

Laplacian Operator (II)

4. THIRD COVARIANT DERIVATIVES OF FUNCTION

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame on M . Suppose f is a smooth real-valued function on M . Recall that the first and second covariant derivatives of f are defined by

$$\nabla f = f_j e_j \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 f = f_{ij} \omega_j \otimes e_i = (df_i + f_j \omega_{ji}) \otimes e_i$$

Let $S = \nabla^2 f$. Note that

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y) = \nabla_X(S(Y)) - S(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y(\nabla f) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}(\nabla f),$$

$$(\nabla_Y S)(X) = \nabla_Y(S(X)) - S(\nabla_Y X) = \nabla_Y \nabla_X(\nabla f) - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X}(\nabla f).$$

Therefore,

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y) - (\nabla_Y S)(X) = R(X, Y) \nabla f. \quad (1)$$

We are computing for ∇S as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla S &= \nabla(f_{ij} \omega_j \otimes e_i) \\ &= df_{ij} \omega_j \otimes e_i + f_{ij} d\omega_j \otimes e_i - f_{ij} \omega_j \wedge \nabla e_i \\ &= df_{ij} \omega_j \otimes e_i + f_{ij} (\omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_k) \otimes e_i - f_{ij} \omega_j \wedge (\omega_{ik} \otimes e_k) \\ &= (df_{ij} + f_{ik} \omega_{kj} + f_{kj} \omega_{ki}) \wedge \omega_j \otimes e_i. \end{aligned}$$

If we write $\nabla^3 f = f_{ijk} \omega_k \wedge \omega_j \otimes e^i$, then we have

$$f_{ijk} \omega_k = df_{ij} + f_{ip} \omega_{pj} + f_{qj} \omega_{qi}. \quad (2)$$

In the following, we justify the equalities

$$f_{ij} = g(\nabla_{e_j}(\nabla f), e_i) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{ijk} = g((\nabla S)(e_k, e_j), e_i).$$

They clarify that the definition of covariant derivatives here match our usual understanding.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_j}(\nabla f) &= \nabla_{e_j}(f_k e_k) \\ &= e_j e_k(f) e_k + f_k \nabla_{e_j} e_k \\ &= e_j e_k(f) e_k + f_k \Gamma_{jk}^l e_l \\ &= (e_j e_i(f) + f_k \Gamma_{jk}^i) e_i \\ &= f_{ij} e_i \end{aligned}$$

So the first identity is proven. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla S)(e_k, e_j) &= (\nabla_{e_k}(\nabla^2 f))(e_j) \\
&= \nabla_{e_k}(\nabla_{e_j}(\nabla f)) - (\nabla^2 f)(\nabla_{e_k} e_j) \\
&= \nabla_{e_k}(f_{ij} e_i) - \Gamma_{kj}^l \nabla_{e_l}(\nabla f) \\
&= e_k(f_{ij}) e_i + f_{ij} \Gamma_{ki}^l e_l - \Gamma_{kj}^l f_{pl} e_p \\
&= (e_k(f_{ij}) + f_{pj} \Gamma_{kp}^i - f_{ip} \Gamma_{kj}^p) e_i \\
&= (df_{ij}(e_k) + f_{pj} \omega_{pi}(e_k) + f_{ip} \omega_{pj}(e_k)) e_i \\
&= f_{ijk} e_i .
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the second identity also holds. In equation (1), we could put $X = e_k$ and $Y = e_j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{e_k} S)(e_j) - (\nabla_{e_j} S)(e_k) &= R(e_k, e_j) \nabla f \\
\implies f_{ijk} e_i - f_{ikj} e_i &= f_p R_{kjpq} e_q
\end{aligned}$$

By comparison, we have

$$f_{ijk} - f_{ikj} = f_p R_{ipjk} . \quad (3)$$

This identity is called the Ricci identity.

5. COVARIANT DERIVATIVES OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

The covariant derivatives of the covectors ω_j in the dual frame can be found by:

$$(\nabla_X \omega_j)(e_i) = X(\omega_j(e_i)) - \omega_j(\nabla_X e_i) = -\omega_j(\nabla_X e_i) .$$

So we have $\nabla_X(\omega_j) = -\omega_j(\nabla_X e_i) \omega_i$ and hence

$$\nabla(\omega_j) = -\Gamma_{ki}^j \omega_k \otimes \omega_i = -\omega_{ij} \otimes \omega_i = \omega_{ji} \otimes \omega_i .$$

Let ω be a p -form on the n -dimensional manifold M . We may let

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p=1}^n a_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} ,$$

assuming that $a_{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} i_p} \neq 0$ only when $i_p < i_{p-1} < \dots < i_1$.

For any vector X , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X \omega &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p}(X) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} (\nabla_X(\omega_{i_p})) \wedge \omega_{i_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge (\nabla_X(\omega_{i_{p-1}})) \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge (\nabla_X(\omega_{i_1})) \\
&= da_{i_1 \dots i_p}(X) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p j_p}(X) \omega_{j_p} \wedge \omega_{i_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_{p-1} j_{p-1}}(X) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \omega_{j_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \dots + a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_1 j_1}(X) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_{p-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

We would write

$$\nabla_X \omega = \left(da_{i_1 \dots i_p} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \omega_{j_r i_r} \right) (X) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla \omega = \left(da_{i_1 \dots i_p} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \omega_{j_r i_r} \right) \otimes \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}. \quad (4)$$

If we let $\nabla \omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1})$, it results in

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j = da_{i_1 \dots i_p} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \omega_{j_r i_r}.$$

The coefficient $a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}$ is found by

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} = e_j(a_{i_1 \dots i_p}) + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r}.$$

For the moment, we may define every coefficient $a_{j_1 \dots j_p}$ by

$$a_{j_1 \dots j_p} = \det \left[\omega_{j_r}(e_{i_s}) \right] a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$$

where (i_1, \dots, i_p) is a rearrangement of (j_1, \dots, j_p) such that $i_p < i_{p-1} < \dots < i_1$. As a result

$$\omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}.$$

Note that we have

$$\omega(e_{j_p}, \dots, e_{j_1}) = \det \left[\omega_{j_r}(e_{i_s}) \right] \omega(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) = \det \left[\omega_{j_r}(e_{i_s}) \right] a_{i_1 \dots i_p} = a_{j_1 \dots j_p}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{e_j} \omega)(e_{i_p}, e_{i_{p-1}}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \\ &= e_j \left(\omega(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \right) - \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(e_{i_p}, \dots, \nabla_{e_j} e_{i_r}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \\ &= e_k(a_{j_1 \dots j_p}) - \Gamma_{jj_r}^{j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \\ &= e_k(a_{j_1 \dots j_p}) + \Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \\ &= a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}. \end{aligned}$$

In the symmetric representation of a differential form, we validate that

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} = (\nabla_{e_j} \omega)(e_{i_p}, e_{i_{p-1}}, \dots, e_{i_1}).$$

If we define $\omega = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}$ note that

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} da_{i_1 \dots i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \sum_r (-1)^{p-r} a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega_{i_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum da_{i_1 \dots i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum (-1)^{p-r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p} \omega_{i_r j_r} \wedge \omega_{j_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum da_{i_1 \dots i_p} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p} \omega_{i_r j_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum \left(da_{i_1 \dots i_p} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \omega_{j_r i_r} \right) \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p, k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$d\omega = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_p, k} a_{i_1 \dots i_p, k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .$$

For any vector field Y , $\nabla_Y \omega$ is a p -form on M . The second covariant derivative of ω is found by

$$(\nabla_X \nabla \omega)(Y) = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y \omega) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \omega.$$

Compute for the first term.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y \omega))(u_1, \dots, u_p) \\ = & X\left((\nabla_Y \omega)(u_1, \dots, u_p)\right) - \sum_{r=1}^p (\nabla_Y \omega)(u_1, \dots, \nabla_X u_r, \dots, u_p) \\ = & X\left(Y\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, u_p)\right)\right) - \sum_{s=1}^p X\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_Y u_s, \dots, u_p)\right) \\ & - \sum_{r=1}^p Y\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_X u_r, \dots, u_p)\right) + \sum_{r \neq s} \omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_X u_r, \dots, \nabla_Y u_s, \dots, u_p) \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_Y \nabla_X u_r, \dots, u_p) \end{aligned}$$

Compute for the second term.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \omega)(u_1, \dots, u_p) \\ = & (\nabla_X Y)\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, u_p)\right) - \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} u_r, \dots, u_p) \end{aligned}$$

Note that by symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y \omega) - \nabla_Y(\nabla_X \omega))(u_1, \dots, u_p) \\ = & [X, Y]\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, u_p)\right) + \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, (\nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_X \nabla_Y)u_r, \dots, u_p). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \omega - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} \omega)(u_1, \dots, u_p) \\ = & [X, Y]\left(\omega(u_1, \dots, u_p)\right) - \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, \nabla_{[X, Y]} u_r, \dots, u_p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\nabla_X \nabla \omega)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \nabla \omega)(X))(u_1, \dots, u_p) \\ = & \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, (\nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_X \nabla_Y + \nabla_{[X, Y]})u_r, \dots, u_p) \\ = & \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, R(Y, X)u_r, \dots, u_p). \end{aligned}$$

We summary this result as Equation (6).

$$\left((\nabla_X \nabla \omega)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \nabla \omega)(X) \right) (u_1, \dots, u_p) = \sum_{r=1}^p \omega(u_1, \dots, R(Y, X)u_r, \dots, u_p). \quad (5)$$

We are now ready to compute for the coefficients of the tensor $\nabla^2 \omega$. Let ω be the p -form

$$\omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}.$$

$\nabla \omega$ can be expressed as

$$\nabla \omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}).$$

Take the covariant derivative of $\nabla \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\nabla \omega) &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} d\omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &\quad - a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j \wedge \nabla(\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_{jk} \wedge \omega_k \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_r j_r} \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \omega_{sj} \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \omega_{j_r i_r} \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \\ &= \left(da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \omega_{sj} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \omega_{j_r i_r} \right) \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}). \end{aligned}$$

If we write $\nabla^2 \omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk} \omega_k \wedge \omega_j \otimes (\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1})$, then we have

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk} \omega_k = da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \omega_{sj} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \omega_{j_r i_r}. \quad (6)$$

If we assume that $a_{i_1 \dots i_p} = \omega(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1})$ as before, we may justify that

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk} = ((\nabla_{e_k} \nabla \omega)(e_j))(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}).$$

Expanding the right hand side of the equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &((\nabla_{e_k} \nabla \omega)(e_j))(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \\ &= e_k \left((\nabla_{e_j} \omega)(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \right) - (\nabla_{\nabla_{e_k} e_j} \omega)(e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) - \sum_{r=1}^p (\nabla_{e_j} \omega)(e_{i_p}, \dots, \nabla_{e_k} e_{i_r}, \dots, e_{i_1}) \\ &= e_k(a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}) - a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \Gamma_{kj}^s - \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \Gamma_{k i_r}^{j_r} \\ &= e_k(a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \Gamma_{ks}^j + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \Gamma_{k j_r}^{i_r} \\ &= a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk}. \end{aligned}$$

Put the above identity to Equation (5).

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\nabla_{e_k} \nabla \omega)(e_j) - (\nabla_{e_j} \nabla \omega)(e_k) \right) (e_{i_p}, \dots, e_{i_1}) &= a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk} - a_{i_1 \dots i_p, kj} \\ \sum_{r=1}^p \omega \left(e_{i_p}, \dots, R(e_j, e_k) e_{i_r}, \dots, e_{i_1} \right) &= \sum_{r, j_r} R_{jk i_r j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Equation (5) becomes:

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jk} - a_{i_1 \dots i_p, kj} = \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} R_{jk i_r j_r} \quad (7)$$

when ω is in the symmetric representation.

6. CODIFFERENTIAL OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

When (M, g) is an n -dimensional manifold, it has a positive volume form

$$\Omega = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n.$$

The Hodge star operator $*$ on M sends any p form to an $(n-p)$ form,

$$*(\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) = \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \omega_{i_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{p+1}}.$$

Here we set the p -tuple $I = (i_p, \dots, i_1)$. I^c is the complement of I , defined by (i_n, \dots, i_{p+1}) such that the set $\{i_1, \dots, i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_n\}$ coincides with $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, we let

$$(\omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}) \wedge (\omega_{i_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{p+1}}) = \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \Omega.$$

As a remark, for every unordered combination of p elements in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, there is a unique ordered permutation $I = (i_p, i_{p-1}, \dots, i_1)$ such that $i_p < i_{p-1} < \dots < i_1$. Then, we may specify a complementary tuple of I , $I^c = (i_n, \dots, i_{p+1})$ with $i_n < i_{n-1} < \dots < i_{p+1}$. Under this approach, we could specify I^c without ambiguity.

The codifferential operator δ sends a p -form to a $(p-1)$ -form through

$$\delta \omega = (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d * \omega.$$

Given that $\omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}$, we may prove that

$$\delta \omega = \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{r-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}. \quad (8)$$

For simplicity, we assume that the p -tuple $I = (k_p, \dots, k_1)$ is fixed, so ω is defined by

$$\omega = a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1}.$$

The right hand side of Equation (8) is expanded as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{r-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_{r, i_r} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{i_r} (a_{i_1 \dots i_p}) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{r-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r, i_r} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} \sum_{s, j_s} (a_{i_1 \dots j_s \dots i_p} \Gamma_{i_r j_s}^{i_s}) \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_{r+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_{r-1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} \sum_{s \neq r} (a_{k_1 \dots j_s \dots k_r \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r j_s}^{i_s}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_s} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} (a_{k_1 \dots j_r \dots k_p} \Gamma_{i_r j_r}^{i_r}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{(r,s): r \neq s} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_s \dots k_r \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{i_s} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_s} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{i_r k_r}^{i_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \neq s} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_r} (-1)^{r-s+1} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \neq s} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_{\alpha(s)}} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{\alpha(s)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{i_r k_r}^{i_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \neq s} (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_{\alpha(s)}} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{\alpha(s)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^p (-1)^{p^2+s} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{i_r k_r}^{i_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the right hand side becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r \neq s \\ r=1 \\ p}}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_\alpha} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(s)} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{r+p^2+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_r}^{k_\alpha} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the second term above, $\omega_{k_\alpha}^{(s)}$ means that the term ω_{k_α} lies in the s -th position of the wedge product. In order to justify Equation (8), we specify the complement of the p -tuple I as

$$I^c = (k_n, k_{n-1}, \dots, k_{p+1}).$$

Immediately, we have

$$* \omega = a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
d * \omega &= \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) da_{k_1 \dots k_p} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^n a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) (-1)^{n-\alpha} \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^n (-1)^{n-\alpha} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \omega_{k_\alpha j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^n (-1)^{n-\alpha} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{m k_\alpha}^j \omega_m \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha=p+1}^n (-1)^{n-\alpha} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^j \omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha} (-1)^{n-\alpha} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^j \omega_{k_s} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r} (a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{r, \alpha \\ r \neq \alpha}} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s \neq r} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) (-1)^{n-\alpha} \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_s} \wedge \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We then compute for $(-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * d * \omega$. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * \left(\sum_{r=1}^p \text{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r}(a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_r \left[(-1)^{n(p+1)+1} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) e_{k_r}(a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \text{sgn}\left((k_r, k_n, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_p, \dots, \tilde{k}_r, \dots, k_1)\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(\omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{np+n+1} (-1)^{n-r} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \text{sgn}(I^c, I) e_{k_r}(a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{np-r+1} (-1)^{(n-p)p} e_{k_r}(a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} e_{k_r}(a_{k_1 \dots k_p}) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Apply the Hodge star operator to the second term of $d * \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * \left(- \sum_r a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_r \left[(-1)^{np+n} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^{k_r} \text{sgn}\left((k_r, k_n, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_p, \dots, \tilde{k}_r, \dots, k_1)\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(\omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{np+n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^{k_r} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \text{sgn}(I^c, I) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{np-r} (-1)^{(n-p)p} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_\alpha k_r}^{k_\alpha} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_1}
\end{aligned}$$

We compute for the third term of $d * \omega$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{n(p+1)+1} * \left(\sum_{s \neq r} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) (-1)^{n-\alpha} \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_s} \wedge \omega_{k_r} \wedge \omega_{k_n} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_\alpha}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_{p+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s \neq r} \left[(-1)^{np+n+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) (-1)^{n-\alpha} \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \text{sgn}\left((k_s, k_r, k_n, \dots, \tilde{k}_\alpha, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_\alpha, k_p, \dots, \tilde{k}_r, \dots, \tilde{k}_s, \dots, k_1)\right) \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(\omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \right) \right] \\
&= \sum_{s \neq r} \left[(-1)^{np+\alpha+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \right. \\
&\quad \cdot \text{sgn}\left((k_s, k_r, k_n, \dots, \tilde{k}_\alpha, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_\alpha, k_p, \dots, \tilde{k}_r, \dots, \tilde{k}_s, \dots, k_1)\right) \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left(\omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

When $s > r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left((k_s, k_r, k_n, \dots, \widetilde{k_\alpha}, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_\alpha, k_p, \dots, \widetilde{k_s}, \dots, \widetilde{k_r}, \dots, k_1)\right) \\
& \cdot \left(\omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1\right) \\
& = (-1)^{\alpha-p-1} (-1)^{n-s+1} (-1)^{n-r} \operatorname{sgn}(I^c, I) (-1)^{p-r-1} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \\
& = (-1)^{\alpha+s+1} \operatorname{sgn}(I^c, I) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1
\end{aligned}$$

When $s < r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left((k_s, k_r, k_n, \dots, \widetilde{k_\alpha}, \dots, k_{p+1}), (k_\alpha, k_p, \dots, \widetilde{k_r}, \dots, \widetilde{k_s}, \dots, k_1)\right) \\
& \cdot \left(\omega_{k_\alpha} \wedge \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1\right) \\
& = (-1)^{\alpha-p-1} (-1)^{n-r} (-1)^{n-s} \operatorname{sgn}(I^c, I) (-1)^{p-r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \\
& = (-1)^{\alpha+s+1} \operatorname{sgn}(I^c, I) \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the third term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s \neq r} \left[(-1)^{np+\alpha+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \operatorname{sgn}(I, I^c) \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \cdot (-1)^{\alpha+s+1} \operatorname{sgn}(I^c, I) \left(\omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \right) \right] \\
& = \sum_{s \neq r} (-1)^{np+\alpha+1} (-1)^{\alpha+s+1} (-1)^{(n-p)p} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \\
& = \sum_{s \neq r} (-1)^{p^2+s} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_s k_\alpha}^{k_r} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(r)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1 \\
& = \sum_{r \neq s} (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{k_1 \dots k_p} \Gamma_{k_r k_s}^{k_\alpha} \omega_{k_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{k_\alpha}^{(s)} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{k_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By comparison of components on both sides, we have established Equation (8).

7. LAPLACIAN OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

The Laplacian of a p -form is defined by

$$\Delta\omega = -d\delta\omega - \delta d\omega.$$

We first consider the case that ω is a 1-form. Let $\omega = \sum_j a_j \omega_j$. In terms of its covariant derivative, we have $d\omega = \sum_j a_{j,k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_j$. By Equation (8), we find that

$$\delta\omega = \delta(a_j \omega_j) = - \sum_j a_{j,j} \implies d\delta\omega = - \sum_j da_{j,j}.$$

The second covariant derivative of ω is described by

$$a_{i,jk} \omega_k = da_{i,j} + a_{i,s} \omega_{sj} + a_{r,j} \omega_{ri}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j,k} a_{j,jk} \omega_k = \sum_j da_{j,j} + \sum_{j,s} a_{j,s} \omega_{sj} + \sum_{r,j} a_{r,j} \omega_{rj} = \sum_j da_{j,j}.$$

Therefore,

$$d\delta\omega = -a_{j,jk} \omega_k.$$

On the other hand, we have $\delta d\omega = \delta(a_{j,k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_j)$. Let $b_{jk} = a_{j,k}$ and consider $\beta = b_{jk} \omega_k \wedge \omega_j$ in the following. By Equation (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta d\omega &= \sum_{\alpha=1,2} (-1)^{\alpha+5} b_{i_1 \dots i_{\alpha} \dots i_2, i_{\alpha}} \omega_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_{\alpha}}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\ &= (-1)^6 b_{j_1 i_2, j_1} \omega_{i_2} + (-1)^7 b_{i_1 j_2, j_2} \omega_{i_1} \\ &= b_{jk,j} \omega_k - b_{k,j,j} \omega_k \\ &= (b_{jk,j} - b_{k,j,j}) \omega_k \end{aligned}$$

The first covariant derivatives of β are found by

$$b_{jk,l} \omega_l = db_{jk} + b_{rk} \omega_{rj} + b_{js} \omega_{sk} = da_{j,k} + a_{r,k} \omega_{rj} + a_{j,s} \omega_{sk}.$$

So, $b_{jk,l} = e_l(a_{j,k}) + a_{r,k} \Gamma_{lr}^j + a_{j,s} \Gamma_{ls}^k$. Note that we also have

$$a_{j,kl} \omega_l = da_{j,k} + a_{j,s} \omega_{sk} + a_{r,k} \omega_{rj}.$$

Therefore, $b_{jk,l} = a_{j,kl}$ for every j, k, l . It also means that

$$\delta d\omega = (a_{j,kj} - a_{k,jj}) \omega_k$$

Adding up the above terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \omega &= a_{j,jk} \omega_k - a_{j,kj} \omega_k + a_{k,jj} \omega_k \\
&= a_{k,jj} \omega_k + (a_{j,jk} - a_{j,kj}) \omega_k \\
&= a_{k,jj} \omega_k + a_r R_{jkjr} \omega_k \\
&= (a_{k,jj} - a_r R_{rk}) \omega_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $R_{rk} = \sum_j R_{rjjk}$ is a coefficient of the Ricci tensor. We may let

$$\nabla^* \nabla \omega = \sum_{j,k} a_{k,jj} \omega_k \quad \text{and} \quad E(\omega) = \sum a_r R_{rk} \omega_k.$$

As a result, $\Delta \omega = \nabla^* \nabla \omega - E(\omega)$. We are going to find $\Delta \omega$ and prove this result to a general p -form on the manifold M . Let $\omega = a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}$.

$$\delta \omega = \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}.$$

It leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
d \delta \omega &= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} da_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s \geq r} (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} (-1)^{p-s} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega_{i_s} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s < r} (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} (-1)^{p-s-1} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge d\omega_{i_s} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} da_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s \geq r} (-1)^{r+s+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s < r} (-1)^{r+s} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} \left[\begin{aligned} &(a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r k} \omega_k - a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, s} \omega_{s i_r} - \sum_{l, j_l} a_{i_1 \dots j_l \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{j_l i_l}) \\ &\wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \end{aligned} \right] \\
&\quad + \sum_{s \geq r} (-1)^{r+s+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s < r} (-1)^{r+s} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad - \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, s} \omega_{s i_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad - \sum_r \sum_{l, j_l} (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots j_l \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{j_l i_l} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s \geq r} (-1)^{r+s+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{s < r} (-1)^{r+s} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{i_s j} \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_s}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d\delta\omega &= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, s} \omega_{s i_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots j_l \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{j_l i_l} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_{l>r} (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots j_l \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{j_l i_l} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_{l \leq r} (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, i_r} \omega_{j_r i_r} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_{s \geq r} (-1)^{r+s+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots j_s \dots i_p, i_r} (-1)^{p-s} \omega_{j_s i_s} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&+ \sum_{s < r} (-1)^{r+s} a_{i_1 \dots j_s \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r} (-1)^{p-s-1} \omega_{j_s i_s} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\delta d\omega = \delta \left(a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \right)$$

We may let $\beta = d\omega = \sum b_{i_1 \dots i_p i_{p+1}} \omega_{i_{p+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta d\omega &= \delta \left(a_{i_1 \dots i_o, j} \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \right) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{(p+1)^2+r+1} b_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p i_{p+1}, i_r} \omega_{i_{p+1}} \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + (-1)^{(p+1)^2+(p+1)+1} b_{i_1 \dots i_p i_{p+1}, i_{p+1}} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{i_1 \dots i_p i_{p+1}, j} \omega_j &= db_{i_1 \dots i_p i_{p+1}} + \sum_{r, j_r} b_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p i_{p+1}} \omega_{j_r i_r} + b_{i_1 \dots i_p s} \omega_{s i_{p+1}} \\
&= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, i_{p+1}} + \sum_{r, j_r} a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, i_{p+1}} \omega_{j_r i_r} + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \omega_{s i_{p+1}} \\
&= a_{i_1 \dots i_p, i_{p+1} k} \omega_k .
\end{aligned}$$

So we have $b_{i_1 \dots i_p i_{p+1}, j} = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, i_{p+1} j}$ for every choice of $i_1, \dots, i_p, i_{p+1}, j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta d\omega &= \sum_{r=1}^p (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, j i_r} \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega_{i_r}} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad - \sum_j a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j j} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, the Laplacian of ω is found by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \omega &= -d\delta\omega - \delta d\omega \\
&= \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r k} \omega_k \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{i_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r+1} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, j i_r} \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{i_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_r a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j j} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j j} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_r (-1)^{p^2+r} (a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, i_r j} - a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots i_p, j i_r}) \omega_j \wedge \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \widetilde{\omega}_{i_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&= \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j j} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r,s} a_{i_1 \dots i_r \dots j_s \dots i_p} R_{i_r j_r i_s j_s} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .
\end{aligned}$$

For the p -form ω , we let

$$\nabla^* \nabla \omega = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j j} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} ,$$

$$E(\omega) = \sum_{r,s} a_{i_1 \dots j_s \dots i_p} R_{i_r j_r i_s j_s} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{j_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} .$$

Therefore, we have

$$\Delta \omega = \nabla^* \nabla \omega - E(\omega) . \quad (9)$$

8. THE BOCHNER FORMULA

The Bochner formula states that if ω is a p -form,

$$\omega = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p} \omega_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{i_1} ,$$

then we have

$$|\nabla \omega|^2 = 2 \langle \Delta \omega, \omega \rangle + 2 |\nabla \omega|^2 + 2 \langle E(\omega), \omega \rangle . \quad (10)$$

Before proving Equation (10), it is worth mentioning some basic results about applying the chain rule to covariant derivatives. Let f be a smooth real-valued function on the manifold M , and let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Note that we have

$$\nabla (\phi \circ f) = \phi'(f) \nabla f$$

and so $(\phi \circ f)_j = \phi'(f) f_j$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi \circ f)_{ij} &= \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\phi'(f) \nabla f), e_j \rangle \\
&= e_i(\phi'(f)) \langle \nabla f, e_j \rangle + \phi'(f) f_{ij} \\
&= \phi''(f) f_i f_j + \phi'(f) f_{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = \sum a_j \omega_j$ is a 1-form instead, and every $\phi_j : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued function, then we let

$$\beta = \sum \phi_j(a_j) \omega_j = \sum b_j \omega_j.$$

The covariant derivative of β is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{j,k} \omega_k &= db_j + b_r \omega_{rj} \\
&= d(\phi_j(a_j)) + \phi_r(a_r) \omega_{rj} \\
&= \phi'_j(a_j) da_j + \phi_r(a_r) \omega_{rj} \\
&= \phi'_j(a_j) (a_{j,l} \omega_l - a_s \omega_{sj}) + \phi_r(a_r) \omega_{rj} \\
&= a_{j,l} \phi'_j(a_j) \omega_l + (\phi_r(a_r) - a_r \phi'_j(a_j)) \omega_{rj}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$b_{j,k} = \phi'_j(a_j) a_{j,k} + (\phi_r(a_r) - a_r \phi'_j(a_j)) \Gamma_{kr}^j.$$

Back to the Bochner formula, on the left hand side of Equation (10),

$$\Delta |\omega|^2 = \Delta (\sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p}^2) = \sum (a_{i_1 \dots i_p}^2)_{jj}.$$

By our discussion above,

$$(a_{i_1 \dots i_p}^2)_{jj} = 2((a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j)^2 + 2 a_{i_1 \dots i_p} (a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta |\omega|^2 = 2 \sum ((a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j)^2 + 2 \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p} (a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj}.$$

Here We add a remark that the terms $(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j$ and $(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj}$ are the components of the first and second covariant derivatives of the function $a_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ respectively. In other words,

$$(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j \neq a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} \quad \text{and} \quad (a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj} \neq a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jj}$$

in general. To make the equalities happen, in the following we fix a point x on M . Then, we choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ around x such that

- (1) $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0$ at x for all i, j ;
- (2) $\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0$ at x for all i, j .

In order to construct this orthonormal frame, we pick an orthonormal basis for $T_x M$ named by $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$. Consider the geodesic normal coordinates at x such that E_j is represented by $e_j = (0, \dots, 1^{(j)}, \dots, 0)$ on $T_x M$. Any vector E_j is parallel-transported from x to another point $y = \exp_x(\mathbf{v})$ in the neighborhood through geodesics $\gamma(t) = \exp_x(t\mathbf{v})$ connecting x and y .

In particular, for any pair of E_i and E_j ,

$$(\nabla_{E_i} E_j)(y) = \mathbf{0}$$

whenever y lies on the geodesic $\gamma_i(t) = \exp_x(t e_i)$. For any E_k , we have

$$\langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle = 0$$

at any point y on γ_i . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(\langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle) &= 0 \\ \langle \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle + \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, \nabla_{E_i} E_k \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

at y . Hence, $\nabla_{E_i} \nabla_{E_i} E_j = \mathbf{0}$ at x .

As an implication of properties (1) and (2), at the point x ,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p}(e_j) + a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r} \\ &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p}(e_j), \\ a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jj} &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}(e_j) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, s} \omega_{sj}(e_j) + \sum a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p, j} \omega_{j_r i_r}(e_j) \\ &= da_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}(e_j). \end{aligned}$$

So we have $(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j = da_{i_1 \dots i_p}(e_j) = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}$ at x .

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj} &= (d(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_j)(e_j) + a_{i_1 \dots i_p, k} \omega_{kj}(e_j) \\ &= d(a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j} - a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} \Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r})(e_j) \\ &= d(a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j})(e_j) - da_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p}(e_j) \Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r} - a_{i_1 \dots j_r \dots i_p} d\Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r}(e_j) \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Gamma_{jj_r}^{i_r}(e_j) &= e_j(\langle \nabla_{e_j} e_{j_r}, e_{i_r} \rangle) \\ &= \langle \nabla_{e_j} \nabla_{e_j} e_{j_r}, e_{i_r} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_{j_r}, \nabla_{e_j} e_{i_r} \rangle \\ &= 0 \quad \text{at } x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(a_{i_1 \dots i_p})_{jj} = a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jj}$ at x . Finally, we may justify the Bochner formula as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta|\omega|^2 &= 2 \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p, j}^2 + 2 \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p, jj} \\
&= 2|\nabla\omega|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla^* \nabla \omega, \omega \rangle \\
&= 2|\nabla\omega|^2 + 2 \langle \Delta\omega, \omega \rangle + 2 \langle E(\omega), \omega \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

-END-