# OpenMP Extensions for Heterogeneous Architectures

Leo White

University of Cambridge

7th International Workshop on OpenMP

# Heterogeneity in Modern Architectures

### Heterogeneous processors

- Accelerators
- General-Purpose computing on Graphical Processing Units(GPGPU)

### Heterogeneous memory systems

- Non-Uniform Memory Access(NUMA)
- Partitioned address spaces

# Problems for OpenMP

There are two *orthogonal* problems for OpenMP with heterogeneous architectures:

- 1. OpenMP assumes a single shared address space.
- 2. There is no mechanism in OpenMP for allocating work to specific processors on an architecture.

This talk discusses the second problem.

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
          y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
          y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
          y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
{
  int i;
  #pragma parallel omp for
  for (i=1; i<n; i++)
      b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0;
  #pragma parallel omp for
  for (i=0; i<m; i++)
      y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
}</pre>
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
      if(get_thread_num() == 0) {
          #pragma parallel omp for
          for (i=1; i<n; i++)
               b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0;
      } else {
          #pragma parallel omp for
          for (i=0; i<m; i++)
               y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
      }
                   = Main Processor = Other Processor = Both Processor
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
      #pragma omp for nowait
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
          y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
```

```
void process_list_items(node *head)
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp single
          node *p = head;
          while (p) {
              #pragma omp task
                  process(p);
              p = p->next;
```

```
void process_list_items(node *head)
  #pragma omp parallel
      #pragma omp single
          node *p = head;
          while (p) {
              #pragma omp task
                  process(p);
              p = p->next;
```

### Subteams Clause

```
#pragma omp parallel subteams(name_1(procs_1)[size_1], ...)
```

### Each argument represents a subteam:

- ▶ *size<sub>n</sub>* is the number of threads in the subteam.
- ▶ name<sub>n</sub> is the subteam name that refers to the subteam within this parallel region.
- ightharpoonup procs<sub>n</sub> is an expression that represents the processors to which the subteam is mapped.

# Processor Expressions

These are implementation-defined expressions with new type omp\_procs\_t, whose values represent sets of processors in an architecture. For example:

- ▶ PROC\_A
- ► (PROC\_A | PROC\_C)
- omp\_get\_proc(2)

Multiple values can represent the same set of processors e.g.:

- ACCELERATORS
- SCATTER(ACCELERATORS)

Both map threads to the accelerators, but the second one keeps the threads as far apart as possible.

### On Clause

```
on(subteams_1, subteams_2, ...)
```

Each argument is a subteam name.

#### Can be used:

- with workshare constructs
- with the task construct
- ▶ as a directive, similar to the master construct

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel subteams(master[1], others(PROC2)[4])
      #pragma omp on(others)
          #pragma omp for nowait
          for (i=1; i<n; i++)
              b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
          #pragma omp for nowait
          for (i=0; i<m; i++)
              y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
      }
```

```
void a9(int n, int m, float *a, float *b, float *y, float *z)
  int i;
  #pragma omp parallel subteams(st1(PROC1)[4], st2(PROC2)[4])
      #pragma omp for nowait on(st1)
      for (i=1; i<n; i++)
          b[i] = (a[i] + a[i-1]) / 2.0:
      #pragma omp for nowait on(st2)
      for (i=0; i<m; i++)
          y[i] = sqrt(z[i]);
```

```
void process_list_items(node *head)
  #pragma omp parallel subteams(master[1],accs(ACC)[5])
  {
      #pragma omp single on(master)
          node *p = head;
          while (p) {
              #pragma omp task on(accs)
                  process(p);
              p = p->next;
```

# Portability/Adaptability

How can these extensions be used write portable or adaptable programs?

- ▶ How can we write programs for multiple similar architectures?
- What about architectures that have variable resources?
- E.g. An appropriate GPU may or may not be available at runtime.

Integrate the extensions with the upcoming error model:

- ▶ Emit an error if the thread mapping is not possible.
- Allows program to try the best allocation and then fall back on an alternative.
- ► E.g. Try to use GPGPU but fall back to CPU if an appropriate GPU is not available.

# Prototype Implementation

### Cell Broadband Engine

- ▶ 1 PowerPC Processing Element (PPE)
- ➤ 7 Synergistic Processing Elements(SPE) with private local memories

#### **Benchmarks**

- EP An embarrassingly parallel algorithm with very little communication between threads.
  - IS An integer sort with regular accesses to a shared array.
- CG A matrix-based algorithm with irregular accesses to shared arrays.

### Results

### ΕP

#### SPE Threads

PPE Threads

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	0	-	1.23	2.45	3.68	4.90	6.12	7.35	7.31
Ì	1	1	2.02	3.01	4.04	4.98	6.03	7.01	7.98
	2	1.68	2.51	3.34	4.17	5.01	5.85	6.68	7.43
	3	1.62	2.17	2.71	3.24	3.76	4.32	4.80	5.31

IS

#### SPE Threads

PPE Threads

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	0	-	0.07	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.36
	1	1	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.44	0.42
	2	1.42	0.20	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	0.50	0.45
	3	1.18	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.49

 $\mathsf{C}\mathsf{G}$ 

#### SPE Threads

PPE Threads

		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	)	-	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.6	0.22
1		1	0.21	0.31	0.41	0.51	0.62	0.72	0.19
2	?	1.64	0.31	0.41	0.51	0.62	0.72	0.82	0.21
3	}	0.5	0.29	0.33	0.37	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.15

### Conclusions

- ► There are two *orthogonal* problems with heterogeneous architectures for OpenMP:
  - 1. OpenMP assumes a single shared address space.
  - 2. There is no mechanism to allocate work to specific processors.
- ► The second problem can be solved by extending OpenMP with thread mapping and subteams.
- ► These extensions can be made more adaptable by integrating them with the future error model of OpenMP.