

ideas

Donghang Lu

June 2017

1 Base property

1. Homomorphism: suppose $C1 = \{C1_{ij}\}, a_1, a'_1$ is for secret S1 (where C1 is commitment matrix and a_1, a'_1 is share polynomial for validator node P) and $C2 = \{C2_{ij}\}, a_2, a'_2$ is for secret S2. Then $C' = \{C'_{ij}\}$ where $C'_{ij} = C1_{ij} * C2_{ij}$, $a = a_1 + a_2$, $a' = a'_1 + a'_2$ will be for secret S1+S2. (I omit b and b' for simplicity)

2 protocol design

1. First phase: for every validate node P_i , suppose it received mutiple different secret share requests. and now it has (ID.k, send, Ck, a_k, a'_k) for each secret with id k. what it will do is to compute $C = \{C_{ij}\}$ where $C_{ij} = \prod Ck_{ij}$ for every k, $a = \sum a_k$ for every k, $a' = \sum a'_k$ for every k. and a set K which contains all different k. and send (ECHO, K, C, a(j), a'(j)) to every validate node P_j .

For example if a node receives sub-share of s1, s2, and s4. it will send (ECHO, K = [1, 2, 4], C, a(j), a'(j)) to every P_j where $C = \{C_{ij}\}$ where $C_{ij} = C1_{ij} * C2_{ij} * C4_{ij}$, $a(j) = a1(j) + a2(j) + a4(j)$, $a'(j) = a1'(j) + a2'(j) + a4'(j)$. And lets say this (C, a(j), a'(j)) is a [1, 2, 4] sub-share.

2. Second Phase: there will be a leader P_l in validate nodes. suppose we want to mix m secrets every time. P_l will have many counters, for each element in the set K of every ECHO message it received, the corresponding counter will add by one.

For example when P_l received an ECHO with set K = [1, 2, 4]. counter[1], counter[2], counter[4] will add by one.

When there are m counters with value no less than $2t+1$. P_l will broadcast this m ids to every other nodes. This means our protocol choose these m secrets to mix.

For example, when $m = 3$ and P_l finds out that values of counter[1], counter[2], counter[3] are no less than $2t+1$, P_l will send (CONFIRM_SET, K = [1, 2, 3]) to every P_j .

3. Third phase, for every node P_i with its set K, it will receive a K' from P_l in the second phase. suppose K = [1, 2, 4] and K' = [1, 2, 3]. what P_i is going to do is to change its [1, 2, 4] sub-share to [1, 2, 3] sub-share. Suppose (C, a, a') is P_i 's [1, 2, 4] sub-share, First it can compute $C^* = \{C^*_{ij}\}$ where $C^*_{ij} =$

C_{ij}/C_{4ij} , $a^* = a - a_4$, $a'^* = a' - a'_4$. and this (C^*, a^*, a'^*) is a $[1, 2]$ sub-share. next step is to change it to $[1, 2, 3]$ sub-share. Unfortunately P_i has no info about secret s_3 . So what it does is to send (HELP,3) to every other nodes. other nodes which has info about s_3 will send (OFFER, 3, $C, a(i), a'(i)$) to P_i . To make sure P_i receives correct information, P_i will wait until it receives $2t+1$ OFFER message and be able to find out which message is right. Since there must be $t+1$ of message with the same C and this C will be the correct one. P_i will use polynomial interpolation to calculate its own a and a' for s_3 (even if client3 didn't send anything to P_i). Then P_i can get its $[1, 2, 3]$ sub-share. In this way every honest node can finally get its $[1, 2, 3]$ sub-share.

4. Forth phase, it is the same as READY phase of normal VSS. The only difference is that all things in (READY, $C, a(j), a'(j)$) contains info of $s_1 + s_2 + s_3$, not just one secret.