Power laws and critical fragmentation in global forests

- ² Leonardo A. Saravia ^{1 3}, Santiago R. Doyle ¹, Ben Bond-Lamberty²
- 1. Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, J.M. Gutierrez 1159 (1613), Los
- 4 Polvorines, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ⁵ 2. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Joint Global Change Research Institute at the University of
- 6 Maryland–College Park, 5825 University Research Court #3500, College Park, MD 20740, USA
- 3. Corresponding author e-mail: lsaravia@campus.ungs.edu.ar
- keywords: Forest fragmentation, early warning signals, percolation, power-laws, MODIS, critical transitions
- 9 Running title: Critical fragmentation in global forest
- ¹⁰ Author contributions: LAS designed the study, SRD LAS performed modelling work, BBL review meth-
- ods, and LAS SRD BBL wrote the manuscript
- Data availability: all the raw data is from public repositories, the data supporting the results is archived
- at figshare public repository and the data DOI is included at in the article.
- ¹⁴ Competing interests: The author(s) declare no competing interests.

5 Abstract

The replacement of forest areas with human-dominated landscapes usually leads to fragmentation, altering
the structure and function of the forest. Here we studied the dynamics of forest patch sizes at a global level,
examining signals of a critical transition from an unfragmented to a fragmented state, using the MODIS
vegetation continuous field. We defined wide regions of connected forest across continents and big islands,
and combined five criteria, including the distribution of patch sizes and the fluctuations of the largest patch
over the last sixteen years, to evaluate the closeness of each region to a fragmentation threshold. Regions with
the highest deforestation rates—South America, Southeast Asia, Africa—all met these criteria and may thus
be near a critical fragmentation threshold. This implies that if current forest loss rates are maintained, wide
continental areas could suddenly fragment, triggering extensive species loss and degradation of ecosystems
services.

26 Introduction

Forests are among the most important biomes on earth, providing habitat for a large proportion of species and contributing extensively to global biodiversity¹. In the previous century, human activities have influenced global bio-geochemical cycles^{2,3}, with one of the most dramatic changes being the replacement of 40% of Earth's formerly biodiverse land areas with landscapes that contain only a few species of crop plants, domestic animals and humans⁴. These local changes have accumulated over time and now constitute a global forcing⁵. Another global scale forcing that is tied to habitat destruction is fragmentation, which is defined as the division of a continuous habitat into separated portions that are smaller and more isolated. Fragmentation produces multiple interwoven effects: reductions of biodiversity between 13% and 75%, decreasing forest biomass, and changes in nutrient cycling⁶. The effects of fragmentation are not only important from an ecological point of view but also that of human activities, as ecosystem services are deeply influenced by the level of landscape fragmentation⁷⁻⁹.

Ecosystems harbour hundreds of populations interacting through complex networks and present feedbacks at different levels of organization^{10,11}, external forcings can produce abrupt changes from one state to another, called critical transitions¹². Complex systems can experience two general classes of critical transitions¹³. In so-called first-order transitions, a catastrophic regime shift that is mostly irreversible occurs because of the existence of alternative stable states¹⁴. This class of transitions is suspected to be present in a variety of ecosystems such as lakes, woodlands, coral reefs¹⁴, semi-arid grasslands¹⁵, and fish populations¹⁶. They can be the result of positive feedback mechanisms¹⁷; for example, fires in some forest ecosystems were more likely to occur in previously burned areas than in unburned places¹⁸.

The other class of critical transitions are second order transitions¹⁹. In these cases, there is a narrow region where the system suddenly changes from one domain to another in a continuous and reversible way. This kind of transitions were suggested for tropical forests^{20,21}, semi-arid mountain ecosystems²², and tundra shrublands²³. The transition happens at a critical point where we can observe scale-invariant fractal structures characterized by power law patch distributions²⁴.

The spatial phenomena observed in continuous critical transitions is related to connectivity, a fundamental property of general systems and ecosystems from forests²⁵ to marine ecosystems²⁶ and the whole biosphere²⁷. When a system goes from a fragmented to a connected state we say that it percolates¹³. Percolation implies that there is a path of connections that involve the whole system. Thus we can characterize two domains or phases: one dominated by short-range interactions which does not allow information (used in a broad sense, e.g. species dispersal or movement) spreading through the whole system, and another in which long-range

interactions are possible and information can spread over the system. Thus, there is a critical "percolation threshold" between the two phases, and the system could be driven close to or beyond this point by an external force (called tunning parameter); climate change, deforestation and forest fire are the main forces that could be the drivers of such a phase change in contemporary forests^{3,6}. There are several applications of this concept in ecology: species' dispersal strategies are influenced by percolation thresholds in three-dimensional forest structure²⁸, and it has been shown that species distributions also have percolation thresholds²⁹. This implies that pushing the system below the percolation threshold could produce a biodiversity collapse^{30–32}; conversely, being in a connected state (above the threshold) could accelerate the invasion of the forest into prairie^{23,33}.

One of the main challenges with systems that can experience critical transitions—of any kind—is that the value of the critical threshold is not known in advance. In addition, because near the critical point a small change can precipitate a state shift in the system, they are difficult to predict. Several methods have been developed to detect if a system is close to the critical point, e.g. a deceleration in recovery from perturbations, or an increase in variance in the spatial or temporal pattern^{34–37}.

The existence of a critical transition between two states has been established for forest at a global scale in different works^{38–40}. It is generally believed that this constitutes a first order catastrophic transition. There are several processes that can convert a catastrophic transition to a second order transition¹⁷. These include stochasticity, such as demographic fluctuations, spatial heterogeneities, and/or dispersal limitation. All these components are present in forest around the globe^{41–43}, and thus continuous transitions might be more probable than catastrophic transitions. Moreover, there is evidence of recovery in systems that supposedly suffered an irreversible transition produced by overgrazing^{44,45} and desertification⁴⁶. From this basis, we applied indices derived from second-order transitions to global forest cover dynamics.

In this study, our objective is to look for evidence that forests around the globe are near continuous critical points that represent a fragmentation threshold. We use the framework of percolation to first evaluate if forest patch distribution at a continental scale is described by a power law distribution and then examine the fluctuations of the largest patch. The advantage of using data at a continental scale is that for very large systems the transitions are very sharp¹³ and thus much easier to detect than at smaller scales, where noise can mask the signals of the transition.

85 Methods

86 Study areas definition

We analysed mainland forests at a continental scale, covering the whole globe, by delimiting land areas with a near-contiguous forest cover, separated from each other by large non-forested areas. We defined three forest regions in America: South America temperate forest (SAT), subtropical and tropical forest up to Mexico (SAST), USA and Canada forest (NA). Europe and North Asia are one region (EUAS), then South-east Asia (SEAS), Africa (AF), and Australia (OC). We also analysed islands larger than 10⁵km². This criterion to delimit regions is based on percolation theory that assumes some kind of connectivity in the study area (Appendix Table S2, figure S1-S6).

94 Forest patch distribution

We studied forest patch distribution in each area from 2000 to 2015 using the MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Vegetation Continuous Fields (VCF) Tree Cover dataset version 051⁴⁷. This dataset is produced at a global level with a 231-m resolution on an annual basis. There are several definitions of forest based on per cent tree cover⁴⁸ and these are used to convert the percentage tree cover 98 to a binary image of forest and non-forest pixels; we choose a range from 20% to 40% threshold in 5% increments. This range is centred in the definition used by the United Nations' International Geosphere-100 Biosphere Programme⁴⁹, studies of global fragmentation⁶ and includes the range used in other studies of 101 critical transitions⁵⁰. Using this range we avoid the errors produced by low discrimination of MODIS VCF 102 between forest and dense herbaceous vegetation at low forest cover and the saturation of MODIS VCF in dense forests⁵¹. We repeat all the analysis across this set of thresholds, except in some specific cases de-104 scribed below. Patches of contiguous forest were determined in the binary image by grouping connected forest pixels using a neighbourhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighbourhood). The MODIS VCF product 106 does not discriminate between tree types, and so besides natural forest it includes plantations of tree crops 107 like rubber, oil palm, eucalyptus and other managed stands⁵². Even though datasets with lower resolutions than MODIS VCF, like MODIS Land Cover Type, have been used to study fragmentation⁵³, products with 109 higher resolution that describe forest cover also exist⁵⁴. As we analyse the time series of forest patches, we 110 cannot use the 54 dataset which has a very limited temporal resolution (years 2000 & 2012).

112 Percolation theory

A more in-depth introduction to percolation theory can be found elsewhere²⁴ and a review from an ecological point of view is available⁵⁵. Here, to explain the basic elements of percolation theory we formulate a simple model: we represent our area of interest by a square lattice and each site of the lattice can be occupied—e.g. by forest—with a probability p, thus the lattice will be more occupied when p is greater, but the sites are randomly distributed. We defined patches with the same 8 sites neighbourhood previously mentioned. When p is increased from low values, a patch that connects the whole lattice suddenly appears. At this point, it is said that the system percolates and the value of p is the critical point p_c .

Thus percolation is characterized by two well-defined phases: the unconnected phase when $p < p_c$, in which species cannot travel far inside the forest, as it is fragmented; in a general sense, information cannot spread. The second is the connected phase when $p > p_c$, species can move inside a forest patch from side to side of the lattice, i.e. information can spread over the whole area. Near the critical point, several scaling laws arise: the structure of the patch that spans the area is fractal, the size distribution of the patches is power-law, and other quantities also follow power-law scaling²⁴.

The value of the critical point p_c depends on the geometry of the lattice and on the definition of the neighbourhood, but other power-law exponents only depend on the lattice dimension. Close to the critical point, the distribution of patch sizes is:

129 (1)
$$n_s(p_c) \propto s^{-\alpha}$$

where $n_s(p)$ is the number of patches of size s. The exponent α does not depend on the details of the model and it is called universal²⁴. These scaling laws can be applied to landscape structures that are approximately random, or correlated over short distances⁵⁶. In physics, this is called "isotropic percolation universality class", and corresponds to an exponent $\alpha = 2.05495$. If we observe that the patch size distribution has another exponent it will not belong to this universality class and other mechanisms should be invoked to explain it. Percolation can also be generated by models that have some kind of memory^{57,58}: for example, a patch that has been exploited for many years will recover differently than a recently deforested forest patch. In this case, the system could belong to a different universality class, or in some cases, there is no universality, in which case the value of α will depend on the parameters and details of the model⁵⁹.

To illustrate these concepts, we conducted simulations with a simple forest model with only two states: forest and non-forest. This type of model is called a "contact process" and was introduced for epidemics⁶⁰ but has many applications in ecology^{19,56} (see supplementary data, gif animations).

Patch size distributions

We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patches calculated for each of the percentage forest cover thresholds we defined. We fit four distributions using maximum likelihood^{61,62}: power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and exponential. We assumed that the patch size distribution is a continuous variable discretised by the remote sensing data acquisition procedure.

The power-law distribution requires a lower bound for its scaling behaviour that is estimated from the data by maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic between the empirical and fitted cumulative distribution functions⁶². For the log-normal model, we constrain the μ parameter to positive values, this parameter controls the mode of the distribution and when is negative most of the probability density of the distribution lies outside the range of the forest patch size data⁶³.

To select the best model we calculated the corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AIC_c) and Akaike weights for each model⁶⁴. Akaike weights (w_i) are the weight of evidence in favour of model i being the best model among the candidate set of N models. Additionally, we computed a likelihood ratio test^{62,65} of the power law model against the other distributions. We calculated bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals⁶⁶ for the parameters of the best model, using the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) bootstrap⁶⁷ with 10000 replications.

158 Largest patch dynamics

The largest patch connects the highest number of sites in the area and has been used to indicate fragmentation 25,68 . The size of the largest patch S_{max} has been studied in relation to percolation phenomena 24,69,70 but seldom used in ecological studies (but see 56). When the system is in a connected state $(p > p_c)$ the landscape is almost insensitive to the loss of a small fraction of forest, but close to the critical point a minor loss can have important effects 19,55 , because at this point the largest patch will have a filamentary structure, i.e. extended forest areas will be connected by thin threads. Small losses can thus produce large fluctuations.

To evaluate the fragmentation of the forest the proportion of the largest patch against the total area can be calculated⁷¹. The total area of the regions we are considering (Appendix S4, figures S1-S6) may not be the same as the total area that the forest could potentially occupy, and thus a more accurate way to evaluate the weight of S_{max} is to use the total forest area, which can be easily calculated by summing all the forest pixels. We calculate the proportion of the largest patch for each year, dividing S_{max} by the total forest area

of the same year: $RS_{max} = S_{max} / \sum_i S_i$. When the proportion RS_{max} is large (more than 60%) the largest patch contains most of the forest so there are fewer small forest patches and the system is probably in a connected phase. Conversely, when it is low (less than 20%), the system is probably in a fragmented phase⁷². To define if a region will be in a connected or unconnected state we used the RS_{max} of the highest (i.e., most conservative) threshold of 40%, that represents the most dense area of forest within our chosen range. We assume that there are two alternative states for the critical transition—the forest could be fragmented or unfragmented. If RS_{max} is a good indicator forest's state, its distribution of frequencies should be bimodal¹⁵, so we apply the Hartigan's dip test that measures departures from unimodality⁷³.

To evaluate if the forest is near a critical transition, we calculate the fluctuations of the largest patch $\Delta S_{max} = S_{max}(t) - \langle S_{max} \rangle$, using the same formula for RS_{max} . To characterize fluctuations we fitted 180 three empirical distributions: power-law, log-normal, and exponential, using the same methods described 181 previously. We expect that large fluctuations near a critical point have heavy tails (log-normal or power-law) 182 and that fluctuations far from a critical point have exponential tails, corresponding to Gaussian processes⁷⁴. 183 We also apply the likelihood ratio test explained previously ^{62,65}; if the p-values obtained to compare the 184 best distribution against the others are not significant we can not decide which is the best model. We 185 generated animated maps showing the fluctuations of the two largest patches at 30% threshold, to aid in the interpretations of the results. 187

A robust way to detect if the system is near a critical transition is to analyse the increase in variance of the density⁷⁵—in our case 'density' is the total forest cover divided by the area. But the variance increase in density appears when the system is very close to the transition⁵⁹, and thus practically it does not constitute an early warning indicator. An alternative is to analyse the variance of the fluctuations of the largest patch ΔS_{max} : the maximum is attained at the critical point but a significant increase occurs well before the system reaches the critical point^{59,72}. In addition, before the critical fragmentation, the skewness of the distribution of ΔS_{max} is negative, implying that fluctuations below the average are more frequent. We characterized the increase in the variance using quantile regression: if variance is increasing the slopes of upper or/and lower quartiles should be positive or negative.

Statistical analyses were performed using the GNU R version 3.3.0⁷⁶, to fit patch size distributions we used the Python package powerlaw⁷⁷. For quantile regressions, we used the R package quantreg⁷⁸, and for image processing we used MATLAB r2015b. The "bwconncomp" MATLAB function, which implements a flood-fill algorithm, was used to identify individual patches from binary images. The complete source code for image processing, statistical analysis and patch size data are available at figshare http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.4263905.

203 Results

Figure 1 shows an example of the distribution of the biggest 200 patches for years 2000 and 2014. This distribution is highly variable; the biggest patch usually maintains its spatial location, but sometimes it breaks and then big temporal fluctuations in its size are observed, as we will analyse below. Smaller patches can merge or break more easily so they enter or leave the list of 200, and this is why there is a colour change across years.

The power law distribution was selected as the best model in 99% of the cases (Figure S7). In a small

The power law distribution was selected as the best model in 99% of the cases (Figure S7). In a small number of cases (1%), the power law with exponential cutoff was selected, but the value of the parameter α was similar by ± 0.03 to the pure power law (Table S1 and model fit data table), thus we used the power law parameters for these cases (region EUAS3, SAST2). In finite-size systems, the favoured model should be the power law with exponential cut-off because the power-law tails are truncated to the size of the system²⁴. We observe that when the pure power-law model is the best model, the 64% of likelihood ratio tests against power law with exponential cutoff are not significant (p-value>0.05). Instead, the likelihood ratio test clearly differentiates the power law model from the exponential model (100% cases p-value<0.05), and the log-normal model (90% cases p-value<0.05).

The global mean of the power-law exponent α is 1.967 and the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval is 1.964 - 1.970. The global values for each threshold are different, because their confidence intervals do not overlap, and their range goes from 1.90 to 2.01 (Table S1). Analysing the biggest regions (Figure 1, Table S2) the northern hemisphere regions (EUAS1 & NA1) have similar values of α (1.97, 1.98), pantropical areas have different α with greatest values for South America (SAST1, 2.01) and in descending order Africa (AF1, 1.946) and Southeast Asia (SEAS1, 1.895). With greater α the fluctuations of patch sizes are lower and vice versa⁷⁹.

We calculated the total forest areas and the largest patch S_{max} by year for different thresholds, as expected these two values increase for smaller thresholds (Table S3). We expect fewer variations in the largest patch 226 relative to total forest area RS_{max} (Figure S9); in ten cases it stayed near or higher than 60% (EUAS2, NA5, 227 OC2, OC3, OC4, OC5, OC6, OC8, SAST1, SAT1) over the 25-35 range or more. In four cases it stayed 228 around 40% or less, at least over the 25-30% range (AF1, EUAS3, OC1, SAST2), and in six cases there is 229 a crossover from more than 60% to around 40% or less (AF2, EUAS1, NA1, OC7, SEAS1, SEAS2). This 230 confirms the criteria of using the most conservative threshold value of 40% to interpret RS_{max} with regard 231 to the fragmentation state of the forest. The frequency of RS_{max} showed bimodality (Figure S10) and the 232 dip test rejected unimodality (D = 0.0416, p-value = 0.0003), which also implies that RS_{max} is a good index 233

234 to study the fragmentation state of the forest.

fluctuations (Table S4).

The RS_{max} for regions with more than 10^7 km^2 of forest is shown in figure 3. South America tropical and 235 subtropical (SAST1) is the only region with an average close to 60%, the other regions are below 30%. Eurasia mainland (EUAS1) has the lowest value near 20%. For regions with less total forest area (Figure S10, Table 237 S3), Great Britain (EUAS3) has the lowest proportion less than 5%, Java (OC7) and Cuba (SAST2) are under 25%, while other regions such as New Guinea (OC2), Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), 239 Sulawesi (OC5) and South New Zealand (OC6) have a very high proportion (75% or more). Philippines (SEAS2) seems to be a very interesting case because it seems to be under 30% until the year 2007, fluctuates 241 around 30% in years 2008-2010, then jumps near 60% in 2011-2013 and then falls again to 30%, this seems an example of a transition from a fragmented state to an unfragmented one (figure S11). 243 The Likelihood ratio test was not significant for the distributions of largest patch fluctuations ΔRS_{max} and 244 ΔS_{max} . Thus we cannot determine with confidence which is the best distribution. In only one case was the distribution selected by the Akaike criteria confirmed as the correct model for relative and absolute 246

The animations of the two largest patches (see supplementary data, largest patch gif animations) qualitatively 248 shows the nature of fluctuations and if the state of the forest is connected or not. If the largest patch is 249 always the same patch over time, the forest is probably not fragmented; this happens for regions with RS_{max} 250 of more than 40% such as AF2 (Madagascar), EUAS2 (Japan), NA5 (Newfoundland) and OC3 (Malaysia). 251 In regions with RS_{max} between 40% and 30%, the identity of the largest patch could change or stay the same 252 in time. For OC7 (Java) the largest patch changes and for AF1 (Africa mainland) it stays the same. Only 253 for EUAS1 (Eurasia mainland), we observed that the two largest patches are always the same, implying that 254 these two large patches are produced by a geographical accident but they have the same dynamics. The 255 regions with RS_{max} less than 25% SAST2 (Cuba) and EUAS3 (Great Britain) have an always-changing 256 largest patch reflecting their fragmented state. A transition is observed in SEAS2 (Philippines), with the identity of the largest patch first variable, and then constant after 2010. 258

The results of quantile regressions are almost identical for ΔRS_{max} and ΔS_{max} (table S5). Among the biggest regions, Africa (AF1) has a similar pattern across thresholds but only the 30% threshold is significant; the upper and lower quantiles have significant negative slopes, but the lower quantile slope is lower, implying that negative fluctuations and variance are increasing (Figure 4). Eurasia mainland (EUAS1) has significant slopes at 20%, 30% and 40% thresholds but the patterns are different at 20% variance is decreasing, at 30% and 40% only is increasing. Thus the variation of the most dense portion of the largest patch is increasing

within a limited range. North America mainland (NA1) exhibits the same pattern at 20%, 25% and 30% thresholds: a significant lower quantile with positive slope, implying decreasing variance. South America tropical and subtropical (SAST1) have significant lower quantile with a negative slope at 25% and 30% thresholds indicating an increase in variance. SEAS1 has an upper quantile with a significant positive slope for 25% threshold, indicating an increasing variance. The other regions, with forest area smaller than 10⁷km² are shown in figure S11 and table S5. For Philippines (SEAS2), the slopes of lower quantiles are positive for thresholds 20% and 25%, and the upper quantile slopes are positive for thresholds 30% and 40%; thus variance is decreasing at 20%-25% and increasing at 30%-40%.

The conditions that indicate that a region is near a critical fragmentation threshold are that patch size distributions follow a power law; variance of ΔRS_{max} is increasing in time, and skewness is negative. All these conditions must happen at the same time at least for one threshold. When the threshold is higher more dense regions of the forest are at risk. This happens for Africa mainland (AF1), Eurasia mainland (EUAS1), Japan (EUAS2), Australia mainland (OC1), Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), South America tropical & subtropical (SAST1), Cuba (SAST2), Southeast Asia, Mainland (SEAS1).

Discussion

We found that the forest patch distribution of all regions of the world, spanning tropical rainforests, boreal and temperate forests, followed power laws trough seven orders of magnitude. Power laws have previously been found for several kinds of vegetation, but never at global scales as in this study. Moreover, the range of the estimated power law exponents is relatively narrow (1.90 - 2.01), even though we used a range of different thresholds levels. This suggests the existence of one unifying mechanism that acts at continental scales, affecting forest spatial structure and dynamics.

A possible mechanism for the emergence of power laws in forests is isotropic percolation²⁰: forest sites disappear at random positions when the density of forest is near the critical point, the power law structures arise. This requires the tuning of an external environmental condition to carry the system to this point. We did not expect forest growth to be a random process at local scales, but it is possible that combinations of factors cancel out to produce seemingly random forest dynamics at large scales. This has been suggested as a mechanism for the observed power laws of global tropical forest in year 2000²¹. In this case, we should have observed power laws in a limited set of situations that coincide with a critical point, but instead, we observed pervasive power law distributions. Thus isotropic percolation does not seem the mechanism that produces the observed distributions.

Another possible mechanism is facilitation^{80,81}: a patch surrounded by forest will have a smaller probability of being deforested or degraded than an isolated patch. The model of Scanlon et al.⁸² showed an $\alpha = 1.34$ which is far from our results (1.90 - 2.01 range). Another model but with three states (tree/non-tree/degraded), including local facilitation and grazing, was also used to obtain power laws patch distributions without external tuning and exhibited deviations from power laws at high grazing pressures⁸³. The values of the power law exponent α for this model depend on the intensity of facilitation: if it is more intense the exponent is higher, but the maximal values they obtained are still lower than the ones we observed. Thus an exploration of the parameters of this model is needed to find if this is a plausible mechanism.

A combination of spatial and temporal indicators is more reliable to detect critical transitions⁸⁴. We combined five criteria to evaluate the closeness of the system to a fragmentation threshold. Two were spatial: the forest patch size distribution, and the proportion of the largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} . The other three were the distribution of temporal fluctuations in the largest patch size, the trend in the variance, and the skewness of the fluctuations. One of them: the distribution of temporal fluctuations ΔRS_{max} cannot be applied with our temporal resolution due to the difficulties of fitting and comparing heavy-tailed distributions. The combination of the remaining four gives us an increased degree of confidence about the system being close to a critical transition.

Besides that a unifying mechanism is suggested, different factors could be acting in different regions and maybe different models needed. As a consequence, there might exist various critical fragmentation thresholds. As we still did not elucidate the mechanism and the factors that might be most important for each region we cannot determine the theoretical critical point and this is why we tried to find signals of critical transitions without knowing the exact value of the critical fragmentation threshold.

South America tropical and subtropical (SAST1), Southeast Asia mainland (SEAS1) and Africa mainland 316 (AF1) met all criteria at least for one threshold; these regions generally experience the biggest rates of 317 deforestation with a significant increase in loss of forest⁵⁴. The most critical regions are Southeast Asia and Africa, because the proportion of the largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} was around 30% 319 thus they are in a fragmented state. Due to our criteria to define regions, we could not detect the effect of conservation policies applied at a country level, e.g. the Natural Forest Conservation Program in China, 321 which has produced a 1.6% increase in forest cover and net primary productivity over the last 20 years⁸⁵. Tropical South America with its high RS_{max} is also endangered but probably in an unfragmented state. 323 Indonesia and Malaysia (OC3) have both high deforestation rates⁵⁴; Sumatra (OC4) is the biggest island of Indonesia and where most deforestation occurs. Both regions show a high RS_{max} greater than 60%, and thus the forest is in an unfragmented state, but they met all other criteria, meaning that they are approaching a

transition if the actual deforestation rates continue.

The Eurasian mainland region (EUAS1) is an extensive area with mainly temperate and boreal forest and 328 a combination of forest loss due to fire⁸⁶ and forestry. The biggest country is Russia that experienced the biggest rate of forest loss in all countries, but in the zone of coniferous forest, the largest gain is observed due 330 to agricultural abandonment⁸⁷. The loss is maximum at the most dense areas of forest⁵⁴, this coincides with our analysis that detected an increased risk at denser forest. This region also has a relatively low RS_{max} 332 that means is probably near a fragmented state. A possible explanation of this is that in Russia after the collapse of the Soviet Union harvest was lower due to agricultural abandonment but illegal overharvesting 334 of high valued stands has increased in recent decades⁸⁸. A region that is similar in forest composition to EAUS1 is North America (NA1); the two main countries involved, United States and Canada, have forest 336 dynamics mainly influenced by fire and forestry, with both regions are extensively managed for industrial 337 wood production. North America has a higher RS_{max} than Eurasia and a positive skewness that excludes it from being near a critical transition. 339

The analysis of RS_{max} reveals that the island of Philippines (SEAS2) seems to be an example of a critical transition from an unconnected to a connected state, i.e. from a state with high fluctuations and low RS_{max} 341 to a state with low fluctuations and high RS_{max} . If we observe this pattern backwards in time, the decrease 342 in variance become an increase, and negative skewness is constant, and thus the region exhibits the criteria of 343 a critical transition (Table 1, Figure S12). The actual pattern of transition to an unfragmented state could be 344 the result of an active intervention by the government promoting conservation and rehabilitation of forests⁸⁹. This confirms that the early warning indicators proposed here work in the correct direction. An important 346 caveat is that the MODIS dataset does not detect if native forest is replaced by agroindustrial tree plantations like oil palms, which are among the main drivers of deforestation in this area⁹⁰, for example in Indonesia 348 and Malaysia⁹¹ (Regions OC2,OC3, OC4, OC5, OC7). This overestimates RS_{max} and in consequence, we underestimate the fragmentation risks of these areas. To improve the estimation of forest patches the 350 Hansen's Landsat derived dataset⁵⁴ should be produced on a yearly basis. In addition, it would be important from a conservation point of view to develop specific algorithms to detect particular forest plantation types for each region—for example, combining high-resolution images (e.g. QuickBird 0.5m) with change-detection 353 of Landsat images^{91,92} to locate palm oil plantations. 354

Deforestation and fragmentation are closely related. At low levels of habitat reduction species population will decline proportionally; this can happen even when the habitat fragments retain connectivity. As habitat reduction continues, the critical threshold is approached and connectivity will have large fluctuations⁹³. This could trigger several negative synergistic effects: population fluctuations and the possibility of extinctions will rise, increasing patch isolation and decreasing connectivity⁹³. This positive feedback mechanism will
be enhanced when the fragmentation threshold is reached, resulting in the loss of most habitat specialist
species at a landscape scale³⁰. If a forest is already in a fragmented state, a second critical transition from
forest to non-forest could happen: the desertification transition⁵⁹. Considering the actual trends of habitat
loss, and studying the dynamics of non-forest patches—instead of the forest patches—the risk of this kind
of transition could be estimated. The simple models proposed previously could also be used to estimate if
these thresholds are likely to be continuous and reversible or discontinuous and often irreversible⁹⁴, and the
degree of protection (e.g. using the set-asides strategy 95) that would be necessary to stop this trend.

Therefore, even if critical thresholds are reached only in some forest regions at a continental scale, a cascading
effect with global consequences could still be produced⁹⁶. The risk of such an event will be higher if the
dynamics of separate continental regions are coupled²⁷. At least three of the regions defined here are
considered tipping elements of the earth climate system that could be triggered during this century⁹⁷. These
were defined as policy-relevant tipping elements so that political decisions could determine whether the
critical value is reached or not. Thus the criteria proposed here could be used as a more sensitive system
to evaluate the closeness of a tipping point at a continental scale. Further improvements will produce
quantitative predictions about the temporal horizon where these critical transitions could produce significant
changes in the studied systems.

Figures captions

Figure 1: Forest patch distributions for continental regions for the years 2000 and 2014. The images are the 200 biggest patches, shown at a coarse pixel scale of 2.5 km. The regions are: a) & b) southeast Asia; c) & d) South America subtropical and tropical and e) & f) Africa mainland, for the years 2000 and 2014 respectively. The color palette was chosen to discriminate different patches and does not represent patch size. The imaged was composed with GIMP 2.8 software and the base maps retrieved from Google maps (Imagery(C)2018 NASA, TerraMetrics

Figure 2: Power law exponents (α) of forest patch distributions for regions with total forest area > 10^7 km². Dashed horizontal lines are the means by region, with 95% confidence interval error bars estimated by bootstrap resampling. The regions are AF1: Africa mainland, EUAS1: Eurasia mainland, NA1: North America mainland, SAST1: South America subtropical and tropical, SEAS1: Southeast Asia mainland.

Figure 3: Largest patch proportion relative to total forest area RS_{max} , for regions with total forest area $> 10^7$ km². We show here the RS_{max} calculated using a threshold of 40% of forest in each pixel to determine patches.

- Dashed lines are averages across time. The regions are AF1: Africa mainland, EUAS1: Eurasia mainland,
- NA1: North America mainland, SAST1: South America tropical and subtropical, SEAS1: Southeast Asia
- mainland.
- Figure 4: Largest patch fluctuations for regions with total forest area $> 10^7 \mathrm{km}^2$ across years. The patch sizes
- are relative to the total forest area of the same year. Dashed lines are 90% and 10% quantile regressions, to
- show if fluctuations were increasing; purple (dark) panels have significant slopes. The regions are AF1: Africa
- mainland, EUAS1: Eurasia mainland, NA1: North America mainland, SAST1: South America tropical and
- subtropical, SEAS1: Southeast Asia mainland.

Table 1

Table 1: Regions and indicators of closeness to a critical fragmentation point. Where: RS_{max} is the largest patch divided by the total forest area; Threshold is the value used to calculate patches from the MODIS VCF pixels; ΔRS_{max} are the fluctuations of RS_{max} around the mean and the increase or decrease in the variance was estimated using quantile regressions; skewness was calculated for RS_{max} . NS means the results were non-significant. The conditions that determine the closeness to a fragmentation point are: increasing variance of ΔRS_{max} and negative skewness. RS_{max} indicates if the forest is unfragmented (>0.6) or fragmented (<0.3).

Region	Description	RS_{max}	Threshold	Variance of ΔRS_{max}	Skewness
AF1	Africa mainland	0.33	30	Increase	-1.4653
AF2	Madagascar	0.48	20	Increase	-0.4461
EUAS1	Eurasia, mainland	0.22	20	Decrease	-0.5015
EUAS1			30	Increase	0.3113
EUAS1			40	Increase	-1.316
EUAS2	Japan	0.94	35	Increase	-0.3913
EUAS2			40	Increase	-0.5030
EUAS3	Great Britain	0.03	40	NS	0.1860
NA1	North America, mainland	0.31	20	Decrease	-2.2895
NA1			25	Decrease	-2.4465
NA1			30	Decrease	-1.6340
NA5	Newfoundland	0.54	40	NS	-0.1053
OC1	Australia, Mainland	0.36	30	Increase	0.0920
OC1			35	Increase	-0.8033
OC2	New Guinea	0.96	25	Decrease	-0.1003
OC2			30	Decrease	0.1214
OC2			35	Decrease	-0.0124
OC3	Malaysia/Kalimantan	0.92	35	Increase	-1.0147
OC3			40	Increase	-1.5649
OC4	Sumatra	0.84	20	Increase	-1.3846
OC4			25	Increase	-0.5887
OC4			30	Increase	-1.4226
OC5	Sulawesi	0.82	40	NS	0.0323
OC6	New Zealand South Island	0.75	40	Increase	0.3024
OC7	Java	0.16	40	NS	2.0105
OC8	New Zealand North Island	0.64	40	NS	1.3175
SAST1	South America, Tropical and	0.56	25	Increase	1.0519
	Subtropical forest				
SAST1			30	Increase	-2.7216
SAST2	Cuba	0.15	20	Increase	0.5049
SAST2			25	Increase	1.7263
SAST2			30	Increase	0.1665
SAST2			40	Increase	-0.5401
SAT1	South America, Temperate forest	0.54	25	Decrease	0.1483
SAT1			30	Decrease	-1.6059
SAT1			35	Decrease	-1.3809
SEAS1	Southeast Asia, Mainland	0.28	25	Increase	-1.3328
SEAS2	Philippines	0.33	20	Decrease	-1.6373
SEAS2			25	Decrease	-0.6648

Region	Description	RS_{max}	Threshold	Variance of ΔRS_{max}	Skewness
SEAS2			30	Increase	0.1517
SEAS2			40	Increase	1.5996

398 Supporting information

399 Appendix

- 400 Contact model description
- 401 Table S1: Mean power-law exponent and Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals by threshold.
- $_{402}$ $\,$ Table S2: Mean power-law exponent and Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals across thresholds by region
- 403 and year.
- Table S3: Mean total patch area; largest patch S_{max} in km²; largest patch relative to total patch area RS_{max}
- and 95% bootstrapped confidence interval of RS_{max} , by region and thresholds, averaged across years
- Table S4: Model selection for distributions of fluctuation of largest patch ΔS_{max} and largest patch relative
- to total forest area ΔRS_{max} .
- Table S5: Quantil regressions of the fluctuations of the largest patch vs year, for 10% and 90% quantils at
- different pixel thresholds.
- Table S6: Unbiased estimation of Skewness of fluctuations of the largest patch ΔS_{max} and fluctuations
- relative to total forest area ΔRS_{max} .
- Figure S1: Regions for Africa: Mainland (AF1), Madagascar (AF2).
- 413 Figure S2: Regions for Eurasia: Mainland (EUAS1), Japan (EUAS2), Great Britain (EUAS3).
- 414 Figure S3: Regions for North America: Mainland (NA1), Newfoundland (NA5).
- 415 Figure S4: Regions for Australia and islands: Australia mainland (OC1), New Guinea (OC2),
- 416 Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), Sulawesi (OC5), New Zealand south island (OC6),
- Java (OC7), New Zealand north island (OC8).
- 418 Figure S5: Regions for South America: Tropical and subtropical forest up to Mexico (SAST1), Cuba
- (SAST2), South America Temperate forest (SAT1).
- Figure S6: Regions for Southeast Asia: Mainland (SEAS1), Philippines (SEAS2).
- 421 Figure S7: Proportion of best models selected for patch size distributions using the Akaike criterion.
- 422 Figure S8: Power law exponents for forest patch distributions by year for all regions.
- Figure S9: Average largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} by threshold, for all regions.

- Figure s10: Frequency distribution of Largest patch proportion relative to total forest area RS_{max} calculated
- using a threshold of 40%.
- Figure S11: Largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} by year at 40% threshold, for regions with
- total forest area less than 10^7 km^2 .
- Figure S12: Fluctuations of largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} for regions with total forest
- $_{429}$ area less than 10^7 km^2 by year and threshold.

430 Data Accessibility

- The MODIS VCF product is freely available from NASA at https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/. Csv text file
- with model fits for patch size distribution, and model selection for all the regions; Gif Animations of a forest
- model percolation; Gif animations of largest patches; patch size files for all years and regions used here; and all
- the R, Python and Matlab scripts are available at figshare http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4263905.

435 Acknowledgments

- 436 LAS and SRD are grateful to the National University of General Sarmiento for financial support. We want to
- thank to Jordi Bascompte, Nara Guisoni, Fernando Momo, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments
- and discussions that greatly improved the manuscript. This work was partially supported by a grant from
- 439 CONICET (PIO 144-20140100035-CO).

440 References

- 441 1. Crowther, T. W. et al. Mapping tree density at a global scale. Nature 525, 201–205 (2015).
- 442 2. Canfield, D. E., Glazer, A. N. & Falkowski, P. G. The Evolution and Future of Earth's Nitrogen Cycle.
- 443 Science **330**, 192–196 (2010).
- 444 3. Bonan, G. B. Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests.
- science **320**, 1444–1449 (2008).
- ⁴⁴⁶ 4. Foley, J. A. et al. Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature 478, 337–342 (2011).
- 447 5. Barnosky, A. D. et al. Approaching a state shift in Earth's biosphere. Nature 486, 52–58 (2012).
- 448 6. Haddad, N. M. et al. Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science

- 449 Advances 1, 1-9 (2015).
- 7. Mitchell, M. G. E. et al. Reframing landscape fragmentation's effects on ecosystem services. Trends in
- 451 Ecology & Evolution **30**, 190–198 (2015).
- 452 8. Angelsen, A. Policies for reduced deforestation and their impact on agricultural production. *Proceedings*
- of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 19639–19644 (2010).
- 454 9. Rudel, T. K. et al. Forest transitions: towards a global understanding of land use change. Global
- 455 Environmental Change **15**, 23–31 (2005).
- 10. Gilman, S. E., Urban, M. C., Tewksbury, J., Gilchrist, G. W. & Holt, R. D. A framework for community
- interactions under climate change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 25, 325–331 (2010).
- 458 11. Rietkerk, M. et al. Local ecosystem feedbacks and critical transitions in the climate. Ecological Com-
- 459 plexity 8, 223–228 (2011).
- 12. Scheffer, M. et al. Early-warning signals for critical transitions. Nature 461, 53–59 (2009).
- 13. Solé, R. V. Phase Transitions. 223 (Princeton University Press, 2011).
- 462 14. Scheffer, M. et al. Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems. Nature 413, 591–596 (2001).
- 463 15. Bestelmeyer, B. T. et al. Analysis of abrupt transitions in ecological systems. Ecosphere 2, 129 (2011).
- 464 16. Vasilakopoulos, P. & Marshall, C. T. Resilience and tipping points of an exploited fish population over
- six decades. Global Change Biology 21, 1834–1847 (2015).
- 466 17. Villa Martín, P., Bonachela, J. A., Levin, S. A. & Muñoz, M. A. Eluding catastrophic shifts. Proceedings
- of the National Academy of Sciences **112**, E1828–E1836 (2015).
- 468 18. Kitzberger, T., Aráoz, E., Gowda, J. H., Mermoz, M. & Morales, J. M. Decreases in Fire Spread Proba-
- bility with Forest Age Promotes Alternative Community States, Reduced Resilience to Climate Variability
- and Large Fire Regime Shifts. Ecosystems 15, 97–112 (2012).
- 19. Solé, R. V. & Bascompte, J. Self-organization in complex ecosystems. 373 (Princeton University Press,
- 472 2006).
- ⁴⁷³ 20. Pueyo, S. et al. Testing for criticality in ecosystem dynamics: the case of Amazonian rainforest and
- savanna fire. *Ecology Letters* **13**, 793–802 (2010).
- ⁴⁷⁵ 21. Taubert, F. et al. Global patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. Nature (2018).
- 22. McKenzie, D. & Kennedy, M. C. Power laws reveal phase transitions in landscape controls of fire regimes.

- ⁴⁷⁷ Nat Commun 3, 726 (2012).
- ⁴⁷⁸ 23. Naito, A. T. & Cairns, D. M. Patterns of shrub expansion in Alaskan arctic river corridors suggest phase
- transition. Ecology and Evolution 5, 87–101 (2015).
- ⁴⁸⁰ 24. Stauffer, D. & Aharony, A. Introduction To Percolation Theory. 179 (Tayor & Francis, 1994).
- ⁴⁸¹ 25. Ochoa-Quintero, J. M., Gardner, T. A., Rosa, I., de Barros Ferraz, S. F. & Sutherland, W. J. Thresholds
- of species loss in Amazonian deforestation frontier landscapes. Conservation Biology 29, 440-451 (2015).
- ⁴⁸³ 26. Leibold, M. A. & Norberg, J. Biodiversity in metacommunities: Plankton as complex adaptive systems?
- 484 Limnology and Oceanography 49, 1278–1289 (2004).
- ⁴⁸⁵ 27. Lenton, T. M. & Williams, H. T. P. On the origin of planetary-scale tipping points. *Trends in Ecology*
- ⁴⁸⁶ & Evolution **28**, 380–382 (2013).
- 487 28. Solé, R. V., Bartumeus, F. & Gamarra, J. G. P. Gap percolation in rainforests. Oikos 110, 177–185
- 488 (2005).
- 489 29. He, F. & Hubbell, S. Percolation Theory for the Distribution and Abundance of Species. Physical Review
- 490 Letters **91**, 198103 (2003).
- 491 30. Pardini, R., Bueno, A. de A., Gardner, T. A., Prado, P. I. & Metzger, J. P. Beyond the Fragmentation
- Threshold Hypothesis: Regime Shifts in Biodiversity Across Fragmented Landscapes. PLoS ONE 5, e13666
- 493 (2010).
- 494 31. Bascompte, J. & Solé, R. V. Habitat fragmentation and extinction threholds in spatially explicit models.
- ⁴⁹⁵ Journal of Animal Ecology **65**, 465–473 (1996).
- 496 32. Solé, R. V., Alonso, D. & Saldaña, J. Habitat fragmentation and biodiversity collapse in neutral com-
- munities. Ecological Complexity 1, 65–75 (2004).
- 498 33. Loehle, C., Li, B.-L. & Sundell, R. C. Forest spread and phase transitions at forest-praire ecotones in
- ⁴⁹⁹ Kansas, U.S.A. *Landscape Ecology* **11**, 225–235 (1996).
- 34. Carpenter, S. R. et al. Early Warnings of Regime Shifts: A Whole-Ecosystem Experiment. Science 332,
- ₅₀₁ 1079–1082 (2011).
- 502 35. Dai, L., Vorselen, D., Korolev, K. S. & Gore, J. Generic Indicators for Loss of Resilience Before a Tipping
- Point Leading to Population Collapse. Science 336, 1175–1177 (2012).
- 36. Hastings, A. & Wysham, D. B. Regime shifts in ecological systems can occur with no warning. *Ecology*

- 505 Letters **13**, 464–472 (2010).
- 506 37. Boettiger, C. & Hastings, A. Quantifying limits to detection of early warning for critical transitions.
- Journal of The Royal Society Interface 9, 2527–2539 (2012).
- 508 38. Hirota, M., Holmgren, M., Nes, E. H. V. & Scheffer, M. Global Resilience of Tropical Forest and Savanna
- to Critical Transitions. *Science* **334**, 232–235 (2011).
- 39. Staal, A., Dekker, S. C., Xu, C. & Nes, E. H. van. Bistability, Spatial Interaction, and the Distribution
- of Tropical Forests and Savannas. *Ecosystems* **19**, 1080–1091 (2016).
- ⁵¹² 40. Wuyts, B., Champneys, A. R. & House, J. I. Amazonian forest-savanna bistability and human impact.
- 513 Nature Communications 8, 15519 (2017).
- 41. Filotas, E. et al. Viewing forests through the lens of complex systems science. Ecosphere 5, 1–23 (2014).
- 515 42. Fung, T., O'Dwyer, J. P., Rahman, K. A., Fletcher, C. D. & Chisholm, R. A. Reproducing static and
- 516 dynamic biodiversity patterns in tropical forests: the critical role of environmental variance. Ecology 97,
- ⁵¹⁷ 1207–1217 (2016).
- 43. Seidler, T. G. & Plotkin, J. B. Seed Dispersal and Spatial Pattern in Tropical Trees. PLoS Biology 4,
- e344 (2006).
- 520 44. Zhang, J. Y., Wang, Y., Zhao, X., Xie, G. & Zhang, T. Grassland recovery by protection from grazing
- in a semi-arid sandy region of northern China. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 48, 277-284
- 522 (2005).
- 45. Bestelmeyer, B. T., Duniway, M. C., James, D. K., Burkett, L. M. & Havstad, K. M. A test of critical
- thresholds and their indicators in a desertification-prone ecosystem: more resilience than we thought. Ecology
- ⁵²⁵ Letters **16**, 339–345 (2013).
- 46. Allington, G. R. H. & Valone, T. J. Reversal of desertification: The role of physical and chemical soil
- properties. Journal of Arid Environments 74, 973–977 (2010).
- 47. DiMiceli, C. et al. Annual Global Automated MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields (MOD44B) at 250
- m Spatial Resolution for Data Years Beginning Day 65, 2000 2014, Collection 051 Percent Tree Cover,
- University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA. (2015).
- 48. Sexton, J. O. et al. Conservation policy and the measurement of forests. Nature Climate Change 6,
- ⁵³² 192–196 (2015).
- 49. Belward, A. S. The IGBP-DIS Global 1 Km Land Cover Data Set 'DISCover': Proposal and Implemen-

- tation Plans: Report of the Land Recover Working Group of IGBP-DIS. 61 (IGBP-DIS Office, 1996).
- 50. Xu, C. et al. Remotely sensed canopy height reveals three pantropical ecosystem states. Ecology 97,
- 536 2518–2521 (2016).
- 51. Sexton, J. O. et al. Global, 30-m resolution continuous fields of tree cover: Landsat-based rescaling
- of MODIS vegetation continuous fields with lidar-based estimates of error. International Journal of Digital
- Earth 6, 427–448 (2013).
- 52. Hansen, M. et al. Response to Comment on 'High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover
- change'. Science **344**, 981 (2014).
- 53. Chaplin-Kramer, R. et al. Degradation in carbon stocks near tropical forest edges. Nature Communica-
- tions 6, 10158 (2015).
- 54. Hansen, M. C. et al. High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. Science 342,
- 545 850-853 (2013).
- 55. Oborny, B., Szabó, G. & Meszéna, G. Survival of species in patchy landscapes: percolation in space and
- time. in Scaling biodiversity 409-440 (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- 56. Gastner, M. T., Oborny, B., Zimmermann, D. K. & Pruessner, G. Transition from Connected to Frag-
- mented Vegetation across an Environmental Gradient: Scaling Laws in Ecotone Geometry. The American
- 550 Naturalist **174**, E23–E39 (2009).
- 57. Ódor, G. Universality classes in nonequilibrium lattice systems. Reviews of Modern Physics 76, 663–724
- 552 (2004).
- 58. Hinrichsen, H. Non-equilibrium critical phenomena and phase transitions into absorbing states. Advances
- in Physics 49, 815–958 (2000).
- 555 59. Corrado, R., Cherubini, A. M. & Pennetta, C. Early warning signals of desertification transitions in
- semiarid ecosystems. Physical Review E Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics 90, 62705 (2014).
- 60. Harris, T. E. Contact interactions on a lattice. The Annals of Probability 2, 969–988 (1974).
- 61. Goldstein, M. L., Morris, S. A. & Yen, G. G. Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. The
- European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 41, 255–258 (2004).
- 62. Clauset, A., Shalizi, C. & Newman, M. Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review 51,

- 561 661-703 (2009).
- 562 63. Limpert, E., Stahel, W. A. & Abbt, M. Log-normal Distributions across the Sciences: Keys and CluesOn
- the charms of statistics, and how mechanical models resembling gambling machines offer a link to a handy
- way to characterize log-normal distributions, which can provide deeper insight into var. BioScience 51,
- ₅₆₅ 341–352 (2001).
- 566 64. Burnham, K. & Anderson, D. R. Model selection and multi-model inference: A practical information-
- theoretic approach. 512 (Springer-Verlag, 2002).
- ⁵⁶⁸ 65. Vuong, Q. H. Likelihood Ratio Tests for Model Selection and Non-Nested Hypotheses. *Econometrica*
- 569 **57**, 307–333 (1989).
- 570 66. Crawley, M. J. The R Book. 1076 (Wiley, 2012).
- ⁵⁷¹ 67. Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R. J. An Introduction to the Bootstrap. 456 (Taylor & Francis, 1994).
- 68. Gardner, R. H. & Urban, D. L. Neutral models for testing landscape hypotheses. Landscape Ecology 22,
- 573 15-29 (2007).
- 69. Bazant, M. Z. Largest cluster in subcritical percolation. Physical Review E 62, 1660–1669 (2000).
- 575 70. Botet, R. & Ploszajczak, M. Correlations in Finite Systems and Their Universal Scaling Properties.
- in Nonequilibrium physics at short time scales: Formation of correlations (ed. Morawetz, K.) 445–466
- 577 (Springer-Verlag, 2004).
- 71. Keitt, T. H., Urban, D. L. & Milne, B. T. Detecting critical scales in fragmented landscapes. Conservation
- 579 Ecology 1, 4 (1997).
- 580 72. Saravia, L. A. & Momo, F. R. Biodiversity collapse and early warning indicators in a spatial phase
- transition between neutral and niche communities. Oikos 127, 111–124 (2018).
- 582 73. Hartigan, J. A. & Hartigan, P. M. The Dip Test of Unimodality. The Annals of Statistics 13, 70–84
- 583 (1985).
- ⁵⁸⁴ 74. Rooij, M. M. J. W. van, Nash, B., Rajaraman, S. & Holden, J. G. A Fractal Approach to Dynamic
- Inference and Distribution Analysis. Frontiers in Physiology 4, (2013).
- ⁵⁸⁶ 75. Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Tamburello, L., Maggi, E. & Bulleri, F. Experimental Perturbations Modify the

- 587 Performance of Early Warning Indicators of Regime Shift. Current biology 25, 1867–1872 (2015).
- ⁵⁸⁸ 76. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2015).
- 77. Alstott, J., Bullmore, E. & Plenz, D. powerlaw: A Python Package for Analysis of Heavy-Tailed
- ⁵⁹⁰ Distributions. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e85777 (2014).
- ⁵⁹¹ 78. Koenker, R. quantreg: Quantile Regression. (2016).
- ⁵⁹² 79. Newman, M. E. J. Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law. *Contemporary Physics* **46**, 323–351 (2005).
- 80. Manor, A. & Shnerb, N. M. Origin of pareto-like spatial distributions in ecosystems. *Physical Review Letters* 101, 268104 (2008).
- 81. Irvine, M. A., Bull, J. C. & Keeling, M. J. Aggregation dynamics explain vegetation patch-size distribu tions. Theoretical Population Biology 108, 70–74 (2016).
- 82. Scanlon, T. M., Caylor, K. K., Levin, S. A. & Rodriguez-iturbe, I. Positive feedbacks promote power-law clustering of Kalahari vegetation. *Nature* **449**, 209–212 (2007).
- 83. Kéfi, S. et al. Spatial vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in Mediterranean arid ecosystems.
 Nature 449, 213–217 (2007).
- 84. Kéfi, S. et al. Early Warning Signals of Ecological Transitions: Methods for Spatial Patterns. PLoS
 ONE 9, e92097 (2014).
- 85. Viña, A., McConnell, W. J., Yang, H., Xu, Z. & Liu, J. Effects of conservation policy on China's forest recovery. *Science Advances* **2**, e1500965 (2016).
- 86. Potapov, P., Hansen, M. C., Stehman, S. V., Loveland, T. R. & Pittman, K. Combining MODIS and Landsat imagery to estimate and map boreal forest cover loss. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 112,
- 608 3708–3719 (2008).
- 87. Prishchepov, A. V., Müller, D., Dubinin, M., Baumann, M. & Radeloff, V. C. Determinants of agricultural land abandonment in post-Soviet European Russia. *Land Use Policy* **30**, 873–884 (2013).
- 88. Gauthier, S., Bernier, P., Kuuluvainen, T., Shvidenko, A. Z. & Schepaschenko, D. G. Boreal forest health and global change. *Science* **349**, 819 LP–822 (2015).
- 89. Lasco, R. D. et al. Forest responses to changing rainfall in the Philippines. in Climate change and

- vulnerability (eds. Leary, N., Conde, C. & Kulkarni, J.) 49–66 (Earthscan, 2008).
- 90. Malhi, Y., Gardner, T. A., Goldsmith, G. R., Silman, M. R. & Zelazowski, P. Tropical Forests in the
- 616 Anthropocene. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 39, 125–159 (2014).
- 91. Chong, K. L., Kanniah, K. D., Pohl, C. & Tan, K. P. A review of remote sensing applications for oil
- palm studies. Geo-spatial Information Science 20, 184–200 (2017).
- 619 92. Buchanan, G. M. et al. Using remote sensing to inform conservation status assessment: Estimates of
- recent deforestation rates on New Britain and the impacts upon endemic birds. Biological Conservation
- 621 **141**, 56–66 (2008).
- 93. Brook, B. W., Ellis, E. C., Perring, M. P., Mackay, A. W. & Blomqvist, L. Does the terrestrial biosphere
- have planetary tipping points? Trends in Ecology & Evolution (2013). doi:10.1016/j.tree.2013.01.016
- 94. Weissmann, H. & Shnerb, N. M. Predicting catastrophic shifts. Journal of Theoretical Biology 397,
- 625 128-134 (2016).
- 95. Banks-Leite, C. et al. Using ecological thresholds to evaluate the costs and benefits of set-asides in a
- 627 biodiversity hotspot. Science **345**, 1041–1045 (2014).
- 96. Reyer, C. P. O., Rammig, A., Brouwers, N. & Langerwisch, F. Forest resilience, tipping points and
- global change processes. Journal of Ecology 103, 1–4 (2015).
- 650 97. Lenton, T. M. et al. Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system. Proceedings of the National
- Academy of Sciences **105**, 1786–1793 (2008).