UConn's Automatic Efficency for Technical Spare Parts Team: Deprecated Inventory Scoring System Methodology

Luke Pepin, Colin Cooke
UCONN Hacking 4 Defense Team, DS-50

Abstract

This paper details the methodology behind the design and implementation of the Deprecated Inventory Scoring System (DISS), a framework aimed at optimizing the management of deprecated technical spare parts within the State Department. Inspired by the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) from cybersecurity, DISS integrates the Item Sensitivity & Confidentiality Score (ISCS) and the Deprecated Inventory Form (DIF) to provide a comprehensive evaluation of each part's status. The system balances the workload between domestic, foreign, and automated tasks, ensuring efficient operations and reducing delays. By leveraging neural networks and machine learning, DISS continuously improves its recommendations, enhancing operational readiness and security. This paper discusses the design choices, stakeholder feedback, and the iterative process that shaped DISS, highlighting its potential for future enhancements and integration with emerging technologies.

Introduction

The Deprecated Inventory Scoring System (DISS) was conceived to address the complexities of managing deprecated technical spare parts within the State Department. Drawing inspiration from the Common Vulnerability Scoring System (CVSS) used in cybersecurity, DISS aims to transform intricate inventory issues into clear, actionable values. This approach ensures that both domestic and field officers can make informed decisions swiftly, balancing the workload and enhancing operational efficiency.

Design and Methodology

1. Item Sensitivity & Confidentiality Score (ISCS)

The ISCS is a critical component of DISS, designed to evaluate the sensitivity and confidentiality of inventory items. This score, ranging from 0.0 to 10.0, is based on legal requirements, economic implications, and practical handling considerations. The ISCS ensures that sensitive parts are handled appropriately, reducing the risk of mishandling and enhancing the resilience of diplomatic operations.

Design Choices and Reasoning: The ISCS was developed to provide a quantifiable measure of an item's sensitivity, similar to how CVSS quantifies security vulnerabilities. This approach allows logisticians to quickly assess and prioritize parts based on their sensitivity, ensuring compliance with legal and practical requirements. The scoring system was carefully designed to reflect the various factors that impact the handling and disposition of parts, including legal compliance, economic costs, and practical handling considerations.

Hypothetical Example: Consider a hard drive (ISCS: 7.5) that contains sensitive information. The ISCS would dictate that the hard drive must be securely wiped and handled with care, ensuring that no data is compromised during its lifecycle. This clear guideline helps logisticians make quick, informed decisions about the handling of sensitive items.

2. Deprecated Inventory Form (DIF)

The DIF standardizes the reporting process for deprecated parts, ensuring that field offices can quickly and accurately evaluate each part. This form requires values between 0.0 and 10.0 for various metrics, including stock levels, production status, warranty status, and more.

Design Choices and Reasoning: The DIF was designed to streamline the reporting process, making it easier for field offices to provide the necessary information. By standardizing the form, we ensure consistency and accuracy in the data collected, which is crucial for effective inventory management. The form includes clear criteria for each metric, enabling quick and informed assessments that optimize inventory management.

Hypothetical Example: A field office evaluates a deprecated modem (DIF: 5.0) that is no longer supported by the manufacturer. The DIF helps the office quickly determine the modem's status and the necessary steps for its disposition. This standardized approach reduces the time and effort required to evaluate and report deprecated parts.

3. DISS Algorithm

The DISS algorithm processes data from ISCS and DIF to generate actionable recommendations. It employs neural networks and machine learning for continuous improvement, adapting to new data and scenarios over time.

Design Choices and Reasoning: The algorithm was designed to automate decision-making, reducing the manual workload on logisticians. By leveraging machine learning, the algorithm can continuously improve its recommendations, ensuring that the system remains effective and efficient. The algorithm integrates data from ISCS and DIF, providing a comprehensive evaluation of each part's status and generating recommendations that are both accurate and timely.

Hypothetical Example: The algorithm processes a DIF submission for a deprecated server (ISCS: 8.0, DIF: 6.5) and determines that the server should be shipped back to a domestic facility for secure processing. This recommendation is sent to the domestic field office for approval, streamlining the decision-making process and ensuring that sensitive parts are handled appropriately.

Balancing Domestic, Foreign, and Automated Work

The DISS system strikes a balance between domestic, foreign, and automated tasks, akin to the balance of powers in the federal government. This triad ensures that no single entity is overwhelmed, and each part of the system can operate efficiently.

Reasoning: By distributing responsibilities across domestic, foreign, and automated tasks, we ensure that the system is resilient and adaptable. This balance reduces the risk of bottlenecks and ensures that each part of the system can function optimally. The domestic field office handles the approval and oversight of recommendations, the foreign field office manages the on-the-ground evaluation and reporting, and the automated system processes data and generates recommendations.

Feedback and Iteration

Feedback was gathered through interviews with stakeholders, including logisticians, security engineering officers, and field specialists. The common line of questioning focused on the expectations of the system, the feasibility of obtaining necessary information, and current concerns with the existing system.

Key Insights: Stakeholders emphasized the need for clarity and conciseness, highlighting the importance of balancing domestic, foreign, and automated tasks. This feedback was instrumental in refining the system to ensure it met the needs of all users. The iterative process involved regular meetings and feedback sessions, allowing us to make continuous improvements based on stakeholder input.

Implementation and Evaluation

The implementation process includes training and support for users, with a transition plan from current systems to DISS. Evaluation and monitoring processes include performance metrics and KPIs to ensure ongoing success.

Future Enhancements: Ongoing improvements will be based on user feedback and technological advancements. Potential expansions include integrating DISS with IoT and blockchain technologies to enhance inventory tracking and security. These emerging technologies could provide additional benefits, such as real-time tracking of parts and enhanced security features.

Acknowledgments

This project was developed as part of the Hacking for Defense and Hacking for Diplomacy programs at the University of Connecticut, known as Entrepreneurship for Defense. We extend our gratitude to our problem sponsor, Jeremiah Cassel, FSB Branch Chief, and the entire State Department team for their invaluable support and guidance. We also thank the University of Connecticut for providing the platform and resources necessary to develop this project.