last Updated: Fri Feb 9 11:10:45 EST 2024

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.14

For a linear operator  $T:V\to V$ , the following hold:

- 1.  $V \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(T) \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(T^2) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(T^n) \supseteq \cdots$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{Im}(T^n)$  is T-invariant for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 2.  $\{0_V\} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^n) \subseteq \cdots$ . Moreover,  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$  is T-invariant for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

 $\underline{ Proof.} \qquad \text{1. If } x \in \operatorname{Im}(T^{n+1}), \text{ then } x = T^{n+1}(y) = T^n(T(y)) \in \operatorname{Im}(T^n) \text{ for some } y \in V, \text{ hence } \operatorname{Im}(T^{n+1}) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(T^n).$  If  $x \in \operatorname{Im}(T^n)$ , then  $x = T^n(y)$  so  $T(x) = T(T^n(y)) = T^n(T(y)) \in \operatorname{Im}(T^n)$ , so  $T(\operatorname{Im}(T^n)) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(T^n)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Because the domain and codomain are the same, we often call T a "linear operator".

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>T^n := T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T$ , n times;  $T^0 := I_V$ .

2. If  $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$ , then  $T^{n+1}(x) = T(T^n(x)) = T(0_V) = 0_V$  hence  $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^{n+1})$  so  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^n) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^{n+1})$ . Moreover,  $T(x) \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$  since  $T(x) \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^{n-1}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$ , since  $T^{n-1}(T(x)) = T^n(x) = 0_V$  so  $T(\operatorname{Ker}(T^n)) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$ .

#### **® Example 2.4: More Examples of Invariant Subspaces**

Let  $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  by T(x,y,z) := (2x+y,3x-y,7z). Then, the x-y plane,  $\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : z=0\}$  is T-invariant, as is the z axis,  $\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x=y=0\}$ . Hence, we can decompose  $\mathbb{R}^3$  into two T-invariant subspaces, namely x-y plane  $\oplus z$ -axis.

### $\hookrightarrow \underline{\textbf{Definition}}$ 2.12: Nilpotent

In a ring R, an element  $r \in R$  is called *nilpotent* if  $r^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

A linear transformation  $T: V \to V$  is called nilpotent if  $T^n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+,11}$ 

For a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , A is called nilpotent if  $A^n = 0_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>One can verify that all linear transformations  $T:V\to V$  from a vector space to itself form a ring with  $(\circ,+)$ , ie composition and ("standard") addition of transformations. The same holds for linear operators defined over an abelian group (where the same + operation is endowed by the ring).

### **® Example 2.5: Examples of Nilpotent Transformations**

- 1. Let V, n-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb F$  with basis  $\beta:=\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$ . Let  $T:V\to V$  be the unique linear transformation that "shifts"  $\beta$ : ie,  $T(v_1):=0_V$ ,  $T(v_2):=v_1,\ldots,T(v_n)=v_{n-1}$ .
- 2. The differentiation operation,  $\delta : \mathbb{F}[t]_n \to \mathbb{F}[t]_n$  is nilpotent, since  $\delta^{n+1} = 0$  for any polynomial.
- 3. For any matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ , A is nilpotent iff  $L_A : \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F}^n$  is nilpotent.

Proof. 
$$L_{A^k} = L_A^k \implies A^k = 0 \iff L_{A^k} = 0 \iff L_A^k = 0$$

4.  $n \times n$  matrices that are strictly upper triangular 12 are nilpotent. For instance, for  $3 \times 3$ , we need to show 13

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{3} = 0 \iff \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{3} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ \star \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

We have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ \star \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ \star \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ \star \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ \star \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ \star \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \star \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# $\hookrightarrow$ Proposition 2.15

If V is n-dimensional and  $T:V\to V$  is a linear nilpotent transformation, then  $T^n=0$ .

*Proof.* Left as a (homework) exercise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>ie zeros everywhere except cells strictly above diagonal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Where we denote arbitrary elements ★; different ★s are not necessarily equal.

#### **→ Definition 2.13: Domain Restriction**

For a function  $f: X \to Y$  and  $A \subseteq X$ , we define the *restriction* of f to A as the function  $f|_A: A \to Y$  given by  $a \mapsto f(a)$ .

#### **→ Definition 2.14: Direct Sum**

Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $W_0, W_1 \subseteq V$  be subspaces of V. If

- 1.  $W_0 \cap W_1 = \{0_V\}$  (the subspaces are linearly independent), and
- 2.  $W_0 + W_1 = \{w_0 + w_1 : w_0 \in W_0, w_1 \in W_1\} = V$ ,

we write  $V = W_0 \oplus W_1$ , and say V is the direct sum if  $W_0, W_1$ .

#### → **Theorem** 2.8: Fitting's Lemma

For finite dimensional vector space V over  $\mathbb{F}$  and a linear transformation  $T:V\to V$ , there is a decomposition

$$V = U \oplus W$$

as a direct sum of T-invariant subspaces U, W such that  $T|_U : U \to U$  is nilpotent and  $T|_W : W \to W$  is an isomorphism.

<u>Proof.</u> Recall that  $\operatorname{Im}(T) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \operatorname{Im}(T^n)$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(T) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(T^n)$ . Both of these become constant eventually, ie the inequalities become strict equalities, hence  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}(T^{N+k}) = \operatorname{Im}(T^N)$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(T^{N+k}) = \operatorname{Ker}(T^N)$ .

Let  $U:=\mathrm{Ker}(T^N)$  and  $W:=\mathrm{Im}(T^N)$ . These are clearly T-invariant.

 $T^N(\operatorname{Ker}(T^N)) = \{0_V\}$ , and  $T(\operatorname{Im}(T^N)) = \operatorname{Im}(T^{N+1}) = \operatorname{Im}(T^N) = W$  and thus  $T|_W : W \to W$  is surjective and hence  $T|_W$  must be injective and thus an isomorphism.

It remains to show that  $V = U \oplus W$ . If  $v \in U \cap W$ ,  $T^N(v) = 0_V$  but  $T|_W$  an isomorphism so  $T^N(v) = 0 \iff v = 0_V$ , hence  $U \cap W = \{0_V\}$ .

Thus, we have  $\dim(U+W)=\dim(U)+\dim(W)-\dim(U\cap W)=\dim(U)+\dim(W)=\dim(V)$ ; moreover, it must be that  $U+W=V.^{14}$ 

← Lecture 15; Last Updated: Fri Feb 9 13:40:20 EST 2024

# 2.9 Dual Spaces

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>It is precisely here that we use finiteness of V.

#### **→ Definition 2.15: Dual Space**

For a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , linear transformations from  $V \to \mathbb{F}$  (where we view  $\mathbb{F}$  as a one-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ ) are called *linear functionals*. The space of linear functionals (namely,  $\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{F})$ ) is denoted  $V^*$ , and called the *dual space* of V.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Proposition 2.16**

If V is finite dimensional,  $\dim(V^*) = \dim(V)$ .<sup>15</sup>

*Proof.* For finite dimensional V, we know that  $\dim(\operatorname{Hom}(V,\mathbb{F})) = \dim(V) \cdot \dim(\mathbb{F}) = \dim(V)$ , hence  $\dim(V^*) = \dim(V)$ . In the same notation with which we proved this originally in proposition 2.6; fix a basis  $\beta := \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  for V and the standard basis  $\gamma := \{1\}$  for  $\mathbb{F}$ , and defined  $\beta^* := \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ , where  $f_i := T_{v_i,1} : V \to \mathbb{F}$  maps  $v_i \mapsto 1$  and every other basis vector to  $0_{\mathbb{F}}$ .

**Remark 2.16.** The basis  $\beta^*$  for  $V^*$  is called the dual basis. Explicitly, we have:

### $\hookrightarrow$ Corollary 2.8

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $\beta := \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be a basis for V. Then,

$$\beta^* := \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$$

is a basis for  $V^*$ . Moreover, for each linear functional  $f \in V^*$ ,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) \cdot f_i.$$

*Proof.* Linear independence: let  $a_1f_1 + \cdots + a_nf_n = 0_{V^*} =: 0$ . Then,

$$(a_1 f_1 + \dots + a_n f_n)(v_i) = a_i f_i(v_i) = a_i \cdot 1 = a_i \implies a_i = 0,$$

hence  $\beta^*$  indeed linearly independent.

Spanning: let  $f \in V^*$ . We claim that  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f(v_i) f_i$ . It suffices to show these two sides are equal on the basis vectors, as linear transformations are determined by their effect on basis vectors. We have:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) f_i\right)(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) f_i(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(v_i) \cdot \delta_{ij} = f(v_j),$$

as desired.16

<sup>16</sup>Where 
$$\delta_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$
 is the Kronecker delta.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>This does *not* hold for infinite dimensional spaces.

### **\* Example 2.6**

- 1. Let  $V:=\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $\beta:=\{v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{F}^n$ , viewed as column vectors, and let  $\beta^*:=\{f_1,\ldots,f_n\}$  be the dual basis for  $V^*$ . Recall that  $f_i:\mathbb{F}^n\to\mathbb{F}$ , hence  $f_i:=L_{A_i}$  for some matrix  $A_i\in M_{1\times n}(\mathbb{F}):=$  space of  $1\times n$  row vectors. Hence,  $A_i=e_i^t$ .
- 2. Consider  $V^{**}$ , the dual of the dual. If V is finite-dimensional, then as  $\dim(V) = \dim(V^*)$ , we have  $\dim(V) = \dim(V^*) = \dim(V^{**})$ , ie, they are (abstractly) isomorphic.

We have that  $T: V \to V^*, v_i \mapsto f_i$  is an isomorphism; we define an explicit isomorphism to  $V^{**}$  below.

#### $\hookrightarrow$ **Definition 2.16**

Let V be an arbitrary vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . For each  $x \in V$ , define  $\hat{x} \in V^{**}$  by  $\hat{x} : V^* \to \mathbb{F}$ , where  $\hat{x}(f) := f(x)$ .

#### **Remark 2.17.** *Note that* $\hat{x}$ *is linear.*

#### $\hookrightarrow$ Theorem 2.9

The map  $x \mapsto \hat{x} : V \to V^{**}$  is a linear injection. In particular, if V is finite dimensional, it is an isomorphism.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $x \in V$  and suppose  $\hat{x} = 0_{V^{**}}$ . Let  $\beta$  be a basis for V and  $\beta^*$  its dual basis. Let  $x = a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$  for  $v_i \in \beta, a_i \in \mathbb{F}$ . Let  $f_i$  such that  $f_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij}v_j$ . Then,

$$\hat{x}f_i = f_i(x) = f_i(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) = a_i = 0,$$

hence,  $a_i = 0 \,\forall i$ . Hence, x = 0, and thus  $\hat{x}$  has a trivial kernel and is thus injective.

← Lecture 16; Last Updated: Fri Feb 9 14:27:00 EST 2024