Power laws and critical fragmentation in global forests

- ² Leonardo A. Saravia ^{1 3}, Santiago R. Doyle ¹, Ben Bond-Lamberty²
- 1. Instituto de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento, J.M. Gutierrez 1159 (1613), Los
- 4 Polvorines, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- ⁵ 2. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Joint Global Change Research Institute at the University of
- 6 Maryland-College Park, 5825 University Research Court #3500, College Park, MD 20740, USA
- 3. Corresponding author e-mail: lsaravia@ungs.edu.ar
- keywords: Forest fragmentation, early warning signals, percolation, power-laws, MODIS, critical transitions
- 9 Running title: Critical fragmentation in global forest
- Word count Abstract: 144
- Word count main text: 5108
- 12 type of article: Letters
- Number of references: 96
- Number of figures and tables: 5
- Statement of authorship: LAS designed the study, SRD LAS performed modelling work, BBI review methods,
- and LAS SRD BBL wrote the manuscript
- Data accessibility statement: all the raw data is from public repositories, the data supporting the results is
- $_{\rm 18}$ $\,$ archived at figshare public repository and the data DOI is included at in the article...

19 Abstract

The replacement of forest areas with human-dominated landscapes usually leads to fragmentation, altering
the structure and function of the forest. Here we studied the dynamics of forest patch sizes at a global level,
examining signals of a critical transition from an unfragmented to a fragmented state, using the MODIS
vegetation continuous field. We defined wide regions of connected forest across continents and big islands,
and combined five criteria, including the distribution of patch sizes and the fluctuations of the largest patch
over the last sixteen years, to evaluate the closeness of each region to a fragmentation threshold. Regions with
the highest deforestation rates—South America, Southeast Asia, Africa—all met these criteria and may thus
be near a critical fragmentation threshold. This implies that if current forest loss rates are maintained, wide
continental areas could suddenly fragment, triggering extensive species loss and degradation of ecosystems
services.

30 Introduction

Forests are among the most important biomes on earth, providing habitat for a large proportion of species and contributing extensively to global biodiversity (Crowther et al. 2015). In the previous century, human 32 activities have influenced global bio-geochemical cycles (Bonan 2008; Canfield et al. 2010), with one of the most dramatic changes being the replacement of 40% of Earth's formerly biodiverse land areas with landscapes that contain only a few species of crop plants, domestic animals and humans (Foley et al. 2011). These local changes have accumulated over time and now constitute a global forcing (Barnosky et al. 2012). Another global scale forcing that is tied to habitat destruction is fragmentation, which is defined as the division of a continuous habitat into separated portions that are smaller and more isolated. Fragmentation produces multiple interwoven effects: reductions of biodiversity between 13% and 75%, decreasing forest biomass, and changes in nutrient cycling (Haddad et al. 2015). The effects of fragmentation are not only important from an ecological point of view but also that of human activities, as ecosystem services are deeply influenced by the level of landscape fragmentation (Rudel et al. 2005; Angelsen 2010; Mitchell et al. 2015). Ecosystems have complex interactions between species and present feedbacks at different levels of organization (Gilman et al. 2010), external forcings can produce abrupt changes from one state to another, called critical transitions (Scheffer et al. 2009). Complex systems can experience two general classes of critical transsitions (Solé 2011). In so-called first-order transitions, a catastrophic regime shift that is mostly irreversible occurs because of the existence of alternative stable states (Scheffer et al. 2001). This class of transitions is suspected to be present in a variety of ecosystems such as lakes, woodlands, coral reefs (Scheffer et al. 2001), semi-arid grasslands (Bestelmeyer et al. 2011), and fish populations (Vasilakopoulos & Marshall 2015). They can be the result of positive feedback mechanisms (Villa Martín et al. 2015); for example, fires in some forest ecosystems were more likely to occur in previously burned areas than in unburned places (Kitzberger et al. 2012). 52 The other class of critical transitions are second order transitions (Solé & Bascompte 2006). In these cases, there is a narrow region where the system suddenly changes from one domain to another in a continuous and reversible way. This kind of transitions were suggested for tropical forests (Pueyo et al. 2010; Taubert et al. 2018), semi-arid mountain ecosystems (McKenzie & Kennedy 2012), and tundra shrublands (Naito & Cairns 2015). The transition happens at a critical point where we can observe scale-invariant fractal structures characterized by power law patch distributions (Stauffer & Aharony 1994).

The spatial phenomena observed in continuous critical transitions deal with connectivity, a fundamental property of general systems and ecosystems from forests (Ochoa-Quintero et al. 2015) to marine ecosystems

(Leibold & Norberg 2004) and the whole biosphere (Lenton & Williams 2013). When a system goes from a fragmented to a connected state we say that it percolates (Solé 2011). Percolation implies that there is a path of connections that involve the whole system. Thus we can characterize two domains or phases: one dominated by short-range interactions where information cannot spread, and another in which long-range interactions are possible and information can spread over the whole area. (The term "information" is used in a broad sense and can represent species dispersal or movement.) Thus, there is a critical "percolation threshold" between the two phases, and the system could be driven close to or beyond this point by an external force; climate change and deforestation are the main forces that could be the drivers of such a phase change in contemporary forests (Bonan 2008; Haddad et al. 2015). There are several applications of this concept in ecology: species' dispersal strategies are influenced by percolation thresholds in three-dimensional forest structure (Solé et al. 2005), and it has been shown that species distributions also have percolation thresholds (He & Hubbell 2003). This implies that pushing the system below the percolation threshold could produce a biodiversity collapse (Bascompte & Solé 1996; Solé et al. 2004; Pardini et al. 2010); conversely, being in a connected state (above the threshold) could accelerate the invasion of the forest into prairie (Loehle et al. 1996; Naito & Cairns 2015).

One of the main challenges with systems that can experience critical transitions—of any kind—is that the value of the critical threshold is not known in advance. In addition, because near the critical point a small change can precipitate a state shift in the system, they are difficult to predict. Several methods have been developed to detect if a system is close to the critical point, e.g. a deceleration in recovery from perturbations, or an increase in variance in the spatial or temporal pattern (Hastings & Wysham 2010; Carpenter et al. 2011; Boettiger & Hastings 2012; Dai et al. 2012).

The existence of a critical transition between two states has been established for forest at a global scale in different works (Hirota et al. (2011); Staal et al. (2016); Wuyts et al. (2017)). It is generally believed that this constitutes a first order catastrophic transition. There are several processes that can convert a catastrophic transition to a second order transition (Villa Martín et al. 2015). These include stochasticity, such as demographic fluctuations, spatial heterogeneities, and/or dispersal limitation. All these components are present in forest around the globe (Seidler & Plotkin 2006; Filotas et al. 2014; Fung et al. 2016), and thus continuous transitions might be more probable than catastrophic transitions. Moreover, there is evidence of recovery in systems that supposedly suffered an irreversible transition produced by overgrazing (Zhang et al. 2005; Bestelmeyer et al. 2013) and desertification (Allington & Valone 2010). From this basis, we applied indices derived from second-order transitions to global forest cover dynamics.

92 In this study, our objective is to look for evidence that forests around the globe are near continuous critical

- points that represent a fragmentation threshold. We use the framework of percolation to first evaluate if forest patch distribution at a continental scale is described by a power law distribution and then examine
- the fluctuations of the largest patch. The advantage of using data at a continental scale is that for very large
- 96 systems the transitions are very sharp (Solé 2011) and thus much easier to detect than at smaller scales,
- 97 where noise can mask the signals of the transition.

98 Methods

99 Study areas definition

We analyzed mainland forests at a continental scale, covering the whole globe, by delimiting land areas with a near-contiguous forest cover, separated with each other by large non-forested areas. We defined three forest regions in America: South America temperate forest (SAT), subtropical and tropical forest up to Mexico (SAST), USA and Canada forest (NA). Europe and North Asia are one region (EUAS), then South-east Asia (SEAS), Africa (AF), and Australia (OC). We also analyzed islands larger than 10⁵km². This criterion to delimit regions is based on percolation theory that assumes some kind of connectivity in the study area (Appendix Table S2, figure S1-S6).

107 Forest patch distribution

We studied forest patch distribution in each area from 2000 to 2015 using the MODerate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Vegetation Continuous Fields (VCF) Tree Cover dataset version 051 (DiMiceli 109 et al. 2015). This dataset is produced at a global level with a 231-m resolution on an annual basis. There are several definitions of forest based on percent tree cover (Sexton et al. 2015); we choose a range from 111 20% to 40% threshold in 5% increments to convert the percentage tree cover to a binary image of forest 112 and non-forest pixels. This range is centered in the definition used by the United Nations' International 113 Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (Belward 1996), studies of global fragmentation (Haddad et al. 2015) and 114 includes the range used in other studies of critical transitions (Xu et al. 2016). Using this range we avoid the 115 errors produced by low discrimination of MODIS VCF between forest and dense herbaceous vegetation at 116 low forest cover and the saturation of MODIS VCF in dense forests (Sexton et al. 2013). We repeat all the analysis for this set of thresholds, except in some specific cases described below. Patches of contiguous forest 118 were determined in the binary image by grouping connected forest pixels using a neighborhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighborhood). The MODIS VCF product does not discriminate the type of trees so besides 120 natural forest it includes plantations of tree crops like rubber, oil palm, eucalyptus and other managed stands (Hansen et al. 2014). Even though a dataset with lower resolutions as MODIS Land Cover Type have been used to study fragmentation (Chaplin-Kramer et al. 2015), products with higher resolution that describe forest cover also exist (Hansen et al. 2013). As we will do an analysis of the time series of forest patches, we can not use the Hansen et al. (2013) dataset which has a 30-m resolution but a very limited temporal resolution (years 2000 & 2012).

127 Percolation theory

A more in-depth introduction to percolation theory can be found elsewhere (Stauffer & Aharony 1994) and a review from an ecological point of view is available (Oborny et al. 2007). Here, to explain the basic elements of percolation theory we formulate a simple model: we represent our area of interest by a square lattice and each site of the lattice can be occupied—e.g. by forest—with a probability p. The lattice will be more occupied when p is greater, but the sites are randomly distributed. We defined patches with the same 8 sites neighborhood previously mentioned. When p is increased from low values, a patch that connects the whole lattice suddenly appears. At this point, it is said that the system percolates and the value of p is the critical point p_c .

Thus percolation is characterized by two well-defined phases: the unconnected phase when $p < p_c$, in which species cannot travel far inside the forest, as it is fragmented; in a general sense, information cannot spread.

The second is the connected phase when $p > p_c$, species can move inside a forest patch from side to side of the lattice, i.e. information can spread over the whole area. Near the critical point, several scaling laws arise: the structure of the patch that spans the area is fractal, the size distribution of the patches is power-law, and other quantities also follow power-law scaling (Stauffer & Aharony 1994).

The value of the critical point p_c depends on the geometry of the lattice and on the definition of the neighborhood, but other power-law exponents only depend on the lattice dimension. Close to the critical point, the distribution of patch sizes is:

145 (1)
$$n_s(p_c) \propto s^{-\alpha}$$

where $n_s(p)$ is the number of patches of size s. The exponent α does not depend on the details of the model and it is called universal (Stauffer & Aharony 1994). These scaling laws can be applied to landscape structures that are approximately random, or correlated over short distances (Gastner *et al.* 2009). In physics, this is called "isotropic percolation universality class", and corresponds to an exponent $\alpha = 2.05495$. If we observe that the patch size distribution has another exponent it will not belong to this universality class and other mechanism should be invoked to explain it. Percolation can also be generated by models that have some kind of memory (Hinrichsen 2000; Ódor 2004): for example, a patch that has been exploited for many years will recover differently than a recently deforested forest patch. In this case, the system could belong to a different universality class, or in some cases, there is no universality, in which case the value of α will depend on the parameters and details of the model (Corrado et al. 2014).

To illustrate these concepts, we conducted simulations with a simple forest model with only two states: forest and non-forest. This type of model is called a "contact process" and was introduced for epidemics (Harris 1974) but has many applications in ecology (Solé & Bascompte 2006; Gastner *et al.* 2009)(see supplementary data, gif animations).

Patch size distributions

We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patches calculated for each of the percentage forest cover threshold we defined. We fit four distributions using maximum likelihood (Goldstein et al. 2004; Clauset et 162 al. 2009): power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and exponential. We assumed that the patch size distribution is a continuous variable discretized by the remote sensing data acquisition procedure. 164 The power-law distribution requires a lower bound for its scaling behavior that is estimated from the data by 165 maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic between the empirical and fitted cumulative distribution functions (Clauset et al. 2009). For the log-normal model, we constrain the μ parameter to positive values, 167 this parameter controls the mode of the distribution and when is negative most of the probability density of the distribution lies outside the range of the forest patch size data (Limpert et al. 2001). 169 To select the best model we calculated corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AIC_c) and Akaike weights 170 for each model (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Akaike weights (w_i) are the weight of evidence in favor of 171 model i being the best model among the candidate set of N models. Additionally, we computed a likelihood 172 ratio test (Vuong 1989; Clauset et al. 2009) of the power law model against the other distributions. We calculated bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals (Crawley 2012) for the parameters of the best model, using 174

176 Largest patch dynamics

The largest patch connects the highest number of sites in the area and has been used to indicate fragmentation (Gardner & Urban 2007; Ochoa-Quintero et al. 2015). The size of the largest patch S_{max} has been studied in relation to percolation phenomena (Stauffer & Aharony 1994; Bazant 2000; Botet & Ploszajczak 2004)

the bias-corrected and accelerated (BCa) bootstrap (Efron & Tibshirani 1994) with 10000 replications.

but seldom used in ecological studies (but see Gastner et al. (2009)). When the system is in a connected state $(p > p_c)$ the landscape is almost insensitive to the loss of a small fraction of forest, but close to the critical point a minor loss can have important effects (Solé & Bascompte 2006; Oborny et al. 2007), because at this point the largest patch will have a filamentary structure, i.e. extended forest areas will be connected by thin threads. Small losses can thus produce large fluctuations.

To evaluate the fragmentation of the forest the proportion of the largest patch against the total area can be 185 calculated (Keitt et al. 1997). The total area of the regions we are considering (Appendix S4, figures S1-S6) may not be the same as the total area that the forest could potentially occupy, and thus a more accurate 187 way to evaluate the weight of S_{max} is to use the total forest area, which can be easily calculated by summing 188 all the forest pixels. We calculate the proportion of the largest patch for each year, dividing S_{max} by the 189 total forest area of the same year: $RS_{max} = S_{max} / \sum_{i} S_{i}$. When the proportion RS_{max} is large (more than 190 60%) the largest patch contains most of the forest so there are fewer small forest patches and the system 191 is probably in a connected phase. Conversely, when it is low (less than 20%), the system is probably in a 192 fragmented phase (Saravia & Momo 2018). To define if a region will be in a connected or unconnected state 193 we used the RS_{max} of the highest (i.e., most conservative) threshold of 40%, that represents the densest 194 area of forest within our chosen range. We assume that there are two alternative states for the critical transition—the forest could be fragmented or unfragmented. If RS_{max} is a good indicator forest's state, its 196 distribution of frequencies should be bimodal (Bestelmeyer et al. 2011), so we apply the Hartigan's dip test that measures departures from unimodality (Hartigan & Hartigan 1985). 198

To evaluate if the forest is near a critical transition, we calculate the fluctuations of the largest patch 199 $\Delta S_{max} = S_{max}(t) - \langle S_{max} \rangle$, using the same formula for RS_{max} . To characterize fluctuations we fitted three empirical distributions: power-law, log-normal, and exponential, using the same methods described 201 previously. We expect that large fluctuations near a critical point have heavy tails (log-normal or power-law) and that fluctuations far from a critical point have exponential tails, corresponding to Gaussian processes 203 (Rooij et al. 2013). We also apply the likelihood ratio test explained previously (Vuong 1989; Clauset et 204 al. 2009); if the p-values obtained to compare the best distribution against the others are not significant we 205 can not decide which is the best model. We generated animated maps showing the fluctuations of the two 206 largest patches at 30% threshold, to aid in the interpretations of the results. 207

A robust way to detect if the system is near a critical transition is to analyze the increase in variance of the
density (Benedetti-Cecchi et al. 2015)—in our case 'density' is the total forest cover divided by the area.

But the variance increase in density appears when the system is very close to the transition (Corrado et al.
2014), and thus practically it does not constitute an early warning indicator. An alternative is to analyze the

variance of the fluctuations of the largest patch ΔS_{max} : the maximum is attained at the critical point but a significant increase occurs well before the system reaches the critical point (Corrado *et al.* 2014; Saravia & Momo 2018). In addition, before the critical fragmentation, the skewness of the distribution of ΔS_{max} is negative, implying that fluctuations below the average are more frequent. We characterized the increase in the variance using quantile regression: if variance is increasing the slopes of upper or/and lower quartiles should be positive or negative.

Statistical analyses were performed using the GNU R version 3.3.0 (R Core Team 2015), to fit patch size distributions we used the Python package powerlaw (Alstott *et al.* 2014). For quantile regressions, we used the R package quantreg (Koenker 2016) and MATLAB r2015b for image processing. The complete source code for image processing, statistical analysis and patch size data are available at figshare http:

//dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4263905.

Results

Figure 1 shows an example of the distribution of the biggest 200 patches for years 2000 and 2014. This
distribution is highly variable; the biggest patch usually maintains its spatial location, but sometimes it
breaks and then big temporal fluctuations in its size are observed, as we will analyze below. Smaller patches
can merge or break more easily so they enter or leave the list of 200, and this is why there is a color change
across years.

The power law distribution was selected as the best model in 99% of the cases (Figure S7). In a small number of cases (1%), the power law with exponential cutoff was selected, but the value of the parameter α was similar by ± 0.03 to the pure power law (Table S1 and model fit data table), thus we used the power law parameters for these cases (region EUAS3, SAST2). In finite-size systems, the favored model should be the power law with exponential cut-off because the power-law tails are truncated to the size of the system (Stauffer & Aharony 1994). We observe that when the pure power-law model is the best model, the 64% of likelihood ratio tests against power law with exponential cutoff are not significant (p-value>0.05). Instead, the likelihood ratio test clearly differentiates the power law model from the exponential model (100% cases p-value<0.05), and the log-normal model (90% cases p-value<0.05).

The global mean of the power-law exponent α is 1.967 and the bootstrapped 95% confidence interval is 1.964 - 1.970. The global values for each threshold are different, because their confidence intervals do not overlap, and their range goes from 1.90 to 2.01 (Table S1). Analyzing the biggest regions (Figure 1, Table S2) the northern hemisphere regions (EUAS1 & NA1) have similar values of α (1.97, 1.98), pantropical areas

have different α with greatest values for South America (SAST1, 2.01) and in descending order Africa (AF1, 1.946) and Southeast Asia (SEAS1, 1.895). With greater α the fluctuations of patch sizes are lower and vice versa (Newman 2005).

We calculated the total forest areas and the largest patch S_{max} by year for different thresholds, as expected

we calculated the total forest areas and the largest patch S_{max} by year for different thresholds, as expected these two values increase for smaller thresholds (Table S3). We expect fewer variations in the largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} (Figure S9); in ten cases it stayed near or higher than 60% (EUAS2, NA5, OC2, OC3, OC4, OC5, OC6, OC8, SAST1, SAT1) over the 25-35 range or more. In four cases it stayed around 40% or less, at least over the 25-30% range (AF1, EUAS3, OC1, SAST2), and in six cases there is a crossover from more than 60% to around 40% or less (AF2, EUAS1, NA1, OC7, SEAS1, SEAS2). This confirms the criteria of using the most conservative threshold value of 40% to interpret RS_{max} with regard to the fragmentation state of the forest. The frequency of RS_{max} showed bimodality (Figure S10) and the dip test rejected unimodality (D = 0.0416, p-value = 0.0003), which also implies that RS_{max} is a good index to study the fragmentation state of the forest.

The RS_{max} for regions with more than 10^7 km² of forest is shown in figure 3. South America tropical and subtropical (SAST1) is the only region with an average close to 60%, the other regions are below 30%. Eurasia mainland (EUAS1) has the lowest value near 20%. For regions with less total forest area (Figure S10, Table S3), Great Britain (EUAS3) has the lowest proportion less than 5%, Java (OC7) and Cuba (SAST2) are under 25%, while other regions such as New Guinea (OC2), Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), Sulawesi (OC5) and South New Zealand (OC6) have a very high proportion (75% or more). Philippines (SEAS2) seems to be a very interesting case because it seems to be under 30% until the year 2007, fluctuates around 30% in years 2008-2010, then jumps near 60% in 2011-2013 and then falls again to 30%, this seems an example of a transition from a fragmented state to an unfragmented one (figure S11).

The Likelihood ratio test was not significant for the distributions of largest patch fluctuations ΔRS_{max} and ΔS_{max} . Thus we cannot determine with confidence which is the best distribution. In only one case was the distribution selected by the Akaike criteria confirmed as the correct model for relative and absolute fluctuations (Table S4).

The animations of the two largest patches (see supplementary data, largest patch gif animations) qualitatively shows the nature of fluctuations and if the state of the forest is connected or not. If the largest patch is always the same patch over time, the forest is probably not fragmented; this happens for regions with RS_{max} of more than 40% such as AF2 (Madagascar), EUAS2 (Japan), NA5 (Newfoundland) and OC3 (Malaysia). In regions with RS_{max} between 40% and 30%, the identity of the largest patch could change or stay the same

in time. For OC7 (Java) the largest patch changes and for AF1 (Africa mainland) it stays the same. Only for EUAS1 (Eurasia mainland), we observed that the two largest patches are always the same, implying that these two large patches are produced by a geographical accident but they have the same dynamics. The regions with RS_{max} less than 25% SAST2 (Cuba) and EUAS3 (Great Britain) have an always-changing largest patch reflecting their fragmented state. A transition is observed in SEAS2 (Philippines), with the identity of the largest patch first variable, and then constant after 2010.

The results of quantile regressions are almost identical for ΔRS_{max} and ΔS_{max} (table S5). Among the biggest regions, Africa (AF1) has a similar pattern across thresholds but only the 30% threshold is significant; the 280 upper and lower quantiles have significant negative slopes, but the lower quantile slope is lower, implying that negative fluctuations and variance are increasing (Figure 4). Eurasia mainland (EUAS1) has significant 282 slopes at 20%, 30% and 40% thresholds but the patterns are different at 20% variance is decreasing, at 283 30% and 40% only is increasing. Thus the variation of the densest portion of the largest patch is increasing 284 within a limited range. North America mainland (NA1) exhibits the same pattern at 20%, 25% and 30% 285 thresholds: a significant lower quantile with positive slope, implying decreasing variance. South America tropical and subtropical (SAST1) have significant lower quantile with a negative slope at 25% and 30% 287 thresholds indicating an increase in variance. SEAS1 has an upper quantile with a significant positive slope for 25% threshold, indicating an increasing variance. The other regions, with forest area smaller than 10⁷km² 289 are shown in figure S11 and table S5. For Philippines (SEAS2), the slopes of lower quantiles are positive for thresholds 20% and 25%, and the upper quantile slopes are positive for thresholds 30% and 40%; thus 291 variance is decreasing at 20%-25% and increasing at 30%-40%.

The conditions that indicate that a region is near a critical fragmentation threshold are that patch size distributions follow a power law; variance of ΔRS_{max} is increasing in time, and skewness is negative. All these conditions must happen at the same time at least for one threshold. When the threshold is higher more dense regions of the forest are at risk. This happens for Africa mainland (AF1), Eurasia mainland (EUAS1), Japan (EUAS2), Australia mainland (OC1), Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), South America tropical & subtropical (SAST1), Cuba (SAST2), Southeast Asia, Mainland (SEAS1).

299 Discussion

We found that the forest patch distribution of all regions of the world, spanning tropical rainforests, boreal and temperate forests, followed power laws trough seven orders of magnitude. Power laws have previously been found for several kinds of vegetation, but never at global scales as in this study. Moreover, the range of the estimated power law exponents is relatively narrow (1.90 - 2.01), even though we used a range of different thresholds levels. This suggests the existence of one unifying mechanism that acts at continental scales, affecting forest spatial structure and dynamics.

A possible mechanism for the emergence of power laws in forests is isotropic percolation (Puevo et al. 2010): 306 forest sites disappear at random positions when the density of forest is near the critical point, the power law structures arise. This requires the tuning of an external environmental condition to carry the system to 308 this point. We did not expect forest growth to be a random process at local scales, but it is possible that combinations of factors cancel out to produce seemingly random forest dynamics at large scales. This has 310 been suggested as a mechanism for the observed power laws of global tropical forest at year 2000 (Taubert 311 et al. 2018). In this case, we should have observed power laws in a limited set of situations that coincide 312 with a critical point, but instead we observed pervasive power law distributions. Thus isotropic percolation 313 does not seem the mechanism that produces the observed distributions. 314

Another possible mechanism is facilitation (Manor & Shnerb 2008; Irvine et al. 2016): a patch surrounded 315 by forest will have a smaller probability of being deforested or degraded than an isolated patch. The model 316 of Scanlon et al. (2007) showed an $\alpha = 1.34$ which is far from our results (1.90 - 2.01 range). Another 317 model but with three states (tree/non-tree/degraded), including local facilitation and grazing, was also used 318 to obtain power laws patch distributions without external tuning, and exhibited deviations from power laws 319 at high grazing pressures (Kéfi et al. 2007). The values of the power law exponent α for this model depend 320 on the intensity of facilitation: if it is more intense the exponent is higher, but the maximal values they 321 obtained are still lower than the ones we observed. Thus an exploration of the parameters of this model is 322 needed to find if this is a plausible mechanism.

A combination of spatial and temporal indicators is more reliable to detect critical transitions (Kéfi et al. 2014). We combined five criteria to evaluate the closeness of the system to a fragmentation threshold. Two were spatial: the forest patch size distribution, and the proportion of the largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} . The other three were the distribution of temporal fluctuations in the largest patch size, the trend in the variance, and the skewness of the fluctuations. One of them: the distribution of temporal fluctuations ΔRS_{max} cannot be applied with our temporal resolution due to the difficulties of fitting and comparing heavy-tailed distributions. The combination of the remaining four gives us an increased degree of confidence about the system being close to a critical transition.

South America tropical and subtropical (SAST1), Southeast Asia mainland (SEAS1) and Africa mainland (AF1) met all criteria at least for one threshold; these regions generally experience the biggest rates of

deforestation with a significant increase in loss of forest (Hansen et al. 2013). The most critical region are 334 Southeast Asia and Africa, because the proportion of the largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} was around 30% thus they are in a fragmented state. Due to our criteria to define regions, we could not detect 336 the effect of conservation policies applied at a country level, e.g. the Natural Forest Conservation Program in China, which has produced an 1.6% increase in forest cover and net primary productivity over the last 338 20 years (Viña et al. 2016). Tropical South America with its high RS_{max} is also endangered but probably 339 in an unfragmented state. Indonesia and Malaysia (OC3) have both high deforestation rates (Hansen et al. 340 2013); Sumatra (OC4) is the biggest island of Indonesia and where most deforestation occurs. Both regions 341 show a high RS_{max} greater than 60%, and thus the forest is in an unfragmented state, but they met all other criteria, meaning that they are approaching a transition if the actual deforestation rates continue. 343

The Eurasian mainland region (EUAS1) is an extensive area with mainly temperate and boreal forest, and 344 a combination of forest loss due to fire (Potapov et al. 2008) and forestry. The biggest country is Russia 345 that experienced the biggest rate of forest loss of all countries, but here in the zone of coniferous forest 346 the the largest gain is observed due to agricultural abandonment (Prishchepov et al. 2013). The loss is 347 maximum at the most dense areas of forest (Hansen et al. 2013, Table S3), this coincides with our analysis 348 that detect an increasing risk at denser forest. This region also has a relatively low RS_{max} that means is probably near a fragmented state. A possible explanation of this is that in Russia after the collapse of the 350 Soviet Union harvest was lower due to agricultural abandonment but illegal overharvesting of high valued stands has increased in recent decades (Gauthier et al. 2015). A region that is similar in forest composition 352 to EAUS1 is North America (NA1); the two main countries involved, United States and Canada, have forest dynamics mainly influenced by fire and forestry, with both regions are extensively managed for industrial 354 wood production. North America has a higher RS_{max} than Eurasia and a positive skewness that excludes 355 it from being near a critical transition. 356

The analysis of RS_{max} reveals that the island of Philippines (SEAS2) seems to be an example of a critical transition from an unconnected to a connected state, i.e. from a state with high fluctuations and low RS_{max} to a state with low fluctuations and high RS_{max} . If we observe this pattern backwards in time, the decrease in variance become an increase, and negative skewness is constant, and thus the region exhibits the criteria of a critical transition (Table 1, Figure S12). The actual pattern of transition to an unfragmented state could be the result of an active intervention of the government promoting conservation and rehabilitation of forests (Lasco et al. 2008). This confirms that the early warning indicators proposed here work in the correct direction. An important caveat is that the MODIS dataset does not detect if native forest is replaced by agroindustrial tree plantations like oil palms, that are among the main drivers of deforestation in this area

(Malhi et al. 2014). For example oil palm plantations are producing extensive deforestation in Indonesia and Malaysia (Chong et al. 2017) (Regions OC2,OC3, OC4, OC5, OC7) this overestimates RS_{max} and in consequence we detect smaller fluctuations and underestimate the fragmentation risks of these areas. To improve the estimation of forest patches the Hansen's Landsat derived dataset (Hansen et al. 2013) should be produced on a yearly basis. Besides this would be important to have a more precise estimation of patch sizes, it would be more important from a conservation point of view develop specific algorithms to detect particular forest plantations for each region. For palm oil plantations there are different approaches to combine high resolution images (e.g. QuickBird 0.5m) with change-detection algorithms of Landsat images (Buchanan et al. 2008; Chong et al. 2017).

Deforestation and fragmentation are closely related. At low levels of habitat reduction species population 375 will decline proportionally; this can happen even when the habitat fragments retain connectivity. As habitat 376 reduction continues, the critical threshold is approached and connectivity will have large fluctuations (Brook 377 et al. 2013). This could trigger several negative synergistic effects: population fluctuations and the possibility 378 of extinctions will rise, increasing patch isolation and decreasing connectivity (Brook et al. 2013). This 379 positive feedback mechanism will be enhanced when the fragmentation threshold is reached, resulting in the 380 loss of most habitat specialist species at a landscape scale (Pardini et al. 2010). If a forest is already in a fragmented state, a second critical transition from forest to non-forest could happen: the desertification 382 transition (Corrado et al. 2014). Considering the actual trends of habitat loss, and studying the dynamics of non-forest patches—instead of the forest patches—the risk of this kind of transition could be estimated. 384 The simple models proposed previously could also be used to estimate if these thresholds are likely to be continuous and reversible or discontinuous and often irreversible (Weissmann & Shnerb 2016), and the degree of protection (e.g. using the set-asides strategy Banks-Leite et al. (2014)) that would be necessary to stop 387 this trend. 388

Therefore, even if critical thresholds are reached only in some forest regions at a continental scale, a cascading effect with global consequences could still be produced (Reyer et al. 2015). The risk of such event will be higher if the dynamics of separate continental regions are coupled (Lenton & Williams 2013). At least three of the regions defined here are considered tipping elements of the earth climate system that could be triggered during this century (Lenton et al. 2008). These were defined as policy relevant tipping elements so that political decisions could determine whether the critical value is reached or not. Thus the criteria proposed here could be used as a more sensitive system to evaluate the closeness of a tipping point at a continental scale. Further improvements will produce quantitative predictions about the temporal horizon where these critical transitions could produce significant changes in the studied systems.

398 Supporting information

399 Appendix

- 400 Contact model description
- 401 Table S1: Mean power-law exponent and Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals by threshold.
- 402 Table S2: Mean power-law exponent and Bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals across thresholds by region
- 403 and year.
- Table S3: Mean total patch area; largest patch S_{max} in km²; largest patch relative to total patch area RS_{max}
- and 95% bootstrapped confidence interval of RS_{max} , by region and thresholds, averaged across years
- Table S4: Model selection for distributions of fluctuation of largest patch ΔS_{max} and largest patch relative
- to total forest area ΔRS_{max} .
- Table S5: Quantil regressions of the fluctuations of the largest patch vs year, for 10% and 90% quantils at
- different pixel thresholds.
- Table S6: Unbiased estimation of Skewness of fluctuations of the largest patch ΔS_{max} and fluctuations
- relative to total forest area ΔRS_{max} .
- Figure S1: Regions for Africa: Mainland (AF1), Madagascar (AF2).
- 413 Figure S2: Regions for Eurasia: Mainland (EUAS1), Japan (EUAS2), Great Britain (EUAS3).
- 414 Figure S3: Regions for North America: Mainland (NA1), Newfoundland (NA5).
- 415 Figure S4: Regions for Australia and islands: Australia mainland (OC1), New Guinea (OC2),
- 416 Malaysia/Kalimantan (OC3), Sumatra (OC4), Sulawesi (OC5), New Zealand south island (OC6),
- Java (OC7), New Zealand north island (OC8).
- 418 Figure S5: Regions for South America: Tropical and subtropical forest up to Mexico (SAST1), Cuba
- (SAST2), South America Temperate forest (SAT1).
- 420 Figure S6: Regions for Southeast Asia: Mainland (SEAS1), Philippines (SEAS2).
- 421 Figure S7: Proportion of best models selected for patch size distributions using the Akaike criterion.
- 422 Figure S8: Power law exponents for forest patch distributions by year for all regions.
- Figure S9: Average largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} by threshold, for all regions.

- Figure s10: Frequency distribution of Largest patch proportion relative to total forest area RS_{max} calculated
- using a threshold of 40%.
- Figure S11: Largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} by year at 40% threshold, for regions with
- total forest area less than 10^7 km^2 .
- Figure S12: Fluctuations of largest patch relative to total forest area RS_{max} for regions with total forest
- $_{429}$ area less than 10^7 km^2 by year and threshold.

430 Data Accessibility

- The MODIS VCF product is freely available from NASA at https://search.earthdata.nasa.gov/. Csv text file
- with model fits for patch size distribution, and model selection for all the regions; Gif Animations of a forest
- model percolation; Gif animations of largest patches; patch size files for all years and regions used here; and all
- the R, Python and Matlab scripts are available at figshare http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.4263905.

435 Acknowledgments

- 436 LAS and SRD are grateful to the National University of General Sarmiento for financial support. We want to
- thank to Jordi Bascompte, Nara Guisoni, Fernando Momo, and two anonymous reviewers for their comments
- and discussions that greatly improved the manuscript. This work was partially supported by a grant from
- 439 CONICET (PIO 144-20140100035-CO).

440 References

- 441 Allington, G.R.H. & Valone, T.J. (2010). Reversal of desertification: The role of physical and chemical soil
- properties. Journal of Arid Environments, 74, 973–977.
- 443 Alstott, J., Bullmore, E. & Plenz, D. (2014). powerlaw: A Python Package for Analysis of Heavy-Tailed
- Distributions. PLOS ONE, 9, e85777.
- Angelsen, A. (2010). Policies for reduced deforestation and their impact on agricultural production. Pro-
- ceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107, 19639–19644.
- Banks-Leite, C., Pardini, R., Tambosi, L.R., Pearse, W.D., Bueno, A.A. & Bruscagin, R.T. et al. (2014).
- Using ecological thresholds to evaluate the costs and benefits of set-asides in a biodiversity hotspot. Science,

- 449 345, 1041–1045.
- 450 Barnosky, A.D., Hadly, E.A., Bascompte, J., Berlow, E.L., Brown, J.H. & Fortelius, M. et al. (2012).
- 451 Approaching a state shift in Earth's biosphere. Nature, 486, 52–58.
- 452 Bascompte, J. & Solé, R.V. (1996). Habitat fragmentation and extinction threholds in spatially explicit
- models. Journal of Animal Ecology, 65, 465–473.
- Bazant, M.Z. (2000). Largest cluster in subcritical percolation. *Physical Review E*, 62, 1660–1669.
- 455 Belward, A.S. (1996). The IGBP-DIS Global 1 Km Land Cover Data Set "DISCover": Proposal and
- 456 Implementation Plans: Report of the Land Recover Working Group of IGBP-DIS. IGBP-dis working paper.
- 457 IGBP-DIS Office.
- ⁴⁵⁸ Benedetti-Cecchi, L., Tamburello, L., Maggi, E. & Bulleri, F. (2015). Experimental Perturbations Modify
- the Performance of Early Warning Indicators of Regime Shift. Current biology, 25, 1867–1872.
- Bestelmeyer, B.T., Duniway, M.C., James, D.K., Burkett, L.M. & Havstad, K.M. (2013). A test of critical
- thresholds and their indicators in a desertification-prone ecosystem: more resilience than we thought. Ecology
- 462 Letters, 16, 339-345.
- Bestelmeyer, B.T., Ellison, A.M., Fraser, W.R., Gorman, K.B., Holbrook, S.J. & Laney, C.M. et al. (2011).
- Analysis of abrupt transitions in ecological systems. *Ecosphere*, 2, 129.
- 465 Boettiger, C. & Hastings, A. (2012). Quantifying limits to detection of early warning for critical transitions.
- 466 Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 9, 2527–2539.
- 467 Bonan, G.B. (2008). Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of Forests.
- 468 Science, 320, 1444-1449.
- Botet, R. & Ploszajczak, M. (2004). Correlations in Finite Systems and Their Universal Scaling Properties.
- 470 In: Nonequilibrium physics at short time scales: Formation of correlations (ed. Morawetz, K.). Springer-
- Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 445–466.
- ⁴⁷² Brook, B.W., Ellis, E.C., Perring, M.P., Mackay, A.W. & Blomqvist, L. (2013). Does the terrestrial biosphere
- have planetary tipping points? Trends in Ecology & Evolution.
- Buchanan, G.M., Butchart, S.H.M., Dutson, G., Pilgrim, J.D., Steininger, M.K. & Bishop, K.D. et al. (2008).
- Using remote sensing to inform conservation status assessment: Estimates of recent deforestation rates on
- New Britain and the impacts upon endemic birds. Biological Conservation, 141, 56-66.
- 477 Burnham, K. & Anderson, D.R. (2002). Model selection and multi-model inference: A practical information-

- theoretic approach. 2nd. edn. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 479 Canfield, D.E., Glazer, A.N. & Falkowski, P.G. (2010). The Evolution and Future of Earth's Nitrogen Cycle.
- 480 Science, 330, 192–196.
- 481 Carpenter, S.R., Cole, J.J., Pace, M.L., Batt, R., Brock, W.A. & Cline, T. et al. (2011). Early Warnings of
- Regime Shifts: A Whole-Ecosystem Experiment. Science, 332, 1079–1082.
- ⁴⁸³ Chaplin-Kramer, R., Ramler, I., Sharp, R., Haddad, N.M., Gerber, J.S. & West, P.C. et al. (2015). Degra-
- dation in carbon stocks near tropical forest edges. Nature Communications, 6, 10158.
- ⁴⁸⁵ Chong, K.L., Kanniah, K.D., Pohl, C. & Tan, K.P. (2017). A review of remote sensing applications for oil
- palm studies. Geo-spatial Information Science, 20, 184–200.
- ⁴⁸⁷ Clauset, A., Shalizi, C. & Newman, M. (2009). Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review,
- 488 51, 661–703.
- 489 Corrado, R., Cherubini, A.M. & Pennetta, C. (2014). Early warning signals of desertification transitions in
- 490 semiarid ecosystems. Physical Review E Statistical, Nonlinear, and Soft Matter Physics, 90, 62705.
- ⁴⁹¹ Crawley, M.J. (2012). The R Book. 2nd. edn. Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- 492 Crowther, T.W., Glick, H.B., Covey, K.R., Bettigole, C., Maynard, D.S. & Thomas, S.M. et al. (2015).
- ⁴⁹³ Mapping tree density at a global scale. Nature, 525, 201–205.
- ⁴⁹⁴ Dai, L., Vorselen, D., Korolev, K.S. & Gore, J. (2012). Generic Indicators for Loss of Resilience Before a
- Tipping Point Leading to Population Collapse. Science, 336, 1175–1177.
- 496 DiMiceli, C., Carroll, M., Sohlberg, R., Huang, C., Hansen, M. & Townshend, J. (2015). Annual Global
- 497 Automated MODIS Vegetation Continuous Fields (MOD44B) at 250 m Spatial Resolution for Data Years
- Beginning Day 65, 2000 2014, Collection 051 Percent Tree Cover, University of Maryland, College Park,
- 499 MD, USA.
- Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R.J. (1994). An Introduction to the Bootstrap. Chapman & hall/crc monographs on
- 501 statistics & applied probability. Taylor & Francis, New York.
- Filotas, E., Parrott, L., Burton, P.J., Chazdon, R.L., Coates, K.D. & Coll, L. et al. (2014). Viewing forests
- through the lens of complex systems science. *Ecosphere*, 5, 1–23.
- Foley, J.A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K.A., Cassidy, E.S., Gerber, J.S. & Johnston, M. et al. (2011).

- Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478, 337–342.
- ⁵⁰⁶ Fung, T., O'Dwyer, J.P., Rahman, K.A., Fletcher, C.D. & Chisholm, R.A. (2016). Reproducing static and
- 507 dynamic biodiversity patterns in tropical forests: the critical role of environmental variance. Ecology, 97,
- 508 1207-1217.
- Gardner, R.H. & Urban, D.L. (2007). Neutral models for testing landscape hypotheses. Landscape Ecology,
- 510 22, 15-29.
- Gastner, M.T., Oborny, B., Zimmermann, D.K. & Pruessner, G. (2009). Transition from Connected to Frag-
- mented Vegetation across an Environmental Gradient: Scaling Laws in Ecotone Geometry. The American
- 513 Naturalist, 174, E23–E39.
- Gauthier, S., Bernier, P., Kuuluvainen, T., Shvidenko, A.Z. & Schepaschenko, D.G. (2015). Boreal forest
- health and global change. Science, 349, 819 LP-822.
- 516 Gilman, S.E., Urban, M.C., Tewksbury, J., Gilchrist, G.W. & Holt, R.D. (2010). A framework for community
- interactions under climate change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 25, 325–331.
- Goldstein, M.L., Morris, S.A. & Yen, G.G. (2004). Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. The
- 519 European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems, 41, 255–258.
- 520 Haddad, N.M., Brudvig, L.a., Clobert, J., Davies, K.F., Gonzalez, A. & Holt, R.D. et al. (2015). Habitat
- fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances, 1, 1–9.
- Hansen, M., Potapov, P., Margono, B., Stehman, S., Turubanova, S. & Tyukavina, A. (2014). Response to
- 523 Comment on "High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change". Science, 344, 981.
- Hansen, M.C., Potapov, P.V., Moore, R., Hancher, M., Turubanova, S.A. & Tyukavina, A. et al. (2013).
- High-Resolution Global Maps of 21st-Century Forest Cover Change. Science, 342, 850–853.
- 526 Harris, T.E. (1974). Contact interactions on a lattice. The Annals of Probability, 2, 969–988.
- Hartigan, J.A. & Hartigan, P.M. (1985). The Dip Test of Unimodality. The Annals of Statistics, 13, 70–84.
- Hastings, A. & Wysham, D.B. (2010). Regime shifts in ecological systems can occur with no warning. Ecology
- 529 Letters, 13, 464-472.
- 550 He, F. & Hubbell, S. (2003). Percolation Theory for the Distribution and Abundance of Species. Physical
- 531 Review Letters, 91, 198103.
- Hinrichsen, H. (2000). Non-equilibrium critical phenomena and phase transitions into absorbing states.

- ⁵³³ Advances in Physics, 49, 815–958.
- Hirota, M., Holmgren, M., Nes, E.H.V. & Scheffer, M. (2011). Global Resilience of Tropical Forest and
- Savanna to Critical Transitions. Science, 334, 232–235.
- 556 Irvine, M.A., Bull, J.C. & Keeling, M.J. (2016). Aggregation dynamics explain vegetation patch-size distri-
- butions. Theoretical Population Biology, 108, 70–74.
- Keitt, T.H., Urban, D.L. & Milne, B.T. (1997). Detecting critical scales in fragmented landscapes. Conser-
- vation Ecology, 1, 4.
- 540 Kéfi, S., Guttal, V., Brock, W.A., Carpenter, S.R., Ellison, A.M. & Livina, V.N. et al. (2014). Early
- Warning Signals of Ecological Transitions: Methods for Spatial Patterns. PLoS ONE, 9, e92097.
- ⁵⁴² Kéfi, S., Rietkerk, M., Alados, C.L., Pueyo, Y., Papanastasis, V.P. & ElAich, A. et al. (2007). Spatial
- vegetation patterns and imminent desertification in Mediterranean arid ecosystems. Nature, 449, 213–217.
- Kitzberger, T., Aráoz, E., Gowda, J.H., Mermoz, M. & Morales, J.M. (2012). Decreases in Fire Spread Prob-
- ability with Forest Age Promotes Alternative Community States, Reduced Resilience to Climate Variability
- ⁵⁴⁶ and Large Fire Regime Shifts. *Ecosystems*, 15, 97–112.
- Koenker, R. (2016). quantreg: Quantile Regression.
- 548 Lasco, R.D., Pulhin, F.B., Cruz, R.V.O., Pulhin, J.M., Roy, S.S.N. & Sanchez, P.A.J. (2008). Forest
- responses to changing rainfall in the Philippines. In: Climate change and vulnerability (eds. Leary, N.,
- Conde, C. & Kulkarni, J.). Earthscan, London, pp. 49–66.
- 551 Leibold, M.A. & Norberg, J. (2004). Biodiversity in metacommunities: Plankton as complex adaptive
- systems? Limnology and Oceanography, 49, 1278–1289.
- 553 Lenton, T.M. & Williams, H.T.P. (2013). On the origin of planetary-scale tipping points. Trends in Ecology
- ⁵⁵⁴ & Evolution, 28, 380–382.
- Lenton, T.M., Held, H., Kriegler, E., Hall, J.W., Lucht, W. & Rahmstorf, S. et al. (2008). Tipping elements
- in the Earth's climate system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105, 1786–1793.
- 557 Limpert, E., Stahel, W.A. & Abbt, M. (2001). Log-normal Distributions across the Sciences: Keys and
- 558 CluesOn the charms of statistics, and how mechanical models resembling gambling machines offer a link to
- a handy way to characterize log-normal distributions, which can provide deeper insight into var. BioScience,
- 560 51, 341–352.
- Loehle, C., Li, B.-L. & Sundell, R.C. (1996). Forest spread and phase transitions at forest-praire ecotones

- in Kansas, U.S.A. Landscape Ecology, 11, 225–235.
- Malhi, Y., Gardner, T.A., Goldsmith, G.R., Silman, M.R. & Zelazowski, P. (2014). Tropical Forests in the
- Anthropocene. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 39, 125–159.
- ⁵⁶⁵ Manor, A. & Shnerb, N.M. (2008). Origin of pareto-like spatial distributions in ecosystems. *Physical Review*
- 566 Letters, 101, 268104.
- ⁵⁶⁷ McKenzie, D. & Kennedy, M.C. (2012). Power laws reveal phase transitions in landscape controls of fire
- regimes. Nat Commun, 3, 726.
- Mitchell, M.G.E., Suarez-Castro, A.F., Martinez-Harms, M., Maron, M., McAlpine, C. & Gaston, K.J. et al.
- 570 (2015). Reframing landscape fragmentation's effects on ecosystem services. Trends in Ecology & Evolution,
- 571 30, 190–198.
- Naito, A.T. & Cairns, D.M. (2015). Patterns of shrub expansion in Alaskan arctic river corridors suggest
- 573 phase transition. Ecology and Evolution, 5, 87–101.
- Newman, M.E.J. (2005). Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law. Contemporary Physics, 46, 323–
- 575 351.
- Oborny, B., Szabó, G. & Meszéna, G. (2007). Survival of species in patchy landscapes: percolation in space
- and time. In: Scaling biodiversity. Cambridge University Press, pp. 409–440.
- Ochoa-Quintero, J.M., Gardner, T.A., Rosa, I., de Barros Ferraz, S.F. & Sutherland, W.J. (2015). Thresholds
- of species loss in Amazonian deforestation frontier landscapes. Conservation Biology, 29, 440–451.
- ódor, G. (2004). Universality classes in nonequilibrium lattice systems. Reviews of Modern Physics, 76,
- 581 663-724.
- Pardini, R., Bueno, A. de A., Gardner, T.A., Prado, P.I. & Metzger, J.P. (2010). Beyond the Fragmentation
- Threshold Hypothesis: Regime Shifts in Biodiversity Across Fragmented Landscapes. PLoS ONE, 5, e13666.
- Potapov, P., Hansen, M.C., Stehman, S.V., Loveland, T.R. & Pittman, K. (2008). Combining MODIS
- and Landsat imagery to estimate and map boreal forest cover loss. Remote Sensing of Environment, 112,
- 586 3708-3719.
- Prishchepov, A.V., Müller, D., Dubinin, M., Baumann, M. & Radeloff, V.C. (2013). Determinants of
- agricultural land abandonment in post-Soviet European Russia. Land Use Policy, 30, 873–884.
- Puevo, S., de Alencastro Graca, P.M.L., Barbosa, R.I., Cots, R., Cardona, E. & Fearnside, P.M. (2010).
- Testing for criticality in ecosystem dynamics: the case of Amazonian rainforest and savanna fire. Ecology

- ⁵⁹¹ Letters, 13, 793–802.
- ⁵⁹² R Core Team. (2015). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
- Reyer, C.P.O., Rammig, A., Brouwers, N. & Langerwisch, F. (2015). Forest resilience, tipping points and
- global change processes. Journal of Ecology, 103, 1–4.
- 800 Rooij, M.M.J.W. van, Nash, B., Rajaraman, S. & Holden, J.G. (2013). A Fractal Approach to Dynamic
- Inference and Distribution Analysis. Frontiers in Physiology, 4.
- 859 Rudel, T.K., Coomes, O.T., Moran, E., Achard, F., Angelsen, A. & Xu, J. et al. (2005). Forest transitions:
- towards a global understanding of land use change. Global Environmental Change, 15, 23–31.
- 599 Saravia, L.A. & Momo, F.R. (2018). Biodiversity collapse and early warning indicators in a spatial phase
- transition between neutral and niche communities. Oikos, 127, 111–124.
- 601 Scanlon, T.M., Caylor, K.K., Levin, S.A. & Rodriguez-iturbe, I. (2007). Positive feedbacks promote power-
- law clustering of Kalahari vegetation. *Nature*, 449, 209–212.
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W.A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S.R. & Dakos, V. et al. (2009). Early-
- warning signals for critical transitions. Nature, 461, 53–59.
- ⁶⁰⁵ Scheffer, M., Walkerk, B., Carpenter, S., Foley, J. a, Folke, C. & Walker, B. (2001). Catastrophic shifts in
- 606 ecosystems. *Nature*, 413, 591–596.
- ⁶⁰⁷ Seidler, T.G. & Plotkin, J.B. (2006). Seed Dispersal and Spatial Pattern in Tropical Trees. *PLoS Biology*,
- 608 4, e344.
- 669 Sexton, J.O., Noojipady, P., Song, X.-P., Feng, M., Song, D.-X. & Kim, D.-H. et al. (2015). Conservation
- policy and the measurement of forests. Nature Climate Change, 6, 192–196.
- Sexton, J.O., Song, X.-P., Feng, M., Noojipady, P., Anand, A. & Huang, C. et al. (2013). Global, 30-m
- resolution continuous fields of tree cover: Landsat-based rescaling of MODIS vegetation continuous fields
- with lidar-based estimates of error. International Journal of Digital Earth, 6, 427-448.
- 614 Solé, R.V. (2011). Phase Transitions. Primers in complex systems. Princeton University Press.
- 615 Solé, R.V. & Bascompte, J. (2006). Self-organization in complex ecosystems. Princeton University Press.
- New Jersey, USA.
- 517 Solé, R.V., Alonso, D. & Saldaña, J. (2004). Habitat fragmentation and biodiversity collapse in neutral

- communities. Ecological Complexity, 1, 65–75.
- 619 Solé, R.V., Bartumeus, F. & Gamarra, J.G.P. (2005). Gap percolation in rainforests. Oikos, 110, 177–185.
- Staal, A., Dekker, S.C., Xu, C. & Nes, E.H. van. (2016). Bistability, Spatial Interaction, and the Distribution
- of Tropical Forests and Savannas. Ecosystems, 19, 1080–1091.
- 622 Stauffer, D. & Aharony, A. (1994). Introduction To Percolation Theory. Tayor & Francis, London.
- Taubert, F., Fischer, R., Groeneveld, J., Lehmann, S., Müller, M.S. & Rödig, E. et al. (2018). Global
- patterns of tropical forest fragmentation. Nature.
- Vasilakopoulos, P. & Marshall, C.T. (2015). Resilience and tipping points of an exploited fish population
- over six decades. Global Change Biology, 21, 1834–1847.
- ⁶²⁷ Villa Martín, P., Bonachela, J.A., Levin, S.A. & Muñoz, M.A. (2015). Eluding catastrophic shifts. *Proceed-*
- ings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112, E1828–E1836.
- ⁶²⁹ Viña, A., McConnell, W.J., Yang, H., Xu, Z. & Liu, J. (2016). Effects of conservation policy on China's
- 630 forest recovery. Science Advances, 2, e1500965.
- Vuong, Q.H. (1989). Likelihood Ratio Tests for Model Selection and Non-Nested Hypotheses. Econometrica,
- 632 57, 307-333.
- ⁶³³ Weissmann, H. & Shnerb, N.M. (2016). Predicting catastrophic shifts. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 397,
- 634 128-134.
- Wuyts, B., Champneys, A.R. & House, J.I. (2017). Amazonian forest-savanna bistability and human impact.
- Nature Communications, 8, 15519.
- ⁶⁵⁷ Xu, C., Hantson, S., Holmgren, M., Nes, E.H. van, Staal, A. & Scheffer, M. (2016). Remotely sensed canopy
- 638 height reveals three pantropical ecosystem states. Ecology, 97, 2518–2521.
- ⁶⁵⁹ Zhang, J.Y., Wang, Y., Zhao, X., Xie, G. & Zhang, T. (2005). Grassland recovery by protection from grazing
- in a semi-arid sandy region of northern China. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research, 48, 277–284.