Structures and Processes for Managing Model-Metamodel Co-evolution

Louis Mathew Rose

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

University of York, York, YO10 5DD

Department of Computer Science
October 2010

Abstract

Software changes over time. During the lifetime of a software system, unintended behaviour must be corrected and new requirements satisfied. Because software changes are costly, tools for automatically managing change are commonplace. Contemporary development environments can automatically perform change management tasks such as impact analysis, refactoring and background compilation.

Increasingly, models and modelling languages are first-class citizens in software development. Model-Driven Engineering (MDE), a state-of-the-art approach to software engineering, prescribes the use of models throughout the software engineering process and uses automated transformations to generate code from models.

Contemporary MDE environments provide little support for managing a type of evolution termed *model-metamodel co-evolution*, in which changes to a modelling language are propagated to models. This thesis demonstrates that model-metamodel co-evolution occurs often in MDE projects, and that dedicated structures and processes for its management increase the productivity and understandability of the development process. Structures and processes for managing model-metamodel co-evolution are proposed, developed, and then evaluated by comparison to existing structures and processes with quantitive and qualitative techniques.



Contents

| C | ontei | nts | vii |
|---------------|-------|--|------|
| \mathbf{Li} | st of | Figures | ix |
| Li | st of | Tables | xii |
| Li | sting | gs | xiii |
| 1 | Inti | roduction | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Model-Driven Engineering | 2 |
| | 1.2 | Software Evolution | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Motivation: Software Evolution in MDE | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Research Hypothesis | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Research Method | 6 |
| | 1.6 | Research Results | 8 |
| | 1.7 | Thesis Structure | 8 |
| 2 | Bac | ekground | 11 |
| | 2.1 | MDE Terminology and Principles | 11 |
| | 2.2 | MDE Guidelines and Methods | 22 |
| | 2.3 | MDE Tools | 27 |
| | 2.4 | Research Relating to MDE | 33 |
| | 2.5 | Benefits of and Current Challenges for MDE | 36 |
| | 2.6 | Chapter Summary | 39 |
| 3 | Lite | erature Review | 41 |
| | 3.1 | Software Evolution Theory | 41 |
| | 3.2 | Software Evolution in Practice | 46 |
| | 3.3 | Research Challenges | 65 |
| | 3.4 | Chapter Summary | 66 |
| 4 | Ana | alysis | 69 |
| | 4.1 | Locating Data | 70 |
| | 4.2 | Analysing Existing Techniques | 77 |

viii *CONTENTS*

| | 4.3 | Requirements Identification | 88 |
|--------------|------------|--|-------------|
| | 4.4 | Chapter Summary | 91 |
| 5 | Imp | lementation | 93 |
| | 5.1 | Metamodel-Independent Syntax | 93 |
| | 5.2 | Textual Modelling Notation | 99 |
| | 5.3 | Analysis of Languages used for Migration | 111 |
| | 5.4 | Epsilon Flock: A Model Migration Language | 119 |
| | 5.5 | Chapter Summary | 130 |
| 6 | Eva | luation | 133 |
| | 6.1 | Evaluating User-Driven Co-Evolution | 134 |
| | 6.2 | Evaluating Conservative Copy | 149 |
| | 6.3 | Evaluating Co-evolution Tools | 169 |
| | 6.4 | Transformation Tools Contest | 185 |
| | 6.5 | Limitations | 200 |
| | 6.6 | Chapter Summary | 201 |
| 7 | Con | aclusions | 201 |
| | 7.1 | Research Contributions | 202 |
| | 7.2 | Future Work | 205 |
| | 7.3 | Coda | 207 |
| \mathbf{A} | A G | Fraphical Editor for Process-Oriented Programs | 209 |
| | A.1 | Iteration 1: Processes and Channels | 210 |
| | A.2 | Iteration 2: Interoperability with GMF | 211 |
| | A.3 | Iteration 3: Shared Channels | 213 |
| | A.4 | Iteration 4: Connection Points | 215 |
| | A.5 | Iteration 5: Connection Point Types | |
| | A.6 | Iteration 6: Nested Processes and Channels | 222 |
| | A.7 | Summary | 224 |
| В | Co- | evolution Examples | 22 5 |
| | B.1 | Newsgroups Examples | 225 |
| | B.2 | UML Example | 230 |
| | B.3 | GMF Examples | 236 |
| \mathbf{C} | TT | C Results | 281 |
| Bi | bliog | craphy | 287 |

List of Figures

| 1.1 | Overview of the research method | 7 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Jackson's definition of a model | 12 |
| 2.2 | A fragment of the UML metamodel defined in MOF | 15 |
| 2.3 | Exemplar State Machine metamodel | 17 |
| 2.4 | Exemplar Object-Oriented metamodel | 18 |
| 2.5 | Interactions between a PIM and several PSMs | 23 |
| 2.6 | The tiers of standards used as part of MDA | 23 |
| 2.7 | | 29 |
| 2.8 | | 29 |
| 2.9 | EMF's graphical metamodel editor | 30 |
| 2.10 | ~ · | 31 |
| 2.11 | | 31 |
| 2.12 | | 32 |
| 3.1 | Categories of traceability link | 46 |
| 3.2 | Attribute to association end refactoring in EMF Refactor | 56 |
| 3.3 | Approaches to incremental transformation | 57 |
| 3.4 | Exemplar impact analysis pattern | 59 |
| 3.5 | | 62 |
| 3.6 | | 64 |
| 4.1 | Analysis chapter overview | 69 |
| 4.2 | Refactoring a reference to a value | 75 |
| 4.3 | Co-evolution activities | 78 |
| 4.4 | Metamodel evolution in the Epsilon FPTC tool | 85 |
| 4.5 | | 88 |
| 5.1 | Implementation chapter overview | 93 |
| 5.2 | | 95 |
| 5.3 | Minimal MOF metamodel | 95 |
| 5.4 | Exemplar instantiation of generic metamodel | 97 |
| 5.5 | Exemplar families metamodel | 00 |
| 5.6 | The architecture of Epsilon HUTN | 04 |

x List of Figures

| 5.7 | Conformance problem reporting in Epsilon HUTN | 109 |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.8 | Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets) | 112 |
| 5.9 | Mappings between the original and evolved Petri nets metamodels | 115 |
| 5.10 | The metamodel-independent representation used by COPE \dots | 117 |
| 5.11 | The abstract syntax of Flock | 120 |
| 5.12 | Exemplar Process-Oriented metamodel evolution | 124 |
| | Exemplar Process-Oriented model prior to migration | |
| 5.14 | Exemplar UML metamodel evolution | 129 |
| 6.1 | Final version of the prototypical graphical model editor | |
| 6.2 | The graphical editor at the start of the iteration | |
| 6.3 | The graphical editor at the end of the iteration | |
| 6.4 | Process-oriented metamodel evolution | |
| 6.5 | User-driven co-evolution with EMF | 141 |
| 6.6 | XMI prior to migration $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$ | 142 |
| 6.7 | XMI after migration \hdots | 143 |
| 6.8 | User-driven co-evolution with dedicated structures $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$ | |
| 6.9 | HUTN source prior to migration $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$ | 146 |
| 6.10 | HUTN source part way through migration | 147 |
| 6.11 | Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets) $\dots \dots \dots$ | 159 |
| 6.12 | Simplified fragment of the GMF Graph metamodel | 163 |
| 6.13 | Change Reference to Containment metamodel evolution \dots | 167 |
| 6.14 | Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets) | 171 |
| 6.15 | GMF graph metamodel evolution | 173 |
| 6.16 | Migration tool performance comparison | 182 |
| 6.17 | Exemplar activity model | 187 |
| 6.18 | UML 1.4 Activity Graphs | 188 |
| 6.19 | UML 2.2 Activity Diagrams | 189 |
| 6.20 | Migrating Actions for the Core Task | 190 |
| 6.21 | Migrating Actions for Extension 1 \dots | 191 |
| A.1 | The process-oriented metamodel after one iteration | |
| A.2 | The process-oriented metamodel after two iterations | |
| A.3 | Exemplar diagram after the second iteration | 212 |
| A.4 | The process-oriented metamodel after three iterations | 213 |
| A.5 | Exemplar migration between the second and third versions of the | |
| | process-oriented metamodel | 214 |
| A.6 | The process-oriented metamodel after the fourth iteration | 215 |
| A.7 | Exemplar diagram after the fourth iteration | 217 |
| A.8 | Exemplar migration between the third and fourth versions of the | |
| | process-oriented metamodel | 218 |
| A.9 | The process-oriented metamodel after five iterations | 219 |
| A.10 | Exemplar migration between the fourth and fifth versions of the | |
| | process-oriented metamodel | 221 |

| List of Figures | | xi |
|-----------------|--|----|
| | | |

| | The process-oriented metamodel after six iterations Exemplar diagram after the final iteration | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|-----|
| B.1 | Newsgroups metamodel during the Extract Person iteration | | | 226 |
| B.2 | Newsgroups metamodel during the Resolve Replies iteration | | | 228 |
| B.3 | Activities in UML 1.4 and UML 2.2 \dots | | | 231 |
| B.4 | The Graph metamodel in GMF 1.0 and GMF 2.0 | | | 238 |

List of Tables

| 4.1 | Candidates for study of evolution in existing MDE projects 71 |
|-----|---|
| 5.1 | Properties of model migration approaches |
| 6.1 | Model operation frequency (analysis examples) |
| 6.2 | Model operation frequency (evaluation examples) 160 |
| 6.3 | Summary of comparison criteria |
| 6.4 | Summary of tool selection advice |
| 6.5 | TTC scores for Epsilon Flock (unweighted) 197 |
| C.1 | Correctness scores |
| | Conciseness scores |
| | Clarity scores |
| | Appropriateness scores |
| | Tool maturity scores |
| C.6 | Reproducibility scores |
| C.7 | Extensions scores |
| C.8 | Total (equally weighted) scores |
| | Total (weighted) scores |

Listings

| 2.1 | Exemplar M2M transformation in ETL |
|------|---|
| 2.2 | Exemplar M2T transformation in EGL |
| 2.3 | Exemplar T2M transformation in EMFtext 20 |
| 2.4 | Exemplar model validation in EVL |
| 4.1 | Migration strategy for the refactoring in pseudo code |
| 5.1 | Exemplar person model in XMI |
| 5.2 | Specifying attributes with HUTN |
| 5.3 | Specifying a containment reference with HUTN 101 |
| 5.4 | Specifying a simple reference with HUTN 101 |
| 5.5 | Using keywords and adjectives in HUTN 102 |
| 5.6 | Referencing objects in other packages with HUTN 102 |
| 5.7 | Using a reference block in HUTN |
| 5.8 | Using an infix reference in HUTN |
| 5.9 | Transforming Nodes to PackageObjects with ETL 105 |
| 5.10 | A constraint (in EVL) to check that all identifiers are unique 106 |
| 5.11 | Higher-order transformation with EGL |
| 5.12 | The M2M transformation generated for the Families metamodel 108 |
| 5.13 | HUTN for people with mothers and fathers 109 |
| 5.14 | HUTN for people with parents |
| 5.15 | Failure behaviour specified in HUTN |
| 5.16 | Fragment of the Petri nets model migration in ATL 114 |
| 5.18 | Petri nets model migration in COPE |
| 5.19 | Concrete syntax of migrate and delete rules |
| 5.20 | Redefining equivalences for the Component model migration. $$. 126 |
| 5.21 | Petri nets model migration in Flock |
| 5.22 | UML model migration in Flock |
| 6.1 | Assignment operators in ATL |
| 6.2 | The Petri nets model migration in ATL |
| 6.3 | The Petri nets model migration in Groovy-for-COPE 158 |
| 6.4 | Petri nets model migration in Flock |
| 6.5 | An extract of the GMF Graph model migration in ATL \dots 162 |
| 6.6 | Simplified GMF Graph model migration in ATL 165 |
| 6.7 | Simplified GMF Graph model migration in COPE 165 |
| 6.8 | Simplified GMF Graph model migration in Flock 166 |

xiv LISTINGS

| 6.9 | Migration for Change Reference to Containment in ATL | 168 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 0.0 | Migration for Change Reference to Containment in Flock | |
| | Migrating Actions | |
| | Migrating FinalStates and Transitions | |
| | Migrating Pseudostates | |
| | Migrating ActivityGraphs | |
| | Migrating Guards | |
| | Migrating Partitions | |
| | Migrating ObjectFlows | |
| | Migrating ObjectFlowStates to a single ObjectFlow | |
| | Migrating Partitions without ObjectFlowStates | |
| A.1 | The annotated process-oriented metamodel after one iteration . | |
| A.2 | The annotated process-oriented metamodel after two iterations | 211 |
| A.3 | The annotated process-oriented metamodel after four iterations | |
| A.4 | The annotated process-oriented metamodel after five iterations | 219 |
| A.5 | The annotated process-oriented metamodel after six iterations. | |
| B.1 | The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in ATL | |
| B.2 | The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in Groovy-for- | 220 |
| D.2 | COPE | 227 |
| В.3 | The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in Flock | |
| B.4 | The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in ATL | |
| B.5 | The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in Groovy- | 220 |
| В.0 | for-COPE | 229 |
| B.6 | The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in Flock | |
| B.7 | UML activity diagram model migration in ATL | |
| B.8 | UML activity diagram model migration in Groovy-for-COPE . | |
| B.9 | UML activity diagram model migration in Flock | |
| | GMF Graph model migration in ATL | |
| | GMF Graph model migration in Groovy-for-COPE | |
| | GMF Graph model migration in Flock | |
| | GMF Generator model migration in ATL | |
| | GMF Generator model migration in Groovy-for-COPE | |
| | GMF Generator model migration in Flock | |
| | | |

Acknowledgements

To be completed.

Author Declaration

Except where stated, all of the work contained in this thesis represents the original contribution of the author. Section 6.3 reports collaborative experiments with model migration tools, and that section makes clear the roles of the author and other participants.

Parts of the work described in this thesis have been previously published by the author in:

- The Epsilon Generation Language, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. European Conference on Model Driven Architecture Foundations and Applications (ECMDA-FA)*, volume 5095 of LNCS, pages 1-16. Springer, 2008.
- Constructing Models with the Human-Usable Textual Notation, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS)*, volume 5301 of LNCS, pages 249-263. Springer, 2008.
- An Analysis of Approaches to Model Migration, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Joint Model-Driven Software Evolution and Model Co-evolution and Consistency Management (MoDSE-MCCM) Workshop*, co-located with MoDELS 2009.
- Enhanced Automation for Managing Model and Metamodel Inconsistency, Louis M. Rose and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Richard F. Paige and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on Automated Software Engineering* (ASE), pages 545-549, ACM Press, 2009.
- Concordance: An Efficient Framework for Managing Model Integrity, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Nicholas Drivalos, James. R. Williams, Richard F. Paige, Fiona A.C. Polack, and Kiran J. Fernandes in *Proc. European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications*

xviii LISTINGS

(ECMFA), volume 6138 of LNCS, pages 62-73. Springer, 2010.

- Model Migration with Epsilon Flock, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on the Theory and Practice of Model Transformations (ICMT)*, volume 6142 of LNCS, pages 184-198. Springer, 2010.
- Model Migration Case, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Transformation Tools Contest (TTC)*, co-located with TOOLS 2010.
- Migrating Activity Diagrams with Epsilon Flock, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Transformation Tools Contest (TTC)*, co-located with TOOLS 2010.

In addition, the author has contributed to [Kolovos *et al.* 2007a], [Kolovos *et al.* 2007b] and [Paige *et al.* 2009].

Bibliography

- [Ackoff 1962] R.L. Ackoff. Scientific Method: Optimizing Applied Research Decisions. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.
- [Aizenbud-Reshef et al. 2005] N. Aizenbud-Reshef, R.F. Paige, J. Rubin, Y. Shaham-Gafni, and D.S. Kolovos. Operational semantics for traceability. In Proc. Workshop on Traceability, co-located with the European Conference on Model-Driven Architecture (ECMDA), pages 8–14, 2005.
- [Alexander et al. 1977] C. Alexander, S. Ishikawa, and M. Silverstein. A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction (Center for Environmental Structure Series). Oxford University Press, New York, 1977.
- [Álvarez et al. 2001] J. Álvarez, A. Evans, and P. Sammut. MML and the metamodel architecture. In Proc. Workshop on Transformation in UML, co-located with the European Joint Conferences on Theory and Practice of Software (ETAPS), 2001.
- [Amyot et al. 2006] D. Amyot, H. Farah, and J.-F. Roy. Evaluation of development tools for domain-specific modeling languages. In R. Gotzhein and R. Reed, editors, System Analysis and Modeling: Language Profiles, volume 4320 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 183–197. Springer, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Apostel 1960] L. Apostel. Towards the formal study of models in the non-formal sciences. *Synthese*, 12(2):125–161, 1960.
- [Arendt et al. 2009] T. Arendt, F. Mantz, L. Schneider, and G. Taentzer. Model refactoring in Eclipse by LTK, EWL, and EMF Refactor: A case study. In Proc. Joint Model-Driven Software Evolution and Model Coevolution and Consistency Management (MoDSE-MCCM) Workshop, colocated with the International Conference on Model-Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS), 2009.
- [Backus 1978] J. Backus. The history of FORTRAN I, II and III. *History of Programming Languages*, 1:165–180, 1978.

[Balazinska et al. 2000] M. Balazinska, E. Merlo, M. Dagenais, B. Lagüe, and K. Kontogiannis. Advanced clone-analysis to support object-oriented system refactoring. In *Proc. Working Conference on Reverse Engineering* (WCRE), pages 98–107. IEEE Computer Society, 2000.

- [Banerjee et al. 1987] J. Banerjee, W. Kim, H. Kim, and H.F. Korth. Semantics and implementation of schema evolution in object-oriented databases. In U. Dayal and I.L. Traiger, editors, *Proc. Special Interest Group on Management of Data (SIGMOD) Conference*, pages 311–322. ACM Press, 1987.
- [Beck & Cunningham 1989] K. Beck and W. Cunningham. Constructing abstractions for object-oriented applications. *Journal of Object Oriented Programming*, 2, 1989.
- [Bézivin & Gerbé 2001] J. Bézivin and O. Gerbé. Towards a precise definition of the OMG/MDA framework. In *Proc. International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE)*, pages 273–280. IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [Bézivin 2005] J. Bézivin. On the unification power of models. Software and System Modeling, 4(2):171–188, 2005.
- [Biermann et al. 2006] E. Biermann, K. Ehrig, C. Köhler, G. Kuhns, G. Taentzer, and E. Weiss. EMF model refactoring based on graph transformation concepts. Electronic Communications of the European Association for the Study of Science and Technology [online], 3, 2006. Available at http://eceasst.cs.tu-berlin.de/index.php/eceasst/article/view/34 [Accessed 2 November 2010].
- [Bloch 2005] J. Bloch. How to design a good API and why it matters [online]. Keynote address to the LCSD Workshop at OOPSLA, October 2005, San Diego, United States of America. Available at: http://lcsd05.cs.tamu.edu/slides/keynote.pdf [Accessed 2 November 2010], 2005.
- [Bohner 2002] S.A. Bohner. Software change impacts an evolving perspective. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM)*, pages 263–272. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- [Bosch 1998] J. Bosch. Design patterns as language constructs. *Journal of Object Oriented Programming*, 11(2):18–32, 1998.
- [Briand et al. 2003] L.C. Briand, Y. Labiche, and L. O'Sullivan. Impact analysis and change management of uml models. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM)*, pages 256–265. IEEE Computer Society, 2003.

[Brooks Jr. 1987] F.P. Brooks Jr. No silver bullet - essence and accidents of software engineering. *IEEE Computer*, 20(4):10–19, 1987.

- [Brown et al. 1998] W.J. Brown, R.C. Malveau, H.W. McCormick III, and T.J. Mowbray. Anti Patterns. Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [Cervelle et al. 2006] J. Cervelle, R. Forax, and G. Roussel. Tatoo: an innovative parser generator. In Ralf Gitzel, Markus Aleksy, and Martin Schader, editors, Proc. International Symposium on Principles and Practice of Programming in Java (PPPJ), volume 178 of ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, pages 13–20. ACM, 2006.
- [Chen & Chou 1999] J.Y.J. Chen and S.C. Chou. Consistency management in a process environment. Systems and Software, 47(2-3):105–110, 1999.
- [Cicchetti et al. 2008] A. Cicchetti, D. Di Ruscio, R. Eramo, and A. Pierantonio. Automating co-evolution in model-driven engineering. In Proc. International IEEE Enterprise Distributed Object Computing Conference (EDOC), pages 222–231. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
- [Cicchetti 2008] A. Cicchetti. Difference Representation and Conflict Management in Model-Driven Engineering. PhD thesis, Universita' degli Studi dell'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy, 2008.
- [Clark et al. 2008] T. Clark, P. Sammut, and J. Williams. Superlanguages: Developing Languages and Applications with XMF [online]. Ceteva, Sheffield, 2008. Available at: http://itcentre.tvu.ac.uk/~clark/Papers/Superlanguages.pdf [Accessed 02 November 2010].
- [Cleland-Huang et al. 2003] J. Cleland-Huang, C.K. Chang, and M. Christensen. Event-based traceability for managing evolutionary change. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 29(9):796–810, 2003.
- [Costa & Silva 2007] M. Costa and A.R. da Silva. RT-MDD framework a practical approach. In *Proc. Workshop on Traceability, co-located with the European Conference on Model-Driven Architecture (ECMDA)*, pages 17–26, 2007.
- [Czarnecki & Helsen 2006] K. Czarnecki and S. Helsen. Feature-based survey of model transformation approaches. *IBM Systems Journal*, 45(3):621–646, 2006.
- [Deursen et al. 2000] A. van Deursen, P. Klint, and J. Visser. Domain-Specific Languages: An annotated bibliography. Special Interest Group on Programming Languages (SIGPLAN) Notices, 35(6):26–36, 2000.

[Deursen et al. 2007] A. van Deursen, E. Visser, and J. Warmer. Model-driven software evolution: A research agenda. In Proc. Workshop on Model-Driven Software Evolution, co-located with the European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR), pages 41–49, 2007.

- [Dig & Johnson 2006a] D. Dig and R. Johnson. Automated upgrading of component-based applications. In P.L. Tarr and W.R. Cook, editors, Companion to the ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-Oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications (OOPSLA), pages 675–676, 2006.
- [Dig & Johnson 2006b] D. Dig and R. Johnson. How do APIs evolve? A story of refactoring. *Journal of Software Maintenance and Evolution*, 18(2):83–107, 2006.
- [Dig et al. 2006] D. Dig, C. Comertoglu, D. Marinov, and R. Johnson. Automated detection of refactorings in evolving components. In D. Thomas, editor, Proc. European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP), volume 4067 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 404–428. Springer, 2006.
- [Dig et al. 2007] D. Dig, K. Manzoor, R. Johnson, and T.N. Nguyen. Refactoring-aware configuration management for object-oriented programs. In Proc. International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE), pages 427–436. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- [Dig 2007] D. Dig. Automated Upgrading of Component-Based Applications. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA, 2007.
- [Drivalos et al. 2008] N. Drivalos, R.F. Paige, K.J. Fernandes, and D.S. Kolovos. Towards rigorously defined model-to-model traceability. In Proc. Workshop on Traceability, co-located with the European Conference on Model Driven Architecture (ECMDA), 2008.
- [Ducasse et al. 1999] S. Ducasse, M. Rieger, and S. Demeyer. A language independent approach for detecting duplicated code. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM)*, pages 109–118. IEEE Computer Society, 1999.
- [Edelweiss & Moreira 2005] N. Edelweiss and Á.F. Moreira. Temporal and versioning model for schema evolution in object-oriented databases. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, 53(2):99–128, 2005.
- [Elmasri & Navathe 2006] R. Elmasri and S.B. Navathe. Fundamentals of Database Systems. Addison-Wesley Longman, Boston, Massachusetts, 5th edition, 2006.

[Erlikh 2000] L. Erlikh. Leveraging legacy system dollars for e-business. IT Professional, 2(3):17–23, 2000.

- [Evans 2004] E. Evans. Domain-Driven Design: Tacking Complexity In the Heart of Software. Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, 2004.
- [Feathers 2004] M.C. Feathers. Working Effectively with Legacy Code. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2004.
- [Ferrandina et al. 1995] F. Ferrandina, T. Meyer, R. Zicari, G. Ferran, and J. Madec. Schema and database evolution in the O2 object database system. In U. Dayal, P.M.D. Gray, and S. Nishio, editors, *Proc. International Conference on Very Large Data Bases (VLDB)*, pages 170–181. Morgan Kaufmann, 1995.
- [Fowler 1999] M. Fowler. Refactoring: improving the design of existing code. Addison-Wesley, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1999.
- [Fowler 2002] M. Fowler. Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture. Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, 2002.
- [Fowler 2010] M. Fowler. *Domain Specific Languages*. Addison-Wesley Professional, Boston, Massachusetts, 2010.
- [Frankel 2002] D. Frankel. Model Driven Architecture: Applying MDA to Enterprise Computing. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 2002.
- [Fritzsche et al. 2008] M. Fritzsche, J. Johannes, S. Zschaler, A. Zherebtsov, and A. Terekhov. Application of tracing techniques in model-driven performance engineering. In Proc. Traceability Workshop, co-located with the European Conference on Model-Driven Architecture (ECMDA), pages 111–120, 2008.
- [Fuhrer et al. 2007] R.M. Fuhrer, A. Kiezun, and M. Keller. Refactoring in the Eclipse JDT: Past, present, and future. In *Proc. Workshop on Refactoring Tools (WRT)*, co-located with European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP), 2007.
- [Gamma et al. 1995] E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, and J. Vlissides. Design patterns: elements of reusable object-oriented software. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1995.
- [Garcés et al. 2009] K. Garcés, F. Jouault, P. Cointe, and J. Bézivin. Managing model adaptation by precise detection of metamodel changes. In R.F. Paige, A. Hartman, and A. Rensink, editors, Proc. European Conference on Model Driven Architecture Foundations and Applications (ECMDA-FA), volume 5562 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 34–49. Springer, 2009.

[Geiß & Kroll 2007] R. Geiß and M. Kroll. Grgen.net: A fast, expressive, and general purpose graph rewrite tool. In A. Schürr, M. Nagl, and A. Zündorf, editors, Proc. International Symposium on Applications of Graph Transformations with Industrial Relevance (AGTIVE), Revised Selected and Invited Papers, volume 5088 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 568–569. Springer, 2007.

- [Gosling et al. 2005] J. Gosling, B. Joy, G. Steele, and G. Bracha. The $Java^{TM}$ Language Specification. Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, 2005.
- [Graham 1993] P. Graham. On Lisp: Advanced Techniques for Common Lisp. Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 1993.
- [Greenfield et al. 2004] J. Greenfield, K. Short, S. Cook, and S. Kent. Software Factories: Assembling Applications with Patterns, Models, Frameworks, and Tools. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 2004.
- [Gronback 2009] R.C. Gronback. Eclipse Modeling Project: A Domain-Specific Language (DSL) Toolkit. Addison-Wesley Professional, Boston, Massachusetts, 2009.
- [Gruschko et al. 2007] B. Gruschko, D.S. Kolovos, and R.F. Paige. Towards synchronizing models with evolving metamodels. In Proc. Workshop on Model-Driven Software Evolution, co-located with the European Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (CSMR), 2007.
- [Guerrini et al. 2005] G. Guerrini, M. Mesiti, and D. Rossi. Impact of XML schema evolution on valid documents. In A. Bonifati and D. Lee, editors, Proc. International Workshop on Web Information and Data Management (WIDM), pages 39–44. ACM, 2005.
- [Halstead 1977] M.H. Halstead. *Elements of Software Science*. Elsevier Science Inc., New York, 1977.
- [Hearnden et al. 2006] D. Hearnden, M. Lawley, and K. Raymond. Incremental model transformation for the evolution of model-driven systems. In O. Nierstrasz, J. Whittle, D. Harel, and G. Reggio, editors, Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS), volume 4199 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 321–335. Springer, 2006.
- [Heidenreich et al. 2009] F. Heidenreich, J. Johannes, S. Karol, M. Seifert, and C. Wende. Derivation and refinement of textual syntax for models. In R.F. Paige, A. Hartman, and A. Rensink, editors, *Proc. European Conference on Model-Driven Architecture Foundations and Applications (ECMDA-FA)*, volume 5562 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 114–129. Springer, 2009.

[Herrmannsdoerfer et al. 2008] M. Herrmannsdoerfer, S. Benz, and E. Juergens. Automatability of coupled evolution of metamodels and models in practice. In K. Czarnecki, I. Ober, J. Bruel, A. Uhl, and M. Völter, editors, Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS), volume 5301 of LNCS, pages 645–659. Springer, 2008.

- [Herrmannsdoerfer et al. 2009a] M. Herrmannsdoerfer, S. Benz, and E. Juergens. COPE automating coupled evolution of metamodels and models. In S. Drossopoulou, editor, Proc. European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming (ECOOP), volume 5653 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 52–76. Springer, 2009.
- [Herrmannsdoerfer et al. 2009b] M. Herrmannsdoerfer, D. Ratiu, and G. Wachsmuth. Language evolution in practice. In M. van den Brand, D. Gasevic, and J. Gray, editors, Proc. International Conference on Software Language Engineering (SLE), Revised Selected Papers, volume 5696 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 3–22. Springer, 2009.
- [Hussey & Paternostro 2006] K. Hussey and M. Paternostro. Advanced features of EMF. Tutorial at EclipseCon 2006, California, USA. [Accessed 07 September 2009] Available at: http://www.eclipsecon.org/2006/Sub.do?id=171, 2006.
- [ISO/IEC 1996] Information Technology ISO/IEC. Syntactic metalanguage
 Extended BNF. ISO 14977:1996 International Standard, 1996.
- [ISO/IEC 2002] Information Technology ISO/IEC. Z Formal Specification Notation – Syntax, Type System and Semantics. ISO 13568:2002 International Standard, 2002.
- [Jackson 1995] M. Jackson. Software Requirements and Specifications: A Lexicon of Practice, Principles and Prejudices. ACM Press, New York, 1995.
- [JetBrains 2008] JetBrains. MPS Meta Programming System [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.jetbrains.com/mps/index.html, 2008.
- [Jouault & Kurtev 2005] F. Jouault and I. Kurtev. Transforming models with ATL. In *Proc. Satellite Events at the International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, volume 3844 of *LNCS*, pages 128–138. Springer, 2005.
- [Jouault 2005] F. Jouault. Loosely coupled traceability for ATL. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA Workshop on Traceability*, 2005.

[Jurack & Mantz 2010] S. Jurack and F. Mantz. Towards metamodel evolution of EMF models with Henshin. In *Proc. ME Workshop*, 2010.

- [Kalnins et al. 2005] A. Kalnins, J. Barzdins, and E. Celms. Model transformation language MOLA. In Proc. Model Driven Architecture, European MDA Workshops: Foundations and Applications MDAFA, volume 3599 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 62–76. Springer, 2005.
- [Kataoka et al. 2001] Y. Kataoka, M.D. Ernst, W.G. Griswold, and D. Notkin. Automated support for program refactoring using invariants. In Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance, pages 736–743. IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [Kelly & Tolvanen 2008] S. Kelly and J.P. Tolvanen. *Domain-Specific Modelling*. Wiley and IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
- [Kerievsky 2004] J. Kerievsky. *Refactoring to Patterns*. Pearson Higher Education, 2004.
- [Kleppe et al. 2003] A.G. Kleppe, J. Warmer, and W. Bast. MDA Explained: The Model Driven Architecture: Practice and Promise. Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co. Inc., Boston, Massachusetts, 2003.
- [Klint et al. 2003] P. Klint, R. Lämmel, and C. Verhoef. Towards an engineering discipline for grammarware. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering Methodology, 14:331–380, 2003.
- [Kolovos et al. 2006a] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A. Polack. The Epsilon Object Language (EOL). In Proc. ECMDA-FA, volume 4066 of LNCS, pages 128–142. Springer, 2006.
- [Kolovos et al. 2006b] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Merging models with the epsilon merging language (eml). In *Proc. MoDELS*, volume 4199 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 215–229. Springer, 2006.
- [Kolovos et al. 2006c] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Model comparison: a foundation for model composition and model transformation testing. In Proc. Workshop on Global Integrated Model Management, pages 13–20, 2006.
- [Kolovos et al. 2007a] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, F.A.C. Polack, and L.M. Rose. Update transformations in the small with the Epsilon Wizard Language. *Journal of Object Technology*, 6(9):53–69, 2007.
- [Kolovos et al. 2007b] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, L.M. Rose, and F.A.C Polack. Bridging the Epsilon Wizard Language and the Eclipse Graphical

Modeling Framework. In *Proc. Eclipse Summit*, Ludwigsburg, Germany, 2007.

- [Kolovos et al. 2008a] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C Polack. On the evolution of OCL for capturing structural constraints in modelling languages. In Proc. Workshop on Rigorous Methods for Software Construction and Analysis, 2008.
- [Kolovos et al. 2008b] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Scalability: The holy grail of model driven engineering. In Proc. Workshop on Challenges in Model Driven Engineering, 2008.
- [Kolovos et al. 2008c] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C Polack. The Epsilon Transformation Language. In Proc. ICMT, volume 5063 of LNCS, pages 46–60. Springer, 2008.
- [Kolovos et al. 2009] D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and L.M. Rose. EuGENia: GMF for mortals. Long talk at Eclipse Summit Europe, October 2009, Ludwigsburg, Germany. Available at: https://www.eclipsecon.org/submissions/ese2009/view_talk.php?id=979 [Accessed 12 April 2010], 2009.
- [Kolovos 2009] D.S. Kolovos. An Extensible Platform for Specification of Integrated Languages for Model Management. PhD thesis, University of York, United Kingdom, 2009.
- [Kramer 2001] D. Kramer. XEM: XML Evolution Management. Master's thesis, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, MA, USA, 2001.
- [Kurtev 2004] I. Kurtev. Adaptability of Model Transformations. PhD thesis, University of Twente, Netherlands, 2004.
- [Lago et al. 2009] P. Lago, H. Muccini, and H. van Vliet. A scoped approach to traceability management. Systems and Software, 82(1):168–182, 2009.
- [Lämmel & Verhoef 2001] R. Lämmel and C. Verhoef. Semi-automatic grammar recovery. Software Practice and Experience, 31(15):1395–1438, 2001.
- [Lämmel 2001] R. Lämmel. Grammar adaptation. In Proc. Formal Methods for Increasing Software Productivity (FME), International Symposium of Formal Methods Europe, volume 2021 of LNCS, pages 550–570. Springer, 2001.
- [Lämmel 2002] R. Lämmel. Towards generic refactoring. In *Proc. ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Rule-Based Programming*, pages 15–28. ACM, 2002.

[Lara & Guerra 2010] J. de Lara and E. Guerra. Generic meta-modelling with concepts, templates and mixin layers. In *Proc. MoDELS* (1), volume 6394 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 16–30. Springer, 2010.

- [Lehman 1969] M.M. Lehman. The programming process. Technical report, IBM Res. Rep. RC 2722, 1969.
- [Lerner 2000] B.S. Lerner. A model for compound type changes encountered in schema evolution. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 25(1):83–127, 2000.
- [Mäder et al. 2008] P. Mäder, O. Gotel, and I. Philippow. Rule-based maintenance of post-requirements traceability relations. In *Proc. IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE)*, pages 23–32, 2008.
- [Martin & Martin 2006] R.C. Martin and M. Martin. Agile Principles, Patterns, and Practices in C#. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2006.
- [McCarthy 1978] J. McCarthy. History of Lisp. History of Programming Languages, 1:217–223, 1978.
- [McNeile 2003] A. McNeile. MDA: The vision with the hole? [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.metamaxim.com/download/documents/MDAv1.pdf, 2003.
- [Mellor & Balcer 2002] S.J. Mellor and M. Balcer. Executable UML: A Foundation for Model-Driven Architectures. Addison-Wesley Longman, Boston, Massachusetts, 2002.
- [Melnik 2004] S. Melnik. Generic Model Management: Concepts and Algorithms. PhD thesis, University of Leipzig, Germany, 2004.
- [Méndez et al. 2010] D. Méndez, A. Etien, A. Muller, and R. Casallas. Towards transformation migration after metamodel evolution. In Proc. ME Workshop, 2010.
- [Mens & Demeyer 2007] T. Mens and S. Demeyer. Software Evolution. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [Mens & Tourwé 2004] T. Mens and T. Tourwé. A survey of software refactoring. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 30(2):126–139, 2004.
- [Mens et al. 2007] T. Mens, G. Taentzer, and D. Müller. Challenges in model refactoring. In *Proc. Workshop on Object-Oriented Reengineering*, 2007.
- [Merriam-Webster 2010] Merriam-Webster. Definition of Nuclear Family. http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/nuclear% 20family, 2010.

[Moad 1990] J. Moad. Maintaining the competitive edge. *Datamation*, 36(4):61–66, 1990.

- [Moha et al. 2009] N. Moha, V. Mahé, O. Barais, and J.M. Jézéquel. Generic model refactorings. In Proc. MoDELS, volume 5795 of LNCS, pages 628– 643. Springer, 2009.
- [Muller & Hassenforder 2005] P. Muller and M. Hassenforder. HUTN as a Bridge between ModelWare and GrammarWare. In *Proc. Workshop in Software Modelling Engineering*, 2005.
- [Nentwich et al. 2003] C. Nentwich, W. Emmerich, A. Finkelstein, and E. Ellmer. Flexible consistency checking. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 12(1):28-63, 2003.
- [Nguyen et al. 2005] T.N. Nguyen, C. Thao, and E.V. Munson. On product versioning for hypertexts. In *Proc. International Workshop on Software Configuration Management (SCM)*, pages 113–132. ACM, 2005.
- [Nickel et al. 2000] U. Nickel, J. Niere, and A. Zündorf. The FUJABA environment. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 742–745, New York, NY, USA, 2000. ACM.
- [Northrop 2006] L. Northrop. Ultra-large scale systems: The software challenge of the future. Technical report, Carnegie Mellon, June 2006.
- [Oldevik et al. 2005] J. Oldevik, T. Neple, R. Grønmo, J.Ø. Aagedal, and A. Berre. Toward standardised model to text transformations. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 3748 of *LNCS*, pages 239–253. Springer, 2005.
- [Olsen & Oldevik 2007] G.K. Olsen and J. Oldevik. Scenarios of traceability in model to text transformations. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 4530 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 144–156. Springer, 2007.
- [OMG 2001] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 1.4 Specification [online]. [Accessed 15 September 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/1.4/, 2001.
- [OMG 2004] OMG. Human-Usable Textual Notation 1.0 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/hutn.htm, 2004.
- [OMG 2005] OMG. MOF QVT Final Adopted Specication [online]. [Accessed 22 July 2009] Available at: www.omg.org/docs/ptc/05-11-01.pdf, 2005.
- [OMG 2006] OMG. Object Constraint Language 2.0 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/ocl.htm, 2006.

[OMG 2007a] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 2.1.2 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.1.2/, 2007.

- [OMG 2007b] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 2.2 Specification [online]. [Accessed 5 March 2010] Available at: http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.2/, 2007.
- [OMG 2007c] OMG. XML Metadata Interchange 2.1.1 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/xmi.htm, 2007.
- [OMG 2008a] OMG. Meta-Object Facility [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/mof, 2008.
- [OMG 2008b] OMG. Model Driven Architecture [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org/mda/, 2008.
- [OMG 2008c] OMG. Object Management Group home page [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.omg.org, 2008.
- [Opdyke 1992] W.F. Opdyke. Refactoring Object-Oriented Frameworks. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA, 1992.
- [openArchitectureWare 2007] openArchitectureWare. openArchitecture-Ware Project Website [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.eclipse.org/gmt/oaw/, 2007.
- [openArchitectureWare 2008] openArchitectureWare. XPand Language Reference [online]. [Accessed 18 August 2010] Available at: http://wiki.eclipse.org/AMMA, 2008.
- [Paige et al. 2007] R.F. Paige, P.J. Brooke, and J.S. Ostroff. Metamodel-based model conformance and multiview consistency checking. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology, 16(3), 2007.
- [Paige et al. 2009] R.F. Paige, L.M. Rose, X. Ge, D.S. Kolovos, and P.J. Brooke. FPTC: Automated safety analysis for domain-specific languages. In MoDELS Workshops and Symposia, volume 5421 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 229–242. Springer, 2009.
- [Parr 2007] T. Parr. The Definitive ANTLR Reference: Building Domain-Specific Languages. Pragmatic Programmers, 2007.
- [Patrascoiu & Rodgers 2004] O. Patrascoiu and P. Rodgers. Embedding OCL expressions in YATL. In *Proc. OCL and Model-Driven Engineering Workshop*, 2004.

[Pilgrim et al. 2008] J. von Pilgrim, B. Vanhooff, I. Schulz-Gerlach, and Y. Berbers. Constructing and visualizing transformation chains. In Proc. European Conference on the Model Driven Architecture – Foundations and Applications, volume 5095 of LNCS, pages 17–32. Springer, 2008.

- [Pizka & Jürgens 2007] M. Pizka and E. Jürgens. Automating language evolution. In *Proc. Joint IEEE/IFIP Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering (TASE)*, pages 305–315. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- [Porres 2003] I. Porres. Model refactorings as rule-based update transformations. In *Proc. UML*, volume 2863 of *LNCS*, pages 159–174. Springer, 2003.
- [RAE & BCS 2004] The RAE and The BCS. The challenges of complex IT projects. Technical report, The Royal Academy of Engineering, April 2004.
- [Ramil & Lehman 2000] J.F. Ramil and M.M. Lehman. Cost estimation and evolvability monitoring for software evolution processes. In *Proc. Workshop on Empirical Studies of Software Maintenance*, 2000.
- [Ráth et al. 2008] I. Ráth, G. Bergmann, A. Ökrös, and D. Varró. Live model transformations driven by incremental pattern matching. In Proc. ICMT, volume 5063 of LNCS, pages 107–121. Springer, 2008.
- [Rising 2001] L. Rising, editor. Design patterns in communications software. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Rose et al. 2008a] L.M. Rose, R.F. Paige, D.S. Kolovos, and F.A.C. Polack. Constructing models with the Human-Usable Textual Notation. In Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems, volume 5301 of LNCS, pages 249–263. Springer, 2008.
- [Rose et al. 2008b] L.M. Rose, R.F. Paige, D.S. Kolovos, and F.A.C. Polack. The Epsilon Generation Language. In Proc. European Conference on Model Driven Architecture – Foundations and Applications, volume 5095 of LNCS, pages 1–16. Springer, 2008.
- [Rose et al. 2009a] L.M. Rose, D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Enhanced automation for managing model and metamodel inconsistency. In *Proc. ASE*, pages 545–549. ACM Press, 2009.
- [Rose et al. 2009b] L.M. Rose, R.F. Paige, D.S. Kolovos, and F.A.C.s Polack. An analysis of approaches to model migration. In Proc. Joint MoDSE-MCCM Workshop, 2009.

[Rose et al. 2010a] L.M. Rose, A. Etien, D. Méndez, D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Comparing model-metamodel and transformation-metamodel co-evolution. In Proc. ME Workshop, 2010.

- [Rose et al. 2010b] L.M. Rose, M. Herrmannsdoerfer, J.R. Williams, D.S. Kolovos, K. Garcés, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. A comparison of model migration tools. In Proc. MoDELS, volume TBC of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, page TBC. Springer, 2010.
- [Rose et al. 2010c] L.M. Rose, D.S. Kolovos, N. Drivalos, J.R. Williams, R.F. Paige, F.A.C. Polack, and K.J. Fernandes. Concordance: An efficient framework for managing model integrity. In Proc. European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications, volume 6138 of LNCS, pages 62–73. Springer, 2010.
- [Rose et al. 2010d] L.M. Rose, D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Migrating activity diagrams with Epsilon Flock. In *Proc. TTC*, 2010.
- [Rose et al. 2010e] L.M. Rose, D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C. Polack. Model migration case. In *Proc. TTC*, 2010.
- [Rose et al. 2010f] L.M. Rose, D.S. Kolovos, R.F. Paige, and F.A.C Polack. Model migration with Epsilon Flock. In Proc. ICMT, volume 6142 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 184–198. Springer, 2010.
- [Selic 2003] B. Selic. The pragmatics of Model-Driven Development. *IEEE Software*, 20(5):19–25, 2003.
- [Selic 2005] B. Selic. Whats new in UML 2.0? IBM Rational software, 2005.
- [Sendall & Kozaczynski 2003] S. Sendall and W. Kozaczynski. Model transformation: The heart and soul of model-driven software development. *IEEE Software*, 20:42–45, 2003.
- [Sjøberg 1993] D.I.K. Sjøberg. Quantifying schema evolution. *Information & Software Technology*, 35(1):35–44, 1993.
- [Sommerville 2006] I. Sommerville. *Software Engineering*. Addison-Wesley, Boston, Massachusetts, 9th edition, 2006.
- [Sprinkle & Karsai 2004] J. Sprinkle and G. Karsai. A domain-specific visual language for domain model evolution. *Journal of Visual Languages and Computing*, 15(3-4):291–307, 2004.
- [Sprinkle 2003] J. Sprinkle. *Metamodel Driven Model Migration*. PhD thesis, Vanderbilt University, TN, USA, 2003.

[Stahl et al. 2006] T. Stahl, M. Voelter, and K. Czarnecki. Model-Driven Software Development: Technology, Engineering, Management. Wiley, Chichester, 2006.

- [Starfield et al. 1990] M. Starfield, K.A. Smith, and A.L. Bleloch. How to model it: Problem Solving for the Computer Age. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1990.
- [Steinberg et al. 2008] D. Steinberg, F. Budinsky, M. Paternostro, and E. Merks. *EMF: Eclipse Modeling Framework*. Addison-Wesley Professional, Boston, Massachusetts, 2008.
- [Su et al. 2001] H. Su, D. Kramer, L. Chen, K.T. Claypool, and E.A. Rundensteiner. XEM: Managing the evolution of XML documents. In Proc. Workshop on Research Issues in Data Engineering, pages 103–110, 2001.
- [Tisi et al. 2009] M. Tisi, F. Jouault, P. Fraternali, S. Ceri, and J. Bézivin. On the use of higher-order model transformations. In Proc. ECMDA-FA, volume 5562 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 18–33. Springer, 2009.
- [Tratt 2008] L. Tratt. A change propagating model transformation language. Journal of Object Technology, 7(3):107–124, 2008.
- [Varró & Balogh 2007] D. Varró and A. Balogh. The model transformation language of the VIATRA2 framework. Science of Computer Programming, 68(3):187–207, 2007.
- [Vries & Roddick 2004] D. de Vries and J.F. Roddick. Facilitating database attribute domain evolution using meso-data. In *Proc. Workshop on Evolution and Change in Data Management*, pages 429–440, 2004.
- [W3C 2007a] W3C. W3C XML Schema 1.1 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.w3.org/XML/Schema, 2007.
- [W3C 2007b] W3C. World Wide Web Consortium [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: http://www.w3.org/, 2007.
- [Wachsmuth 2007] G. Wachsmuth. Metamodel adaptation and model coadaptation. In *Proc. ECOOP*, volume 4609 of *LNCS*, pages 600–624. Springer, 2007.
- [Wallace 2005] M. Wallace. Modular architectural representation and analysis of fault propagation and transformation. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 141(3):53–71, 2005.
- [Ward 1994] M.P. Ward. Language-oriented programming. Software Concepts and Tools, 15(4):147–161, 1994.

[Watson 2008] A. Watson. A brief history of MDA. Upgrade, 9(2), 2008.

- [Welch & Barnes 2005] P.H. Welch and F.R.M. Barnes. Communicating mobile processes. In *Proc. Symposium on the Occasion of 25 Years of Communicating Sequential Processes (CSP)*, volume 3525 of *LNCS*, pages 175–210. Springer, 2005.
- [Winkler & Pilgrim 2009] S. Winkler and J. von Pilgrim. A survey of traceability in requirements engineering and model-driven development. *Software and Systems Modeling*, December 2009.