# Analysis of critical transitions at the Global Forest

#### 2 Abstract

One of the most important changes produced in the biosphere is the replacement of forest areas with human dominated landscapes. These areas contain much less species that the natural ecosystem they replaced, and are one the main drivers of extinctions in the anthropocene. The forest cover also is also coupled to atmospheric dynamics and the balance of gases in the atmosphere. The dynamics of forest fragments or patches is central to its function as ecosystem. In different kinds of vegetation including forests the patch size distributions follow power laws or approximate power law, but all these patterns have been observed at relatively small scales. Here we studied the distribution of forest patch sizes at a global level and its changes in the last fourteen years. We hypothesize that the global forest patches should follow a power law distribution. Power laws are a signal of critical phase transitions, where the system changes suddenly of state at a critical point, in the case of forest patches this change implies an abrupt change in the connectivity that pose a risk on the functioning of forest as an habitat and as an ecosystem. Thus we calculate the distance of the global forest to a possible critical point as an early warning indicator.

# 15 Introduction

and contributing extensively to global biodiversity [1].

One of the main causes of biodiversity loss is habitat destruction or degradation that in turn leads to fragmentation: Fragmentation is defined as the division of a continous habitat into separated portions that

Forest is one of the most important ecosystems on earth providing habitat for a large proportion of species

fragmentation: Fragmentation is defined as the division of a continous habitat into separated portions that are smaller and more isolated. These habitat fragments are embedded in a matrix of antropogenic land uses. Ecosystems change in response to environmental changes, at a global scale these changes are being produced by human activities. The changes may be seen as gradual and can be forecasted by projecting recent trends, this may give the false impression that ecosystems are resilient to changes because they respond with small changes to environmental pressures (SEE LENTON 2013). Complex interaction between species and feedbacks at different levels of organization [???] can produce abrupt changes called critical transitions [???]. These produce abrupt state shifts that can not be linearly forecasted from past changes [???]. Critical transitions had been detected mostly at local scales [2,3], but the accumulation of changes in local communities that overlap geographically can propagate and cause an abrut change of the entire system [4], thus there exist a

possibility that a critical transition occurs at a global scale [5,6].

- 1 One of the most dramatic human induced changes is the replacement of 40% of Earth's formerly biodiverse
- 2 land areas with landscapes that contain only a few species of crop plants, domestic animals and humans
- <sup>3</sup> [???;] this is a global scale forcing.
- 4 Ecologists must search for universal principles [7], and one of these universal principles are scaling laws,
- 5 These scaling laws are a signal of the nonequilibrium conditions under which structures at different levels are
- created and how large-scale patterns are generated from local interactions.
- Power laws are associated with two properties: scale invariance and universality [8]
- 8 Most patterns in biological and ecological systems are produced by the agregation of many small processes,
- 9 thus the logical expectation is that they result in a Gaussian probability distribution according to the central
- limit theorem [9]. Thus the finding that patch distribution follows a scale-free power law distribution is
- surprising, if the small scale process are in fact correlated we also obtain a Gausian distribution, so we need
- more than correlation to obtain the scale free distribution.
- The importance of propagation of information and spatial dynamics -> study spatial signals power law
- 14 distributions -> Why forest cover is important
- 15 Both habitat fragmentation and population fragmentation are critical transitions. Tuning a control parameter
- we can find a critical value (hc or lc) at which the order parameter (P or n) declines abruptly to zero, the
- 17 combination of both processes is also a critical system only if fragmentation is a dynamical proces, that means
- that degraded patches can recover [???].
- 19 A random forest would have new exponential distribution unless is close to a critical state.
- 20 Besides in several systems the observation of power laws in the patch distribution is a signal of a sistem in a
- 21 critical state, undergoing a critical transition, in several ecosystems the distribution of vegetation patches
- 22 present a power law distribution in a healthy state. Deviation of the power law are observed when pressures
- 23 like overgrazing and desertification increase.
- Our objetive is to evaluate the forest patch distribution at a continental scale, to detect possible signals of a
- 25 global critical transition.
  - Why distribution of patches is important
- 27 One way to detect a global shift is to track power law distributions in forest patches

#### Methods

- MODIS VCF short explanation https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/dataset\_discovery/modis/modis\_products\_table/mod44b.
- 4 We choose mainland zones at a continental scale to analyze based in the posibility of connection, close patches
- of continous forest must connect the region, big islands like Madagascar were included as a separate regions,
- 6 smaller islands where not included, we considered big islands connected to the mainland when they are at 1
- <sub>7</sub> km or less distance away. With this criteria we defined three regions in America, one corresponding to South
- 8 America temperate forest (SAT), another to subtropical and tropical forest up to Mexico (SAST), and the
- 9 last covering USA and Canada forest (NA). Europe and north Asia were all connected and they are included
- in one region (EUS), the other regions are south Asia (SAS), Africa (AF), and Oceania (OC) that includes
- only australia (Appendix figure S1).
- 12 There are several definition of forest but we choose [10] A 30% threshold was used to convert the percentage
- tree cover to a binary image of forest and non-forest pixels [11]. Patches of contiguous forest were determined in
- the binary image by grouping connected pixels using a neighborhood of 8 forest units (Moore neighborhood).
- We set a minimal patch size  $(X_m in)$  at nine pixels to avoid artifacts at patch edges due to discretization.
- 16 We fitted the empirical distribution of forest patch areas to four distributions using maximum likelihood
- estimation [12,13]. The distributions were: power-law, power-law with exponential cut-off, log-normal, and
- exponential distributions. We assume that the patch size distribution is a continuous variable that was
- 19 discretized by remote sensing data acquisition procedure.
- Besides the hard  $X_{min}$  limit we set due to discretization, empirical distributions can show power-law behavior
- 21 at values above a lower bound that can be estimated by maximizing the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) statistic
- 22 comparing empirical to fitted cumulative distribution function [13].
- The corrected Akaike Information Criteria  $(AIC_c)$  and the Akaike weigher computed for each model
- <sup>24</sup> (Burnham & Anderson 2002). Akaike weights  $(w_i)$  are the weight of evidence in favor of model i being the
- 25 actual best model for the situation at hand given that one of the N models must be the best model for that
- set of N models.
- 27 Additionally, we computed the goodness of fit of the power-law and power-law with cut-off models following
- the bootstrap approach described by Clauset et. al [13], where simulated data sets following the fitted model
- 29 are generated, and a p-value equal to the proportion of simulated data sets that has a KS statistic less
- extreme than empirical data.

- <sup>1</sup> Image processing were done in MATLAB. All statistical analyses were done using the GNU R [14], using R
- 2 code provided by Cosma R. Shalizi for power law with exponential cutoff model and the poweRlaw package
- <sub>3</sub> [15] for fitting the other distributions.
- 4 To measure the distance to the critical point we estimate the correlation length, [define the correlation length]
- Estimation of the linear length of each region to compare with correlation length.

# 6 Results

### 7 Discussion

8 What are the consecuences of each distribution see [16].

### 9 References

- 1. Crowther TW, Glick HB, Covey KR, Bettigole C, Maynard DS, et al. (2015) Mapping tree density at
- a global scale. Nature 525: 201–205. Available: http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v525/n7568/full/
- nature14967.html.
- <sup>13</sup> 2. Carpenter SR, Cole JJ, Pace ML, Batt R, Brock WA, et al. (2011) Early Warnings of Regime Shifts: A
- Whole-Ecosystem Experiment. Science 332: 1079–1082. Available: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/332/
- 15 6033/1079.abstract.
- 3. Drake JM, Griffen BD (2010) Early warning signals of extinction in deteriorating environments. Nature 467:
- 456-459. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09389 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v467/n7314/abs/nature09
- 18 information.
- 4. Barnosky AD, Hadly EA, Bascompte J, Berlow EL, Brown JH, et al. (2012) Approaching a state shift in
- Earth's biosphere. Nature 486: 52–58. Available: http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v486/n7401/full/nature11018.html
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11018.
- 22 5. Rockstrom J, Steffen W, Noone K, Persson A, Chapin FS, et al. (2009) A safe operating space for
- 23 humanity. Nature 461: 472–475. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/461472a.
- 6. Folke C, Jansson Å, Rockström J, Olsson P, Carpenter SR, et al. (2011) Reconnecting to the Biosphere.
- <sup>25</sup> AMBIO 40: 719–738. Available: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13280-011-0184-y.

- 1 7. Harte J (2014) Research strategy: Ecology must seek universal principles. Nature 508: 458. Available:
- http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v508/n7497/full/508458b.html.
- 8. Marquet PA, Quiñones RA, Abades S, Labra F, Tognelli M, et al. (2005) Scaling and power-laws in
- 4 ecological systems. Journal of Experimental Biology 208: 1749–1769. Available: http://jeb.biologists.org/
- 5 content/208/9/1749.
- 6 9. Frank SA (2009) The common patterns of nature. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 22: 1563–1585.
- 7 Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01775.x.
- 8 10. Sexton JO, Noojipady P, Song X-P, Feng M, Song D-X, et al. (2015) Conservation policy and the
- 9 measurement of forests. Nature Climate Change advance on. Available: http://www.nature.com/nclimate/
- journal/vaop/ncurrent/full/nclimate2816.html.
- 11. Haddad NM, Brudvig LA, Clobert J, Davies KF, Gonzalez A, et al. (2015) Habitat fragmentation
- 12 and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Science Advances 1: e1500052—e11500052. Available:
- http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/sciadv.1500052.
- 12. Goldstein ML, Morris SA, Yen GG (2004) Problems with fitting to the power-law distribution. The
- <sup>15</sup> European Physical Journal B Condensed Matter and Complex Systems 41: 255–258. Available: http:
- 16 //link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjb/e2004-00316-5.
- 13. Clauset A, Shalizi C, Newman M (2009) Power-Law Distributions in Empirical Data. SIAM Review 51:
- 18 661–703. Available: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/070710111.
- 19 14. R Core Team (2015) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R
- Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available: http://www.r-project.org/.
- 21 15. Gillespie CS (2015) Fitting Heavy Tailed Distributions: The poweRlaw Package. Journal of Statistical
- 22 Software 64: 1–16. Available: http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i02/.
- 23 16. Rooij MMJW van, Nash B, Rajaraman S, Holden JG (2013) A Fractal Approach to Dynamic Inference and
- 24 Distribution Analysis. Frontiers in Physiology 4. Available: http://www.frontiersin.org/fractal/ physiology/
- 25 10.3389/fphys.2013.00001/abstract.