

1 Syntax

The minimal syntax, may extend someday.

| | | |
|-------------------|-----|--|
| <i>letter</i> | ::= | a..z A..Z |
| <i>ident</i> | ::= | <i>letter</i> { <i>letter</i> } |
| <i>term</i> | ::= | forall <i>binder</i> { <i>binder</i> }, <i>term</i> fun { <i>binder</i> } => <i>term</i> fix <i>fix_body</i> let <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> := <i>term</i> in <i>term</i> <i>term</i> -> <i>term</i> <i>term</i> arg { <i>arg</i> } match <i>term</i> with { <i>equation</i> } end <i>sort</i> (<i>term</i>) |
| <i>arg</i> | ::= | <i>term</i> |
| <i>binder</i> | ::= | <i>name</i> : <i>term</i> |
| <i>name</i> | ::= | — <i>ident</i> |
| <i>sort</i> | ::= | Prop Set Type |
| <i>fix_body</i> | ::= | <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> := <i>term</i> |
| <i>equation</i> | ::= | <i>pattern</i> => <i>term</i> |
| <i>pattern</i> | ::= | <i>ident</i> { <i>name</i> } |
| <i>sentence</i> | ::= | <i>axiom</i> <i>definition</i> <i>inductive</i> <i>fixpoint</i> <i>assertion proof</i> |
| <i>axiom</i> | ::= | Axiom <i>ident</i> : <i>term</i> . |
| <i>definition</i> | ::= | Definition <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> := <i>term</i> . |
| <i>inductive</i> | ::= | Inductive <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> := { <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> } . |
| <i>assertion</i> | ::= | Theorem <i>ident</i> { <i>binder</i> } : <i>term</i> . |
| <i>proof</i> | ::= | Proof . { <i>tactic</i> .} Qed . |

| | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---|
| <i>tactic</i> | ::= | <i>applying</i> |
| | | <i>context_managing</i> |
| | | <i>case_analyzing</i> |
| | | <i>rewriting</i> |
| | | <i>computing</i> |
| | | <i>equality</i> |
| <i>applying</i> | ::= | <i>exact term</i> |
| | | <i>apply term [in ident]</i> |
| <i>context_managing</i> | ::= | <i>intro [ident]</i> |
| | | <i>intros</i> |
| <i>case_analyzing</i> | ::= | <i>destruct term</i> |
| | | <i>induction term</i> |
| <i>rewriting</i> | ::= | <i>rewrite [<- ->] term [in term]</i> |
| <i>computing</i> | ::= | <i>simpl</i> |
| <i>equality</i> | ::= | <i>reflexivity</i> |
| | | <i>symmetry</i> |
| <i>helper</i> | ::= | <i>printing</i> |
| | | <i>proof_handling</i> |
| <i>printing</i> | ::= | <i>Print ident .</i> |
| | | <i>Check term .</i> |
| <i>proof_handling</i> | ::= | <i>Undo .</i> |
| | | <i>Restart .</i> |
| | | <i>Admitted .</i> |
| | | <i>Abort .</i> |

2 Calculus

2.1 Term

1. Set, Prop are terms.
2. Variables x, y , etc., are terms.
3. Constants c, d , etc., are terms.
4. If x is a variable and T, U are terms, then $\forall x : T, U$ is a term.
5. If x is a variable and T, u are terms, then $\lambda x : T. u$ is a term.
6. If x and u are terms, then $(t \ u)$ is a term.
7. If x is a variable and t, T, u are terms, then $\text{let } x := t : T \text{ in } u$ is a term.

2.2 Typing Rule

2.2.1 Notation

- $\mathcal{S} : \{\text{Prop}, \text{Set}\}$.
- E : global environment.
- Γ : local context.
- $u\{x/t\}$: substitute free occurrence of variable x to term t in term u .
- $\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma]$: E is well-formed and Γ is valid in E .

2.2.2 Typing Rules

| | |
|--|---------------|
| $\mathcal{WF}([\])$ | (T-EMPTY) |
| $\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T : s \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad x \notin \Gamma}{\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma :: (x : T)]}$ | (T-LOCAL-AX) |
| $\frac{E[\] \vdash t : T \quad c \notin E}{\mathcal{WF}(E : c := t : T)}$ | (T-LOCAL-DEF) |
| $\frac{\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma] \quad (x : T) \in \Gamma}{E[\Gamma] \vdash x : T}$ | (T-VAR1) |
| $\frac{\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma] \quad (x := t : T) \in \Gamma}{E[\Gamma] \vdash x : T}$ | (T-VAR2) |
| $\frac{\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma] \quad (c : T) \in E}{E[\Gamma] \vdash c : T}$ | (T-CONST1) |
| $\frac{\mathcal{WF}(E)[\Gamma] \quad (c := t : T) \in E}{E[\Gamma] \vdash c : T}$ | (T-CONST2) |
| $\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T : s \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad E[\Gamma :: (x : T)] \vdash U : \text{Prop}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x : T, U : \text{Prop}}$ | (T-PROD-PROP) |
| $\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash T : s \quad s \in \mathcal{S} \quad E[\Gamma :: (x : T)] \vdash U : \text{Set}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x : T, U : \text{Set}}$ | (T-PROD-SET) |
| $\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall x : T, U : s \quad E[\Gamma :: (x : T)] \vdash t : U}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \lambda x : T. t : \forall x : T, U}$ | (T-ABS) |

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \forall \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{T} \quad E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{U}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash (\mathbf{t} \ \mathbf{u}) : \mathbf{T}\{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{u}\}} \quad (\text{T-APP}) \\
\\
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T} \quad E[\Gamma :: (\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T})] \vdash \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{U}}{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text{let } \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T} \text{ in } \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{U}\{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{t}\}} \quad (\text{T-LET})
\end{array}$$

2.3 Conversion Rule

2.3.1 Notation

- $E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t} \triangleright \mathbf{u} : \mathbf{t}$ reduces to \mathbf{u} in E, Γ with one of the $\beta, \iota, \delta, \zeta$ reductions.
- $E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t} \triangleright^* \mathbf{u} : E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t} \triangleright \dots \triangleright \mathbf{u}$.
- $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{u}$ and \mathbf{v} are identical.

2.3.2 Conversion Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash (\lambda \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{T}. \mathbf{t}) \ \mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{t}\{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{u}\}} \quad (\beta\text{-CONV}) \\
\\
\frac{\text{case}((\mathbf{c}_p \ \mathbf{q}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{q}_r \ \mathbf{a}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_m), \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{f}_1 | \dots | \mathbf{f}_n)}{f_i \ \mathbf{a}_1 \ \dots \ \mathbf{a}_m} \quad (\iota\text{-CONV}) \\
\\
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{x} \quad (\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T}) \in \Gamma}{\mathbf{t}} \quad (\delta\text{-CONV1}) \\
\\
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{c} \quad (\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{t} : \mathbf{T}) \in E}{\mathbf{t}} \quad (\delta\text{-CONV2}) \\
\\
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \text{let } \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{u} \text{ in } \mathbf{t}}{\mathbf{t}\{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{u}\}} \quad (\zeta\text{-CONV}) \\
\\
\frac{E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t} : \forall \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{T}, \mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{x} \text{ fresh in } \mathbf{t}}{\lambda \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{T}. (\mathbf{t} \ \mathbf{x})} \quad (\eta\text{-EXP})
\end{array}$$

Definition 1 (Convertibility). \mathbf{t}_1 and \mathbf{t}_2 are convertible iff there exists \mathbf{u}_1 and \mathbf{u}_2 such that $E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t}_1 \triangleright^* \mathbf{u}_1$ and $E[\Gamma] \vdash \mathbf{t}_2 \triangleright^* \mathbf{u}_2$ and either $\mathbf{u}_1 \equiv \mathbf{u}_2$ or they are convertible up to η -expansion.

2.4 Inductive Definition

References

- [1] The Coq Development Team. *The Coq Proof Assistant Reference Manual*, 8.7.2 edition, February 2018.