# Assignment 1

Ruosen Li: li.ruos@husky.neu.edu

## 1 Naive Bayes for Text Categorization

#### **Priors**:

$$|V| = 14$$
  $\lambda = 0.1$  
$$P(vegetable) = \frac{2}{8} \quad P(flower) = \frac{3}{8} \quad P(fruit) = \frac{3}{8}$$

#### Conditional Probabilities:

$$P(banana|vegetable) = \frac{2+0.1}{8+1.4} = \frac{21}{94}$$

$$P(cucumber|vegetable) = \frac{1+0.1}{8+1.4} = \frac{11}{94}$$

$$P(potato|vegetable) = \frac{1+0.1}{8+1.4} = \frac{11}{94}$$

$$P(others|vegetable) = \frac{0+0.1}{13+1.4} = \frac{7}{48}$$

$$P(rose|flower) = \frac{3+0.1}{13+1.4} = \frac{31}{144}$$

$$P(hibiscus|flower) = \frac{3+0.1}{13+1.4} = \frac{3}{144}$$

$$P(hibiscus|fruit) = \frac{0+0.1}{13+1.4} = \frac{1}{144}$$

$$P(hibiscus|fruit) = \frac{2+0.1}{13+1.4} = \frac{3}{22}$$

$$P(school|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(apple|fruit) = \frac{3+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{31}{14}$$

$$P(lity|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{3}{14}$$

$$P(lotus|fruit) = \frac{2+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{3}{14}$$

$$P(lotus|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(banana|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(bothers|fruit) = \frac{0+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(bothers|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(bothers|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

$$P(bothers|fruit) = \frac{1+0.1}{14+1.4} = \frac{1}{14}$$

Note: "others" represents each word in total vocabulary which do not appear in corresponding categories.

CS 6120 Proposal

#### Choosing a class:

$$P(vegetable|D1) \propto \frac{2}{8} \times \frac{1}{94} \times \frac{1}{94} \times \frac{1}{94} \times \frac{11}{94} \approx 3.52 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$P(flower|D1) \propto \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{31}{144} \times \frac{7}{48} \times \frac{1}{144} \times \frac{1}{144} \approx 5.67 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$P(fruit|D1) \propto \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{14} \times \frac{1}{14} \times \frac{31}{154} \times \frac{1}{154} \approx 2.50 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$P(vegetable|D2) \propto \frac{2}{8} \times \frac{21}{94} \times \frac{11}{94} \times \frac{1}{94} \times \frac{1}{94} \approx 7.40 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$P(flower|D2) \propto \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{7}{48} \times \frac{1}{144} \times \frac{7}{48} \times \frac{1}{144} \approx 3.85 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$P(fruit|D2) \propto \frac{3}{8} \times \frac{1}{154} \times \frac{1}{154} \times \frac{1}{14} \times \frac{3}{22} \approx 1.54 \times 10^{-7}$$

#### Results:

According to the results, D1 most likely belongs to fruit category and D2 most likely belongs to vegetable category.

## 2 Word Sense Disambiguation

#### 2.1 The total number of senses for each open class word

27	raise	02	parapet	01	cushion	05	lay	06	$\operatorname{arm}$	15	$\operatorname{right}$
03	lover	11	movement	06	quick	25	light	49	$_{\mathrm{make}}$	14	hand
26	$\operatorname{turn}$	04	arena	11	man	12	fix	05	eye	24	see
09	space	04	empty	10	walk	11	step	02	rapid	10	$_{ m firm}$

#### 2.2 Distinct combinations of senses

The first sentence: 900
The second sentence: 458419500
The third sentence: 72
The forth sentence: 2640
The fifth sentence: 2059200

# 3 Language Modeling

Code for this problem is under folder "Problem3". Please read "Problem3/README" before running it.

#### 3.1 N-gram Language Model

The count file is saved under folder "Problem3/lm" named "unigram", "bigram", and "trigram" respectively.

#### 3.2 Linear Interpolation Smoothing

The score file is under folder "Problem3/3\_2". All first 50 highest score files are French file.

CS 6120 Proposal

### 3.3 Add- $\lambda$ Smoothing

The score file is under folder "Problem3/3\_3". All first 50 highest score files are French file.

#### 3.4 Comparison

According to the score files calculated by two smoothing function, interpolation smoothing function is obviously better than add- $\lambda$  function.

Linear interpolation smoothing function:

Pros:

1. Perform well on data and report in high accuracy.

Cons:

- 1. Run in relatively slower speed than add- $\lambda$  smoothing function.
- 2. Need to find combination of lambdas first by grid search when using linear interpolation smoothing. If you need to calculate lambda based on context, it will cost more time and be hard to implement.

Add- $\lambda$  smoothing function:

Pros

- 1. Run fast than interpolation smoothing function.
- 2. Easy to implement.

Cons:

1. Bad performance on all data than interpolation smoothing.

## 4 POS Tagging - HMM

Code for this problem is under folder "Problem3". Please read "README" before running it.

#### 4.1 HMM parameters

The count file is saved under folder "Problem4/4\_1" named "word-tag", "tag-unigram", and "tag-bigram" respectively.

### 4.2 Transition Probabilities

The result is showed in Jupyter notebook as a output result after running the last cell or corresponding function.

### 4.3 Emission Probabilities

The result is showed in Jupyter notebook as a output result after running the last cell or corresponding function.

CS 6120 Proposal

## 4.4 Sentences generation

The result is showed in Jupyter notebook as a output result after running the last cell or corresponding function.

## 4.5 POS tag parsing

The POS tag file is under folder "Problem 4/4\_5".