

Subject: Decision on Submission to TVCG

Dear Liudas Panavas,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript, "An Amazing Paper," to TVCG. After review, we find that while the paper makes a strong contribution, there are several points that need to be addressed before it can be accepted for publication. Please see the detailed comments from the reviewers below and submit a revised manuscript along with a point-by-point response addressing each of their comments.

Associate Editor Summary:

The reviewers are generally positive about the work, but several revisions are needed to strengthen the paper. Please focus on the following key changes:

- Reviewer 2 has highlighted the subjective language in Section 2, specifically the phrase "best and most scientific experiment ever." This phrasing could reduce the paper's objectivity, so consider rephrasing this statement for a more balanced tone.

Reviewer 1

Overall, this paper is a strong contribution. The experiment is well-conducted, and the results provide valuable insights. I found the writing clear and concise, and I believe the work will be of interest to the community. I recommend minor revisions to address specific points raised by other reviewers.

Reviewer 2

The paper makes an interesting claim about the significance of the experiment, labeling it as the "best and most scientific experiment ever." This language is overly subjective and diminishes the paper's objectivity. Once this language is revised, the paper will be stronger.

Reviewer 3

The study is well-structured and covers significant ground. However, the references section is missing DOI links, which are standard and necessary for accurate citation and ease of access. Please add DOIs for all listed references.

Please submit your revised manuscript and response letter by [Submission Deadline]. We look forward to receiving your revised submission.

Best regards,
TVCG Editorial Team

