

Post-test Questionnaire Computer Prototype

Give a rating on how easy it was to navigate/use the prototype? (5 being extremely easy) *

1	2	3	4	5
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Which task or tasks were the hardest to perform and why? *

Create a loop at a specific time / for certain duration

Do you think the layout of the elements (e.g. buttons) was of high quality? If not, what would you change? *

Layout was clear.

Would you describe the user interface as clear (i.e. not cluttered)? If not, what could we improve? *

Have a clearer description where to copy paste url for importing the music. Other than that, everything looks clear. Maybe have a tutorial option for first time users to explain how the app works (where we can find the voice commands are)

Did you like the overall look of the prototype? If yes, what did you like? If not, describe what you did not like. *

Prototype looks good. Like the logo and the color changing option for loops and markers

Do you think the features that this prototype demonstrated would benefit you when transcribing? If yes, which ones? If no, what could be added or improved? *

Vocal commands are definitely useful. Don't think I would use the accelerate the speed. Instead maybe have more options for slowing down the speed (x0.9, x0.8...)

Would you consider using this application (considering the fact that this is a limited prototype) for transcribing, why? or why not? *

I would support this application. The vocal commands especially make the transcription process a lot more efficient

Please put any additional comments here.

Very happy to help with the project! I would love to use this app in the future.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Post-test Questionnaire Computer Prototype

Give a rating on how easy it was to navigate/use the prototype? (5 being extremely easy) *

1	2	3	4	5
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Which task or tasks were the hardest to perform and why? *

trying to find the icons for the non-voice-controlled command

Do you think the layout of the elements (e.g. buttons) was of high quality? If not, what would you change? *

Kind of confusing because of the Color for the main menuish icons are too light to be visualized.

Would you describe the user interface as clear (i.e. not cluttered)? If not, what could we improve? *

I will give a 7/10 for being user friendly. Cause it is a little bit confusing to figure out the which command that is not capable for voice control. And as what we mentioned above, the Color of the main icons are not so obviously visible. And It is better to have the function listed next to the icon like " setting"command list" etc.

Did you like the overall look of the prototype? If yes, what did you like? If not, describe what you did not like. *

Yes. Voice commands would be more time-saving than do it manually.

Do you think the features that this prototype demonstrated would benefit you when transcribing? If yes, which ones? If no, what could be added or improved? *

I will give a 7/10 for being user friendly. Cause it is a little bit confusing to figure out the commands that are not capable for voice control. And as what we mentioned above, the Color of the main icons are not so obviously visible. And It is better to have the function listed next to the icon like " setting"command list" etc.

Would you consider using this application (considering the fact that this is a limited prototype) for transcribing, why? or why not? *

Yes and no. It can execute the simple voice command already, whereas there are more could be improved.

Please put any additional comments here.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Post-test Questionnaire Computer Prototype

Give a rating on how easy it was to navigate/use the prototype? (5 being extremely easy) *

1	2	3	4	5
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Which task or tasks were the hardest to perform and why? *

Editing input/output devices, the settings are hard to find.

Do you think the layout of the elements (e.g. buttons) was of high quality? If not, what would you change? *

Yes, I think so. Some highlights of certain buttons would be great. Also, some visual feedbacks on user operations would be nice.

Would you describe the user interface as clear (i.e. not cluttered)? If not, what could we improve? *

Yes, it's pretty clear.

Did you like the overall look of the prototype? If yes, what did you like? If not, describe what you did not like. *

Yes, I think the UI is clean, interaction is intuitive.

Do you think the features that this prototype demonstrated would benefit you when transcribing? If yes, which ones? If no, what could be added or improved? *

Yes, I think both looping and marking are essential for transcribing. However, for production use, shortcut for voice commands would be time-saving for heavy uses.

Would you consider using this application (considering the fact that this is a limited prototype) for transcribing, why? or why not? *

Yes I would, it's straightforward and gets the job down.

Please put any additional comments here.

Good job everyone.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms