

---

## ACRONYMS

---

|            |                                     |    |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----|
| <b>RIR</b> | Room Impulse Response .....         | 5  |
| <b>ISM</b> | Image Source Method.....            | 10 |
| <b>TO</b>  | Transition Order .....              | 10 |
| <b>VBS</b> | Vector Based Scattering Method..... | 10 |

---

---

## CONTENTS

---

|          |                             |          |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Acronyms</b>             | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1      | ODEON .....                 | 2        |
| 1.1.1    | Water Tightness Test .....  | 2        |
| 1.1.2    | Material Selection .....    | 2        |
| 1.1.2.1  | Initial Materials .....     | 3        |
| 1.1.2.2  | Surface Types .....         | 3        |
| 1.1.2.3  | RIR Comparison .....        | 5        |
| 1.1.2.4  | Final Material Choice ..... | 9        |
| 1.1.3    | Odeon Output Settings ..... | 10       |
| 1.1.3.1  | Ray Settings .....          | 10       |
| 1.1.3.2  | Directivity .....           | 11       |
| 1.1.4    | RIR Outputs .....           | 11       |
| 1.1.4.1  | RIR Topology Problems ..... | 11       |
| 1.1.5    | RIR Locations .....         | 11       |

## 1.1 - ODEON

### 1.1.1) Water Tightness Test

Once the SketchUp model had been exported as a .par file using the SU2Odeon plug-in [1] it could be opened in Odeon and checked to ensure that there were no gaps in the model for which rays to escape. If this was the case, the model would have to be fixed in SketchUp and reimported into Odeon. Odeon makes checking the model easy by running a ‘water tightness’ check where a large number of rays are reflected around the room seeing whether any of them manage to escape. Figure 1 shows the Hendrix Hall model undergoing such a test. Once it was ensured that the model was fit for use, the surface materials could be assigned.




Figure 1: Hendrix Hall model undergoing a water tightness test in Odeon.

### 1.1.2) Material Selection

The surface materials within a room heavily influence how sound propagates around a room, effecting reverberation time and frequency content of said reverb. It is therefore imperative to assign materials as close to the real material as possible in order to produce an accurate representation of a known acoustic environment. For this, Odeon provides a list of common materials often found when constructing buildings.

### 1.1.2.1 Initial Materials

Odeon's material list appears to be designed for auralisation of structures with very basic interior. Due to a lack of choice, exact materials in the room could not be modelled accurately, however the closest match to what is thought to be the true material was selected as a replacement instead. In some cases, appropriate replacement materials were not available, therefore new materials had to be added to the material list. This can be done by finding a materials absorption coefficients, selecting '**Edit an existing material**' where new materials absorption coefficients can be entered and saved as a new material as shown in figure 2. Absorption coefficient values range from 0 - 1 (0% - 100%), indicating the percentage of attenuation applied to the selected frequency band upon a contact with the surface. Required materials for which an appropriate replacement could not be found in the material list are listed in table 2.

| Material               | Surface applied to               |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Hard Plastic [2]       | Roof lights and projector covers |
| Mineral fibre [3]      | Ceiling Tiles                    |
| Slate <sup>1</sup> [4] | Blackboard                       |

Table 1: Table of materials for which absorption coefficients were sought and added to Odeon's material list.




Figure 2: Absorption coefficient editing window in Odeon used to add unavailable materials.

### 1.1.2.2 Surface Types

For a number of surfaces it is appropriate to edit properties other than just their absorption coefficients. As explained in section ??, the roof hangings are constructed of four joint slanting

<sup>1</sup>The absorption coefficients provided were only available for the 125Hz to 4kHz octave bands, therefore absorption coefficients for the 63Hz and 8kHz octave bands were given a value of 0.1

surfaces. By changing the individual surface types from '**Normal**' to '**Fractional**', Odeon avoids erroneously calculating the diffraction caused due to each of the individual surfaces and treats them as a whole surface. For surfaces such as the contracted seating shown in figure 3 where gaps are present in the over all structure, it was possible to model this as one solid object and to set a **transparency** value. A transparency value of 0 means the surface is a solid where as a value of 1 makes a surface totally transparent which will let rays pass straight through. A transparency value of 0.3 was chosen as a reasonable estimate, the effects of which are shown in figure 4, showing how a ray can pass through the front of the object, propagate around the inside and eventually reflect back out again.




Figure 3: Contracted seating in Hendrix Hall showing gaps in the structure.




Figure 4: Blue circle highlights a ray penetrating the modelled seating area, reflecting 3 times and escaping the seating area due to the transparency value set.

As previously mentioned in section ??, the lights were modelled as simple rectangles as opposed to the more complex objects made from a large number of surfaces with the intention of more accurately modelling the scattering effect (described in section ??) due to their shape by altering the objects **Scattering Coefficient**. Odeon provides a table of initial indicators for possible scattering coefficients, shown in figure 5 which can be used to select the scattering coefficient for a surface that fits a similar description to the one given. A scattering coefficient of 0.2 was selected for the lights by assuming the effect would not be as sever as a bookshelf. [Seriously, re-word this bit].

| Material                                   | Scattering coefficient at mid-frequency (707 Hz) |
|--|--|
| Audience area                              | 0.6–0.7  |
| Rough building structures, 0.3–0.5 m deep  | 0.4–0.5  |
| Bookshelf, with some books                 | 0.3  |
| Brickwork with open joints                 | 0.1–0.2  |
| Brickwork, filled joints but not plastered | 0.05–0.1   |
| Smooth surfaces, general                   | 0.02–0.05  |
| Smooth painted concrete                    | 0.005–0.02                                       |

Figure 5: A table provided in the Odeon Manual [5] that can be used to set an approximate scattering coefficient for surfaces similar to those described

#### 1.1.2.3 RIR Comparison

Once the initial materials had been selected, the authenticity of the Room Impulse Response (**RIR**)'s were checked by comparing their frequency content against that of a real measured **RIR** from Hendrix Hall (see section ??). This was done by using Matlab to plotting the spectrograms of the omni-directional W channel of both **RIR**'s, as can be seen in figure 6. The spectrogram shows the high frequency content in the real **RIR** attenuating in a smooth roll off fashion from 20kHz to about 8kHz starting from about 0.2 seconds in, whereas the full audible spectrum appears to attenuate almost evenly in the Odeon produced **RIR**.




Figure 6: Spectrograms of real RIR (top) against spectrogram of first rendered RIR from Odeon.

In an attempt to produce a more realistic synthetic RIR the surface materials were edited several times until .

As the most obvious difference between the two is the difference in high frequency attenuation, large surfaces with low valued high frequency absorption coefficients such as the walls and ceiling were edited to absorb more of the high frequency content. Several iterations can be seen in figure 7 showing the spectrogram of the real RIR and several iterations of the RIR's rendered from Odeon. The Spectrogram at the bottom is that of an RIR produced in Odeon with the final material selections. The difference between the real RIR and the final Odeon RIR can be seen in figure 8. This was done by adding 10% onto the existing absorption coefficients for the 63Hz - 2000Hz octave bands, 20% to the 4000Hz band and 30% to the 8000Hz band. This also included edited the ceiling material coefficients by adding an extra 10% to the 8000Hz octave band.

The following table contains a list of audio samples and edits made to the material list in order to produce each one, with their corresponding spectrogram graph name which can be found in figure 7.

| Audio file        | Graph Name     | Absorption Coefficient Edits |          |             |
|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------|-------------|
|                   |                | Material                     | Addition | Octave band |
| RealRIR.wav       | Real RIR       | NA                           |          |             |
| OdeonOriginal.wav | ODEON Original | NA                           |          |             |
| Odeon3RIR.wav     | ODEON 3        | Ceiling (Mineral Fibre)      | +10%     | 8kHz        |
| Odeon6RIR.wav     | ODEON 6        | Walls (Solid Brick)          | +10%     | All         |
| Odeon7RIR.wav     | ODEON 7        | Walls (Solid Brick)          | +10%     | 4kHz        |
|                   |                | +20%                         |          | 8kHz        |
|                   |                | Ceiling (Mineral Fibre)      | +10%     | 8kHz        |

Table 2: List of audio samples with corresponding spectrogram title in figure 7 and the edits made for each one.

By analysing figure 8 it can be seen that it was possible to produce a much more fitting RIR compared to the one produced using the original materials. By listening to the audio samples, it is clear that though the final Odeon RIR (Odeon7RIR.wav) is much more similar to the real RIR (RealRIR.wav) than the one produced using the original surface materials (OdeonOriginal.wav) in terms of reverberation time, they still sound very different in terms of their frequency content, where the RIR's produced in Odeon lack what the author considers *depth*. This is most likely due to the fact that the geometrical acoustic modelling methods used to produce the RIR do not accurately reproduce the low-frequency content, the reasons for which were discussed in section ??

[Consider adding more detail of material selection process here]




Figure 7: Spectrograms of real RIR (top) against spectrogram of several rendered RIR from Odeon with different material absorption coefficients where ODEON (bottom) shows the final RIR used.




Figure 8: Spectrograms of real RIR (top) against Odeon RIR from Odeon with final material absorption coefficients.

A full material list is available in ‘Material\_List\_V2.xlsx’, showing which materials are applied to each surface within the Odeon model.

It was later discovered (see section [RIR Topology Problems](#)) that the RIR's used to decide the final materials were incorrect, a result of which caused them to be much louder than they should have been. To ensure that the materials selected when using the incorrect RIR's were still appropriate for the new ones, two new RIR's were exported with the original materials and the final materials selected and plotted to compare against the same real RIR used before. As the new Odeon RIR's were so much quieter, the real RIR was reduced in level to match those produced by Odeon. The results are shown in figure 9. As it can be seen, the final materials used in the room model reduce the length of the reverb and attenuate high frequencies quicker than when the room model contained the original materials, the match is still not perfect.




Figure 9: Spectrograms of correct Odeon RIR's with original materials (top) and final materials (center) to compare against real RIR that has been level calibrated (bottom).

**NOTE: The reason the Real RIR looks so different to the previous one is because it is much quieter, therefore the spectrogram shows less energy much earlier on. However the roll off described the first time is still present and is highlighted, starting again from about 0.2 seconds in. Why do the Odeon RIRs look similar to before then?**

#### 1.1.2.4 Final Material Choice

The incorrect RIR's were used to calculate the room materials to begin with. Upon rendering new RIR's, three tests RIR's were rendered with the three main differences in materials selection in

order to check that the spectrogram was close enough to the real RIR's

### 1.1.3) Odeon Output Settings

#### 1.1.3.1 Ray Settings

Odeon provides the following options for RIR rendering:

**Astop** - The maximum possible attenuation of each octave band. **Apass** - Ripple of octave band filters in dB.

**Band overlap** - 100% overlap gives a smooth transition between the FIR octave band filters as they are not completely rectangular.

**Maximum reflection order** - Maximum allowed is 2000 as is the default. This means a ray can only reflect around a room 2000 times before the simulation is stopped. This prevents trapped rays from prolonging the impulse response if it never reaches the receiver.

**Late Rays** - These are emitted from the source and reflected according to the Vector Based Scattering Method (**VBS**) (described in section ??) taking into account scattering due to surface size and roughness. If the reflection order is about the transition order, a secondary source is generated at each reflection point.

**Transition Order** - As explained in section ??, a Transition Order (**TO**) can be set to determine the number of early rays sent out to find a number of wall combinations for reflections using the Image Source Method (**ISM**). After this number of rays has been reached, the ray-tracing method is used.

All settings are left at their default values as they are sufficient for accuracy and prevent the increase of computation time which will be needed given the large number of RIRs required for free movement.

As mentioned in section ??, a **TO** can be set to determine the number of early rays sent out to find a number of wall combinations for reflections using the **ISM**. After this number of rays has been reached, the ray-tracing method is used.

As increasing the **TO** increases both accuracy and computation time, RIR's were rendered with different **TO**'s and compared.

**1.1.3.2 Directivity**

**1.1.4) RIR Outputs**

**1.1.4.1 RIR Topology Problems**

**1.1.5) RIR Locations**

---

## REFERENCES

---

- [1] (2016). Su2odeon, Odeon, [Online]. Available: <http://www.odeon.dk/su2odeon-plugin-trimble-sketchup> (visited on Apr. 25, 2016).
- [2] (2016). Absorption coefficients, AcousticTraffic, [Online]. Available: [http://www.acoustic.ua/st/web\\_absorption\\_data\\_eng.pdf](http://www.acoustic.ua/st/web_absorption_data_eng.pdf) (visited on Apr. 25, 2016).
- [3] (2016), BemBook, [Online]. Available: [http://www.bembook.ibpsa.us/index.php?title=Absorption\\_Coefficient&action=edit](http://www.bembook.ibpsa.us/index.php?title=Absorption_Coefficient&action=edit) (visited on Apr. 25, 2016).
- [4] P. Kovalchik, R. Matetic, S. Peng, and G. Cole, "Measurement method for determining absorption coefficients for underground mines," pp. 1–4, [Online]. Available: <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/mining/userfiles/works/pdfs/ammfd.pdf> (visited on Apr. 25, 2016).
- [5] C. L. Christensen and G. Koutsouris, *Odeon user's manual*, Scion DTU Diplomvej Kgs. Lyngby Denmark, 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.odeon.dk/pdf/ODEONManual12.pdf> (visited on Apr. 25, 2016).