1.1

- (a) Let Y be the plane curve  $y = x^2$  (i.e., Y is the zero set of the polynomial  $f = y x^2$ ). Show that A(Y) is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over k
- (b) Let Z be the plane curve xy = 1. Show that A(Z) is not isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over k.
- (c) Let f be any irreducible quadratic polynomial in k[x,y], and let W be the conic defined by f. Show that A(W) is isomorphic to A(Y) and A(Z). Which one is it and when?

Solution:

(a) Consider the map  $\phi: k[x,y] \to k[x]$  where  $\phi(p(x,y)) = p(x,x^2)$ . As this is (1) surjective and (2) has kernel  $(y-x^2)$ , we see that

$$k[x,y]/(y-x^2) \cong k[x].$$

Hence  $(y-x^2)$  is prime. Moreover, if we denote  $Y=Z(y-x^2)$ , then we see that

$$A(Y) \cong k[x,y]/I(Y) = k[x,y]/I(Z(y-x^2)) = k[x,y]/(y-x^2) \cong k[x].$$

Therefore,  $A(Y) \cong k[x]$ .

(b) Consider the map  $\phi: k[x,y] \to k[x,1/x]$  where  $\phi(p(x,y)) = p(x,1/x)$ . This is surjective with kernel (xy-1). This then gives us

$$k[x,y]/(xy-1) \cong k[x,1/x] \not\cong k[x].$$

Denote Y = Z(xy - 1). Note that xy - 1 is irreducible in k[x, y]. Hence, (xy - 1) is prime. Moreover,

$$A(Y) \cong k[x,y]/I(Z(xy-1)) = k[x,y]/(xy-1) \not\cong k[x].$$

Thus  $A(Y) \ncong k[x]$ .

(c) Let  $f = x^2 + axy + by^2 + cx + dy + e$ . Suppose b is a perfect square. Then

$$f = (x + by)^2 + cx + dy + e.$$

Write X = x + a. Then  $f = X^2 + cx + dy + e$ .

**1.2** The Twisted Cubic Curve. Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{A}^3$  be the set  $Y = \{(t, t^2, t^3) \mid t \in k\}$ . Show that Y is an affine variety of dimension 1. Find generators for the ideal I(Y). Show that A(Y) is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in one variable over k. We say that Y is given by the parametric representation x = t,  $y = t^2$ ,  $z = t^3$ ).

Solution: Construct the map  $\phi: k[x,y,z] \to k[x]$  were  $\phi(p(x,y,z)) = p(x,x^2,x^3)$ . Then the kernel of the map is  $(x^2-y,x^3-z)$ . Therefore,

$$k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y, x^3 - z) \cong k[x].$$

(I think my dimension calculation here can be greatly simplified by using the above isomorphism.) Hence  $Y = Z(x^2 - y, x^3 - z)$  closed, irreducible, and hence an affine variety. Now observe that

$$(x^2 - y) \subset (x^2 - y, x^3 - z)$$

as prime ideals. Thus  $(x^2 - y, x^3 - z)$  corresponds to an ideal J of  $k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y)$ . In fact, J is generated by the coset  $x^3 - z + (x^2 - y)$ . As this is not a unit in  $k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y)$ , we may conclude that J has height of one by Theorem 1.11A. We then have by Theorem 1.8A that

$$\operatorname{ht}(J) + \dim\left((k[x,y,z]/(x^2-y))/J\right) = \dim\left(k[x,y,z]/(x^2-y)\right)$$

However, we know that

$$ht(x^2 - y) + dim(k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y)) = dim(k[x, y, z]).$$

By Theorem 1.11A,  $ht(x^2 - y) = 1$  since  $x^2 - y$  is not a zero divisor or unit. In addition, dim(k[x, y, z]) = 3 by Proposition 1.9. Hence

$$\dim (k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y)) = 1 \implies \operatorname{ht}(J) + \dim ((k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y))/(x^3 - z)) = 2$$

As we know ht(J) = 1, we see that  $\dim ((k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y))/J) = 1$ . But

$$\dim ((k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y))/J) = \dim ((k[x, y, z]/(x^2 - y, x^3 - z))) = \dim(Z(x^2 - y, x^3 - z)).$$

Therefore,  $\dim(Z(x^2-y,x^3-z))=1$ .

Finally, observe that  $I(Y) = I(Z(x^2 - y, x^3 - z)) = (x^2 - y, x^3 - z)$ . Thus the generators are just  $x^2 - y$  and  $x^3 - z$ .

**1.3** Let Y be the algebraic set in  $A^3$  defined by the two polynomials  $x^2 - yz$  and xz - x. Show that Y is a union of three irreducible components. Describe them and find their prime ideals.

Solution: Since  $Y = Z(x^2 - y, xz - z)$ , we see that it consists of all  $\mathbf{A}^3$  that satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - yz = 0 \\ xz - x = 0 \end{cases}$$

There are three main ways we can satisfy the above equations.

- We could set  $z=1 \implies x=y^2$ . This consists of  $Z(z-1,x=y^2)$ .
- We could set z = x = 0. This consists of the points of Z(x, z).
- Finally, we could set x = y = 0. This consists of Z(x, y).

Thus  $Z(z-1,x-y^2) \cup Z(x,z) \cup Z(x,y) \subset Y$ . It is not hard to see that conversely any  $(x_0,y_0,z_0) \in Y$  must be in one of the three sets. Therefore,  $Y = Z(z-1,x-y^2) \cup Z(x,z) \cup Z(x,y)$ . Moreover, each of these are affine varities, and as none are contained in any other, we see that these are the unique irreducible components of Y.

**1.4** If we identify  $\mathbf{A}^2$  with  $\mathbf{A}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1$  in the natural way, show that the Zariski topology on  $\mathbf{A}^2$  is not the product topology of the Zariski topologies on the two copies of  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .

Solution:

**1.5** Show that a k-algebra B is isomorphic to the affine coordinate ring of some algebraic set in  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , for some n, if and only if B is a finitely generated k-algebra with no nilpotent elements.

Solution: Thanks for pointing out the mistakes Feiyang! I cleared up a lot of my confusion. If B is a k-algebra isomorphic to an affine coordinate ring, then

$$B \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(Y)$$

with Y an algebraic set. By definition, this is a finitely generated k-algebra. Additionally, I(Y) is radical, and so B cannot have any nilpotents.

Converseley, suppose B is finitely generated and has no nilpotents. By definition, there exists elements  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$  and a surjection  $\phi: k[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to B$  where  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto p(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ . This establishes the isomorphism

$$B \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \ker(\phi).$$

Since B has no nilpotents, we know that for any  $x \in k[x_1, ..., x_n] - \ker(\phi)$ ,  $x^r \notin \ker(\phi)$  for any r > 0. Hence,  $\sqrt{\ker(\phi)} = \ker(\phi)$ , so that  $\ker(\phi)$  is radical. Therefore,

$$B \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \ker(\phi) \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(Z(\ker(\phi))).$$

We now see that B is isomorphic to the affine coordinate ring of  $Z(\ker(\phi))$ , which is an algebraic set.

**1.6** Any nonempty open subset of an irreducible topological space is dense and irreducible. If Y is a subset of a topological space X, which is irreducible in its induced topology, then the closure  $\overline{Y}$  is also irreducible.

Solution: We first prove the first sentence. Let U be a nonempty open subset of X, an irreducible space. Observe that  $\overline{U} \cup U^c = X$ . Since X is irreducible and U is nonempty, we see that  $\overline{U} = X$ . Therefore, U is dense.

Now suppose U was reducible (in its subspace topology). Then this implies that  $U = Y_1 \cup Y_2$  with  $Y_1, Y_2$  closed and proper (in U's subspace topology). Now we may express  $Y_1 = Z_1 \cap U$  with  $Z_1$  closed in X; similarly, there is a closed  $Z_2$  corresponding to  $Y_2$ . Therefore,

$$U \subset Z_1 \cup Z_2 \implies \overline{U} \subset \overline{Z_1 \cup Z_2} \implies X = Z_1 \cup Z_2.$$

Hence either  $X = Z_1$  or  $Z_2$ , so  $Y_1$  or  $Y_2$  is either U, contradicting our assumption that  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are proper. Therefore, U is irreducible.

Now we prove the second sentence. Let Y be irreducible in its subspace topology, and suppose  $\overline{Y}$  is reducible in X. Then there exists proper, closed subsets  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$  of  $\overline{Y}$  such that  $\overline{Y} = Z_1 \cup Z_2$ . Hence,  $Y = (Y \cap Z_1) \cup (Y \cap Z_2)$ , which implies that  $Y = Z_1$  or  $Y = Z_2$ . However, this implies that  $\overline{Y} = Z_1$  or  $Z_2$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\overline{Y}$  is irreducible.

1.7

- (a) Show that the following conditions are equivalent for a topological space X: (i) X is noetherian; (ii) every nonempty family of closed subsets has a minimal element; (iii) X satisfies the ascending chain condition for open subsets; (iv) every nonempty family of open subsets has a maximal element.
- (b) A noetherian topological space is *quasi-compact*, i.e., every open cover has a finite subcover.
- (c) Any subset of a noetherian topological space is noetherian in its induced topology.
- (d) A noetherian space which is also Hausdorff must be a finite set with the discrete topology.

Solution:

- (a) First note that  $(ii) \implies (i)$  and  $(iv) \implies (iii)$  are immediate by definition of a Noetherian space. We show  $(iii) \implies (iv)$ . Since the ascending chain condition is satisfied, we may use Zorn's Lemma to deduce that any nonempty family of open subsets has a maximal element (we order it by inclusion, then apply the lemma). We can prove  $(ii) \implies (i)$  similarly.
- (b) Let X be a Noetherian space and suppose  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in \lambda}$  is an open cover of X. By (a), there exists a maximal element  $V_1$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Using  $V_1$  as our base case, inductively build the sets

$$V_{i+1} = \max \left( \left\{ U_i \in \mathcal{U} \mid U_i \not\subset V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_i \right\} \right) \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

The maximum will exist by repeatedly applying (a). Now the chain

$$V_1 \subset V_1 \cup V_2 \subset \cdots V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_j \subset \cdots$$

must have stabilize for some finite number of unions. This then implies that  $X = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$  for some r. Hence,  $V_1, \ldots, V_r$  is our finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ , so that X is compact.

**1.8** Let Y be an affine variety of dimension r in  $\mathbf{A}^n$ . Let H be a hypersurface in  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , and assume  $Y \not\subseteq H$ . Then every irreducible component of  $Y \cap H$  has dimension r-1. (See (7.1) for a generalization.)

Solution: First denote  $Y = Z(\mathfrak{p})$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . By Corollary 1.6, we can express the algebraic set  $Y \cap H$  uniquely as

$$Y \cap H = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_\ell$$

where each  $V_i$  is an affine variety and  $V_i \not\subset V_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . For each affine variety  $V_i$  denote  $V_i = Z(\mathfrak{p}_i)$  with  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  prime. We make some observations.

- Each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  contains  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and hence corresponds to a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_i'$  in  $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ .
- Since  $Y \not\subset H$ , we know that  $(f) \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$  which implies  $f \not\in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence, we see that  $f + \mathfrak{p} \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$  is not a zero divisor (as it is an integral domain). It is also not a unit as f is irreducible. Write  $\bar{f}$  as the representative of f in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ . If  $\bar{f}\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ , then  $fg \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $f \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime, this means that  $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ , i.e.  $\bar{g} = \bar{0}$ . So  $\bar{f}$  is not a zero-divisor.
- The ring  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$  is Noetherian. Thus, by Theorem 1.11A, every minimal prime ideal in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $f + \mathfrak{p}$  must have height one.

Our claim is that each  $\mathfrak{p}'_i$  is a minimal prime ideal containing  $f + \mathfrak{p}$ . Assuming this is true, we can observe by Theorem 1.8A that

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i') + \dim\left((k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p})/\mathfrak{p}_i'\right) = \dim\left(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}\right) \implies 1 + \dim\left(A(V_i)\right) = r$$
$$\implies \dim\left(A(V_i)\right) = r - 1$$

Thus we show the claim. Suppose  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal in  $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $f+\mathfrak{p}$ , and that  $\mathfrak{q}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_i'$  for some i. Then  $\mathfrak{q}$  corresponds to a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}'$  of  $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  (1) containing  $\mathfrak{p}$  and (2) containing f. However,

$$\sqrt{\langle \mathfrak{p}, f \rangle} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_\ell$$

and as the radical of  $\langle \mathfrak{p}, f \rangle$  (the smallest ideal containing  $\mathfrak{p}$  and f) is the intersection of all prime ideals containing this ideal, we see that  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_\ell \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$ . By Proposition 1.1.11(b) in Atiyah-MacDonald, this implies that  $\mathfrak{p}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$ . However, it must be that j = i, since none of these prime ideals are contained in each other. This then implies that  $\mathfrak{p}_i' \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$ , which gives us that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_i'$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a minimal prime ideal in  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $f + \mathfrak{p}$ , and so we may apply our above calculation. This then shows that

$$\dim(A(V_i)) = r - 1$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**1.9** Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be an ideal which can be generated by r elements. Then every irreducible component of  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  has dimension  $\geqslant n-r$ .

Solution: We prove this by induction.

Base Case.: Consider an ideal  $\mathfrak{a}=(a)$  which is generated by a single element. We assume  $\mathfrak{a}$  is not all of  $k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  (i.e., a is not a unit); otherwise,  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  is empty, which is not irreducible, and further it does not make sense to talk about the irreducible components for the empty set.

- Suppose a = 0. Then  $\mathfrak{a} = 0 \implies Z(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbf{A}^n$  which is irreducible and has dimension n.
- Suppose a is not a unit and is nonzero. Since  $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is a UFD, then we may uniquely express a as  $a = u \cdot f_1 \cdots f_m$  with u a unit, eac  $f_i$  irreducible. We then have that

$$Z(\mathfrak{a}) = Z(f_1) \cup Z(f_2) \cdots \cup Z(f_m).$$

Now by Theorem 1.11A, we can conclude that for each i = 1, 2, ..., m,  $\operatorname{ht}(f_i) = 1$ . Hence

$$ht(f_i) + dim(Z(f_i)) = n \implies dim(Z(f_i)) = n - 1.$$

Hence, every irreducible component of  $Z(\mathfrak{a})$  has dimension n-1.

In each case we see that the irreducible components of (a) have dimension dim  $\geq n-1$ , which proves the base case

**Inductive Step.:** Let  $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  be our ideal, and suppose the statement is true for all ideals generated by (r-1)-many elements. Let  $a_i$  be nonzero. Denote the decomposition of  $Z(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$  into its irreducible components as below

$$Z(a_1,\ldots,a_r)=V_1\cup\cdots\cup V_\ell$$

with  $V_j = Z(\mathfrak{p}_j)$ . Similarly for  $(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_r)$  write

$$Z(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_r) = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m.$$

with  $Y_j = Z(\mathfrak{q}_j)$ .

Observe that for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, \ell$ ,

$$\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_m \subset \mathfrak{p}_i$$
.

By Proposition 1.11(b) in Atiyah MacDonald, this implies that  $\mathfrak{q}_s \subset \mathfrak{p}_j$  for some s = 1, 2, ..., m. Thus, denote  $\mathfrak{p}'_j$  as the prime ideal in  $k[x_1, ..., x_n]/\mathfrak{q}_s$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{p}_j$ . By Theorem 1.8A, we have that

$$\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_j') + \dim\left(\left(k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{q}_s\right)/\mathfrak{p}_j'\right) = \dim(k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{q}_s).$$

Now  $\mathfrak{p}'_i$  is a minimal prime ideal containing  $a_i + \mathfrak{q}_s$ , which is not a unit or zero divisor. Hence, its height is one. Therefore,

$$1 + \dim(V_i) = \dim(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{q}_s) \geqslant n - (r - 1) \implies \dim(V_i) \geqslant n - r.$$

This completes the inductive step and the proof is complete.

## 1.10

- (a) If Y is any subset of a topological space X then  $\dim Y \leq \dim X$ .
- (b) If X is a topological space which is covered by a family of open subsets  $\{U_i\}$ , then dim  $X = \sup \dim U_i$ .
- (c) Give an example of a topological space X and a dense open subset U with  $\dim U < \dim X$ .
- (d) If Y is a closed subset of an irreducible finite-dimensional topological space X, and if  $\dim Y = \dim X$ , then Y = X.
- (e) Give an example of a noetherian topological space of infinite dimension.

Solution:

**1.11** Let  $Y \subseteq \mathbf{A}^3$  be the curve given parametrically by  $x = t^3$ ,  $y = t^4$ ,  $z = t^5$ . Show that I(Y) is a prime ideal of height 2 in k[x, y, z] which cannot be generated by 2 elements. We say Y is not a local complete intersection—cf. (Ex. 2.17).

Solution: Construct the map  $\phi: k[x,y,z] \to k[t]$  where  $p(x,y,z) \mapsto p(t^3,t^4,t^5)$ . The kernel of this map is given by  $I(Y) = \{f \in k[x,y,z] \mid f(t^3,t^4,t^5) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in k\}$ . However, the map is not surjective. Thus we have that  $k[x,y,z]/I(Y) \cong \text{im}(\phi)$ .

Since k[t] is an integral domain, and  $\operatorname{im}(\phi)$  is a subring, this nevertheless implies that I(Y) is a prime ideal. Alternatively we have an isomorphism of varieties between Y and  $X = \{(t, t^3, t^4, t^5)\}$  via  $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x^{-1}y, x, y, z)$  and  $(t, t^3, t^4, t^5) \mapsto (t^3, t^4, t^5)$ .

**1.12** Give an example of an irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{R}[x,y]$ , whose zero set Z(f) in  $\mathbf{A}^2_{\mathbf{R}}$  is not irreducible (cf. 1.4.2).

Solution: Consider  $f = (x^2 - 1)^2 + y^2$ .  $Z(f) = Z((x - 1, y)) \cup Z((x + 1, y))$ , and so is reducible. Now we prove that f is irreducible. Suppose f is reducible. Then f = g(x, y)h(x, y). One can check that  $f(x, 1) = (x^2 - 1)^2 + 1$  has no factorization two non-units over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore f(x, y) = g(y)h(x, y). However f has a term  $x^4$  and no other  $x^4y^i$  terms, which implies that g(y) is a unit.