

## Teamwork Reflection

Overall, as a team we are pleased with the results of our efforts. Although not every feature proposed was implemented, the final product was robust and comprehensive. The important features were included in the final product, and they were included in an exemplary manner. The final product is an application we can be proud of, and the development of it was a pleasure as it was undertaken in a very professional and respectful manner. Below are more in depth comments regarding specific areas of the development process:

### Design

The design documentation produced was in our opinion extremely comprehensive and provided an excellent basis off of which we could work effectively. It was important to us that everyone's opinion was included in the creation of the design document, and we believe that the content of the design document reflects choices made collectively as a team. In that regard, the design documentation was truly a team effort, motivating everyone to put in a good amount of effort moving forward.

The only critique regarding the design documentation was that perhaps we did not update it as often as we should. During the latter stages of development, design choices were made that moved the final product away from the product envisioned in the design document. However, due to time and effort constraints the document was never updated to reflect these new decisions.

### Code Development

The code that was produced was functional and has proven to be robust. From that aspect, we can be proud that we produced such a well made product. Furthermore, the code

again reflects a total team effort. Everyone played an important role in the development of the final product and implemented different features and areas of the application.

Regarding the code, there are some critiques that we can learn from moving forward. First, in retrospect perhaps the code was not as well commented as it could have been. However, this is mitigated by the understandability of our code due to the good choices of names and thorough abstraction. Another critique is that the code is not as homogenous as perhaps it could be. Different areas of the code reflect the fact that it was produced by different individuals - different individuals chose to use different techniques to implement similar functionality (links, forms, etc.). Finally, as noted the final product does not include all of the features we had hoped to implement. However, as a team we understood what features were necessary and strived to work towards implementing the most important features.

### Teamwork

It is with great pride that we can honestly say we worked extremely well as team. Every member was professional and treated one another with the respect that is necessary in such an environment. All of the decisions were made as a team and the work was equally shared. Any difficulties we encountered (someone not being able to meet a deadline, etc.) were dealt with professionally and solved quickly. Team meetings were conducted in an efficient manner and real progress was made at each one. The only possible critique is that in some regard we may have left things until the very end, but that is to be expected in such an academic environment. Overall, it was a pleasure to work on this project with this team.