# Assignment\_3

# Lava Kumar

2022-10-19

### 1) Formulation and solving this transportation problem using R.

Loading all the required packages.

```
library("lpSolveAPI")

## Warning: package 'lpSolveAPI' was built under R version 4.1.3

library("lpSolve")

## Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.1.3

library("tinytex")
```

Creation of table as per mentioned in the question.

```
##
                  Warehouse1 Warehouse2 Warehouse3 ProductionCost
## PlantA
                             14
                                                    600
                  22
                                         30
## PlantB
                  16
                             20
                                         24
                                                    625
                             60
                                         70
## Monthly Demand 80
                  ProductionCapacity
## PlantA
                  100
## PlantB
                  120
## Monthly Demand -
```

The Objective Function is to Minimize the Transportation cost

$$Z = 622X_{11} + 614X_{12} + 630X_{13} + 0X_{14} + 641X_{21} + 645X_{22} + 649X_{23} + 0X_{24}$$

Subject to the following constraints

```
Supply Constraints
```

$$X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} + X_{14} \le 100$$

$$X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} + X_{24} <= 120$$

Demand Constraints

$$X_{11} + X_{21} >= 80$$

$$X_{12} + X_{22} >= 60$$

$$X_{13} + X_{23} > = 70$$

$$X_{14} + X_{24} > = 10$$

 $Non-Negativity\ Constraints$ 

$$X_{ij} >= 0$$
 Where i = 1,2 and j = 1,2,3,4

```
## Warehouse1 Warehouse2 Warehouse3 Dummy
## PlantA 622 614 630 0
## PlantB 641 645 649 0
```

```
#Defining the row signs and row values
row.signs <- rep("<=",2)
row.rhs <- c(100,120)
#Since it's supply function it cannot be greater than the specified units.
#Defining the column signs and column values
col.signs <- rep(">=",4)
col.rhs <- c(80,60,70,10)
#Since it's demand function it can be greater than the specified units.
#Running the lp.transport function
lptrans <- lp.transport(costs,"min",row.signs,row.rhs,col.signs,col.rhs)</pre>
```

```
#Getting the objective value lptrans$objval
```

## ## [1] 132790

The minimization value so obtained is \$132,790 This is the lowest total cost that may be obtained by including the costs of manufacturing and transporting the defibrillators.

# #Getting the constraints value lptrans\$solution

```
## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]

## [1,] 0 60 40 0

## [2,] 80 0 30 10

80X21 AEDs in Plant B - Warehouse1

60X12 AEDs in Plant A - Warehouse2

40X13 AEDs in Plant A - Warehouse3
```

30X23 AEDs in Plant B - Warehouse3 It should be produced at each factory and then transported to each of the three wholesaler warehouses in order to lower the overall cost of manufacture and transportation.X24 is a "throw-away variable" since "10" appears in the fourth variable.

### 2) Formulating the dual of the above transportation problem

Since the primal was to minimize the transportation cost the dual of it would be to maximize the value added(VA).

We are aware that the number of constants in dual is equal to the number of variables in primal. The primary of the LP is asked in the first question. We shall maximize in the dual because we choose to minimize in the primal. Let's utilize the dual problem's variables P and WH.

```
disdu <- matrix(c(622,614,630,100,"P_A",
641,645,649,120,"P_B",
80,60,70,220,"-",
"WH_1","WH_2","WH_3","-","-"),ncol=5,nrow=4,byrow=TRUE)
colnames(disdu) <- c("W1","W2","W3","Prod Cap","Supply (Dual)")
rownames(disdu) <- c("PlantA","PlantB","Monthly Demand","Demand (Dual)")
disdu <- as.table(disdu)
disdu</pre>
```

```
##
                                  Prod Cap Supply (Dual)
                             WЗ
                  W1
                        W2
## PlantA
                  622
                       614
                             630
                                  100
                                            P_A
## PlantB
                  641
                        645
                             649
                                  120
                                            P_B
## Monthly Demand 80
                        60
                             70
                                  220
## Demand (Dual) WH_1 WH_2 WH_3 -
```

Maximize 
$$VA = 80WH_1 + 60WH_2 + 70WH_3 - 100P_A - 120P_B$$

#### Subject to the following constraints

Total Payments Constraints
$$WH_1 - P_A >= 622$$

$$WH_2 - P_A >= 614$$

$$WH_3 - P_A >= 630$$

$$WH_1 - P_B >= 641$$
  
 $WH_2 - P_B >= 645$ 

$$WH_3 - P_B >= 649$$

Where 
$$WH_1 = W$$
 are house 1  
 $WH_2 = W$  are house 2  
 $WH_3 = W$  are house 3  
 $P_A = P$  lant 1  
 $P_B = P$  lant 2

### 3)Economic Interpretation of the dual

$$WH_1 \le 622 + P_A$$
  
 $WH_2 \le 614 + P_A$   
 $WH_3 \le 630 + P_A$   
 $WH_1 \le 641 + P_B$   
 $WH_2 \le 645 + P_B$   
 $WH_3 \le 649 + P_B$ 

From the above we get to see that  $WH_1 - P_A >= 622$ 

that can be exponented as  $WH_1 \le 622 + P_A$ 

Here  $WH_1$  is considered as the price payments being received at the origin which is nothing else, but the revenue, whereas  $P_A + 622$  is the money paid at the origin at  $Plant_A$ 

Therefore the equation turns, out to be  $MR_1 >= MC_1$ .

For a profit maximization, The Marginal Revenue(MR) should be equal to Marginal Costs(MC)

Therefore, 
$$MR_1 = MC_1$$

Based on above interpretation, we can conclude that, Profit maximization happens place if MC is equal to MR.

If MR < MC, to obtain the marginal revenue, we must reduce the costs at the plants (MR). If MR > MC, to obtain the Marginal Revenue (MR), we need to increase the production supply.