```
9.1 G lie group. g∈G.
        lie Z(G) = {X ∈ lie G | Ad(g) X = X}.
 "2". \chi \in \ker \operatorname{St} \operatorname{Ad}(g) \chi = \chi = \chi g^{-1} = \chi
          e^{tX} \in G = g^{tX} = e^{tg^{X} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{tX}}
                         =) e<sup>tx</sup> ∈ Z(1) YtelR.
 For V \in Lie \ \mathcal{L}(g) we confind

\gamma(t) \text{ curve in } \mathcal{L}(g) \text{ s.t. } \gamma(0) = V \text{ and } \gamma(0) = 1d

q \gamma(t) q^{-1} = \gamma(t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(q \gamma(t) q^{-1}\right) = j(0)

           =) Ad(g) j(o) = j(o)
 6.2. (V,191), (Vz, 92) rup. of lie group G
      · P, opr is up. on V, OVZ.
Ha, he G Hu, EV, HUZEVZ
      (p, & pz)(g)·(l, ⊗pz)(h) (v, ovz) =
     = \rho_1(q) \rho_1(h) \vee_1 \otimes \rho_2(q) \rho_2(h) \vee_2
       = Pi(gh) Vi& Pi(gh) Vz
       = (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1) (gh) (v_1 \otimes v_2).
 ( the fact that Prop is diff. follows
     from the fact that the enseating End (V, OV2)
                     (f, g) - fog is bilinear, hera diff.
```

$$d(\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{1}): (ie G \longrightarrow gl(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}).$$

$$X \in Lie G. \gamma(t) \text{ cave in } G \text{ with } \gamma(0) = X \text{ and } \gamma(0) = Id.$$

$$d(\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}) \times (v_{1} \otimes v_{2}) = \frac{d}{dt} (\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2})(\delta(t))(v_{1} \otimes v_{2}) = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{2} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{2} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{2} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} = \frac{d}{dt} \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} + \rho_{1}(\gamma(t))v_{2} \otimes \rho_{2}(\gamma(t))v_{2} \otimes \rho_$$

the linear map G: R3 - so(3) induces an isomorphism or lie algebow. 1)  $So_3(\mathbb{R}) \cong (\mathbb{R}^3, \times)$ . If  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  of olim 2 and v, vz bois of V, then v, x vz & V (otherwise  $\left( \left. \left( \right) \right| \right) \right| \right| \right| \right| \right) \right| \right) \right| \right) \right| \right) \right| \right) \right| = 0$ So  $50_2(R)$  has no subalgebood of olim 2. (n particular, it has no iduals of dim 2. Also iduals of dim 1 commot exist. Otherwise, if. I=(v) ideal of dim 1, then we concludes find w s.t. dim <w, v>=2 and then wxv €I. 3) If  $p: SU_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{R})$  im. up. then dp: Suz(C) -> glz(R) soz (IR) but  $\left[so_3(\mathbb{R}), so_3(\mathbb{R})\right] = so_3(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\omega$   $(m(dp) \subset [gl_2(R), gl_2(R)] = gl_2(R)$ . Since  $so_2(R)$  is simple then dp = 0 or dp is isomorphism. But  $s_2(\mathbb{R}) \neq s_2(\mathbb{R})$  because  $s_2(\mathbb{R})$  how a subalgebra of dim 2 (nowly b = (h, e)) lunce dp =0. But p irreduible (=) op ineducible (because SV2(a) connected). but of con't be irruducible if dp=0