## **Appendices**

## Day-ahead Trading of Green Hydrogen Guided by Contest Game and Deep Learning

## APPENDIX A

## **PROOF OF THEOREM 2**

To facilitate the use of the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem in proving the uniqueness of the Nash equilibrium in the Tullock contest among heterogeneous HRSs, the contest problem is recast within a differential-topology framework. Specifically, the bidding strategy space of the HRSs is transformed into a compact orientable manifold in differential topology.

The compact orientable manifold for the bidding strategy is defined as follows.

$$\Gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{n} C^{1}([c_{\min}, c_{\max}], [b_{\min}, b_{\max}]) \cap \{\|b_{i}\|_{C^{1}} \leq \overline{K}\}$$
(A1)

where  $\overline{K}$  denotes a Lipschitz constant ensuring that the manifold is compact (by the Arzel à-Ascoli theorem), and  $C^1$  denotes a continuously differentiable function of the first order.

The following analyzes the differential structure of the HRS's best response mapping. Let the HRS's optimal bidding strategy  $s: \Gamma \to \Gamma$  be defined as follows.

$$s_i\left(\mathbf{s}_{-i}, c_i\right) = \arg\max_{b_i} \mathbb{E}_{-c_i} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( r - b_i - c_i - \alpha_i \right) \cdot P_{i,k} \left( b_i \right) \cdot Q_k \right]$$
(A2)

$$\begin{cases}
P_{i,k} = \left(\prod_{g=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_i b_i}{\theta_i b_i + \sum_{j \in N_g \setminus \{i\}} \theta_j b_j}\right)\right) \overline{p}_{i,k} \\
\overline{p}_{i,k} = \frac{\theta_i b_i}{\theta_i b_i + \sum_{j \in N_k \setminus \{i\}} \theta_j b_j}
\end{cases}$$
(A3)

where the expectation  $\mathbb{E}_{-c_i}[\cdot]$  denotes as an integral over the cost types of the other HRSs.

$$\pi_i\left(s_i, \mathbf{s}_{-i}, c_i\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left(r - b_i - c_i - \alpha_i\right) \cdot P_{i,k}\left(b_i\right) \cdot Q_k \tag{A4}$$

Now, we verify the transversality condition: the best response mapping must have no eigenvalue equal to 1. For HRS i, the optimal bidding strategy satisfies

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{g=1}^{k-1} (1 - P_{i,g}) \cdot \overline{p}_{i,k} \right] + \left( r - s_i \left( c_i \right) - c_i - \alpha_i \right) \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{g=1}^{k-1} (1 - P_{i,g}) \cdot \frac{\partial \overline{p}_{i,k}}{\partial s_i \left( c_i \right)} \right] \right] \cdot Q_k = 0$$
(A5)

Let the differential of s, denoted by D(s), be a Fr échet derivative; at the equilibrium point  $s^*$ , we obtain that

$$\left[D(s)\right]_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k \left(r - b_i - c_i - \alpha_i\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\theta_i \theta_j \left(\theta_i b_i + \sum_{l \in N_k} \theta_l b_l - 2\theta_j b_j\right)}{\left(\theta_i b_i + \sum_{l \in N_k} \theta_l b_l\right)^3}\right) }{\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k \cdot \frac{\theta_i \sum_{j \in N_k \setminus \{i\}} \theta_j b_j}{\left(\theta_i b_i + \sum_{i \in N_k} \theta_j b_j\right)} \left[2 + \frac{2\theta_i \left(r - b_i - c_i - \alpha_i\right)}{\theta_i b_i + \sum_{j \in N_k} \theta_j b_j}\right] }$$

$$(A6)$$

To ensure I - D(s) has full rank, it is required that  $||D(s)||_{\infty} < 1$ , where I denotes the identity matrix. Taking the worst-case scenario for  $[D(s)]_{ij}$ , the transversality condition holds when Eqs. (A7)-(A8) are satisfied.

$$\frac{\max_{i} \theta_{i}}{\min_{j} \theta_{j}} < 2 \tag{A7}$$

$$\min_{i} \left( r - \alpha_{i} \right) > \frac{2nb_{\text{max}}}{\min_{k} Q_{k}} \tag{A8}$$

To verify hyperbolicity, the spectral radius estimate of the bidding strategy is defined as follows.

$$\rho(D(s)) \le \max_{i} \sum_{i \ne j} \left[ D(s) \right]_{ij}$$
(A9)

Using it as an upper bound of the infinity norm to control the spectral radius, we therefore obtain that

$$\left| \left[ D(s) \right]_{ij} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k \cdot \theta_j \left( r - b_i - c_i - f_i \right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{m} Q_k \cdot \sum_{j \in N_k \setminus \{i\}} \theta_j b_j} \tag{A10}$$

In the worst-case scenario, taking  $\theta_i \leq \max_i \theta_i$ ,  $\theta_j \geq \min_j \theta_j$ ,  $Q_k \leq \max_k Q_k$ ,  $Q_k \geq \min_k Q_k$ ,  $r - b_i - c_i - \alpha_i \leq r - \alpha_i$  and combining with  $\sum_{i \in N_i \setminus \{i\}} b_j \geq (n-1)b_{\min}$ , we can derive that

$$\rho(D(s)) < \frac{\max_{k} Q_{k}}{\min_{k} Q_{k}} \cdot \frac{\max_{i} \theta_{i}}{\min_{j} \theta_{j}} \cdot \frac{\max_{i} (r - \alpha_{i})}{(n - 1)b_{\min}}$$
(A11)

Since the bidding strategy  $b_i^* \in C^1$ , it follows that

$$\left| \frac{\partial b_j^*}{\partial c_j} \right| < \frac{\max_i \left( r - \alpha_i \right)}{b_{\min}} \tag{A12}$$

Because  $\max \theta_i / \min \theta_i < 2$  holds, the inequality  $\rho(D(s)) < 1$  is always satisfied when condition (A13) is met.

$$\sup_{c_i} \left| \frac{\partial b_j^*}{\partial c_j} \right| < \frac{\min_{i,k} \theta_i}{(n-1) \max_{i,k} \theta_i (r - \alpha_i)} \cdot \frac{\min_k Q_k}{\max_k Q_k}$$
(A13)

Since the spectral radius of the optimal bidding strategy is strictly less than 1, D(s) has no eigenvalues of unit modulus; hence, the hyperbolicity condition is satisfied.

We now verify |L(s)| = 1. In the topology of the manifold, the bidding strategy space  $\Gamma$  is convex and contractible; hence,  $\Gamma$  is homotopy equivalent to a single point:  $\Gamma \simeq \{pt\}$ . By homotopy invariance, the homology groups of  $\Gamma$  are as follows.

$$H_{\kappa}\left(\Gamma\right) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \kappa = 0\\ 0 & \kappa > 0 \end{cases} \tag{A14}$$

According to the Lefschetz fixed-point theorem, the Lefschetz number is

$$L(s) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\kappa} tr(H_{\kappa}(s)) = tr(H_{0}(s))$$
(A15)

where  $H_{\kappa}(s)$  represents the induced  $\kappa$  -th homology mapping, and  $tr(\cdot)$  denotes the trace. Since  $H_0(\Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , it has  $tr(H_0(s)) = 1$ ; for  $\kappa > 0$ , we have  $H_{\kappa}(\Gamma) = 0$ , hence  $tr(H_0(s)) = 0$ . Therefore, we can obtain that

$$L(s) = (-1)^{0} \cdot 1 + \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\kappa} \cdot 1 = 1$$
 (A16)

Thus, |L(s)| = 1 always holds, and there exists a unique equilibrium bidding strategy. The proof is complete.