



LARGE SYNOPTIC SURVEY TELESCOPE

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST)

# Options for Alert Production in LSST Operations Year 1

M. L. Graham et al., and the DM SST

DMTN-107

Latest Revision: 2019-03-06

**DRAFT**

## Abstract

**THIS IS A DRAFT FOR INTERNAL DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY. PLEASE DISREGARD.**

This document reviews the options for alert production in LSST Operations Year 1 (LOY1). We assess these options' feasibility in terms of the scope, risk, and formal requirements of the observatory and the Data Management System (DMS), their ability to provide consistent data products to the community, and their potential scientific impact. This study finds that the option for LOY1 alert production which maximizes science, minimizes risk, and engenders the smallest potential expansion of scope is for LSST Data Management (DM) to generate template images from as much of the commissioning data as possible ( $\sim 2000 \text{ deg}^2$ ), prior to the start of Operations, and use them to run DIA and alert production during LOY1. A modest proposal to increase the sky area covered by commissioning-data templates in at least a single filter to  $\gtrsim 10,000 \text{ deg}^2$  via a "filler" scheduler program is presented. This study also finds that building templates on a  $\sim$ monthly basis during LOY1 could increase the accessible sky area by  $\sim 1000\text{-}2000 \text{ deg}^2$  per month, and recommends this moderate up-scope option be revisited by DM at the start of Operations.

## Change Record

Version	Date	Description	Owner name
0	2019-03-06	Internal working document.	Melissa Graham

*Document source location:*

Draft

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 The Relevant DM Requirements, Specifications, and Plans</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 Alert Production . . . . .	2
2.2 Alert Packet Contents . . . . .	2
2.3 Template Generation . . . . .	3
<b>3 Options for Alert Production in LOY1</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Do Nothing . . . . .	6
3.2 Commissioning Data Templates . . . . .	8
3.2.1 Prioritize Single-Filter Template-Building During Commissioning . . . . .	10
3.3 LOY1 Data Templates . . . . .	12
3.4 Image-Image Differencing . . . . .	14
3.5 Catalog Differencing . . . . .	16
<b>4 Summary and Recommendations</b>	<b>17</b>

# Options for Alert Production in LSST Operations Year 1

## 1 Introduction

One of the four science pillars of LSST is time-domain astronomy, and alerts on LSST detections of transient, variable, and/or moving objects are the only data product that will be immediately available (within 60 seconds of image readout) and publicly shareable (not subject to a proprietary period; LSE-163). The worldwide community is — and has been for a while — actively preparing to process the LSST alert stream and use it to generate groundbreaking science results, and the very first year of LSST operations (LOY1) will be a critical time.

Alerts are produced by the LSST Prompt pipelines via Difference Imaging Analysis (DIA), which creates difference images by subtracting a template image from each new image, and then identifies sources in the difference image. Template images are not just any other image of the same field; they are generated by stacking previous images in such a way as to "remove" the flux of any transient or moving objects and "standardize" the flux of variable objects. Using deep stacks as a template also minimizes the amount of noise that it contributes to the difference image (e.g., DMTN-006, DMTN-021). For the LSST, template images will either be generated for a range of airmass, or be subjected to a model correction for airmass, in order to mitigate the effects of differential chromatic refraction (DCR; DMTN-037).

During LOY1 there will not be many images from which to build templates. The current plans for commissioning would enable template generation over  $\sim 10\%$  of the wide-fast-deep (WFD) survey area [LSE-79]. During operations, images for template generation will be generated during the annual Data Release (DR) processing, which is scheduled to begin at 0.5 years (DR1), at 1 year (DR2), and on a yearly basis thereafter. It is currently unclear how DIA in Prompt processing — and thus alert production — could or should proceed in LOY1. The ability of the Data Management System (DMS) to produce alerts in  $\sim 10\%$  of the WFD survey area depends on the commissioning data, and the ability to increase that area depends on the processing latency for DR1, which might not finish until the end of LOY1.

**Therefore, role of this document** is to review the formal requirements regarding alert production during LSST operations (§ 2), propose and assess all possible approaches to alert production in LOY1 (§ 3), and recommend an approach to adopt (§ 4).

## 2 The Relevant DM Requirements, Specifications, and Plans

As described below there are few formal requirements regarding alert production during LSST Operations. This leaves the DM team open to pursue options for LOY1. Some documents do contain relevant information about the alert packet contents and template image generation, which are also described below.

### 2.1 Alert Production

The Science Requirements Document [LPM-17] states that the prompt processing *"data products are generated continuously every observing night, including alerts to objects that have changed brightness or position"*, which could be construed as a requirement that alerts be generated during *all* observing nights, including LOY1 — but this is unlikely to be the intent of that statement. The rest of the DM-related documentation does not contain any relevant statements about when alert production can or must begin [LSE-29, LSE-30, LSE-61, LSE-163, LDM-151].

There are formal requirements on the fraction of science visits which experience delayed and failed alert production, but these only apply when alert production is *possible* for a visit (i.e., when a suitable template exists).

sciVisitAlertDelay  
sciVisitAlertFailure  
OSS-REQ-0112

There are also formal requirements that the DMS derive and supply threshold values for a spuriousness parameter (a real/bogus score for each difference image source), which can be used to filter the alert stream into a subsample of transient and variable objects with a given completeness and purity<sup>1</sup>. Substantial changes to the template images (i.e., in depth or stacking algorithm) may require that the real/bogus algorithm be retrained, and the spuriousness threshold parameters be reevaluated, in order to meet these formal requirements on the DMS.

transSampleSNR  
transCompleteness-  
Min  
transPurityMin  
OSS-REQ-0353

### 2.2 Alert Packet Contents

The Data Products Definition Document [LSE-163] specifies that the alert packets include *at least* a 12 month history, and cutouts of the template and difference images (Section 3.5.1). The 12 month history is not a true requirement, and should be interpreted as applying only

<sup>1</sup>See also OSS-REQ-0354 for the required parameters for a subsample of transient and variable objects [LSE-30].

after 12 months of LSST operations. Alerts issued without image cutouts would not violate any requirements, but would be a non-standard packet.

LSE-61 contains a formal requirement that the variability characterization parameters in an alert packet include data collected during *at least* the past year. In LOY1, releasing variability characterization parameters in the alerts that have been calculated with  $< 1$  year of data would, technically, be in violation of this requirement. However, that is unavoidable no matter which option for alert production in LOY1 is adopted.

diaCharacterization-  
Cutoff  
DMS-REQ-0319

## 2.3 Template Generation

There are formal requirements on the depth of a template image to be used in DIA, which are expressed as constraints on the fraction of the noise that a template may contribute to the difference image: 40% in year 1, and 20% thereafter (i.e., the noise in a LOY1 template can be  $\sim 2\times$  greater than that of a template used after year 1). Where noise  $\propto t^{-0.5}$ , this indicates that the total integration time of a LOY1 template can be  $\sim 25\%$  that of templates used after year 1. Since the templates from year 2 and later will be coadds of  $\sim 1$  year's worth of data ( $\sim 18$  images in  $r$ - and  $i$ -bands), at least 5 images per field (per filter) will be required to build templates for LOY1.

OSS-REQ-0158  
templateNoise-  
LevelY1  
templateNoise-  
LevelY2

There are two additional template-related policies which are relevant to this discussion, but which do not pose any potential problems for alert production options in LOY1. The first is that LSE-61 requires that the range of epochs which may contribute to a template image is limited to no more than 1 year. This does not pose a potential problem to LOY1 alerts because creating template images from  $< 1$  year's worth of epochs is not in violation of this requirement. The second is that LDM-151 states that TemplateCoadd images should be within a default 2 years of the current CalExp image which is about to undergo DIA (Section 3.2.3 of [13]). This does not pose a problem since any template used in DIA during LOY1 will have been obtained within 2 years.

templateMaxTimes-  
pan  
DMS-REQ-0280

During LSST Operations the production of template images (TemplateCoadds) is planned to occur during Data Release processing (Section 5, LDM-151); however,  $\sim 10\%$  of the WFD main survey area may have template images from commissioning (Section 6.6 in LSE-79; see also § 3.2). Data Release 1 (DR1) is projected to begin on the first six months of data halfway through LOY1, and DR2 to begin on the first 12 months of data at the end of LOY1. Processing is not instantaneous and could take months. This is echoed in the Plans and Policies for Alert

Generation and Distribution document, which states that *"Due to the need for Data-Release-derived templates, Alert Production cannot run at full scale and full fidelity during commissioning and the first year of operations"* (Section 2.2.1, LDM-612).

The method of template generation might also influence the quantity of alerts that could be produced in LOY1. LDM-151 specifies that in order to use a template image in DIA, it must satisfy one of two conditions in order to mitigate the effects of differential chromatic refraction (DCR). It must either (1) match the position and spatial extent of the new image and have been generated from images with a similar airmass and parallactic angle, *or* (2) have been generated with the inclusion of a model that accounts for DCR [LDM-151]. The former would be more restrictive on the area of sky with viable templates for use in LOY1.



### 3 Options for Alert Production in LOY1

The potential solutions considered herein are:

1. Do nothing. Do not generate alerts prior to the release of DR1 (which could be near the end of LOY1).
2. Use templates built from commissioning data from the LSST camera. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.
3. Build templates during on a ~monthly basis as data is obtained in LOY1. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.
4. Do not build templates. Instead, use image-image differencing to generate alerts if and when a prior image exists for a field.
5. Do not build templates. Do not use DIA. Generate alerts for all new point sources, or cataloged point sources which have changed in brightness by more than some threshold since the last image ("catalog differencing").

These are each discussed in more detail in the following sections. The main aspects of each option to consider are outlined in the following table:

Scope	Does the option require an expansion of scope in terms of software development, computational processing, or full-time equivalent (FTE) hours?
Risks	Does the option impose any risks on the observatory or DM systems?
Requirements	Does the option violate any written requirements or specifications?
Consistency	Does the option cause the content or format of the template images or alerts in LOY1 to be different, compared to the rest of the survey?
Science	Does the option maximize time-domain science in LOY1?

Regarding the "Science" category, in this document we consider "maximizing time-domain science in LOY1" to mean maximizing the number of alerts *for unique objects* that are produced with the same content as DM's formal plans (e.g., difference-image fluxes, spuriousness parameters).

### 3.1 Do Nothing

**Do nothing. Do not generate alerts prior to the release of DR1 (which could be near the end of LOY1).**

Scope	No expansion of DM scope.
Risks	Potential risks for the DMS.
Requirements	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	Does not provide consistent data products.
Science	No science from alerts (or any DIA product) until after DR1.

**Scope – No expansion of DM scope.** This option might even be considered a de-scope (and might be perceived as a de-scope by the community).

**Risks – Potential risks for the DMS.** There is a risk to DM in missing the opportunity to learn lessons from running alert production in LOY1. This puts a higher importance on running alert production during commissioning, and/or imposes a higher risk on alert production when it finally does start in year 2. There is another risk to the DMS: it is likely that the community will do DIA and/or alert production with user-generated pipelines based on the processed standard-visit images released within 24 hours. This might cause additional load on the user computational processing resources available through the Science Platform, especially if multiple user groups attempt to compete in this regard (which seems likely).

L1PublicT  
LSR-REQ-0104

**Requirements – Does not violate any formal requirements.** However, this option may violate the science community's expectations and *perceived* requirement that LSST produce alerts at all times during Operations.

**Consistency – Does not provide consistent data products.** To not produce alerts — or any DIA Prompt products — in LOY1 would be inconsistent with the other 9 years of the LSST Main Survey. Furthermore, this inconsistency might impose a large down-time on the community brokers, which could impede their ability to garner and maintain funding and/or to commission their systems. Note that the alerts released during LSST commissioning may have a different latency, format, contents, and/or distribution mechanism which might inhibit broker commissioning, and that brokers will likely be looking to alerts in LOY1 to fulfill this need.

**Science – No science from alerts (or any DIA product) until after DR1.** If LSST is not going to do any difference imaging in LOY1, this would prohibit science that requires light curves with any underlying source (extended or point) subtracted, such as supernovae. Time-domain science with, e.g., variable stars, AGN, and moving objects would likely proceed using direct image source catalogs.

Draft

## 3.2 Commissioning Data Templates

**Use templates built from commissioning data from the LSST camera<sup>2</sup>. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.**

Scope	Potential minor expansion of DM scope.
Risks	No risk for the observatory or the DMS.
Requirements	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	Provides somewhat consistent data products.
Science	Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.

**Scope – Potential minor expansion of DM scope.** During the Final Science Verification phase of commissioning, templates will be made for  $\sim 10\%$  of the LSST WFD main survey area ( $\sim 1600 \text{ deg}^2$ ) from the first  $\sim 3$  weeks of Mini-Survey 1, during which  $\sim 15$  visits per filter per field (i.e., to  $\sim 1$  year equivalent depth) will be obtained. After a six-week gap (for Mini-Survey 2) these templates will be used in the second  $\sim 3$  week run of Mini-Survey 1, the main focus of which is verifying the science pipelines which create difference images, perform DIA, and generate alerts<sup>3</sup> [LSE-79]. These template images could be used for DIA during LOY1, and that would not constitute an expansion of scope. However, to maximize the depth and areal coverage of available templates at the start of LOY1, DM could build new templates from *as much* of the commissioning data as possible. This could  $\sim$ double the number of images used to build templates in the  $\sim 1600 \text{ deg}^2$  mini-survey region (and, by extending the time baseline, remove more transient and variable sources from the templates); add  $\sim 300 \text{ deg}^2$  from the 10-year depth area (Mini-Survey 2); add  $\sim 100 \text{ deg}^2$  from the 20-year Depth Test (part of Early Science Verification); and add any and all other data from the Key Performance Metrics phase of Early Science Verification. Building new templates would constitute a minor expansion of DM scope in terms of processing and FTE. Either of these options for using commissioning data might also lead to a small increase in the scope in terms of FTEs because it introduces the opportunity to optimize the commissioning survey areas for template-building, which would require additional time for study, debate, and decisions (e.g., see § 3.2.1).

**Risks – No risk for the observatory or the DMS,** however, as with the option in § 3.1 to Do Nothing, if LSST does not make use of *all* commissioning data to generate templates and run

<sup>2</sup>I.e., the full LSSTCam and not the single-raft ComCam which precedes it.

<sup>3</sup>Note that during commissioning, alert distribution may proceed with high latencies and might use non-standard interfaces.

DIA then there may be members of the community who run user-generated pipelines to do so. This might cause additional load on the users' computational resources. This is much less likely to happen than in the scenario in which no alerts are produced.

**Requirements – Does not violate any formal requirements.** As discussed in § 2.3, there are formal requirements on the noise contributed by the template image to the difference image, which would have to be met by the commissioning-data templates. There are also formal requirements which state that the alert contents must contain *at least* a 12-month history and that the variability characterization parameters be based on data from *at least* the past year. However, the correct interpretation of these requirements is that this historical data be included *when it exists*. Therefore, releasing alerts with <1 year of history during LOY1 should not be construed as a violation of the alert content requirements.

OSS-REQ-0158  
templateNoise-  
LevelY1

**Consistency – Provides somewhat consistent data products.** The DIA data products that are enabled by commissioning templates would be *mostly* consistent in terms of contents, format, and quality, but inconsistent in terms of area covered (and the alert stream would not be continuous). Prior to DR1, no DIAObject records or alert packets would have an association with static-sky Objects (i.e., no potential host galaxy/star information). One other potential inconsistency is that when all of the images used to generate a template are from a short time window (e.g., 3 weeks), it is impossible to fully "remove" the flux of any transient or moving objects and "standardize" the flux of variable objects; this would not be an issue with DIA data products later in the survey.

**Science – Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.** With template images for ~ 10% to ~ 14% of the WFD main survey area (depending on whether templates are rebuilt from all of the commissioning data), the LSST alert stream will provide an average of 1 million alerts per night (i.e., ~ 10% of the full-survey volume; DMTN-102). This enables some science, but does not maximize it under our working definition of maximizing the number of alerts in LOY1. Significantly increasing the sky area with viable template images by observing additional areas during the already very busy ~6 month commissioning phase might not be feasible<sup>4</sup>; but see § 3.2.1 for a proposal in this regard. Another option for increasing the number of templates images is discussed in § 3.3.

<sup>4</sup>Although it would be technically possible to cover ~ 50% of the WFD area with one image per filter per field in ~ 7 nights worth of observing, single-image depth is insufficient for templates (§ 3.4) and would serve no independent commissioning-related purpose that would make it worth spending commissioning-phase time on.

### 3.2.1 Prioritize Single-Filter Template-Building During Commissioning

This section explores ways to use the commissioning phase to build single-filter templates over as wide an area as possible ( $>50\%$  of the WFD main survey). Which filter is up for debate: it's likely to be  $r$ , or  $i$ -band, and the latter might have the advantage of not requiring airmass correction for DCR (Section 5.2.4 of LDM-151). Many surveys have done single-band transient searches in the past (e.g., ZTF extragalactic focused on  $r$ -band), and it is the cheapest way to cover the widest area possible with templates, and thus release the most alerts on unique events during LOY1.

There are two basic options for building single-filter templates from commissioning data over  $>50\%$  of the WFD main survey area: (1) create a new commissioning mini-survey and give it dedicated time, or (2) create a template-building program for the observation scheduler which can run as "filler" during commissioning.

**(1) A Template-Building Mini-Survey** — Spend  $\sim 7$  nights (total, non-consecutive) to survey as much extragalactic<sup>5</sup> area in a single filter as possible. Assuming 8-hour nights, this time would to cover  $\sim 10,000 \text{ deg}^2$  ( $>50\%$  of the WFD main survey) with 5 visits per field, which should be sufficient to build template images that meet the noise contribution requirement for LSST year 1 (§ 2.3). **MLG Speculates: The potential commissioning use of this mini-survey might be to generate a wide contiguous area: the current commissioning mini-surveys will not necessarily be contiguous, as LSE-79 describes: overlap with legacy fields with deep pre-existing observations will be used to prioritize field selection. Perhaps a contiguous  $\sim 10000 \text{ deg}^2$  sky area would help to commission, e.g., jointcal? I'm unsure about the commissioning use-case here.**

OSS-REQ-0158  
templateNoise-  
LevelY1

**(2) A "Filler Template-Building" Program** — During commissioning, whenever activities that require images be taken but are agnostic about what kind (e.g., testing telescope parameters, or troubleshooting scenarios), and a filter change would not cause an unacceptable overhead or risk (at the discretion of the commissioning team), then obtain images in the single-filter chosen to build templates for LOY1. An observation scheduler program could be written so that this happens automatically whenever the commissioning team chooses this "Filler Template-Building" mode, and the program could optimize achieving  $>5$  images in good conditions across as wide an area as possible. It is certainly feasible that the equivalent of  $\sim 7$

<sup>5</sup>Why extragalactic? In the Galactic Plane, variable-star science can still be done with the direct images (although this is more difficult for very crowded fields), but transients in extended host galaxies require subtraction.

night's worth of time out of the six months of LSSTCam commissioning might be spent in this mode which, as described above, could lead to single-band templates for  $\sim 10,000 \text{ deg}^2$  (>50% of the WFD main survey).

Scope	Minor expansion of DM scope, similar to § 3.2, but with more computational resources needed to process the larger sky area.
Risks	No risk for the observatory or the DMS, though as in § 3.1, the community might do user-generated differencing in other filters.
Requirements	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	Provides somewhat consistent data products, same as § 3.2, but many more difference objects would be without multiband photometry.
Science	Enables science from DIA and alerts in LOY1, and at least $\sim 5$ times as many unique time-domain events as option § 3.2 alone.

### 3.3 LOY1 Data Templates

**Build templates during on a ~monthly basis as data is obtained in LOY1. Generate alerts if and when a template image exists for a field.** This option is an extension of building templates with commissioning data (§ 3.2).

Scope	Moderate expansion of scope.
Risks	No risk for the observatory or the DMS.
Requirements	Does not violate any formal requirements.
Consistency	Provides somewhat consistent data products.
Science	Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.

**Scope – Moderate expansion of scope.** Since the current DM processing plans are to build templates once during the yearly data release processing effort, it would be a small expansion of scope in terms of computational processing and FTE to evaluate recently obtained data, and generate and validate new template images, on a ~monthly basis. **MLG Speculates: The additional processing resources to stack ~5 images per field over ~1000–2000 square degrees per month would likely fit into existing compute budgets, and the ~1–2 months of FTE required to generate and validate the templates could probably be absorbed by the Operations budget.** This option might also increase the scope of the observing strategy committee, as decisions about whether to optimize the early LOY1 observations for template-building would require additional simulations, analysis, and debate. This plan would have to be well communicated so that the science community could, e.g., optimize their plans for follow-up as the average number of alerts per night increases as more templates are made.

**Risks – No risk for the observatory or the DMS,** as in § 3.2.

**Requirements – Does not violate any formal requirements,** as in § 3.2.

**Consistency – Provides somewhat consistent data products,** in the same way as § 3.2. Template images that are *updated* during LOY1 may cause a step-function in the light curve histories of some difference-image sources if, for example, there was some residual transient flux in one of the templates. However, this may happen during the later years of Operations when templates are updated with each DR, so that is not a true inconsistency.



**Science – Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1**, as in § 3.2 but potentially expanding by up to 2000 deg<sup>2</sup> per month. If obtaining and building template coadded images during commissioning (§ 3.2 and 3.2.1) and LOY1 is prioritized, it might be possible to achieve ~ 100% sky coverage in at least one filter by mid-LOY1.

Draft

### 3.4 Image-Image Differencing

**Do not build templates. Instead, use image-image differencing to generate alerts if and when a prior image exists for a field.**

Scope	Expansion of scope.
Risks	Potential risks for the DMS.
Requirements	Violates some formal requirements.
Consistency	Does not provide consistent data products.
Science	Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.

**Scope – Expansion of scope.** While performing image-image differencing may be possible with the existing science pipelines, the modifications, testing, and validation necessary to release DIA data products generated with image-image differencing would constitute a major expansion of scope for DM (in terms of both FTE and the computation processing required to, for example, train real/bogus for a diverse range of template quality). Furthermore, this option is might only feasible if the survey adopts fixed fields, such that the image-image overlap area is a substantial fraction. Without fixed field, images would have to be reconstructed into single-visit "templates" with the same area as each new image. This might be possible with the existing science pipelines or might constitute an expansion of scope for DM.

**Potential risks for the DMS.** This option might requires development of software unbound by formal requirements and might impose additional loads on the computational resources. Furthermore, as in § 3.1 this option might inspire the community to run user-generated pipelines to build templates for subtraction, which could cause additional load on resources.

**Requirements – Violates some formal requirements.** Using a same-depth single-image as a template results in significantly noisier difference images (as incidentally demonstrated by DMTN-006), and would be in violation of the requirement that the template may contribute no more than 40% of the noise in the difference image during LOY1. Using single-image templates might also compromise or complicate DM's ability to fulfill the formal requirement that spuriousness (real/bogus) threshold values must be supplied in order to filter alerts down to subsamples of known completeness and purity. I.e., such threshold values depend on the quality of the template image, and using single-image templates would cause a wide dispersion in template quality.

OSS-REQ-0158  
templateNoise-  
LevelY1

OSS-REQ-0353  
transSampleSNR  
transCompleteness-  
Min  
transPurityMin

**Consistency – Does not provide consistent data products.** The DIA data products such as difference-image flux (and associated parameters) would be fundamentally different from other years if DIA in LOY1 is not done with a true "transient-free" template image. Furthermore, real/bogus training would be more complicated without a consistent set of template images and the purity and completeness levels of the alert stream would not be consistent in LOY1 (in area or over time).

**Science – Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.** Although this option could yield the maximum number of alerts, it does not generate scientifically usable light curves for transient objects embedded in their host galaxies. Image-image differencing may be sufficient for variable star science, even when the difference flux is contaminated by the presence of the object in the earlier image, as it still shows whether a source has changed brightness. However, it may require additional algorithms in order to generate useful light curves [e.g., 1].

### 3.5 Catalog Differencing

**Do not build templates. Do not use DIA. Generate alerts for all new point sources, or cataloged point sources which have changed in brightness by more than some threshold since the last image ("catalog differencing").**

Scope	Expansion of scope.
Risks	Potential risks for the DMS.
Requirements	Violates some formal requirements.
Consistency	Does not provide consistent data products.
Science	Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.

**Scope – Expansion of scope.** New software would need to be developed to generate and validate alerts from single-visit image source catalogs, which would constitute a potentially major expansion in scope in terms of FTE hours (but would fit well within scope in terms of computational processing).

**Risks – Potential risks for the DMS.** If DIA is not run in LOY1, the risks are the same as the option to Do Nothing in § 3.1.

**Requirements – Violates some formal requirements.** As this option is similar to image-image differencing (but done with catalog fluxes instead of images), it violates some formal requirements in the same manner as § 3.4.

**Consistency – Does not provide consistent data products.** Without DIA, the alert packet contents would be fundamentally different in content in LOY1 (i.e., no difference-image fluxes, no spuriousness parameter, no difference-image stamp).

**Science – Enables some science from DIA and alerts in LOY1.** As with image-image differencing in § 3.4, catalog light curves are more scientifically useful for variable stars and asteroids than for supernovae and objects in crowded fields, which would limit the scientific impact of these alerts in LOY1 (despite potentially producing more of them).

## 4 Summary and Recommendations

The option for alert production in LOY1 which would provide the largest potential science impact with *no* expansion in DM scope is to only use the template images that will have already been generated for the commissioning Mini-Surveys 1 and 2 ( $\sim 1600 \text{ deg}^2$ ). A very small up-scope option is to re-generate template images from *all* of the commissioning data, which could add at least another  $\sim 400 \text{ deg}^2$  (or significantly more if template-building is prioritized during commissioning, as proposed in § 3.2.1). A further moderate up-scope option is to continue to generate template images throughout LOY1, as new data is obtained, as this could add  $\sim 1000\text{-}2000 \text{ deg}^2$  per month. These options to use commissioning and LOY1 data to generate template images, and to run DIA in LOY1, are also favored because they do not pose a risk to the observatory or the DMS, will not violate any formal requirements, and will provide consistent data products compared to the latter 9 years of the survey.

The rejected options to produce alerts *without* template images or DIA, which at first might seem like an easy solution to maximize the number of alerts in LOY1, would actually require larger expansions of scope and engender more potential risks. The disfavored option to not produce alerts in LOY1 avoids all potential scope expansions, and yet is not without its own risks: the missed opportunity to learn lessons about alert production early on and overloaded computational resources as the science community runs user-generated difference-imaging pipelines. These rejected and disfavored options furthermore fail to provide consistent data products to the users and, in some cases, violate formal requirements on template images and/or alert contents.

**Recommendation:** Template images should be generated prior to the start of Operations from as much of the LSSTCam commissioning data as possible, and used for DIA and alert production during LOY1. To increase the area covered by commissioning data from  $\sim 2000 \text{ deg}^2$  (10% of the WFD footprint), a "template-filler" observation scheduler should be prepared and used by the commissioning team whenever their activities are agnostic to imaging filter, with the objective of covering as wide an area of sky as possible in at least one filter in order to maximize the number of *unique* alerts provided in LOY1. A moderate up-scope option to build templates during LOY1 as new data is acquired should also be attempted by DM on a best-effort basis, as this could increase the sky coverage by  $\sim 1000\text{-}2000 \text{ deg}^2$  per month.

## References

- [1] Barris, B.J., Tonry, J.L., Novicki, M.C., Wood-Vasey, W.M., 2005, *AJ*, 130, 2272 (arXiv:astro-ph/0507584), doi:10.1086/491583, ADS Link
- [2] **[LDM-612]**, Bellm, E., co authors, 2018, *Plans and Policies for LSST Alert Distribution*, LDM-612, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-612>
- [3] **[LSE-79]**, Claver, C., The LSST Commissioning Planning Team, 2017, *System AI&T and Commissioning Plan*, LSE-79, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-79>
- [4] **[LSE-29]**, Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2017, *LSST System Requirements (LSR)*, LSE-29, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-29>
- [5] **[LSE-30]**, Claver, C.F., The LSST Systems Engineering Integrated Project Team, 2018, *Observatory System Specifications (OSS)*, LSE-30, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-30>
- [6] **[LSE-61]**, Dubois-Felsmann, G., Jenness, T., 2018, *LSST Data Management Subsystem Requirements*, LSE-61, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-61>
- [7] **[DMTN-102]**, Graham, M.L., the DM-SST, 2019, *LSST Alerts: Key Numbers*, DMTN-102, URL <https://ls.st/DMTN-102>
- [8] **[LPM-17]**, Ivezić, Ž., The LSST Science Collaboration, 2018, *LSST Science Requirements Document*, LPM-17, URL <https://ls.st/LPM-17>
- [9] **[LSE-163]**, Jurić, M., et al., 2017, *LSST Data Products Definition Document*, LSE-163, URL <https://ls.st/LSE-163>
- [10] **[DMTN-021]**, Reiss, D.J., Lupton, R.H., 2016, *Implementation of Image Difference Decorrelation*, DMTN-021, URL <https://dmtn-021.lsst.io>, LSST Data Management Technical Note
- [11] **[DMTN-006]**, Slater, C., Jurić, M., Ž. Ivezić, Jones, L., 2016, *False Positive Rates in the LSST Image Differencing Pipeline*, DMTN-006, URL <https://ls.st/DMTN-006>
- [12] **[DMTN-037]**, Sullivan, I., 2017, *DCR-matched template generation*, DMTN-037, URL <https://dmtn-037.lsst.io>, LSST Data Management Technical Note
- [13] **[LDM-151]**, Swinbank, J.D., et al., 2017, *Data Management Science Pipelines Design*, LDM-151, URL <https://ls.st/LDM-151>