		31.05.16 / M.Nowak	M.I comments	(can be deleted wi	(th next review)																
	is project info available?	yes			1																
	is up-to-date?	NO.	veap, I'll ask P	M to update that a	is soon as ASAP																
	does the project info contain all necessary	NO.	June 1																		
		yes																			
	are mockups available?	yes																			
		Project info contains all necessary data and																			
	SUMMARY	new template is used. Pivotal Tracker is linked instead of JIRA.																			
		new template is used. Pivotal Tracker is linked instead of JIRA. Password for staging should be kept in 1pass vault, not in the project info.	s																		
	is it available?	yes																			
QA Checklist	is it un-to-date?	yes																			
	Is it clear?	yes																			
	are the key features marked in red?	yes																			
		Charklist is quite new there are only 2																			
	is the checkup done regularly both on stagin and after deploy?	checkups for now																			
	SUMMARY	There is 2 month gap between checkups, but	t																		
		There is 2 month gap between checkups, but last one was made a week ago, so quite recently.	I will try to make	ke it more often an	d automatize stuf	If that can be done															
	is the document available?	yes																			
Project functional note	does it contain all necessary data?	yes																			
	is it up-to-date?	yes (last edit May 20th)																			
	SUMMARY																				
		Pretty impressive functional notes - great job																			
	are the bugs properly described? do they contain commits/PRs?	generally yes, but some tickets (mainly from PM) don't have any description at all	We will try to v	work on ticket desc	riptions quality																
	are the bugs properly labeled?	not all of them	.,	1																	
	do the huns contain screenshots?	vae																			
		Tickets added by QA are very well described	ı,																		
Pivotal Tasks/Jira		Tickets added by QA are very well described using AS/TO BE pattern and contain screenshots/screencasts. Tickets added by the client/PM are mostly properly described as well. However there are some tickets without any description - like these two: https://www.pivotaltracker.com/story/show/11 8578823.																			
		the client/PM are mostly properly described as well. However there are some tickets																			
	SUMMARY	without any description - like these two: https://www.pivotaltracker.com/storu/show/11	1																		
		8578823,																			
		8578823, https://www.pivotaltracker.com/story/show/11 8578783. Some bugs don't have priority labels.																			
	does the project environment allow the	Some bugs don't have priority labels.																			
	implementation of tests written by QA?	yes																			
	are the automated tests implemented by QA	? no																			
	what framework is used?	rspec, capybara, site-prism																			
		Project environment allows the																			
	SUMMARY	and Capybara gems are used. Feature specs	8																		
	SUMMART	a junior QA so he is not writing any specs for	is r																		
		Project environment allows the implementation of tests written by QA - Rspe and Capybara gems are used. Feature specs are written by the developer for now. Michal a junior QA so he is not writing any specs for now, but he will probably have the abbility to do that in the future:)	sooooon																		
Bug Bash	is it made regularly?	yes																			
	are the bugs added to the Pivotal/Jira?	yes																			
	SUMMARY																				
	is the file available?	all good yes	_																		
1password	does the file contain all the needed data?	una																			
		not all of them - staging credentials have wrong url (url of homepage instead of login page)																			
	do all credentials work?	wrong url (url of homepage instead of login	I'll check and f	fix the wrong ones																	
	SUMMARY	Credentials for all important accounts are																			
		added to 1 pass and are up to date, but some accounts have incorrect url address.	1																		
	has the project been added to the Calibre app?	luan .																			
		4,458		-		-															
	average load time (visually complete):	7.77sec	-	+	-	-															
		2,37MB																			
	average pagespeed score:	52/100																			
	5.7.5	Average page size and pagespeed score are																			
		Average page size and pagespeed score are ok. Speedindex need to be looked at (it's not tragic, but results below 3000 are desirable). Average load time require some work since acceptable values start at 5 sec.	dirides rene for to Se foliated at (if it in ord it results below 000 000 000 (if it is ord it results below 000 000 000 (if it is ord i																		
	SUMMARY/possible room for improvement:	Average load time require some work since acceptable values start at 5 sec.	tickets about p	page speed are add	ded to jira (critical	from calibre app)	- althou app hav	e huge problems with	performance sinc	ce code isn't ref	factored, client w	ant new feature	s before page opti	imalization							
		- great functional notes! - really clear checklist - good job. just keep up with regular checkups regular bugbashes - tickets are very well described - good QA	D																		
OLD MAADY		with regular checkups.																			
SUMMARY	Good things	- tickets are very well described - good QA																			
		- looks like it's a good project for writing feature specs - stick with it it for the future! ;)																			
		- please undate project into doc. I checked		+		-															
		please update project info doc. I checked Pivotal Tracker instead of Jira because info was outdated. There are 3 Camival related projects in JIRA (are all of those needed?) so without help from Michai I wouldn't know																			
		projects in JIRA (are all of those needed?) so	9																		
		where too look.																			
		suggestions may no longer be relevant (like																			
		where too fook. - Because I checked Pivotal some of my suggestions may no longer be relevant (like missing labels). However, please remember about proper descriptions for lickets that are not added by QA. Tickets without description/screenshots may be confusing for new team members.																			
	Room for Improvement	not added by QA. Tickets without description/screenshots may be confusing for	ď																		
		new team members.																			
		Small things: - please change URLs for staging credentials in 1pass vault - they're redirecting to incorrect																			
		in 1pass vault - they're redirecting to incorrect	á																		
		- take a look at the Calibre results - some																			
		improvements in speedindex and average load time would be nice.																			
	Potential problems for new QA joining the project	Nothing comes to my mind - great functional notes, very clear checklist and pretty well described tickets should be enough to introduce new QA to the project smoothly.																			
	hidox	described tickets should be enough to introduce new QA to the project smoothly																			
	Other observations																				