

Notes of the Introduction To Algorithms

Kai Zhao

August 2, 2016

Contents

I	Foundations	5
1	The Role of Algorithms in Computing	7
1.1	Algorithms	8
1.2	Algorithms as a technology	9
2	Getting Started	11
3	Growth of Functions	13
4	Divide-and-conquer	15
5	Probabilistic Analysis and Randomized Algorithms	17
II	Sorting and Order Statistics	19
6	Heapsort	21

III	Data Structures	23
IV	Advanced Design and Analysis Techniques	25
V	Advanced Data Structures	27
VI	Graph Algorithms	29
7	Minimum Spanning Tree	31
7.1	Notes	32
7.2	Growing a minimum spanning tree	32
7.2.1	Definition	32
7.2.2	Generic-MST	32
7.2.3	Theorem 1.	33
7.2.4	Exercises	33
VII	Selected Topics	35
VIII	Appendix: Mathematical Background	37

Part I

Foundations

Chapter 1

The Role of Algorithms in Computing

1.1 Algorithms

Exercies

1.1-1 Give a real-world example that requires sorting or a real-world example that requires computing a convex hull.

Answer: One example that requires sorting is that teachers will sort our scores after the exam.

1.1-2 Other than speed, what other measures of efficiency might one use in a real-world setting ?

Answer: cost, space, manpower, material resources. In different cases, each can be the key of measures of efficiency.

Reference: <https://www.quora.com/Other-than-speed-what-other-measures-of-efficiency-might-one-use-in-a-real-world-setting>

1.1-3 Select a data structure that you have seen previously, and discuss its strengths and limitations.

Answer: Array

strengths: access directly

limitations: costs lot when insert or delete

1.1-4 How are the [shortest-path](#) and [traveling-salesman](#) problems given [similar](#)? How they are [different](#)?

Answer:

1.1-5 Come up with a real-world problem in which only the best solution will do. Then come up with one in which a solution that is "approximately" the best is good enough.

Answer:

1.2 Algorithms as a technology

Chapter 2

Getting Started

Chapter 3

Growth of Functions

Chapter 4

Divide-and-conquer

Chapter 5

Probabilistic Analysis and Randomized Algorithms

Part II

Sorting and Order Statistics

Chapter 6

Heapsort

Part III

Data Structures

Part IV

Advanced Design and Analysis Techniques

Part V

Advanced Data Structures

Part VI

Graph Algorithms

Chapter 7

Minimum Spanning Tree

7.1 Notes

- (i) There may be more than one MST in a forest.
- (ii) The number of all the edges in the MST is equal to $V - 1$.

7.2 Growing a minimum spanning tree

7.2.1 Definition

A

A is a subset of some minimum spanning tree.

Safe edge

Safe edge is an edge that can be added to A and A is also a subset of some minimum spanning tree.

7.2.2 Generic-MST

GENERIC-MST(G, w)

```

1  $A = \emptyset$ 
2 while A does not form a spanning tree
3   find an edge  $(u, v)$  that is safe edge for A
4    $A = A \cup \{(u, v)\}$ 
5 return A
```

Initialization: After line 1, the set A trivially satisfies the loop invariant.

Maintenance: The loop in lines 2-4 maintains the invariant by adding only safe edges.

Termination: All edges added to A are in a minimum spanning tree, and so the set A returned in line 5 must be a minimum spanning tree.

7.2.3 Theorem 1.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected, undirected graph with a real-valued weight function ω defined on E . Let A be a subset of E that is included in some minimum spanning tree for G , let $(S, V - S)$ be any cut of G that respects A , and let (u, v) be a light edge crossing $(S, V - S)$. Then, edge (u, v) is **safe** for A . **Namely, $A \cup (u, v)$ is also included in some minimum spanning tree for G .**

Proof Let T be a minimum spanning tree that includes A , and **assume that T does not contain the light edge (u, v)** , since if it does, the edge is obviously **safe** for A . We shall construct another minimum spanning tree T' that includes $A \cup (u, v)$ by using cut-and-paste technique, thereby showing that (u, v) is a **safe** edge for A .

The edge (u, v) forms a **cycle** with the edges on the simple path p from u to v in T . Since u and v are on opposite sides of the cut $(S, V - S)$, at least one edge in T lies on the simple path p and also crosses the cut. Let (x, y) be any such edge. The edge (x, y) is not in A , because the cut respects A . Since (x, y) is on the unique simple path from u to v in T , removing (x, y) breaks T into two components. Adding (u, v) reconnects them to form a new spanning tree $T' = T - \{(x, y)\} \cup \{(u, v)\}$.

We next show that T' is a minimum spanning tree. Since (u, v) is a light edge crossing $(S, V - S)$ and (x, y) also crosses this cut, $w(u, v) \leq w(x, y)$. Therefore, $w(T') = w(T) - w(x, y) + w(u, v) \leq w(T)$.

When $w(T') = w(T)$, we know that T' is also a minimum spanning tree, so the edge (u, v) is **safe** for A .

When $w(T') < w(T)$, since we let T be a minimum spanning tree and **assume** that T does not contain the light edge (u, v) . Therefore, the **assume** is false, so T must contain the light edge (u, v) , and the edge (u, v) is **safe** for A .

7.2.4 Exercises

23.1-1

Let (u, v) be a minimum-weight edge in a connected graph G . Show that (u, v) belongs to some minimum spanning tree of G .

Solution

Let E_u be all the edges that connected to the point u .

- a. If there is only one edge connected to the point u , the edge belongs to **all** the minimum spanning tree of G .
- b. If there is more than one edge connected to the point u , we assume that (u, v) is not in any minimum spanning trees of G . There must be one edge (u, x) $x \neq v$ that is in some minimum spanning tree of G , since $w(u, v) < w(u, x)$, therefore, the edge (u, x) can not be in some minimum spanning tree of G . So there is conflict and the assume is false. So, the (u, v) belongs to some minimum spanning tree of G .

23.1-2

Professor Sabatier conjectures the following converse of Theorem 1. in Minimum Spanning Tree. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected, undirected graph with a real-valued weight function w defined on E . Let A be a subset of E that is included in some minimum spanning tree for G , let $(S, V - S)$ be any cut of G that respects A , and let (u, v) be a safe edge for A crossing $(S, V - S)$. Then, (u, v) is a light edge for the cut. Show that the professor's conjecture is incorrect by giving a counterexample.

Solution

- a. Here is a special case, the point v of (u, v) only has one edge, and $w(u, v)$ is the largest, let (x, y) be any other edge that crosses the cut, obviously, (u, v) is not a light edge for the cut.
- b. Here is a generic case, assume that there is a light edge (u', v') crossing the cut, so $w(u', v') < w(u, v)$. TODO

TODO: Prim -> Kruskal TODO: Kruskal -> Prim

Part VII

Selected Topics

Part VIII

Appendix: Mathematical Background

