



LARGE SYNOPTIC SURVEY TELESCOPE

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST)
Data Management

Options for Alert Production in LSST Operations Year 1

M. L. Graham et al., and the DM SST

DMTN-107

Latest Revision: 2019-02-04

DRAFT

Abstract

DRAFT. This document provides a review of the options for LSST alert production during the first year of operations. The **preliminary** recommendation is to generate template images from commissioning data and use them for alert production in year 1. **Future work** would include a more thorough and quantitative assessment of the scientific impacts of the various options.

Draft

Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Owner name
0	2019-02-04	Internal working document.	Melissa Graham

Document source location:

Draft

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Relevant Requirements, Specifications, and Plans	1
2.1 Associated requirements and plans to also consider.	2
3 Potential Solutions	3
3.1 Do Nothing	4
3.2 Commissioning Data Templates	5
3.3 Continuous Template Generation	6
3.4 Image-Image Differencing	7
3.5 Catalog Differencing	7
4 Recommendations	8

Options for Alert Production in LSST Operations Year 1

1 Introduction

Template images that are built from a survey's previously-obtained images are necessary in order to perform Difference Imaging Analysis (DIA) on all new images, and to produce alerts on transient, variable, and moving objects. However, during LSST operations year 1 (LOY1) there will not be many, or any, previously-obtained images from which to build templates. Furthermore, template generation is planned to occur during data release processing, which is scheduled to happen after 0.5 years, 1 year, and then on a yearly basis thereafter. Thus, as it currently stands, DIA in prompt processing and alert production would only be able to start at the beginning of LOY2.

The goal of this document is to:

1. review any and all formal requirements regarding the timescale for alert production during LSST operations (§ 2),
2. propose and assess all possible approaches to alert production in LOY1 (§ 3), and
3. to make a recommendation on which option should be adopted (§ 4).

2 Relevant Requirements, Specifications, and Plans

Requirements regarding alert production – The Science Requirements Document [LPM-17] states that the prompt processing *"data products are generated continuously every observing night, including alerts to objects that have changed brightness or position"*, which could be construed as a requirement that alerts be generated during *all* observing nights, including LOY1 — but this is unlikely to be the *intent* of that statement. The rest of the requirements documents do not contain any relevant statements about when alert production can or must begin [LSE-29, LSE-30, LSE-61].

DM plans regarding alert production – The Data Products Definition Document describes the content of the alert packets, which includes *at least* a 12 month history and cutouts of the

template image (Section 3.5.1, LSE-163). The DPDD does not make any relevant statements about when alert production can or must begin.

DM plans regarding template generation – The production of template images (TemplateCoadds) is planned to occur only during the ~yearly Data Release processing (Section 5, LDM-151). Data Release 1 (DR1) is projected to occur halfway through, and DR2 at the end of, LOY1. Thus, DR2 will be the first release to cover the full LSST sky area. Since template images are required in order to do DIA and generate alerts, before DR1 there are no templates and thus no alerts. During the last six months of LOY1 there can only be alerts in regions of the sky covered by DR1, and all-sky alert production cannot start until after DR1. This is echoed in the Plans and Policies for Alert Generation and Distribution document, which states that *"Due to the need for Data-Release-derived templates, Alert Production cannot run at full scale and full fidelity during commissioning and the first year of operations"* (Section 2.2.1, LDM-612).

The Data Management Science Pipelines Design document specifies that in order to use a template image in DIA, it must satisfy one of two conditions. It must either (1) match the position and spatial extent of the new image and, due to differential chromatic refraction (DCR), have been generated from images with a similar airmass and parallactic angle, or (2) generated with the inclusion of a model that accounts for DCR [LDM-151]. In this way, the mode of template production — whether it uses airmass-matched exposures or uses a model to correct for DCR — may further limit the area of sky with viable templates in LOY1.

2.1 Associated requirements and plans to also consider.

- LSE-61 requires that the range of observing epochs which may contribute to a template image is limited to no more than 1 year. This is related to the issue at hand, but does not pose a potential problem because creating template images from < 1 year's worth of epochs is not in violation of this requirement.
- Related to the above, LDM-151 states that TemplateCoadd images should be within a default 2 years of the current CalExp image which is about to undergo DIA (Section 3.2.3 of [7]). This does not pose a problem since any template used in DIA during LOY1 will most likely have been obtained within 2 years anyway.
- LSE-61 requires that the variability characterization parameters included in an alert packet shall include data collected during *at least* the past year. In LOY1, releasing variability characterization parameters in the alerts that have been calculated with < 1 year

templateMaxTimespan
DMS-REQ-0280

diaCharacterization-Cutoff
DMS-REQ-0319

of data would, technically, be in violation of this requirement.

3 Potential Solutions

The potential solutions considered herein are:

1. Do nothing. Do not generate alerts in LOY1.
2. Build templates with commissioning data. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.
3. Build templates continuously (e.g., weekly, monthly) from data as it is obtained in LOY1. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.
4. Do not build templates. Instead, use image-image differencing to generate alerts if and when a prior image exists for a field.
5. Do not build templates. Do not use DIA. Generate alerts for all new or cataloged point sources which have changed in brightness by more than some threshold ("catalog differencing").

These are each discussed in more detail in the following sections. The main aspects of each option to consider are outlined in the following table:

Scope	Does the option require an expansion of scope in terms of DMs plans for software and/or processing?
Risks	Does the option impose any risks on the observatory or DM systems?
Requirements	Does the option formally violate any written requirements or specifications?
Consistency	Does the option cause a deviation of the content and/or format of the template images and/or alerts in LOY1 compared to the rest of the survey?
Science	Does the option maximize time-domain science in LOY1?

Regarding the last category of maximizing time-domain science in LOY1, we have generally considered this to mean maximizing the number of alerts produced which are similar in na-

ture to an "ideal" alert, with proper difference fluxes with which a light curve could be generated. Other metrics for "maximizing time-domain science in LOY1" could be considered in more detail, perhaps quantitatively with estimates and projections, such as:

- the average number of alerts per visit, or per night
- the number of identified transients per unit volume surveyed
- the total number of transients, variable stars, and/or moving objects identified (e.g., *broker classified* supernovae, MOPS-submitted orbits)
- the total number of papers, or highest impact, or citation count, or nature publications
- the total number of happy astronomers

3.1 Do Nothing

Do nothing. Do not generate alerts in LOY1.

Scope	✓	No expansion of scope.
Risks	✓	No risk for the observatory or data management systems (except for the missed opportunity of, e.g., QA or science verification of AP).
Requirements	✓	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	?	Could impose a large down-time on brokers.
Science	✗	No science from alerts in LOY1.

Consistency – After alerts from commissioning are released — which themselves might have a large latency, a slightly different format (e.g., less history), and/or a different release mechanism (e.g., downloadable tarballs made available with a large latency instead of a stream) — not releasing alerts in LOY1 might be a big down-time for brokers (assuming they are ready to deploy at the start of LOY1). This might be detrimental to their progress, and interrupt their own commissioning efforts which, if delayed to LOY2, could further inhibit science in time-domain astronomy beyond LOY1.

3.2 Commissioning Data Templates

Build templates with commissioning data. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.

Scope	?	Potential minor increase in scope regarding optimizing the commissioning surveys for template-building, and template and alert generation.
Risks	✓	No risk for the observatory or data management systems.
Requirements	✓	Acceptable minor violation of requirement that variability characterization parameters are based on data from at least one year.
Consistency	✓	Would produce alerts similar to the high-latency commissioning alert packets, and similar to the alerts from LOY2 and beyond.
Science	?	Enables some science from alerts in LOY1, but only in areas overlapping with the commissioning survey.

Scope – This option may lead to a small increase in scope of commissioning by introducing the opportunity to optimize the commissioning survey areas for template-building (e.g., prioritize contiguous regions at mid-latitudes), and these options would have to be studied, debated, and decided on. This option may also lead to small increases in the scope of DM, with regards to the processing of commissioning and LOY1 data. It is likely, but not guaranteed, that DM would create template images from commissioning data as part of the commissioning process for the scientific validation of the science pipelines which create difference images, perform DIA, and generate alerts. It is also likely, but not guaranteed, that DM would generate alert packets from the commissioning data and distribute them to community brokers for their own commissioning needs. However, it is also likely that this commissioning alert distribution would proceed with high latencies and via a non-streaming mechanism (e.g., making a tarball available for download).

Science – The full science impact will depend on the area covered by commissioning and the method by which template images are generated for DIA. In terms of area, it would be possible to obtain at least one image of every visible field (i.e., up to half the southern sky) in a single filter just a few days, and in all six filters *ugrizy* in ~ 18 days (weather permitting). This would give DIA access to that entire region of sky, unless the template generation method requires images at a range of airmass, in which case a commissioning survey with either a > 1

month duration or a smaller area would be needed.

3.3 Continuous Template Generation

Build templates continuously (e.g., weekly, monthly) as data is obtained in LOY1. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.

Scope	×	Expansion of scope: FTE would need to be allotted to decisions about template-building, and to the additional processing.
Risks	?	Potentially some risk for the DMS, in terms of load on the computational resources.
Requirements	✓	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	?	The area and volume surveyed, and template epochs, would be constantly changing.
Science	?	Enables some science from alerts in LOY1, depending on the templates' area and epoch compared to new images.

Scope – Since the current DM processing plans are to build templates once during the yearly data release processing effort, it would be a considerable expansion of scope to "continuously" (e.g., on a weekly or monthly timescale) evaluate recently obtained data and generate and validate templates when possible. An acceptable "buffer period" — a minimum amount of time before an image may be used as a template in DIA — will have to be scientifically assessed, defined, and implemented.

Consistency – With template images being created from < 1 year's worth of images, some of which being very recently obtained, they cannot be guaranteed to be "transient free". This changes the meaning of the difference flux reported in the alerts, especially for long-lived transients. Furthermore, brokers might encounter issues when attempting to analyze DIA data products from template images that are constantly being updated.

Science – Essentially the same concerns as the "Commissioning Data Templates" option, plus more. Decisions about a buffer period (e.g., 2 months) would have to be made and imposed for DIA during LOY1, and might limit the detectability of longer-lived transients. Decisions about the LOY1 survey strategy would also require additional simulations, analysis, and de-

bate regarding whether it should be optimized to, e.g., build as many templates as soon as possible (i.e., prioritize observations of new fields), or produce as many alerts as possible (i.e., prioritize observations of fields with templates). This plan would have to be well communicated so that the science community could, e.g., optimize their plans for follow-up as the average number of alerts per night increases as more templates are made.

3.4 Image-Image Differencing

Do not build templates. Instead, use image-image differencing to generate alerts if and when a prior image exists for a field.

Scope	x	Expansion of scope: the DIA pipeline would need modification, testing, and validation.
Risks	?	Potentially some risk for the DMS, as it requires development of software unbound by formal requirements and might impose additional loads on the computational resources.
Requirements	?	Does not violate any formal requirements, but production of image-image differences is also not bound by any formal requirements.
Consistency	x	The alert contents such as difference flux (and associated parameters) would be contaminated for longer-lived transients, and it is unclear whether real/bogus would need retraining.
Science	x	Likely to enable science from alerts in LOY1 over most of the surveyed area, but no scientifically usable light curves.

This option is probably only feasible if the survey adopts fixed fields, such that the image-image overlap area is a substantial fraction.

3.5 Catalog Differencing

Do not build templates. Do not use DIA. Generate alerts for all new or cataloged point sources which have changed in brightness by more than some threshold ("catalog differencing").

Scope	×	Expansion of scope: new software would have to be developed to generate alerts from single-visit image source catalogs.
Risks	?	Probably no risk, as source association and thresholding is likely to be less computationally intensive than DIA.
Requirements	?	Does not violate any formal requirements, but "catalog differencing" is also not bound by any formal requirements.
Consistency	×	The alert contents such as difference flux (and associated parameters) or real/bogus scores would not be available.
Science	?	Likely to enable at least some science from alerts in LOY1 over most of the surveyed area, but no scientifically usable light curves.

4 Recommendations

Preliminarily, the biggest potential science payoff with the smallest expansion in scope appears to be to use commissioning data to generate template images once, at the start of LOY1, and then use them in the first half of LOY1. Reprocessing all available images to create a new set of templates would occur as part of data release processing for DR1 at 0.5 years, and those would be used for the second half of LOY1. The commissioning survey — and the survey strategy for the first half of LOY1 — should be optimized to build template images by (1) covering as wide an area as possible, and/or (2) collecting images at a range of airmass *if* the adopted DCR correction method for DIA will require them.

References

- [1] **[LDM-612]**, Bellm, E., co authors, 2018, *Plans and Policies for LSST Alert Distribution*, LDM-612, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-612>
- [2] **[LSE-29]**, Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2017, *LSST System Requirements (LSR)*, LSE-29, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-29>
- [3] **[LSE-30]**, Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2018, *Observatory System Specifications (OSS)*, LSE-30, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-30>
- [4] **[LSE-61]**, Dubois-Felsmann, G., Jenness, T., 2018, *LSST Data Management Subsystem Requirements*, LSE-61, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-61>

- [5] **[LPM-17]**, Ivezić, Ž., The LSST Science Collaboration, 2018, *LSST Science Requirements Document*, LPM-17, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-17>
- [6] **[LSE-163]**, Jurić, M., et al., 2017, *LSST Data Products Definition Document*, LSE-163, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-163>
- [7] **[LDM-151]**, Swinbank, J.D., et al., 2017, *Data Management Science Pipelines Design*, LDM-151, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-151>

Draft