

## Interpretation of Results

### 1. Sub-Category:

- **Levene's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **Bartlett's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **ANOVA:** Significant differences between groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), rejecting the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** Variability in sales across different sub-categories indicates that marketing strategies may need to be tailored for each sub-category to maximize revenue.

### 2. Category:

- **Levene's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.40$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.
- **Bartlett's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.84$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.
- **ANOVA:** Significant differences between groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), rejecting the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** The presence of significant differences in sales among categories suggests that product categories have different demand levels. Focusing on high-performing categories could enhance sales strategies.

### 3. State:

- **Levene's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **Bartlett's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **ANOVA:** Significant differences between groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), rejecting the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** Sales strategies may need to be customized for different states based on regional preferences and purchasing behaviors to optimize overall sales performance.

### 4. Segment:

- **Levene's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.14$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.

- **Bartlett's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.15$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.
- **ANOVA:** No significant differences between groups ( $p = 0.63$ ), failing to reject the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** The lack of significant differences in sales across segments suggests a more uniform buying behavior, indicating that marketing efforts could be standardized across these segments.

#### 5. Ship Mode:

- **Levene's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.69$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.
- **Bartlett's Test:** No significant difference ( $p = 0.49$ ), indicating homogeneity is met.
- **ANOVA:** No significant differences between groups ( $p = 0.83$ ), failing to reject the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** Since there are no significant differences in sales based on shipping modes, the business could evaluate whether it is necessary to diversify shipping options or if focusing on a standard approach would suffice.

#### 6. Region:

- **Levene's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **Bartlett's Test:** Significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), violating homogeneity.
- **ANOVA:** Significant differences between groups ( $p < 0.05$ ), rejecting the null hypothesis.
- **Implication:** The variations in sales across regions suggest that geographical factors significantly influence purchasing behavior. Targeted marketing campaigns could be designed to address the specific needs and preferences of customers in different regions.

#### Highlighted Variable

**Category** is the only variable that meets the homogeneity assumption (both Levene's and Bartlett's tests) while also showing significant differences in group means in the ANOVA

test. This indicates that focusing on product categories with higher sales potential could significantly enhance overall revenue and should be a key area for strategic planning.