

Machine Learning

Lecture 5 – Odds and Logistic Regression

Dr SHI Lei



Last lecture


- Binary Classifier
- Performance Measures

Last Lecture

Binary Classifier

- Observed response y takes only two possible values $+$ and $-$
- Define relationship between $h(x)$ and y
- Use the decision rule: $\hat{y} = \begin{cases} +, & h(x) \geq t \\ -, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

	x				y	$h(x)$	\hat{y}
1					+	h_1	+
2					+	h_2	-
3					-	h_3	+
4					-	h_4	-
...						...	
n					-	h_n	+
...						...	



Last Lecture

Performance Measures

- Prediction Success (Confusion Matrix)

		actual	
		+	-
predicted	+	true positives	false positives
	-	false negatives	true negatives


- Precision, Sensitivity (Recall), Specificity

$$Pr = \frac{tp}{tp + fp} \quad Se = \frac{tp}{tp + fn} \quad Sp = \frac{tn}{tn + fp}$$

Last Lecture

Performance Measures

- ROC Curve (receiver operating characteristic curve)



It tells how much model is capable of distinguishing between classes.

Today

- Odds
- Logistic Regression

Odds

Odds

Odds, a numerical expression, expressed as a pair of numbers.


The **odds for** or **odds of** some *event* reflect the likelihood that the event will take place, while **odds against** reflect the likelihood that it will not.

An example ...

Odds

An example

We may say the **odds** in favour of students to graduate with 1st-class honours is 1 to 4:



Visually, there are 5 students total.

1 of them will graduate with 1st-class honours.

4 of them will graduate without 1st-class honours.


So, the odds are 1 to 4.

Odds

An example

We may say the **odds** in favour of students to graduate with 1st-class honours is 1 to 4:

Alternatively, we can write this as a **fraction** $\frac{1}{4} = 0.25$




Visually, we have one student who will graduate with 1st-class honours, divided by the 4 who will not.



NOTE: Odds are not probabilities.

Odds



NOTE: Odds are not probabilities.



odds

1 to 4

vs




probability

1 to 5


Odds

odds(success)




$$= \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

probability(success)



$$= \frac{1}{5} = 0.20$$

probability(unsuccess)




$$= \frac{4}{5} = 0.80$$

either...

probability(unsuccess) = 1 - probability(success) = 1 - $\frac{1}{5}$ = $\frac{4}{5}$ = 0.80


Odds

odds(success)




$$= \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

probability(success)



$$= \frac{1}{5} = 0.20 \quad p$$

probability(unsuccess)




$$= \frac{4}{5} = 0.80 \quad q$$

$$\frac{\text{probability(success)}}{\text{probability(unsuccess)}}$$

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{0.20}{0.80} = 0.25 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{p}{1-p} = \frac{1/\cancel{5}}{4/\cancel{5}} = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$


Odds

odds(success)




$$= \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

probability(success)



$$= \frac{1}{5} = 0.20$$

probability(unsuccess)




$$= \frac{4}{5} = 0.80$$

$$\frac{\text{probability(success)}}{\text{probability(unsuccess)}}$$

$$\frac{p}{q} = \frac{0.20}{0.80} = 0.25$$

or


$$\frac{p}{1-p} = \frac{1/\cancel{5}}{4/\cancel{5}} = \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$



Log of odds

$\log(\text{odds})$


$\text{odds}(\text{success})$



$$= \frac{1}{4} = 0.25$$

$\log(\text{odds})$


$\text{odds}(\text{success})$, if students were bad



$$= \frac{1}{8} = 0.125$$

log(odds)

odds(success), if students were the worst



$$= \frac{1}{32} = 0.031$$

$\log(\text{odds})$

Odds against success is between 0 and 1


log(odds)

odds(success), if students were good

$$\frac{\text{4 laurel wreaths}}{\text{3 scrolls}} = \frac{4}{3} = 1.3$$

$\log(\text{odds})$

odds(success), if students were really good



$$= \frac{32}{3} = 10.7$$



$\log(\text{odds})$


Odds in favour of success is between 1 and $+\infty$

$\log(\text{odds})$

Another way to look at this is with a number line


Odds(unsucc) go from 0 to 1

Odds(succ) go from 1 to $+\infty$



$\log(\text{odds})$

Taking the $\log()$ of the odds (i.e. $\log(\text{odds})$) solves this problem by making everything symmetrical.



e.g. If odds(success) 1 to 6, then
 $\log(\text{odds}) = \log(1/6) = \log(0.17) = -1.79$

If odds(success) 6 to 1, then
 $\log(\text{odds}) = \log(6/1) = \log(6) = 1.79$

Using the log function, the distance from the origin (or 0) is the same for 1 to 6 and 6 to 1 odds.

log(odds)

In Summary

The **odds** are the ratio of something happening to something not happening $\frac{p}{1-p}$


$$\log(\text{odds}) = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$$

logit function \longrightarrow The basis for **logistic regression**

Odds Ratios


Odds Ratios

$$Odds = \frac{\text{something happening}}{\text{something not happening}}$$




Odds Ratios

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{\text{something happening}}{\text{something not happening}}$$



$$\frac{\text{2 laurel wreaths}}{\text{4 diplomas}} = \frac{2}{4} = 0.5$$

Odds Ratios


Odds ratio




Odds Ratios



Odds Ratios



Odds Ratios



Odds Ratios

		Has Cancer		
		✓	✗	
Has the mutated gene	✓	23	117	140
	✗	6	210	216
		29	327	

Can we use odds ratio to determine if there is a **relationship** between the mutated gene and cancer? If someone has the mutated gene, are odds higher that they will get cancer?

Odds Ratios

		Has Cancer		
		✓	✗	
Has the mutated gene	✓	23	117	140
	✗	6	210	216
		29	327	

$$\frac{23}{117}$$

The odds that they have cancer, if a person has the mutated gene.

$$\frac{6}{210}$$

The odds that they have cancer, if a person hasn't the mutated gene.

Odds Ratios

		Has Cancer		
		✓	✗	
Has the mutated gene	✓	23	117	140
	✗	6	210	216
		29	327	

$$\frac{\frac{23}{117}}{\frac{6}{210}} = \frac{0.2}{0.03} = 6.88 \quad \text{odds ratio}$$

$$\log(6.88) = 1.93 \quad \log(\text{odds ratio})$$

Larger values mean that the mutated gene is a good predictor of cancer. Smaller values mean that the mutated gene is not a good predictor of cancer.


Today

- Odds
- Logistic Regression

Logistic Regression


Logistic Regression

- is similar to **Linear Regression**, except...




Logistic Regression

- predicts **True / False**




Logistic Regression

- fits an “S” shaped “**logistic function**”



Logistic Regression

- is used for **classification / prediction**



Logistic Regression

- like with Linear Regression, we can make simple models:

Exam result is predicted by **coursework mark**

- or more complicated models:

Exam result is predicted by **coursework mark**

+ lecture attendance

+ ~~is sunny~~


+ went to party

} continuous data

} discrete data

Logistic Regression


- Logistic Regression provides probabilities and classifies new samples using **continuous** and **discrete** measurements.
- A popular machine learning method.



Logistic Regression


- Logistic Regression vs Linear Regression

How the line is fit to the data




Logistic Regression

Linear Regression




Logistic Regression

Does not have concept of a “Residual”, so it cannot use least squares and cannot calculate R^2 .




Logistic Regression

How is this squiggle optimised to fit the data the best? - **Maximum Likelihood**




Logistic Regression



Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle



Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle


The only problem...

The transformation pushes the raw data to

The residuals are equal to $+\infty$ and $-\infty$

So, we cannot use least-squares
to find the best fitting line ☹️

But we can use **maximum likelihood** 😊





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(\text{odds})}}{1 + e^{\log(\text{odds})}}$$





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log(\text{odds})$$





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log(\text{odds})$$





How to convert the equation...

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log(odds)$$

Input: probability

Output: $\log(odds)$





to

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(odds)}}{1 + e^{\log(odds)}}$$

Input: $\log(odds)$

Output: probability



How to convert the equation...

$$\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right) = \log(odds)$$





$$\frac{p}{1-p} = e^{\log(odds)}$$

$$p = (1-p)e^{\log(odds)} = e^{\log(odds)} - pe^{\log(odds)}$$

$$p + pe^{\log(odds)} = e^{\log(odds)}$$

$$p(1 + e^{\log(odds)}) = e^{\log(odds)}$$

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(odds)}}{1 + e^{\log(odds)}}$$





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(\text{odds})}}{1 + e^{\log(\text{odds})}}$$



Logistic Regression


To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(\text{odds})}}{1 + e^{\log(\text{odds})}}$$

$$p = \frac{e^{-2.1}}{1 + e^{-2.1}}$$

$$p = 0.1$$





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:

$$p = \frac{e^{\log(\text{odds})}}{1 + e^{\log(\text{odds})}}$$





Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Then, transform the candidate $\log(\text{odds})$ to candidate probabilities using:


$$p = \frac{e^{\log(\text{odds})}}{1 + e^{\log(\text{odds})}}$$



Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Now, calculate the likelihood.




Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Now, calculate the likelihood.

Likelihood that these students will pass the exam

$$= 0.75 \times 0.9 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.92$$




Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle

Now, calculate the likelihood.

Likelihood that these students will pass the exam
 $= 0.75 \times 0.9 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.92$

Likelihood that these students will not pass the exam
 $= (1-0.01) \times (1-0.01) \times (1-0.01) \times (1-0.02) \times (1-0.03) \times (1-0.8)$



Logistic Regression

To draw the "best fitting" squiggle


Now, calculate the likelihood.

Likelihood of data given the squiggle

$$= 0.75 \times 0.9 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.91 \times 0.92 \times$$

$$(1-0.01) \times (1-0.01) \times (1-0.01) \times (1-0.02) \times (1-0.03) \times (1-0.8)$$

$$= 0.086$$



Logistic Regression

Likelihood

Will Pass

Exam mark

Will Not Pass

Curve with maximum likelihood

10

20

30

40

50

60


70

80

90

100

Coursework mark



Questions about Assignment