

Analysis of critical transitions at the Global Forest

Abstract

One of the most important changes produced in the biosphere is the replacement of forest areas with human dominated landscapes. These areas contain much less species than the natural ecosystem they replaced, and are one of the main drivers of extinctions in the anthropocene. The forest cover is also coupled to atmospheric dynamics and the balance of gases in the atmosphere. The dynamics of forest fragments or patches is central to its function as ecosystem. In different kinds of vegetation including forests the patch size distributions follow power laws or approximate power law, but all these patterns have been observed at relatively small scales. Here we studied the distribution of forest patch sizes at a global level and its changes in the last fourteen years. We hypothesize that the global forest patches should follow a power law distribution. Power laws are a signal of critical phase transitions, where the system changes suddenly of state at a critical point, in the case of forest patches this change implies an abrupt change in the connectivity that poses a risk on the functioning of forest as an habitat and as an ecosystem. Thus we calculate the distance of the global forest to a possible critical point as an early warning indicator.

Introduction

Forest is one of the most important ecosystems on earth providing habitat for a large proportion of species and contributing extensively to global biodiversity [1]. In the previous century human activities have reached the global scale changing influencing the bio-geochemical cycles [2]. One of the most dramatic human induced changes is the replacement of 40% of Earth's formerly biodiverse land areas with landscapes that contain only a few species of crop plants, domestic animals and humans [3]. These changes have been happening locally but they have been accumulated over time and have reached a global scale that constitutes a global forcing [4]. That means a force that can induce changes at the level of the whole biosphere. Another global scale forcing that is tied to habitat destruction is fragmentation. Fragmentation is defined as the division of a continuous habitat into separated portions that are smaller and more isolated, this produces multiple interwoven effects: reductions of biodiversity between 13% and 75%, decreasing forest biomass and changes in nutrient cycling [5].

Complex interaction between species and feedbacks at different levels of organization [6] can produce abrupt changes called critical transitions [7]. These produce abrupt state shifts that can not be linearly forecasted from past changes [7]. Critical transitions had been detected mostly at local scales [8,9], but the accumulation

1 of changes in local communities that overlap geographically can propagate and cause an abrupt change of
2 the entire system [4], this is coupled with the existence of global scale forcings implies the possibility that a
3 critical transition occurs at a global scale [10,11].

4 The problem with critical transitions is that the value of the critical threshold is not known in advance, and
5 near that point a small change can precipitate a state shift of the system, thus they are very difficult to
6 anticipate. Several methods were developed to detect the closeness of the critical point such as a deceleration
7 in recovery from perturbations, an increase in variance in the spatial or temporal pattern. Besides some of
8 these methods have been experimentally tested [8,12], still there is a debate about the its reliability [13,14].

9 There are two general classes of critical transitions, one where the sudden shift between states is mostly
10 irreversible showing the presence of two stable states an hysteresis that is known as a catastrophic regime
11 shift [15], also called first order transitions. This could be the results of positive feedback mechanisms like
12 facilitation [16], for example the growth of forest in a site is more probable if it is surrounded by forest than
13 if it is surrounded cultivated or degraded land [17]. This is observed in semiarid regions where the transition
14 from a vegetated state to a desertic one occurs as a result of human pressures and climatic change [18], in
15 biological invasions [19], and biology and social sciences in general [20].

16 The second case are continuous critical transitions [21], or second order transitions. In this case there is a
17 narrow region where the system changes from one domain to another, besides the change is also sudden it
18 is continuous and theoretically reversible. These transitions are linked to spatial structures: at the critical
19 point we can observe self-similar or scale invariant fractal structures [22]. This spatial phenomena is studied
20 by percolation theory and is related to the concept of connection, in a very general way we can find a
21 path between the objects that belongs to a system [20], thus connectivity is a fundamental property of
22 general systems and ecosystems from forest [23] to marine [24] and the whole biosphere [25]. Percolation is
23 characterized by two domains one dominated by short range interactions where information can not spread
24 and another where long range interactions are possible and information can spread over the whole are. In
25 this context information is taken in a broad sense and can represent species dispersal or movement. Thus,
26 there is a critical point that defines a threshold boundary between the two domains and the system could be
27 driven close or far of the critical point by an external force. Climate change and deforestation are the main
28 forces that could change the state of the system in forests [5,26].

29 Percolation thresholds are important to understand forest growth dynamics, when the system is above the
30 critical point is in a connected state and that could produce the acceleration of an invasion of forest into a
31 prairie [27,28]. In an opposite direction the habitat loss can lead to percolation breaking habitat space and
32 triggering ecosystem collapse [29,30]. Also dispersal strategies are influenced by percolation thresholds in

three-dimensional forest structure [31].

Our objective is to evaluate the forest patch distribution at a continental scale, to detect possible signals of a global critical transition related to connectivity and to estimate the distance to a critical point. The advantage of using data at a continental scale is that for very large systems the transition is vary sharp thus much easier to detect than at smaller scales.

Methods

- MODIS VCF short explanation https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset_discovery/modis/modis_products_table/mod44b. Ver Hansen2003

We choose mainland zones at a continental scale to analyze based in the possibility of connection, close patches of continous forest must connect the region, big islands like Madagascar were included as a separate regions, smaller islands where not included, we considered big islands connected to the mainland when they are at 1 km or less distance away. With this criteria we defined three regions in America, one corresponding to South America temperate forest (SAT), another to subtropical and tropical forest up to Mexico (SAST), and the last covering USA and Canada forest (NA). Europe and north Asia were all connected and they are included in one region (EUS), the other regions are south Asia (SAS), Africa (AF), and Oceania (OC) that includes only australia (Appendix figure S1).

There are several definition of forest but we choose [32] A 30% threshold was used to convert the percentage tree cover to a binary image of forest and non-forest pixels [5]. Patches of contiguous forest were determined in the binary image by grouping connected pixels using a neighborhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighborhood). We set a minimal patch size (X_{min}) at nine pixels to avoid artifacts at patch edges due to discretization.

We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patch areas to four distributions using maximum likelihood estimation [33,34]. The distributions were: power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and exponential distributions. We assume that the patch size distribution is a continuous variable that was discretized by remote sensing data acquisition procedure.

Besides the hard X_{min} limit we set due to discretization, empirical distributions can show power-law behavior at values above a lower bound that can be estimated by maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic comparing empirical to fitted cumulative distribution function [34].

The corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AIC_c) and the Akaike weights were computed for each model [35]. Akaike weights (w_i) are the weight of evidence in favor of model i being the actual best model for the

situation at hand given that one of the N models must be the best model for that set of N models.

Additionally, we computed the goodness of fit of the power-law and power-law with cut-off models following the bootstrap approach described by Clauset et. al [34], where simulated data sets following the fitted model are generated, and a p-value equal to the proportion of simulated data sets that has a KS statistic less extreme than empirical data.

Image processing were done in MATLAB. All statistical analyses were done using the GNU R [36] , using R code provided by Cosma R. Shalizi for power law with exponential cutoff model and the powerLaw package [37] for fitting the other distributions.

To measure the distance to the critical point we estimate the correlation length, [define the correlation length]

- Estimation of the linear length of each region to compare with correlation length.

Results

Discussion

What are the consequences of each distribution see [38].

References

1. Crowther TW, Glick HB, Covey KR, Bettigole C, Maynard DS, et al. (2015) Mapping tree density at a global scale. *Nature* 525: 201–205. Available: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v525/n7568/full/nature14967.html>.
2. Canfield DE, Glazer AN, Falkowski PG (2010) The Evolution and Future of Earth’s Nitrogen Cycle. *Science* 330: 192–196. Available: <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.1186120>.
3. Foley JA, Ramankutty N, Brauman KA, Cassidy ES, Gerber JS, et al. (2011) Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature* 478: 337–342. Available: <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nature10452>.
4. Barnosky AD, Hadly EA, Bascompte J, Berlow EL, Brown JH, et al. (2012) Approaching a state shift in Earth’s biosphere. *Nature* 486: 52–58. Available: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v486/n7401/full/nature11018.html> <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11018>.

- 1 5. Haddad NM, Brudvig LA, Clobert J, Davies KF, Gonzalez A, et al. (2015) Habitat fragmentation
2 and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. *Science Advances* 1: e1500052—e11500052. Available:
3 <http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1500052>.
- 4 6. Gilman SE, Urban MC, Tewksbury J, Gilchrist GW, Holt RD (2010) A framework for community
5 interactions under climate change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 25: 325–331. Available: [http://www.
6 sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534710000613](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534710000613).
- 7 7. Scheffer M, Bascompte J, Brock WA, Brovkin V, Carpenter SR, et al. (2009) Early-warning signals for
8 critical transitions. *Nature* 461: 53–59. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08227>.
- 9 8. Carpenter SR, Cole JJ, Pace ML, Batt R, Brock WA, et al. (2011) Early Warnings of Regime Shifts: A
10 Whole-Ecosystem Experiment. *Science* 332: 1079–1082. Available: [http://www.sciencemag.org/content/332/
11 6033/1079.abstract](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/332/6033/1079.abstract).
- 12 9. Drake JM, Griffen BD (2010) Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environments. *Nature* 467:
13 456–459. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09389> [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v467/n7314/abs/nature09
14 information](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v467/n7314/abs/nature09389).
- 15 10. Rockstrom J, Steffen W, Noone K, Persson A, Chapin FS, et al. (2009) A safe operating space for
16 humanity. *Nature* 461: 472–475. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/461472a>.
- 17 11. Folke C, Jansson Å, Rockström J, Olsson P, Carpenter SR, et al. (2011) Reconnecting to the Biosphere.
18 *AMBIO* 40: 719–738. Available: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13280-011-0184-y>.
- 19 12. Dai L, Vorselen D, Korolev KS, Gore J (2012) Generic Indicators for Loss of Resilience Before a Tipping
20 Point Leading to Population Collapse. *Science* 336: 1175–1177. Available: [http://www.sciencemag.org/
21 content/336/6085/1175.abstract](http://www.sciencemag.org/content/336/6085/1175.abstract).
- 22 13. Hastings A, Wysham DB (2010) Regime shifts in ecological systems can occur with no warning.
23 *Ecology Letters* 13: 464–472. Available: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01439.
24 x/abstract](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01439.x/abstract).
- 25 14. Boettiger C, Hastings A (2012) Quantifying limits to detection of early warning for critical transitions.
26 *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 9: 2527–2539. doi:[10.1098/rsif.2012.0125](https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2012.0125).
- 27 15. Scheffer M, Walkerk B, Carpenter S, Foley J a, Folke C, et al. (2001) Catastrophic shifts in ecosystems.
28 *Nature* 413: 591–596. doi:[10.1038/35098000](https://doi.org/10.1038/35098000).
- 29 16. Martín PV, Bonachela JA, Levin SA, Muñoz MA (2015) Eluding catastrophic shifts. *Proceedings of the*
30 *National Academy of Sciences* 112: E1828–E1836. Available: <http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2015/03/>

27/1414708112.

17. Xu C, Van Nes EH, Holmgren M, Kéfi S, Scheffer M (2015) Local Facilitation May Cause Tipping Points on a Landscape Level Preceded by Early-Warning Indicators. *The American Naturalist* 186: E000–E000. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/info/10.1086/682674>.

18. Kéfi S, Rietkerk M, Baalen M van, Loreau M (2007) Local facilitation, bistability and transitions in arid ecosystems. *Theoretical Population Biology* 71: 367–379. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040580906001250>.

19. Taylor CM, Hastings A (2005) Allee effects in biological invasions. *Ecology Letters* 8: 895–908. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00787.x>.

20. Solé RV (2011) *Phase Transitions*. Princeton University Press. Available: <https://books.google.com.ar/books?id=8RcLuv-Ll2kC>.

21. Solé RV, Bascompte J (2006) *Self-organization in complex ecosystems*. New Jersey, USA.: Princeton University Press. Available: <http://books.google.com.ar/books?id=v4gpGH6Gv68C>.

22. Stauffer D, Aharony A (1994) *Introduction To Percolation Theory*. London: Taylor & Francis.

23. Ochoa-Quintero JM, Gardner TA, Rosa I, de Barros Ferraz SF, Sutherland WJ (2015) Thresholds of species loss in Amazonian deforestation frontier landscapes. *Conservation Biology* 29: 440–451. Available: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/cobi.12446/abstract>.

24. Leibold MA, Norberg J (2004) Biodiversity in metacommunities: Plankton as complex adaptive systems? *Limnology and Oceanography* 49: 1278–1289. Available: <http://www.aslo.org/lo/pdf/vol49/issue49/1278-1289.pdf>.

25. Lenton TM, Williams HTP (2013) On the origin of planetary-scale tipping points. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28: 380–382. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0169534713001456>.

26. Bonan GB (2008) Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests. *Science* 320: 1444–1449. Available: <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/320/5882/1444.abstract>.

27. Loehle C, Li B-L, Sundell RC (1996) Forest spread and phase transitions at forest-prairie ecotones in Kansas, U.S.A. *Landscape Ecology* 11: 225–235.

28. Naito AT, Cairns DM (2015) Patterns of shrub expansion in Alaskan arctic river corridors suggest phase transition. *Ecology and Evolution* 5: 87–101. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1341>.

29. Bascompte J, Solé RV, Sole RV (1996) Habitat fragmentation and extinction thresholds in spatially explicit models. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 65: 465–473. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/5781?uid=3737512&protect=T1%7Btextbraceleft/&protect=T1%7Btextbracerightuid=2%7Bprotect%7Btextbraceleft/&protect=T1%7Btextbracerightuid=4%7Bprotect%7Btextbraceleft/&protect=T1%7Btextbracerightsid=21104438818541>.
30. Solé RV, Alonso D, Saldaña J (2004) Habitat fragmentation and biodiversity collapse in neutral communities. *Ecological Complexity* 1: 65–75. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1476945X03000084>.
31. Solé RV, Bartumeus F, Gamarra JGP (2005) Gap percolation in rainforests. *Oikos* 110: 177–185. Available: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.13843.x/abstract>.
32. Sexton JO, Noojipady P, Song X-P, Feng M, Song D-X, et al. (2015) Conservation policy and the measurement of forests. *Nature Climate Change* advance on. Available: <http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/nclimate2816.html>.
33. Goldstein ML, Morris SA, Yen GG (2004) Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. *The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* 41: 255–258. Available: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00316-5>.
34. Clauset A, Shalizi C, Newman M (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. *SIAM Review* 51: 661–703. Available: <http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/070710111>.
35. Burnham K, Anderson DR (2002) *Model selection and multi-model inference: A practical information-theoretic approach*. 2nd. New York: Springer-Verlag.
36. R Core Team (2015) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available: <http://www.r-project.org/>.
37. Gillespie CS (2015) Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The powerLaw Package. *Journal of Statistical Software* 64: 1–16. Available: <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i02/>.
38. Rooij MMJW van, Nash B, Rajaraman S, Holden JG (2013) A Fractal Approach to Dynamic Inference and Distribution Analysis. *Frontiers in Physiology* 4. Available: http://www.frontiersin.org/fractal/protect/T1%7Btextbraceleft/_%7Bprotect%7Btextbracerightphysiology/10.3389/fphys.2013.00001/abstract.