# Analysis of critical transitions at the Global Forest

#### 2 Abstract

Detecting a global scale state shift

## 4 Introduction

- 5 Ecosystems change in response to environmental changes, at a global scale these changes are being produced
- by human activities. The changes may be seen as gradual and can be forecasted by projecting recent trends,
- 7 this may give the false impression that ecosystems are resilient to changes because they respond with small
- 8 changes to environmental pressures. Complex interaction between species and feedbacks at different levels of
- organization [???] can produce abrupt changes called critical transitions [???]. These produce abrupt state
- shifts that can not be linearly forecasted from past changes [???]. Critical transitions had been detected
- mostly at local scales [1,2], but the accumulation of changes in local communities that overlap geographically
- 12 can propagate and cause an abrut change of the entire system [3], thus there exist a possibility that a critical
- transition occurs at a global scale [4,5].
- One of the most dramatic human induced changes is the replacement of 40% of Earth's formerly biodiverse
- 15 land areas with landscapes that contain only a few species of crop plants, domestic animals and humans
- 16 [???;] this is a global scale forcing.
- The importance of propagation of information and spatial dynamics -> study spatial signals power law
- distributions -> Why forest cover is important
- Power laws are associated with two properties: scale invariance and universality [6]
- 20 Both habitat fragmentation and population fragmentation are critical transitions. Tuning a control parameter
- <sup>21</sup> we can find a critical value (hc or lc) at which the order parameter (P or n) declines abruptly to zero, the
- 22 combination of both processes is also a critical system only if fragmentation is a dynamical proces, that means
- that degraded patches can recover [???].
- 24 Besides in several systems the observation of power laws in the patch distribution is a signal of a sistem in a
- <sup>25</sup> critical state, undergoing a critical transition, in several ecosystems the distribution of vegetation patches
- present a power law distribution in a healthy state. Deviation of the power law are observed when pressures
- 27 like overgrazing and desertification increase.

- Our objetive is to evaluate the forest patch distribution at a continental scale, to detect possible signals of a
- 2 global critical transition.
- <sup>3</sup> We have to calculate the spatial auto-correlation [7]
- Why distribution of patches is important
- 5 One way to detect a global shift is to track power law distributions in forest patches

### 6 Methods

- 7 MODIS VCF explanation.
- 8 A 30% threshold was used to convert the percentage tree cover to a binary image of forest and non-forest
- 9 pixels [8]. Patches of contiguous forest were determined in the binary image by grouping connected pixels
- using a neighborhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighborhood). We set a minimal patch size  $(X_m in)$  at nine
- pixels to avoid artifacts at patch edges due to discretization.
- 12 We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patch areas to four distributions using maximum likelihood
- estimation [9,10]. The distributions were: power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and
- exponential distributions. We assume that the patch size distribution a continuous variable that was discretized
- by remote sensing data acquisition procedure. CONSECUENCES OF EACH DISTRIBUTION VER [11].
- Besides the hard  $X_min$  limit we set due to discretization, empirical distributions can show power-law behavior
- 17 at values above a lower bound that can be estimated by maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic
- comparing empirical to fitted cumulative distribution function [10]. We first fitSince we hypothesize the
- presence of two power-laws first we determined Xmin using the complete dataset for each year and fitted the
- 20 models, then we fitted again the four models for the data lower than Xmin. As a comparison we also fit the
- 21 models with the complete dataset (Xmin=1). The use of Xmin eliminates part of the data from the analysis
- thus only models with a similar cut-off can be compared.
- 23 The corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AICc) and the Akaike weights were computed for each model
- 24 (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Akaike weights (wi) are the weight of evidence in favor of model i being the
- 25 actual best model for the situation at hand given that one of the N models must be the best model for that
- 26 set of N models.
- 27 Additionally, we computed the goodness of fit of the power-law and power-law with cut-off models following
- the bootstrap approach described by Clauset et. al [10], where simulated data sets following the fitted model

- are generated, and a p-value equal to the proportion of simulated data sets that has a KS statistic less
- <sup>2</sup> extreme than empirical data.
- A randomization procedure was applied in order to determine whether the distribution of contiguous forest
- 4 units can be simply the result of a completely random process. The land pixels of the original image where
- 5 randomly relocated while keeping watered areas untouched. The randomization process was repeated 1000
- 6 times, and the resulting binary images were subsequently subjected to the described procedure.
- <sup>7</sup> Image processing were done in MATLAB. All statistical analyses were done using the GNU R [12], using the
- 8 poweRlaw package [13] for fitting distributions.

#### 9 Results

#### 10 References

- 1. Carpenter SR, Cole JJ, Pace ML, Batt R, Brock WA, et al. (2011) Early Warnings of Regime Shifts: A
- Whole-Ecosystem Experiment. Science 332: 1079–1082. Available: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/332/
- 13 6033/1079.abstract.
- 2. Drake JM, Griffen BD (2010) Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environments. Nature 467:
- 456-459. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09389 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v467/n7314/abs/nature09
- 16 information.
- 17 3. Barnosky AD, Hadly EA, Bascompte J, Berlow EL, Brown JH, et al. (2012) Approaching a state shift in
- Earth's biosphere. Nature 486: 52–58. Available: http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v486/n7401/full/nature11018.html
- 19 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11018.
- 4. Rockstrom J, Steffen W, Noone K, Persson A, Chapin FS, et al. (2009) A safe operating space for humanity.
- Nature 461: 472–475. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/461472a.
- 5. Folke C, Jansson Å, Rockström J, Olsson P, Carpenter SR, et al. (2011) Reconnecting to the Biosphere.
- ${\rm ^{23}\ AMBIO\ 40:\ 719-738.\ Available:\ http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13280-011-0184-y.}$
- 24 6. Marquet PA, Quiñones RA, Abades S, Labra F, Tognelli M, et al. (2005) Scaling and power-laws in
- ecological systems. Journal of Experimental Biology 208: 1749–1769. Available: http://jeb.biologists.org/
- 26 content/208/9/1749.
- 27 7. Manor A, Shnerb NM (2008) Facilitation, competition, and vegetation patchiness: From scale free
- distribution to patterns. Journal of Theoretical Biology 253: 838–842. Available: http://www.sciencedirect.

- 1 com/science/article/pii/S0022519308001914.
- 2 8. Haddad NM, Brudvig LA, Clobert J, Davies KF, Gonzalez A, et al. (2015) Habitat fragmentation
- and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances 1: e1500052—e11500052. Available:
- http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1500052.
- <sup>5</sup> 9. Goldstein ML, Morris SA, Yen GG (2004) Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. The
- <sup>6</sup> European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 41: 255–258. Available: http:
- 7 //link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00316-5.
- 8 10. Clauset A, Shalizi C, Newman M (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review 51:
- 9 661–703. Available: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/070710111.
- 10. 11. Rooij MMJW van, Nash B, Rajaraman S, Holden JG (2013) A Fractal Approach to Dynamic Inference and
- Distribution Analysis. Frontiers in Physiology 4. Available: http://www.frontiersin.org/fractal/\_physiology/
- 12 10.3389/fphys.2013.00001/abstract.
- 12. R Core Team (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available: http://www.r-project.org/.
- 15 13. Gillespie CS (2015) Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The poweRlaw Package. Journal of Statistical
- Software 64: 1–16. Available: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i02/.