

## 2 Correlation and Covariance Matrices.

### Toy Example

Investor has \$ 10,000 to invest in 2 stocks,

$s_1, s_2$ .

Assume initial price of stocks

$s_1$  and  $s_2$  at time  $t=0$

$$\therefore s_1(0) = s_2(0) = 100$$

The price of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  at time  $t=1$ ,

has probability distribution

$$S_1(1) = \begin{cases} 120 & \text{prob. } \frac{3}{5} \\ 90 & \text{prob. } \frac{2}{5} \end{cases}$$

$$S_2(1) = \begin{cases} 110 & \frac{4}{5} \\ 90 & \frac{1}{5} \end{cases}$$

$X_1$  = R.O.I. cell in on  $S_2$ .

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{(120 - 100) \times 100}{100 \times 100} = \frac{2}{5} & p = \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{90 - 100}{100} = -\frac{1}{10} & p = \frac{2}{5} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_1] &= \frac{2}{10} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) + \frac{1}{10} \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{5} \quad \text{OR} \quad 8\% \text{ R.O.I.} \end{aligned}$$

$X_2 = \text{R.O.I. all in on } S_2$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_2] &= \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{5} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{10}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{50} \quad \text{OR} \quad 6\% \text{ R.O.I.} \end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_{x_1}, \sigma_{x_2}$  std. deviations  
of  $x_1, x_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{x_1} &= \sqrt{E[X_1^2] - E[X_1]^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{50}{500}} - \left(\frac{4}{50}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx 0.147 \quad \text{OR} \quad 14.7\%$$

$$\sigma_{x_2} = 0.08 \text{ CR } 8\%$$

$X_3$  = R.O.I. of  
 50% of funds allocated  
 to  $S_1$  and  $S_2$

$$X_3 = \begin{cases} \text{Both go up} & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{10} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10} & \frac{\text{Prob.}}{\frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5}} \\ S_1 \uparrow S_2 \downarrow & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{10} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10} & \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \\ S_1 \downarrow S_2 \uparrow & \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{10}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10} & \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \\ S_1 \downarrow S_2 \downarrow & \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{10}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{10} & \frac{3}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \end{cases}$$

$$E[X_3] = \frac{1}{2} E[X_1] + \frac{1}{2} E[X_2]$$

$$= 0.07 \text{ or } 7\%$$

$$\sigma_{x_3}^2 = E[x_3^2] - E[x_3]^2$$

$$\sigma_{x_3} \approx 0.0837 \text{ or } 8.37\%$$

More generally, suppose

$$w_1, w_2 \geq 0, \quad w_1 + w_2 = 1$$

are the weights of investment  
in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$

$$P(c) = \$10,000 \quad P(1) = \text{value at } t=1$$

$$P(1) = (1 + w_1) \frac{S_1(1) - S_1(c)}{S_1(c)} + w_2 \left( \frac{(S_2(1) - S_2(c))}{S_2(c)} \right)$$

~~$\cdot P(c)$~~

R.O.I. with weights  $w_1, w_2$

$$\frac{P(1) - P(c)}{P(c)} = w_1 \frac{S_1(1) - S_1(c)}{S_1(c)} + w_2 \frac{S_2(1) - S_2(c)}{S_2(c)}$$

$$X_3 = w_1 X_1 + w_2 X_2$$

$$\sigma_{x_3}^2 = E[X_3^2] - E[X_3]^2$$

$$= E[(w_1 X_1 + w_2 X_2)^2] - (w_1 E[X_1] + w_2 E[X_2])^2$$

$$= w_1^2 \text{Var}(X_1) + w_2^2 \text{Var}(X_2)$$

$$+ 2w_1 w_2 \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2)$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, X_2) = E[X_1 X_2] - E[X_1] E[X_2]$$

$$= [w_1, w_2] \begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}(x_1) & \text{Var}(x_1 x_2) \\ \text{Var}(x_1 x_2) & \text{Var}(x_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

More general picture:

$X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$  are the probability distributions on returns of stocks  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_t$

Y investment account in  $S_1, \dots, S_t$   
 with weights  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t$   
 where  $\sum w_i = 1$ ,  $w_i \geq 0$ :

Theor

$$\sigma_Y^2 = \text{Var}(Y) = \boxed{\vec{w}^\top \text{Cov}(x_1, \dots, x_t) \vec{w}}$$

where  $\vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_t \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{Cov}(x_1, \dots, x_t) = (\text{Cov}(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^t$$

Takeaway: Quadratic optimization

allows one to find weights  
that minimize volatility of  
a portfolio subject to

predetermined constraints and  
volatility models.

## Efficient Frontier

$s_1, \dots, s_t$  stocks     $x_1, \dots, x_t$

R.O.I. random variables.

Consider all portfolios of investments in  $s_1, \dots, s_t$ .

A portfolio  $Y$  (*distribution of return*)

dominates a portfolio  $Z$

if  $E[Y] \geq E[Z]$

and  $\sigma_Y \leq \sigma_Z$ .

The efficient frontier

is collection of all portfolios not dominated by another.

Key fact If  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2$  distinct weight vectors so that the portfolios with weights  $\vec{w}_2 + \vec{w}_2$  have minimum possible variance, then any other weight  $\vec{w}_3$  that also minimizes variance is of the form

$$\vec{w}_3 = c \vec{w}_1 + (1-c) \vec{w}_2$$

for some  $C \in R$ .