Analysis of critical transitions at the Global Forest

2 Abstract

3 Methods

- 4 MODIS VCF explanation.
- 5 A 30% threshold was used to convert the percentage tree cover to a binary image of forest and non-forest
- 6 pixels [1]. Patches of contiguous forest were determined in the binary image by grouping connected pixels
- vising a neighborhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighborhood). We set a minimal patch size $(X_m in)$ at nine
- $_{8}$ pixels to avoid artifacts at patch edges due to discretization.
- 9 We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patch areas to four distributions using maximum likelihood
- 10 estimation [2,3]. The distributions were: power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and
- 11 exponential distributions. We assume that the patch size distribution a continuous variable that was discretized
- by remote sensing data acquisition procedure. CONSECUENCES OF EACH DISTRIBUTION VER [4].
- Besides the hard X_min limit we set due to discretization, empirical distributions can show power-law behavior
- 14 at values above a lower bound that can be estimated by maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic
- 15 comparing empirical to fitted cumulative distribution function [3]. We first fitSince we hypothesize the
- presence of two power-laws first we determined Xmin using the complete dataset for each year and fitted the
- models, then we fitted again the four models for the data lower than Xmin. As a comparison we also fit the
- models with the complete dataset (Xmin=1). The use of Xmin eliminates part of the data from the analysis
- thus only models with a similar cut-off can be compared.
- 20 The corrected Akaike Information Criteria (AICc) and the Akaike weights were computed for each model
- 21 (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Akaike weights (wi) are the weight of evidence in favor of model i being the
- 22 actual best model for the situation at hand given that one of the N models must be the best model for that
- set of N models.
- 24 Additionally, we computed the goodness of fit of the power-law and power-law with cut-off models following
- 25 the bootstrap approach described by Clauset et. al [3], where simulated data sets following the fitted model
- 26 are generated, and a p-value equal to the proportion of simulated data sets that has a KS statistic less
- 27 extreme than empirical data.
- 28 A randomization procedure was applied in order to determine whether the distribution of contiguous forest
- units can be simply the result of a completely random process. The land pixels of the original image where

- 1 randomly relocated while keeping watered areas untouched. The randomization process was repeated 1000
- 2 times, and the resulting binary images were subsequently subjected to the described procedure.
- 3 Image processing were done in MATLAB. All statistical analyses were done using the GNU R [5], using the
- 4 poweRlaw package [6] for fitting distributions.

5 Results

6 References

- 7 1. Haddad NM, Brudvig LA, Clobert J, Davies KF, Gonzalez A, et al. (2015) Habitat fragmentation
- and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances 1: e1500052—e11500052. Available:
- http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1500052.
- 2. Goldstein ML, Morris SA, Yen GG (2004) Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. The
- European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 41: 255–258. Available: http://
- 12 //link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00316-5.
- 13 Clauset A, Shalizi C, Newman M (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review 51:
- 661–703. Available: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/070710111.
- 15 4. Rooij MMJW van, Nash B, Rajaraman S, Holden JG (2013) A Fractal Approach to Dynamic Inference and
- 16 Distribution Analysis. Frontiers in Physiology 4. Available: http://www.frontiersin.org/fractal/ physiology/
- 10.3389/fphys.2013.00001/abstract.
- 18 5. R Core Team (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available: http://www.r-project.org/.
- 20 6. Gillespie CS (2015) Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The poweRlaw Package. Journal of Statistical
- 21 Software 64: 1–16. Available: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i02/.