

Structures and Processes for Managing Model-Metamodel Co-evolution

Louis Mathew Rose

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy.

University of York,
York,
YO10 5DD

Department of Computer Science

October 2010

Abstract

Software changes over time. During the lifetime of a software system, unintended behaviour must be corrected and new requirements satisfied. Because software changes are costly, tools for automatically managing change are commonplace. Contemporary development environments can automatically perform change management tasks such as impact analysis, refactoring and background compilation.

Increasingly, models and modelling languages are first-class citizens in software development. Model-Driven Engineering (MDE), a state-of-the-art approach to software engineering, prescribes the use of models throughout the software engineering process and uses automated transformations to generate code from models.

Contemporary MDE environments provide little support for managing a type of evolution termed *model-metamodel co-evolution*, in which changes to a modelling language are propagated to models. This thesis demonstrates that model-metamodel co-evolution occurs often in MDE projects, and that dedicated structures and processes for its management increase the productivity and understandability of the development process. Structures and processes for managing model-metamodel co-evolution are proposed, developed, and then evaluated by comparison to existing structures and processes with quantitative and qualitative techniques.

For my Nanna Spence

Contents

Contents	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
Listings	xiii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Model-Driven Engineering	2
1.2 Software Evolution	3
1.3 Motivation: Software Evolution in MDE	4
1.4 Research Hypothesis	4
1.5 Research Method	6
1.6 Research Results	8
1.7 Thesis Structure	8
2 Background	11
2.1 MDE Terminology and Principles	11
2.2 MDE Guidelines and Methods	22
2.3 MDE Tools	27
2.4 Research Relating to MDE	33
2.5 Benefits of and Current Challenges for MDE	36
2.6 Chapter Summary	39
3 Literature Review	41
3.1 Software Evolution Theory	41
3.2 Software Evolution in Practice	46
3.3 Research Challenges	65
3.4 Chapter Summary	66
4 Analysis	69
4.1 Locating Data	70
4.2 Analysing Existing Techniques	77

4.3	Requirements Identification	88
4.4	Chapter Summary	91
5	Implementation	93
5.1	Metamodel-Independent Syntax	93
5.2	Textual Modelling Notation	99
5.3	Analysis of Languages used for Migration	111
5.4	Epsilon Flock: A Model Migration Language	119
5.5	Chapter Summary	130
6	Evaluation	133
6.1	Evaluating User-Driven Co-Evolution	134
6.2	Evaluating Conservative Copy	149
6.3	Evaluating Co-evolution Tools	169
6.4	Transformation Tools Contest	185
6.5	Limitations	200
6.6	Chapter Summary	201
7	Conclusions	201
7.1	Research Contributions	202
7.2	Future Work	205
7.3	Coda	207
A	A Graphical Editor for Process-Oriented Programs	209
A.1	Iteration 1: Processes and Channels	210
A.2	Iteration 2: Interoperability with GMF	211
A.3	Iteration 3: Shared Channels	213
A.4	Iteration 4: Connection Points	215
A.5	Iteration 5: Connection Point Types	219
A.6	Iteration 6: Nested Processes and Channels	222
A.7	Summary	224
B	Co-evolution Examples	225
B.1	Newsgroups Examples	225
B.2	UML Example	230
B.3	GMF Examples	236
C	TTC Results	281
	Bibliography	287

List of Figures

1.1	Overview of the research method.	7
2.1	Jackson's definition of a model	12
2.2	A fragment of the UML metamodel defined in MOF	15
2.3	Exemplar State Machine metamodel.	17
2.4	Exemplar Object-Oriented metamodel.	18
2.5	Interactions between a PIM and several PSMs.	23
2.6	The tiers of standards used as part of MDA.	23
2.7	An EMF model editor for state machines.	29
2.8	EMF's tree-based metamodel editor.	29
2.9	EMF's graphical metamodel editor.	30
2.10	The Emfatic textual metamodel editor for EMF.	31
2.11	GMF state machine model editor.	31
2.12	The architecture of Epsilon	32
3.1	Categories of traceability link	46
3.2	Attribute to association end refactoring in EMF Refactor	56
3.3	Approaches to incremental transformation	57
3.4	Exemplar impact analysis pattern	59
3.5	An exemplar co-evolution process	62
3.6	Visualising a transformation chain	64
4.1	Analysis chapter overview.	69
4.2	Refactoring a reference to a value	75
4.3	Co-evolution activities	78
4.4	Metamodel evolution in the Epsilon FPTC tool	85
4.5	Spectrum of developer-driven co-evolution approaches	88
5.1	Implementation chapter overview.	93
5.2	A generic metamodel.	95
5.3	Minimal MOF metamodel.	95
5.4	Exemplar instantiation of generic metamodel.	97
5.5	Exemplar families metamodel	100
5.6	The architecture of Epsilon HUTN.	104

5.7	Conformance problem reporting in Epsilon HUTN.	109
5.8	Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets)	112
5.9	Mappings between the original and evolved Petri nets metamodels	115
5.10	The metamodel-independent representation used by COPE	117
5.11	The abstract syntax of Flock.	120
5.12	Exemplar Process-Oriented metamodel evolution	124
5.13	Exemplar Process-Oriented model prior to migration	125
5.14	Exemplar UML metamodel evolution	129
6.1	Final version of the prototypical graphical model editor.	137
6.2	The graphical editor at the start of the iteration.	138
6.3	The graphical editor at the end of the iteration.	139
6.4	Process-oriented metamodel evolution.	140
6.5	User-driven co-evolution with EMF	141
6.6	XMI prior to migration	142
6.7	XMI after migration	143
6.8	User-driven co-evolution with dedicated structures	145
6.9	HUTN source prior to migration	146
6.10	HUTN source part way through migration	147
6.11	Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets)	159
6.12	Simplified fragment of the GMF Graph metamodel.	163
6.13	Change Reference to Containment metamodel evolution	167
6.14	Exemplar metamodel evolution (Petri nets)	171
6.15	GMF graph metamodel evolution	173
6.16	Migration tool performance comparison.	182
6.17	Exemplar activity model.	187
6.18	UML 1.4 Activity Graphs	188
6.19	UML 2.2 Activity Diagrams	189
6.20	Migrating Actions for the Core Task	190
6.21	Migrating Actions for Extension 1	191
A.1	The process-oriented metamodel after one iteration.	210
A.2	The process-oriented metamodel after two iterations.	211
A.3	Exemplar diagram after the second iteration.	212
A.4	The process-oriented metamodel after three iterations.	213
A.5	Exemplar migration between the second and third versions of the process-oriented metamodel	214
A.6	The process-oriented metamodel after the fourth iteration.	215
A.7	Exemplar diagram after the fourth iteration.	217
A.8	Exemplar migration between the third and fourth versions of the process-oriented metamodel	218
A.9	The process-oriented metamodel after five iterations.	219
A.10	Exemplar migration between the fourth and fifth versions of the process-oriented metamodel	221

A.11	The process-oriented metamodel after six iterations.	222
A.12	Exemplar diagram after the final iteration.	224
B.1	Newsgroups metamodel during the Extract Person iteration	226
B.2	Newsgroups metamodel during the Resolve Replies iteration	228
B.3	Activities in UML 1.4 and UML 2.2	231
B.4	The Graph metamodel in GMF 1.0 and GMF 2.0	238

List of Tables

4.1	Candidates for study of evolution in existing MDE projects	71
5.1	Properties of model migration approaches	129
6.1	Model operation frequency (analysis examples).	160
6.2	Model operation frequency (evaluation examples).	160
6.3	Summary of comparison criteria.	174
6.4	Summary of tool selection advice	183
6.5	TTC scores for Epsilon Flock (unweighted).	197
C.1	Correctness scores	282
C.2	Conciseness scores	282
C.3	Clarity scores	283
C.4	Appropriateness scores	283
C.5	Tool maturity scores	284
C.6	Reproducibility scores	284
C.7	Extensions scores	285
C.8	Total (equally weighted) scores	285
C.9	Total (weighted) scores	286

Listings

2.1	Exemplar M2M transformation in ETL	17
2.2	Exemplar M2T transformation in EGL	19
2.3	Exemplar T2M transformation in EMFtext	20
2.4	Exemplar model validation in EVL	21
4.1	Migration strategy for the refactoring in pseudo code.	75
5.1	Exemplar person model in XMI	94
5.2	Specifying attributes with HUTN.	101
5.3	Specifying a containment reference with HUTN.	101
5.4	Specifying a simple reference with HUTN.	101
5.5	Using keywords and adjectives in HUTN.	102
5.6	Referencing objects in other packages with HUTN.	102
5.7	Using a reference block in HUTN.	103
5.8	Using an infix reference in HUTN.	103
5.9	Transforming Nodes to PackageObjects with ETL.	105
5.10	A constraint (in EVL) to check that all identifiers are unique. .	106
5.11	Higher-order transformation with EGL	107
5.12	The M2M transformation generated for the Families metamodel	108
5.13	HUTN for people with mothers and fathers.	109
5.14	HUTN for people with parents.	110
5.15	Failure behaviour specified in HUTN.	110
5.16	Fragment of the Petri nets model migration in ATL	114
5.18	Petri nets model migration in COPE	117
5.19	Concrete syntax of migrate and delete rules.	121
5.20	Redefining equivalences for the Component model migration. .	126
5.21	Petri nets model migration in Flock	127
5.22	UML model migration in Flock	127
6.1	Assignment operators in ATL	154
6.2	The Petri nets model migration in ATL	157
6.3	The Petri nets model migration in Groovy-for-COPE	158
6.4	Petri nets model migration in Flock	158
6.5	An extract of the GMF Graph model migration in ATL	162
6.6	Simplified GMF Graph model migration in ATL	165
6.7	Simplified GMF Graph model migration in COPE	165
6.8	Simplified GMF Graph model migration in Flock	166

6.9	Migration for Change Reference to Containment in ATL	168
6.10	Migration for Change Reference to Containment in Flock	169
6.11	Migrating Actions	193
6.12	Migrating FinalStates and Transitions	193
6.13	Migrating Pseudostates	193
6.14	Migrating ActivityGraphs	193
6.15	Migrating Guards	194
6.16	Migrating Partitions	194
6.17	Migrating ObjectFlows	195
6.18	Migrating ObjectFlowStates to a single ObjectFlow	195
6.19	Migrating Partitions without ObjectFlowStates	195
A.1	The annotated process-oriented metamodel after one iteration .	210
A.2	The annotated process-oriented metamodel after two iterations	211
A.3	The annotated process-oriented metamodel after four iterations	215
A.4	The annotated process-oriented metamodel after five iterations	219
A.5	The annotated process-oriented metamodel after six iterations .	222
B.1	The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in ATL	226
B.2	The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in Groovy-for- COPE	227
B.3	The Newsgroup Extract Person model migration in Flock . . .	227
B.4	The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in ATL . . .	228
B.5	The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in Groovy- for-COPE	229
B.6	The Newsgroup Resolve Replies model migration in Flock . . .	229
B.7	UML activity diagram model migration in ATL	230
B.8	UML activity diagram model migration in Groovy-for-COPE .	234
B.9	UML activity diagram model migration in Flock	235
B.10	GMF Graph model migration in ATL	237
B.11	GMF Graph model migration in Groovy-for-COPE	249
B.12	GMF Graph model migration in Flock	250
B.13	GMF Generator model migration in ATL	251
B.14	GMF Generator model migration in Groovy-for-COPE	276
B.15	GMF Generator model migration in Flock	278

Acknowledgements

To be completed.

Author Declaration

Except where stated, all of the work contained in this thesis represents the original contribution of the author. Section 6.3 reports collaborative experiments with model migration tools, and that section makes clear the roles of the author and other participants.

Parts of the work described in this thesis have been previously published by the author in:

- **The Epsilon Generation Language**, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. European Conference on Model Driven Architecture – Foundations and Applications (ECMDA-FA)*, volume 5095 of LNCS, pages 1-16. Springer, 2008.
- **Constructing Models with the Human-Usable Textual Notation**, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MoDELS)*, volume 5301 of LNCS, pages 249-263. Springer, 2008.
- **An Analysis of Approaches to Model Migration**, Louis M. Rose and Richard F. Paige and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Joint Model-Driven Software Evolution and Model Co-evolution and Consistency Management (MoDSE-MCCM) Workshop*, co-located with MoDELS 2009.
- **Enhanced Automation for Managing Model and Meta-model Inconsistency**, Louis M. Rose and Dimitrios S. Kolovos and Richard F. Paige and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on Automated Software Engineering (ASE)*, pages 545-549, ACM Press, 2009.
- **Concordance: An Efficient Framework for Managing Model Integrity**, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Nicholas Drivalos, James. R. Williams, Richard F. Paige, Fiona A.C. Polack, and Kiran J. Fernandes in *Proc. European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications*

(*ECMFA*), volume 6138 of LNCS, pages 62-73. Springer, 2010.

- **Model Migration with Epsilon Flock**, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. International Conference on the Theory and Practice of Model Transformations (ICMT)*, volume 6142 of LNCS, pages 184-198. Springer, 2010.
- **Model Migration Case**, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Transformation Tools Contest (TTC)*, co-located with TOOLS 2010.
- **Migrating Activity Diagrams with Epsilon Flock**, Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack in *Proc. Transformation Tools Contest (TTC)*, co-located with TOOLS 2010.

In addition, the author has contributed to [Kolovos *et al.* 2007a], [Kolovos *et al.* 2007b] and [Paige *et al.* 2009].

Bibliography

- [37-Signals 2008] 37-Signals. Ruby on Rails [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.rubyonrails.org/>, 2008.
- [Ackoff 1962] R.L. Ackoff. *Scientific Method: Optimizing Applied Research Decisions*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1962.
- [Aizenbud-Reshef *et al.* 2005] N. Aizenbud-Reshef, R.F. Paige, J. Rubin, Y. Shaham-Gafni, and D.S. Kolovos. Operational semantics for traceability. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA Workshop on Traceability*, pages 8–14, 2005.
- [Alexander *et al.* 1977] C. Alexander, S. Ishikawa, and M. Silverstein. *A Pattern Language: Towns, Buildings, Construction (Center for Environmental Structure Series)*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1977.
- [Álvarez *et al.* 2001] José Álvarez, Andy Evans, and Paul Sammut. MML and the metamodel architecture. In *Proc. Workshop on Transformation in UML*, 2001.
- [Amyot *et al.* 2006] D. Amyot, H. Farah, and J.-F. Roy. Evaluation of development tools for domain-specific modeling languages. In *Proc. International Workshop on System Analysis and Modeling*, volume 4320 of *LNCs*, page 183197. Springer, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [Apostel 1960] L. Apostel. Towards the formal study of models in the non-formal sciences. *Synthese*, 12:125–161, 1960.
- [Arendt *et al.* 2009] Thorsten Arendt, Florian Mantz, Lars Schneider, and Gabriele Taentzer. Model refactoring in eclipse by LTK, EWL, and EMF Refactor: A case study. In *Proc. Joint MoDSE-MCCM Workshop*, 2009.
- [ATLAS 2007] ATLAS. Atlas Transformation Language Project Website [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/m2m/atlas/>, 2007.
- [Backus 1978] John Backus. The history of FORTRAN I, II and III. *History of Programming Languages*, 1:165–180, 1978.

- [Balazinska *et al.* 2000] Magdalena Balazinska, Ettore Merlo, Michel Dagenais, Bruno Lagüe, and Kostas Kontogiannis. Advanced clone-analysis to support object-oriented system refactoring. In *Proc. Working Conference on Reverse Engineering*, pages 98–107. IEEE Computer Society, 2000.
- [Banerjee *et al.* 1987] Jay Banerjee, Won Kim, Hyoungh-Joo Kim, and Henry F. Korth. Semantics and implementation of schema evolution in object-oriented databases. In *Proc. Special Interest Group on Management of Data*, volume 16, pages 311–322. ACM, 1987.
- [Beck & Cunningham 1989] K. Beck and W. Cunningham. Constructing abstractions for object-oriented applications. *Journal of Object Oriented Programming*, 2, 1989.
- [Bézivin & Gerbé 2001] Jean Bézivin and Olivier Gerbé. Towards a precise definition of the OMG/MDA framework. In *Proc. ASE*, pages 273–280. IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [Bézivin 2005] J. Bézivin. On the unification power of models. *Software and System Modeling*, 4(2):171–188, 2005.
- [Biermann *et al.* 2006] E. Biermann, K. Ehrig, C. Köhler, G. Kuhns, G. Taentzer, and E. Weiss. Emf model refactoring based on graph transformation concepts. *ECEASST*, 3, 2006.
- [Bloch 2005] Joshua Bloch. How to design a good API and why it matters [online]. Keynote address to the LCSD Workshop at OOP-SLA, October 2005, San Diego, United States of America. [Accessed 23 July 2009] Available at: <http://lcsd05.cs.tamu.edu/slides/keynote.pdf>, 2005.
- [Bohner 2002] Shawn A. Bohner. Software change impacts - an evolving perspective. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM)*, pages 263–272. IEEE Computer Society, 2002.
- [Bosch 1998] Jan Bosch. Design patterns as language constructs. *Journal of Object Oriented Programming*, 11(2):18–32, 1998.
- [Briand *et al.* 2003] Lionel C. Briand, Yvan Labiche, and L. O’Sullivan. Impact analysis and change management of uml models. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance (ICSM)*, pages 256–265. IEEE Computer Society, 2003.
- [Brooks 1986] Frederick P. Brooks. No silver bullet – essence and accident in software engineering (invited paper). In *Proc. International Federation for Information Processing*, pages 1069–1076, 1986.

- [Brown *et al.* 1998] W.J. Brown, R.C. Malveau, H.W. McCormick III, and T.J. Mowbray. *Anti Patterns*. Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [Cervelle *et al.* 2006] Julien Cervelle, Rémi Forax, and Gilles Roussel. Tatoo: an innovative parser generator. In *Principles and Practice of Programming in Java*, pages 13–20. ACM, 2006.
- [Ceteva 2008] Ceteva. XMF – the extensible programming language [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.ceteva.com/xmf.html>, 2008.
- [Chen & Chou 1999] J.Y.J. Chen and S.C. Chou. Consistency management in a process environment. *Systems and Software*, 47(2-3):105–110, 1999.
- [Cicchetti *et al.* 2008] Antonio Cicchetti, Davide Di Ruscio, Romina Eramo, and Alfonso Pierantonio. Automating co-evolution in model-driven engineering. In *Proc. EDOC*, pages 222–231. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.
- [Cicchetti 2008] Antonio Cicchetti. *Difference Representation and Conflict Management in Model-Driven Engineering*. PhD thesis, Università degli Studi dell’Aquila, L’Aquila, Italy, 2008.
- [Clark *et al.* 2008] Tony Clark, Paul Sammut, and James Williams. Superlanguages: Developing languages and applications with XMF [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.ceteva.com/docs/Superlanguages.pdf>, 2008.
- [Cleland-Huang *et al.* 2003] J. Cleland-Huang, C.K. Chang, and M. Christensen. Event-based traceability for managing evolutionary change. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 29(9):796–810, 2003.
- [Costa & Silva 2007] M. Costa and A.R. da Silva. RT-MDD framework – a practical approach. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA Workshop on Traceability*, pages 17–26, 2007.
- [Czarnecki & Helsen 2006] K. Czarnecki and S. Helsen. Feature-based survey of model transformation approaches. *IBM Systems Journal*, 45(3):621–646, 2006.
- [Deursen *et al.* 2000] Arie van Deursen, Paul Klint, and Joost Visser. Domain-specific languages: An annotated bibliography. *SIGPLAN Notices*, 35(6):26–36, 2000.
- [Deursen *et al.* 2007] Arie van Deursen, Eelco Visser, and Jos Warmer. Model-driven software evolution: A research agenda. In *Proc. Workshop on Model-Driven Software Evolution*, pages 41–49, 2007.

- [Dig & Johnson 2006a] Danny Dig and Ralph Johnson. Automated upgrading of component-based applications. In *OOPSLA Companion*, pages 675–676, 2006.
- [Dig & Johnson 2006b] Danny Dig and Ralph Johnson. How do APIs evolve? A story of refactoring. *Journal of Software Maintenance and Evolution*, 18(2):83–107, 2006.
- [Dig *et al.* 2006] Danny Dig, Can Comertoglu, Darko Marinov, and Ralph Johnson. Automated detection of refactorings in evolving components. In *Proc. European Conference on Object-Oriented Programming*, volume 4067 of *LNCS*, pages 404–428. Springer, 2006.
- [Dig *et al.* 2007] Danny Dig, Kashif Manzoor, Ralph Johnson, and Tien N. Nguyen. Refactoring-aware configuration management for object-oriented programs. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 427–436. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- [Dig 2007] Daniel Dig. *Automated Upgrading of Component-Based Applications*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, USA, 2007.
- [Dmitriev 2004] S. Dmitriev. Language oriented programming: The next programming paradigm. *JetBrains onBoard [online]*, 1, 2004. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.onboard.jetbrains.com/is1/articles/04/10/lop/>.
- [Drivalos *et al.* 2008] Nicholas Drivalos, Richard F. Paige, Kiran J. Fernandes, and Dimitrios S. Kolovos. Towards rigorously defined model-to-model traceability. In *Proc. European Conference on the Model Driven Architecture Workshop on Traceability*, 2008.
- [Ducasse *et al.* 1999] Stéphane Ducasse, Matthias Rieger, and Serge Demeyer. A language independent approach for detecting duplicated code. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance*, pages 109–118. IEEE Computer Society, 1999.
- [Eclipse 2008a] Eclipse. Eclipse Modeling Framework project [online]. [Accessed 22 January 2009] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/emf/>, 2008.
- [Eclipse 2008b] Eclipse. Eclipse project [online]. [Accessed 20 January 2009] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org>, 2008.
- [Eclipse 2008c] Eclipse. Epsilon home page [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/gmt/epsilon/>, 2008.

- [Eclipse 2008d] Eclipse. Generative Modelling Technologies project [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/gmt>, 2008.
- [Eclipse 2009a] Eclipse. Model Development Tools project [online]. [Accessed 6 January 2008] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/mdt/>, 2009.
- [Eclipse 2009b] Eclipse. UML2 Model Development Tools project [online]. [Accessed 7 September 2009] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/mdt/uml2>, 2009.
- [Eclipse 2010] Eclipse. Connected Data Objects Model Repository Project Website [online]. [Accessed 15 February 2010] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/modeling/emf/?project=cdo#cdo>, 2010.
- [Edelweiss & Moreira 2005] N. Edelweiss and Á.F. Moreira. Temporal and versioning model for schema evolution in object-oriented databases. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, 53(2):99–128, 2005.
- [Elmasri & Navathe 2006] Ramez Elmasri and Shamkant B. Navathe. *Fundamentals of Database Systems*. Addison-Wesley Longman, 2006.
- [Erlikh 2000] Len Erlikh. Leveraging legacy system dollars for e-business. *IT Professional*, 2(3):17–23, 2000.
- [Evans 2004] E. Evans. *Domain-Driven Design: Tackling Complexity In the Heart of Software*. Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, USA, 2004.
- [Feathers 2004] Michael C. Feathers. *Working Effectively with Legacy Code*. Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [Ferrandina *et al.* 1995] Fabrizio Ferrandina, Thorsten Meyer, Roberto Zicari, Guy Ferran, and Joëlle Madec. Schema and database evolution in the O2 object database system. In *Very Large Data Bases*, pages 170–181. Morgan Kaufmann, 1995.
- [Fowler 1999] Martin Fowler. *Refactoring: improving the design of existing code*. Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- [Fowler 2002] Martin Fowler. *Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture*. Addison-Wesley, 2002.
- [Fowler 2005] Martin Fowler. Language workbenches: The killer-app for domain specific languages? [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.martinfowler.com/articles/languageWorkbench.html>, 2005.

- [Fowler 2010] Martin Fowler. *Domain Specific Languages*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2010.
- [Frankel 2002] David Frankel. *Model Driven Architecture: Applying MDA to Enterprise Computing*. Wiley, 2002.
- [Frenzel 2006] Leif Frenzel. The language toolkit: An API for automated refactorings in eclipse-based IDEs [online]. [Accessed 02 August 2010] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/articles/Article-LTK/ltk.html>, 2006.
- [Fritzsche *et al.* 2008] M. Fritzsche, J. Johannes, S. Zschaler, A. Zherebtsov, and A. Terekhov. Application of tracing techniques in Model-Driven Performance Engineering. In *Proc. ECMDA Traceability Workshop (ECMDA-TW)*, pages 111–120, 2008.
- [Fuhrer *et al.* 2007] Robert M. Fuhrer, Adam Kiezun, and Markus Keller. Refactoring in the Eclipse JDT: Past, present, and future. In *Proc. Workshop on Refactoring Tools*, 2007.
- [Gamma *et al.* 1995] Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, and John Vlissides. *Design patterns: elements of reusable object-oriented software*. Addison-Wesley, 1995.
- [Garcés *et al.* 2009] Kelly Garcés, Frédéric Jouault, Pierre Cointe, and Jean Bézivin. Managing model adaptation by precise detection of metamodel changes. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 5562 of *LNCS*, pages 34–49. Springer, 2009.
- [Geiß & Kroll 2007] Rubino Geiß and Moritz Kroll. Grgen.net: A fast, expressive, and general purpose graph rewrite tool. In *Proc. Applications of Graph Transformations with Industrial Relevance (AGTIVE)*, volume 5088 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 568–569. Springer, 2007.
- [Gosling *et al.* 2005] James Gosling, Bill Joy, Guy Steele, and Gilad Bracha. *The JavaTM Language Specification*. Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, USA, 2005.
- [Graham 1993] Paul Graham. *On Lisp: Advanced Techniques for Common Lisp*. Prentice-Hall, 1993.
- [Greenfield *et al.* 2004] Jack Greenfield, Keith Short, Steve Cook, and Stuart Kent. *Software Factories: Assembling Applications with Patterns, Models, Frameworks, and Tools*. Wiley, 2004.
- [Gronback 2009] R.C. Gronback. *Eclipse Modeling Project: A Domain-Specific Language (DSL) Toolkit*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2009.

- [Gruschko *et al.* 2007] Boris Gruschko, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, and Richard F. Paige. Towards synchronizing models with evolving metamodels. In *Proc. Workshop on Model-Driven Software Evolution*, 2007.
- [Guerrini *et al.* 2005] Giovanna Guerrini, Marco Mesiti, and Daniele Rossi. Impact of XML schema evolution on valid documents. In *Proc. Workshop on Web Information and Data Management*, pages 39–44, 2005.
- [Halstead 1977] Maurice H. Halstead. *Elements of Software Science*. Elsevier Science Inc., 1977.
- [Hearnden *et al.* 2006] David Hearnden, Michael Lawley, and Kerry Raymond. Incremental model transformation for the evolution of model-driven systems. In *Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, volume 4199 of *LNCS*, pages 321–335. Springer, 2006.
- [Heidenreich *et al.* 2009] Florian Heidenreich, Jendrik Johannes, Sven Karol, Mirko Seifert, and Christian Wende. Derivation and refinement of textual syntax for models. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 5562 of *LNCS*, pages 114–129. Springer, 2009.
- [Herrmannsdoerfer *et al.* 2008] Markus Herrmannsdoerfer, Sebastian Benz, and Elmar Juergens. Automatability of coupled evolution of metamodels and models in practice. In *Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, volume 5301 of *LNCS*, pages 645–659. Springer, 2008.
- [Herrmannsdoerfer *et al.* 2009a] M. Herrmannsdoerfer, D. Ratiu, and G. Wachsmuth. Language evolution in practice. In *Proc. SLE*, volume 5696 of *LNCS*, pages 3–22. Springer, 2009.
- [Herrmannsdoerfer *et al.* 2009b] Markus Herrmannsdoerfer, Sebastian Benz, and Elmar Juergens. COPE - automating coupled evolution of metamodels and models. In *Proc. ECOOP*, volume 5653 of *LNCS*, pages 52–76. Springer, 2009.
- [Herrmannsdoerfer *et al.* 2010] Markus Herrmannsdoerfer, Sander Vermolen, and Guido Wachsmuth. An extensive catalog of operators for the coupled evolution of metamodels and models. In *Proc. SLE*, volume TBC of *LNCS*, page TBC. Springer, 2010.
- [Hussey & Paternostro 2006] Kenn Hussey and Marcelo Paternostro. Advanced features of EMF. Tutorial at EclipseCon 2006, California, USA. [Accessed 07 September 2009] Available at: <http://www.eclipsecon.org/2006/Sub.do?id=171>, 2006.

- [IBM 2005] IBM. Emfatic Language for EMF Development [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.alphaworks.ibm.com/tech/emfatic>, 2005.
- [INRIA 2007] INRIA. AMMA project page [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://wiki.eclipse.org/AMMA>, 2007.
- [ISO/IEC 1996] Information Technology ISO/IEC. Syntactic metalanguage – Extended BNF. ISO 14977:1996 International Standard, 1996.
- [ISO/IEC 2002] Information Technology ISO/IEC. Z Formal Specification Notation – Syntax, Type System and Semantics. ISO 13568:2002 International Standard, 2002.
- [Jackson 1995] M. Jackson. *Software Requirements and Specifications: A Lexicon of Practice, Principles and Prejudices*. ACM Press, 1995.
- [JetBrains 2008] JetBrains. MPS – Meta Programming System [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.jetbrains.com/mps/index.html>, 2008.
- [Jouault & Kurtev 2005] Frédéric Jouault and Ivan Kurtev. Transforming models with ATL. In *Proc. Satellite Events at the International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, volume 3844 of *LNCS*, pages 128–138. Springer, 2005.
- [Jouault 2005] Frédéric Jouault. Loosely coupled traceability for ATL. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA Workshop on Traceability*, 2005.
- [Jurack & Mantz 2010] Stefan Jurack and Florian Mantz. Towards meta-model evolution of EMF models with Henshin. In *Proc. ME Workshop*, 2010.
- [Kalnins *et al.* 2005] Audris Kalnins, Janis Barzdins, and Edgars Celms. Model transformation language MOLA. In *Proc. Model Driven Architecture, European MDA Workshops: Foundations and Applications MDFA*, volume 3599 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 62–76. Springer, 2005.
- [Kataoka *et al.* 2001] Yoshio Kataoka, Michael D. Ernst, William G. Griswold, and David Notkin. Automated support for program refactoring using invariants. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Maintenance*, pages 736–743. IEEE Computer Society, 2001.
- [Kelly & Tolvanen 2008] Steven Kelly and Juha-Pekka Tolvanen. *Domain-Specific Modelling*. Wiley, 2008.

- [Kerievsky 2004] Joshua Kerievsky. *Refactoring to Patterns*. Addison-Wesley, 2004.
- [Kleppe *et al.* 2003] Anneke G. Kleppe, Jos Warmer, and Wim Bast. *MDA Explained: The Model Driven Architecture: Practice and Promise*. Addison-Wesley, 2003.
- [Klint *et al.* 2003] P. Klint, R. Lämmel, and C. Verhoef. Towards an engineering discipline for grammarware. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering Methodology*, 14:331–380, 2003.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2006a] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Merging models with the epsilon merging language (eml). In *Proc. MoDELS*, volume 4199 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 215–229. Springer, 2006.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2006b] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Model comparison: a foundation for model composition and model transformation testing. In *Proc. Workshop on Global Integrated Model Management*, pages 13–20, 2006.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2006c] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. The Epsilon Object Language (EOL). In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 4066 of *LNCS*, pages 128–142. Springer, 2006.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2007a] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, Fiona A.C. Polack, and Louis M. Rose. Update transformations in the small with the Epsilon Wizard Language. *Journal of Object Technology*, 6(9):53–69, 2007.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2007b] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, Louis M. Rose, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Bridging the Epsilon Wizard Language and the Eclipse Graphical Modeling Framework. In *Proc. Eclipse Summit*, Ludwigsburg, Germany, 2007.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2008a] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona Polack. The Epsilon Transformation Language. In *Proc. ICMT*, volume 5063 of *LNCS*, pages 46–60. Springer, 2008.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2008b] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. On the evolution of OCL for capturing structural constraints in modelling languages. In *Proc. Workshop on Rigorous Methods for Software Construction and Analysis*, 2008.
- [Kolovos *et al.* 2008c] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Scalability : The holy grail of model driven engineering. In *Proc. Workshop on Challenges in Model Driven Engineering*, 2008.

- [Kolovos *et al.* 2009] Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Louis M. Rose. EuGENia: GMF for mortals. Long talk at Eclipse Summit Europe, October 2009, Ludwigsburg, Germany. Available at: https://www.eclipsecon.org/submissions/ese2009/view_talk.php?id=979 [Accessed 12 April 2010], 2009.
- [Kolovos 2009] Dimitrios S. Kolovos. *An Extensible Platform for Specification of Integrated Languages for Model Management*. PhD thesis, University of York, United Kingdom, 2009.
- [Kramer 2001] Diane Kramer. XEM: XML Evolution Management. Master’s thesis, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, MA, USA, 2001.
- [Kurtev 2004] Ivan Kurtev. *Adaptability of Model Transformations*. PhD thesis, University of Twente, Netherlands, 2004.
- [Lago *et al.* 2009] P. Lago, H. Muccini, and H. van Vliet. A scoped approach to traceability management. *Systems and Software*, 82(1):168–182, 2009.
- [Lämmel & Verhoef 2001] R. Lämmel and C. Verhoef. Semi-automatic grammar recovery. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 31(15):1395–1438, 2001.
- [Lämmel 2001] R. Lämmel. Grammar adaptation. In *Proc. Formal Methods for Increasing Software Productivity (FME), International Symposium of Formal Methods Europe*, volume 2021 of *LNCSE*, pages 550–570. Springer, 2001.
- [Lämmel 2002] R. Lämmel. Towards generic refactoring. In *Proc. ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Rule-Based Programming*, pages 15–28. ACM, 2002.
- [Lara & Guerra 2010] Juan de Lara and Esther Guerra. Generic meta-modelling with concepts, templates and mixin layers. In *Proc. MoDELS (1)*, volume 6394 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 16–30. Springer, 2010.
- [Lehman 1969] M.M. Lehman. The programming process. Technical report, IBM Res. Rep. RC 2722, 1969.
- [Lerner 2000] B.S. Lerner. A model for compound type changes encountered in schema evolution. *ACM Transactions on Database Systems*, 25(1):83–127, 2000.
- [Mäder *et al.* 2008] P. Mäder, O. Gotel, and I. Philippow. Rule-based maintenance of post-requirements traceability relations. In *Proc. IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE)*, pages 23–32, 2008.

- [Martin & Martin 2006] R.C. Martin and M. Martin. *Agile Principles, Patterns, and Practices in C#*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2006.
- [McCarthy 1978] J. McCarthy. History of Lisp. *History of Programming Languages*, 1:217–223, 1978.
- [McNeile 2003] Ashley McNeile. MDA: The vision with the hole? [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.metamaxim.com/download/documents/MDAv1.pdf>, 2003.
- [Mellor & Balcer 2002] Stephen J. Mellor and Marc Balcer. *Executable UML: A Foundation for Model-Driven Architectures*. Addison-Wesley Longman, 2002.
- [Melnik 2004] Sergey Melnik. *Generic Model Management: Concepts and Algorithms*. PhD thesis, University of Leipzig, Germany, 2004.
- [Méndez *et al.* 2010] David Méndez, Anne Etien, Alexis Muller, and Rubby Casallas. Towards transformation migration after metamodel evolution. In *Proc. ME Workshop*, 2010.
- [Mens & Demeyer 2007] Tom Mens and Serge Demeyer. *Software Evolution*. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [Mens & Tourwé 2004] Tom Mens and Tom Tourwé. A survey of software refactoring. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 30(2):126–139, 2004.
- [Mens *et al.* 2007] Tom Mens, Gabriele Taentzer, and Dirk Müller. Challenges in model refactoring. In *Proc. Workshop on Object-Oriented Reengineering*, 2007.
- [Merriam-Webster 2010] Merriam-Webster. Definition of Nuclear Family. <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/nuclear%20family>, 2010.
- [Moad 1990] J. Moad. Maintaining the competitive edge. *Datamation*, 36(4):61–66, 1990.
- [Moha *et al.* 2009] Naouel Moha, Vincent Mahé, Olivier Barais, and Jean-Marc Jézéquel. Generic model refactorings. In *Proc. MoDELS*, volume 5795 of *LNCS*, pages 628–643. Springer, 2009.
- [Muller & Hassenforder 2005] Pierre-Alain Muller and Michel Hassenforder. HUTN as a Bridge between ModelWare and GrammarWare. In *Proc. Workshop in Software Modelling Engineering*, 2005.

- [Nentwich *et al.* 2003] C. Nentwich, W. Emmerich, A. Finkelstein, and E. Ellmer. Flexible consistency checking. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 12(1):28–63, 2003.
- [Nguyen *et al.* 2005] Tien Nhut Nguyen, Cheng Thao, and Ethan V. Munson. On product versioning for hypertexts. In *Proc. International Workshop on Software Configuration Management (SCM)*, pages 113–132. ACM, 2005.
- [Nickel *et al.* 2000] Ulrich Nickel, Jörg Niere, and Albert Zündorf. The FU-JABA environment. In *Proc. International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 742–745, New York, NY, USA, 2000. ACM.
- [Northrop 2006] L. Northrop. Ultra-large scale systems: The software challenge of the future. Technical report, Carnegie Mellon, June 2006.
- [Oldevik *et al.* 2005] Jon Oldevik, Tor Neple, Roy Grønmo, Jan Øyvind Aagedal, and Arne-Jørgen Berre. Toward standardised model to text transformations. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 3748 of *LNCS*, pages 239–253. Springer, 2005.
- [Olsen & Oldevik 2007] Gøran K. Olsen and Jon Oldevik. Scenarios of traceability in model to text transformations. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 4530 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 144–156. Springer, 2007.
- [OMG 2001] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 1.4 Specification [online]. [Accessed 15 September 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/1.4/>, 2001.
- [OMG 2004] OMG. Human-Usable Textual Notation 1.0 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/hutn.htm>, 2004.
- [OMG 2005] OMG. MOF QVT Final Adopted Specification [online]. [Accessed 22 July 2009] Available at: www.omg.org/docs/ptc/05-11-01.pdf, 2005.
- [OMG 2006] OMG. Object Constraint Language 2.0 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/ocl.htm>, 2006.
- [OMG 2007a] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 2.1.2 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.1.2/>, 2007.

- [OMG 2007b] OMG. Unified Modelling Language 2.2 Specification [online]. [Accessed 5 March 2010] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/spec/UML/2.2/>, 2007.
- [OMG 2007c] OMG. XML Metadata Interchange 2.1.1 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/technology/documents/formal/xmi.htm>, 2007.
- [OMG 2008a] OMG. Meta-Object Facility [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/mof>, 2008.
- [OMG 2008b] OMG. Model Driven Architecture [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org/mda/>, 2008.
- [OMG 2008c] OMG. Object Management Group home page [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.omg.org>, 2008.
- [Opdyke 1992] William F. Opdyke. *Refactoring Object-Oriented Frameworks*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA, 1992.
- [openArchitectureWare 2007] openArchitectureWare. openArchitectureWare Project Website [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.eclipse.org/gmt/oaw/>, 2007.
- [openArchitectureWare 2008] openArchitectureWare. XPand Language Reference [online]. [Accessed 18 August 2010] Available at: <http://wiki.eclipse.org/AMMA>, 2008.
- [Paige *et al.* 2007] R.F. Paige, P.J. Brooke, and J.S. Ostroff. Metamodel-based model conformance and multiview consistency checking. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology*, 16(3), 2007.
- [Paige *et al.* 2009] Richard F. Paige, Louis M. Rose, Xiaocheng Ge, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, and Phillip J. Brooke. FPTC: Automated safety analysis for domain-specific languages. In *MoDELS Workshops and Symposia*, volume 5421 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 229–242. Springer, 2009.
- [Parr 2007] Terence Parr. *The Definitive ANTLR Reference: Building Domain-Specific Languages*. Pragmatic Programmers, 2007.
- [Patrascoiu & Rodgers 2004] Octavian Patrascoiu and Peter Rodgers. Embedding OCL expressions in YATL. In *Proc. OCL and Model-Driven Engineering Workshop*, 2004.

- [Pilgrim *et al.* 2008] Jens von Pilgrim, Bert Vanhooft, Immo Schulz-Gerlach, and Yolande Berbers. Constructing and visualizing transformation chains. In *Proc. European Conference on the Model Driven Architecture – Foundations and Applications*, volume 5095 of *LNCS*, pages 17–32. Springer, 2008.
- [Pizka & Jürgens 2007] M. Pizka and E. Jürgens. Automating language evolution. In *Proc. Joint IEEE/IFIP Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Software Engineering (TASE)*, pages 305–315. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
- [Porres 2003] Ivan Porres. Model refactorings as rule-based update transformations. In *Proc. UML*, volume 2863 of *LNCS*, pages 159–174. Springer, 2003.
- [RAE & BCS 2004] The RAE and The BCS. The challenges of complex IT projects. Technical report, The Royal Academy of Engineering, April 2004.
- [Ramil & Lehman 2000] Juan F. Ramil and Meir M. Lehman. Cost estimation and evolvability monitoring for software evolution processes. In *Proc. Workshop on Empirical Studies of Software Maintenance*, 2000.
- [Ráth *et al.* 2008] István Ráth, Gábor Bergmann, András Ökrös, and Dániel Varró. Live model transformations driven by incremental pattern matching. In *Proc. ICMT*, volume 5063 of *LNCS*, pages 107–121. Springer, 2008.
- [Rising 2001] Linda Rising, editor. *Design patterns in communications software*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [Rose *et al.* 2008a] Louis M. Rose, Richard F. Paige, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Constructing models with the Human-Usable Textual Notation. In *Proc. International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, volume 5301 of *LNCS*, pages 249–263. Springer, 2008.
- [Rose *et al.* 2008b] Louis M. Rose, Richard F. Paige, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, and Fiona A.C. Polack. The Epsilon Generation Language. In *Proc. European Conference on Model Driven Architecture – Foundations and Applications*, volume 5095 of *LNCS*, pages 1–16. Springer, 2008.
- [Rose *et al.* 2009a] Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Enhanced automation for managing model and metamodel inconsistency. In *Proc. ASE*, pages 545–549. ACM Press, 2009.

- [Rose *et al.* 2009b] Louis M. Rose, Richard F. Paige, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, and Fiona A.C. Polack. An analysis of approaches to model migration. In *Proc. Joint MoDSE-MCCM Workshop*, 2009.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010a] Louis M. Rose, Anne Etien, David Méndez, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Comparing model-metamodel and transformation-metamodel co-evolution. In *Proc. ME Workshop*, 2010.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010b] Louis M. Rose, Markus Herrmannsdoerfer, James R. Williams, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Kelly Garcés, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. A comparison of model migration tools. In *Proc. MoDELS*, volume TBC of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, page TBC. Springer, 2010.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010c] Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Nicholas Drivalos, James R. Williams, Richard F. Paige, Fiona A.C. Polack, and Kiran J. Fernandes. Concordance: An efficient framework for managing model integrity [submitted to]. In *Proc. European Conference on Modelling Foundations and Applications*, volume 6138 of *LNCS*, pages 62–73. Springer, 2010.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010d] Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Migrating activity diagrams with Epsilon Flock. In *Proc. TTC*, 2010.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010e] Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Model migration case. In *Proc. TTC*, 2010.
- [Rose *et al.* 2010f] Louis M. Rose, Dimitrios S. Kolovos, Richard F. Paige, and Fiona A.C. Polack. Model migration with Epsilon Flock. In *Proc. ICMT*, volume 6142 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 184–198. Springer, 2010.
- [Selic 2003] B. Selic. The pragmatics of Model-Driven Development. *IEEE Software*, 20(5):19–25, 2003.
- [Selic 2005] B. Selic. Whats new in UML 2.0? *IBM Rational software*, 2005.
- [Sendall & Kozaczynski 2003] S. Sendall and W. Kozaczynski. Model transformation: The heart and soul of model-driven software development. *IEEE Software*, 20:42–45, 2003.
- [Sjøberg 1993] Dag I.K. Sjøberg. Quantifying schema evolution. *Information & Software Technology*, 35(1):35–44, 1993.
- [Sommerville 2006] Ian Sommerville. *Software Engineering*. Addison-Wesley Longman, 2006.

- [Sprinkle & Karsai 2004] Jonathan Sprinkle and Gábor Karsai. A domain-specific visual language for domain model evolution. *Journal of Visual Languages and Computing*, 15(3-4):291–307, 2004.
- [Sprinkle 2003] Jonathan Sprinkle. *Metamodel Driven Model Migration*. PhD thesis, Vanderbilt University, TN, USA, 2003.
- [Stahl *et al.* 2006] Thomas Stahl, Markus Voelter, and Krzysztof Czarnecki. *Model-Driven Software Development: Technology, Engineering, Management*. Wiley, 2006.
- [Starfield *et al.* 1990] M. Starfield, K.A. Smith, and A.L. Bleloch. *How to model it: Problem Solving for the Computer Age*. McGraw-Hill, 1990.
- [Steinberg *et al.* 2008] Dave Steinberg, Frank Budinsky, Marcelo Paternostro, and Ed Merks. *EMF: Eclipse Modeling Framework*. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2008.
- [Su *et al.* 2001] Hong Su, Diane Kramer, Li Chen, Kajal T. Claypool, and Elke A. Rundensteiner. XEM: Managing the evolution of XML documents. In *Proc. Workshop on Research Issues in Data Engineering*, pages 103–110, 2001.
- [Tisi *et al.* 2009] Massimo Tisi, Frédéric Jouault, Piero Fraternali, Stefano Ceri, and Jean Bézivin. On the use of higher-order model transformations. In *Proc. ECMDA-FA*, volume 5562 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 18–33. Springer, 2009.
- [Tratt 2008] L. Tratt. A change propagating model transformation language. *Journal of Object Technology*, 7(3):107–124, 2008.
- [Varró & Balogh 2007] D. Varró and A. Balogh. The model transformation language of the VIATRA2 framework. *Science of Computer Programming*, 68(3):187–207, 2007.
- [Vries & Roddick 2004] Denise de Vries and John F. Roddick. Facilitating database attribute domain evolution using meso-data. In *Proc. Workshop on Evolution and Change in Data Management*, pages 429–440, 2004.
- [W3C 2007a] W3C. W3C XML Schema 1.1 Specification [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.w3.org/XML/Schema>, 2007.
- [W3C 2007b] W3C. World Wide Web Consortium [online]. [Accessed 30 June 2008] Available at: <http://www.w3.org/>, 2007.
- [Wachsmuth 2007] Guido Wachsmuth. Metamodel adaptation and model co-adaptation. In *Proc. ECOOP*, volume 4609 of *LNCS*, pages 600–624. Springer, 2007.

- [Wallace 2005] M. Wallace. Modular architectural representation and analysis of fault propagation and transformation. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 141(3):53–71, 2005.
- [Ward 1994] Martin P. Ward. Language-oriented programming. *Software — Concepts and Tools*, 15(4):147–161, 1994.
- [Watson 2008] A. Watson. A brief history of MDA. *Upgrade*, 9(2), 2008.
- [Welch & Barnes 2005] Peter H. Welch and Fred R. M. Barnes. Communicating mobile processes. In *Proc. Symposium on the Occasion of 25 Years of Communicating Sequential Processes (CSP)*, volume 3525 of *LNCS*, pages 175–210. Springer, 2005.
- [Winkler & Pilgrim 2009] S. Winkler and J. von Pilgrim. A survey of traceability in requirements engineering and model-driven development. *Software and Systems Modeling*, December 2009.