# Practical 2: Model Evaluation

## Laura Rodriguez Navas

# January 2020

#### Question 1

Load the data into R. Name the columns to better identify the board, as visited from left to right and from top to down.

```
## 'data.frame':
                   958 obs. of 10 variables:
## $ top-left-square
                        : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ top-middle-square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ top-right-square
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ middle-left-square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ middle-middle-square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 ...
## $ middle-right-square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 ...
## $ bottom-left-square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 2 ...
  $ bottom-middle-square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 3 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 ...
   $ bottom-right-square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b","o","x": 2 2 3 1 1 2 1 2 2 1 ...
##
   $ Class
                          : Factor w/ 2 levels "negative", "positive": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
```

Check for missing values.

```
any(is.na(data))
```

## [1] FALSE

#### Question 2

Read the "data splitting" section at the web page of caret. Then split the data into 70% training and 30% test by keeping the original proportion of classes.

```
set.seed(825)
inTraining <- createDataPartition(data$Class, p=.7, list=FALSE)
data_training <- data[ inTraining,]</pre>
```

```
data_testing <- data[-inTraining,]</pre>
str(data_training)
## 'data.frame':
                    672 obs. of 10 variables:
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ top.left.square
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
   $ top.middle.square
## $ top.right.square
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ middle.left.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ middle.middle.square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 ...
## $ middle.right.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 ...
## $ bottom.left.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 ...
## $ bottom.middle.square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 3 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 ...
## $ bottom.right.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 3 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 ...
                          : Factor w/ 2 levels "negative", "positive": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
## $ Class
str(data_testing)
## 'data.frame':
                    286 obs. of 10 variables:
## $ top.left.square
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ top.middle.square
## $ top.right.square
                          : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 ...
## $ middle.left.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
## $ middle.middle.square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 1 3 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 ...
## $ middle.right.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 3 3 2 ...
## $ bottom.left.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 1 2 3 2 1 2 2 2 1 3 ...
## $ bottom.middle.square: Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 ...
## $ bottom.right.square : Factor w/ 3 levels "b", "o", "x": 2 2 2 1 1 2 3 1 2 1 ...
## $ Class
                          : Factor w/ 2 levels "negative", "positive": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
```

# Question 3

Specifiy the type of resampling.

Apply the models: Naive Bayes, Decision Tree, Neural Networks, Nearest Neighbour and SVM (linear kernel) to the data training dataset using the same seed.

1. Model Naive Bayes

```
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 1 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 606, 605, 604, 605, 605, 605, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
    usekernel Accuracy
                           Kappa
                0.6756219 0.2666418
##
    FALSE
      TRUE
                0.6845565 0.1130575
##
##
## Tuning parameter 'laplace' was held constant at a value of 0
## Tuning
## parameter 'adjust' was held constant at a value of 1
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final values used for the model were laplace = 0, usekernel = TRUE
## and adjust = 1.
  2. Model Decision Tree
set.seed(825)
dt <- train(Class ~ .,
            data=data_training,
            method="rpart2",
            trControl=fitControl)
dt
## CART
##
## 672 samples
##
    9 predictor
##
     2 classes: 'negative', 'positive'
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 1 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 606, 605, 604, 605, 605, 605, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
     maxdepth Accuracy
                          Kappa
##
     1
               0.6889703 0.3190082
##
               0.7530403 0.3667066
##
     10
               0.9107511 0.7973708
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final value used for the model was maxdepth = 10.
  3. Model Neural Network
set.seed(825)
nn <- train(Class ~ .,
            data=data_training,
            method="nnet",
            trControl=fitControl)
## Neural Network
##
## 672 samples
   9 predictor
```

```
2 classes: 'negative', 'positive'
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 1 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 606, 605, 604, 605, 605, 605, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
     size decay Accuracy
                             Kappa
##
     1
           0e+00 0.9732221 0.9402993
##
           1e-04 0.9717296 0.9369543
     1
##
     1
           1e-01 0.9776778 0.9498311
##
     3
           0e+00 0.8646593 0.6307553
##
    3
           1e-04 0.9612592 0.9140460
##
           1e-01 0.9776997 0.9499157
    3
##
    5
           0e+00 0.9598771 0.9103137
##
    5
          1e-04 0.9582954 0.9085208
##
           1e-01 0.9761846 0.9466124
##
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final values used for the model were size = 3 and decay = 0.1.
  4. Model Nearest Neighbour
set.seed(825)
knn <- train(Class ~ .,
             data=data_training,
             method="knn",
             trControl=fitControl)
knn
## k-Nearest Neighbors
## 672 samples
##
    9 predictor
##
     2 classes: 'negative', 'positive'
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 1 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 606, 605, 604, 605, 605, 605, ...
## Resampling results across tuning parameters:
##
##
    k Accuracy
                   Kappa
    5 0.9420751 0.8667396
##
##
    7 0.8066433 0.5374263
    9 0.7709534 0.4451248
##
## Accuracy was used to select the optimal model using the largest value.
## The final value used for the model was k = 5.
  5. Model SVM (linear kernel)
set.seed(825)
svm <- train(Class ~ .,</pre>
             data=data_training,
             method="svmLinear",
             trControl=fitControl)
```

```
## Support Vector Machines with Linear Kernel
##
## 672 samples
##
     9 predictor
     2 classes: 'negative', 'positive'
##
##
## No pre-processing
## Resampling: Cross-Validated (10 fold, repeated 1 times)
## Summary of sample sizes: 606, 605, 604, 605, 605, 605, ...
## Resampling results:
##
##
     Accuracy
                Kappa
     0.9806629 0.9563793
##
##
## Tuning parameter 'C' was held constant at a value of 1
Collect the results for all the models.
resamps <- resamples(list("Naive Bayes"=nb,
                           "Decision Tree"=dt,
                           "Neural Network"=nn,
                           "Nearest Neighbour"=knn,
                           "SVM (linear kernel) "=svm))
summary(resamps)
##
## Call:
## summary.resamples(object = resamps)
## Models: Naive Bayes, Decision Tree, Neural Network, Nearest Neighbour, SVM (linear kernel)
## Number of resamples: 10
## Accuracy
##
                             Min.
                                    1st Qu.
                                               Median
                                                            Mean
                                                                   3rd Qu.
## Naive Bayes
                       0.6567164 0.6642340 0.6865672 0.6845565 0.6943691 0.7205882
## Decision Tree
                       0.8507463 0.9000668 0.9104478 0.9107511 0.9253731 0.9701493
## Neural Network
                       0.9552239 0.9702590 0.9850746 0.9776997 0.9852392 1.0000000
## Nearest Neighbour
                       0.8955224 0.9188982 0.9402985 0.9420751 0.9700362 0.9850746
## SVM (linear kernel) 0.9552239 0.9702590 0.9850746 0.9806629 0.9852392 1.0000000
##
                       NA's
## Naive Bayes
                           0
## Decision Tree
                           0
## Neural Network
                           0
                           0
## Nearest Neighbour
## SVM (linear kernel)
##
## Kappa
                                     1st Qu.
##
                                                Median
                                                             Mean
                                                                    3rd Qu.
                       0.0000000\ 0.05403608\ 0.1111813\ 0.1130575\ 0.1504189
## Naive Bayes
                       0.6469968 \ 0.77864355 \ 0.7984862 \ 0.7973708 \ 0.8318554
## Decision Tree
## Neural Network
                        0.8975013 0.93288438 0.9665502 0.9499157 0.9672590
## Nearest Neighbour
                       0.7556019 0.81184946 0.8647830 0.8667396 0.9330473
## SVM (linear kernel) 0.8975013 0.93288438 0.9665502 0.9563793 0.9672590
```

```
## Max. NA's
## Naive Bayes 0.2540416 0
## Decision Tree 0.9337945 0
## Neural Network 1.0000000 0
## Nearest Neighbour 0.9665502 0
## SVM (linear kernel) 1.0000000 0
```

Complete the following table with the final values of accuracy and kappa for the training data:

		T.
	Accuracy	Kappa
Naive Bayes	0.6845565	0.1130575
Decision Tree	0.9107511	0.7973708
Neural Network	0.0.9776997	0.9499157
Nearest Network	0.9420751	0.8667396
SVM (linear tree)	0.9806629	0.9563793

### Question 4

Apply the models: Naive Bayes, Decision Tree, Neural Networks, Nearest Neighbour and SVM (linear kernel) to the data testing dataset. Print the confusion matrix of each model.

1. Model Naive Bayes

```
nbPredict <- predict(nb, newdata=data_testing)
confusionMatrix(nbPredict, data_testing$Class)</pre>
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction negative positive
     negative
##
                     7
     positive
                    92
                             187
##
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.6783
                    95% CI: (0.6208, 0.7321)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6538
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 0.2102
##
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.0905
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value : <2e-16
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.07071
               Specificity: 1.00000
##
##
            Pos Pred Value : 1.00000
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.67025
##
                Prevalence: 0.34615
            Detection Rate: 0.02448
##
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.02448
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.53535
##
##
          'Positive' Class : negative
##
```

2. Model Decision Tree

```
dtPredict <- predict(dt, newdata=data_testing)</pre>
confusionMatrix(dtPredict, data_testing$Class)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction negative positive
##
     negative
                    92
                              14
##
     positive
                      7
                             173
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9266
##
                     95% CI: (0.8899, 0.954)
##
       No Information Rate : 0.6538
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16
##
##
##
                      Kappa: 0.8404
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.1904
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9293
##
               Specificity: 0.9251
##
##
            Pos Pred Value: 0.8679
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.9611
                Prevalence: 0.3462
##
            Detection Rate: 0.3217
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.3706
##
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9272
##
##
          'Positive' Class : negative
##
  3. Model Neural Network
nnPredict <- predict(nn, newdata=data_testing)</pre>
confusionMatrix(nnPredict, data testing$Class)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction negative positive
                     96
##
     negative
                               0
                      3
                             187
##
     positive
##
##
                  Accuracy: 0.9895
##
                     95% CI: (0.9697, 0.9978)
       No Information Rate: 0.6538
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16
##
##
##
                      Kappa: 0.9767
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.2482
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9697
##
               Specificity: 1.0000
```

##

Pos Pred Value: 1.0000

```
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.9842
##
                Prevalence: 0.3462
##
            Detection Rate: 0.3357
      Detection Prevalence: 0.3357
##
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9848
##
##
          'Positive' Class : negative
##
  4. Model Nearest Neighbour
knnPredict <- predict(knn, newdata=data_testing)</pre>
confusionMatrix(knnPredict, data_testing$Class)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
             Reference
## Prediction negative positive
##
     negative
                    92
##
     positive
                     7
                             187
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9755
                    95% CI : (0.9502, 0.9901)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6538
##
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2e-16
##
##
                     Kappa: 0.945
##
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.02334
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9293
##
##
               Specificity: 1.0000
            Pos Pred Value: 1.0000
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.9639
##
                Prevalence: 0.3462
##
##
            Detection Rate: 0.3217
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.3217
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9646
##
##
          'Positive' Class : negative
##
  5. Model SVM (linear kernel)
svmPredict <- predict(svm, newdata=data_testing)</pre>
confusionMatrix(svmPredict, data_testing$Class)
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
             Reference
##
## Prediction negative positive
##
     negative
                    96
##
     positive
                     3
                             187
##
##
                  Accuracy : 0.9895
##
                    95% CI: (0.9697, 0.9978)
```

```
##
       No Information Rate: 0.6538
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : <2e-16
##
##
##
                      Kappa: 0.9767
##
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 0.2482
##
##
##
               Sensitivity: 0.9697
##
               Specificity: 1.0000
##
            Pos Pred Value : 1.0000
##
            Neg Pred Value: 0.9842
##
                Prevalence: 0.3462
            Detection Rate: 0.3357
##
      Detection Prevalence: 0.3357
##
##
         Balanced Accuracy: 0.9848
##
##
          'Positive' Class : negative
##
Calculate the AUC value for all the models.
  1. Model Naive Bayes
auc(roc(nbPredict, data_testing$Class))
## [1] 0.5353535
  2. Model Decison Tree
auc(roc(dtPredict, data_testing$Class))
## [1] 0.9272133
  3. Model Neural Network
auc(roc(nnPredict, data_testing$Class))
## [1] 0.9848485
  4. Model Nearest Network
```

```
auc(roc(knnPredict, data_testing$Class))
```

#### ## [1] 0.9646465

5. Model SVM (linear kernel)

```
auc(roc(svmPredict, data_testing$Class))
```

#### ## [1] 0.9848485

Complete the following table with the final values of accuracy, kappa and AUC for the testing data.

	Accuracy	Kappa	AUC
Naive Bayes	0.6783	0.0905	0.5353535
Decision Tree	0.9266	0.8404	0.9272133
Neural Network	0.9895	0.9767	0.9848485
Nearest Network	0.9755	0.945	0.9646465
SVM (linear tree)	0.9895	0.9767	0.9848485

#### Question 5

Plot the ROC curves of the models.

5. Model SVM (linear kernel)

- a) Calculate again the predictions on the test set but now setting the type parameter of the predict function to "prob".
- 1. Model Naive Bayes

```
nbPredictProb <- predict(nb, newdata=data_testing, type = "prob")</pre>
head(nbPredictProb)
##
        negative positive
## 1 0.105410725 0.8945893
## 2 0.048803363 0.9511966
## 3 0.002235508 0.9977645
## 4 0.004361079 0.9956389
## 5 0.001757359 0.9982426
## 6 0.012029302 0.9879707
  2. Model Decision Tree
dtPredictProb <- predict(dt, newdata=data_testing, type = "prob")
head(dtPredictProb)
##
      negative positive
## 9
          0.00
                    1.00
          0.16
## 11
                    0.84
## 13
          0.00
                    1.00
## 16
          0.00
                   1.00
## 17
          0.00
                   1.00
## 20
          0.16
                    0.84
  3. Model Neural Network
nnPredictProb <- predict(nn, newdata=data_testing, type = "prob")</pre>
head(nnPredictProb)
##
         negative positive
## 9 0.014915208 0.9850848
## 11 0.022068633 0.9779314
## 13 0.018293877 0.9817061
## 16 0.009860493 0.9901395
## 17 0.005332153 0.9946678
## 20 0.015123710 0.9848763
  4. Model Nearest Neighbour
knnPredictProb <- predict(knn, newdata=data_testing, type = "prob")</pre>
head(knnPredictProb)
##
     negative positive
## 1
            0
                      1
## 2
            0
                      1
## 3
            0
                      1
            0
## 4
                      1
            0
## 5
                      1
## 6
            0
                      1
```

```
svmPredictProb <- predict(svm, newdata=data_testing, type = "prob")</pre>
head(svmPredictProb)
##
       negative positive
## 1 0.03087548 0.9691245
## 2 0.03086058 0.9691394
## 3 0.03082860 0.9691714
## 4 0.03084267 0.9691573
## 5 0.03084003 0.9691600
## 6 0.03085089 0.9691491
  b) Construct a "prediction" object for each classifier using the vector of estimated probabilities for the
     positive class as the first parameter, and the vector of actual class labels as the second parameter.
  1. Model Naive Bayes
nbPred <- prediction(nbPredictProb$positive, data_testing$Class)</pre>
  2. Model Decision Tree
dtPred <- prediction(dtPredictProb$positive, data_testing$Class)</pre>
  3. Model Neural Network
nnPred <- prediction(nnPredictProb$positive, data_testing$Class)
  4. Model Nearest Neighbour
knnPred <- prediction(knnPredictProb$positive, data_testing$Class)</pre>
  5. Model SVM (linear kernel)
svmPred <- prediction(svmPredictProb$positive, data_testing$Class)</pre>
  c) Calculate the measures we want to plot on the y-axis (TPR) and on the x-axis (FPR) by using the
     performance function.
  1. Model Naive Bayes
nbPerf <- performance(nbPred, "tpr", "fpr")</pre>
  2. Model Decision Tree
dtPerf <- performance(dtPred, "tpr", "fpr")</pre>
  3. Model Neural Network
nnPerf <- performance(nnPred, "tpr", "fpr")</pre>
  4. Model Nearest Neighbour
knnPerf <- performance(knnPred, "tpr", "fpr")</pre>
  5. Model SVM (linear kernel)
svmPerf <- performance(svmPred, "tpr", "fpr")</pre>
  d) Draw all the curves in the same plot.
plot(nbPerf, col="orange", add=FALSE, main="Curvas ROC")
plot(dtPerf, col="blue", add=TRUE, main="Curvas ROC")
plot(nnPerf, col="red", add=TRUE, main="Curvas ROC")
plot(knnPerf, col="green", add=TRUE, main="Curvas ROC")
```

# **Curvas ROC**

