

Reducing Test Point Area for BIST through Greater Use of Functional Flip-Flops to Drive Control Points

Joon-Sung Yang¹, Benoit Nadeau-Dostie² and Nur A. Touba¹

¹Computer Engineering Research Center
Dept. of Electrical & Computer Eng.
University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712
{jsyang, touba}@ece.utexas.edu

²Logic Vision, Inc.
25 Metro Drive, Third Floor
San Jose, CA, 95110
benoit@logicvision.com

Abstract

Recently, a new test point insertion method for pseudo-random built-in self-test (BIST) was proposed in [Yang 09] which tries to use functional flip-flops to drive control test points instead of adding extra dedicated flip-flops for driving the control points. This paper investigates methods to further reduce the area overhead by replacing dedicated flip-flops which could not be replaced in [Yang 09]. A new algorithm (alternative selection algorithm) is proposed to find candidate flip-flops out of the fan-in cone of a test point. Experimental results indicate that most of the not-replaced flip-flops in [Yang 09] can be replaced and hence even more significant area reduction can be achieved with minimizing the loss of testability.

1. Introduction

Built-in self-test (BIST) embeds test pattern generation and output response analysis on-chip. It provides numerous advantages in terms of reducing test generation costs, reducing tester storage requirements, allowing the rapid application of many patterns to target non-modeled faults, test reuse, and in-field testing where there is no access to a tester. The most efficient BIST techniques are based on pseudo-random testing where the test patterns can be generated using a linear feedback shift register (LFSR) which has a very compact structure. However, the presence of *random-pattern-resistant (r.p.r.)* faults which have low detection probabilities may prevent pseudo-random BIST from achieving sufficiently high fault coverage. There are two general approaches for improving the fault coverage for pseudo-random BIST: (1) modifying the pattern generator so it generates patterns to detect r.p.r. faults, and (2) modifying the circuit-under-test (CUT) to eliminate the r.p.r. faults by increasing their detection probability.

In pattern generator modification, a number of techniques have been proposed including weighted pattern testing [Pomeranz 92], [Bershteyn 93], [Kapur 94], [Jas 01], pattern mapping [Chatterjee 95], [Touba 95a, 95b], LSFR reseeding [Konemann 91, 01], [Hellebrand 92, 95], [Krishna 01], [Rajski 02] and others.

In CUT modification, test points are inserted [Eichelberger 83] to improve the fault coverage. Observability is enhanced by adding observation points and controllability on a particular node is enhanced by adding a control point. Control points insert AND or OR gates at a node and are activated by pseudo-random values from a dedicated flip-flop during BIST operation. Since test point insertion (TPI) adds extra gates in a design, area and performance overhead are issues. [Krishnamurthy 87] proved that finding optimal locations in circuits with reconvergent fanouts is NP-complete and hence there has been a lot of research on test point insertion techniques. Test point insertion