

# PC1. FOUNDATIONS OF MACHINE LEARNING. (MDC\_51006\_EP - 2025-2026)

**EXERCISE 1 (BAYES CLASSIFIER)** Prove that the optimal binary Bayes Classifier for the 0 – 1 loss is given by

$$f^*(X) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y = -1|X) \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**EXERCISE 2 (TRAINING ERROR OPTIMISM)** Assume that  $((x_i, y_i))_i$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random vectors. Consider a parametric model  $f_\beta$  fit by least squares to a set of training data  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)$  drawn at random from a population. Let  $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbf{R}^d$  be the least squares estimate, i.e.

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f_\beta(x_i))^2$$

Suppose we have some test data  $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1), \dots, (\tilde{x}_M, \tilde{y}_M)$  drawn at random from the same population  $((x_i, y_i))_i$  as the training data.

1. If we define

$$R_{train}(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - f_\beta(x_i))^2$$

and

$$R_{test}(\beta) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\tilde{y}_i - f_\beta(\tilde{x}_i))^2$$

Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[R_{train}(\hat{\beta})] \leq \mathbb{E}[R_{test}(\hat{\beta})]$$

where the expectations are over all that is random in each expression.

2. Can we replace  $R_{test}(\beta)$  by the risk  $R(\beta) = \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{y} - f_\beta(\tilde{x}))^2]$  where  $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$  follows the population law?

3. Let  $\beta^*$  be the minimizer of the risk  $R(\beta)$ , prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[R_{train}(\hat{\beta})] \leq R(\beta^*) \leq \mathbb{E}[R(\hat{\beta})]$$

**EXERCISE 3 (TESTING ERROR)** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space. Assume that  $(X, Y)$  is a couple of random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and taking values in  $\mathcal{X} \times \{-1, 1\}$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a given state space. One aim of supervised classification is to define a function  $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , called *classifier*, such that  $h(X)$  is the best prediction of  $Y$  in a given context. For instance, the probability of misclassification of  $h$  is

$$L_{\text{miss}}(h) = \mathbb{P}(Y \neq h(X)) .$$

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X]$  is a random variable measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(X)$ . Therefore, there exists a function  $\eta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  so that  $\mathbb{E}[Y|X] = \eta(X)$  almost surely.

In Exercise 1, we have shown that  $h_*$ , defined for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , by

$$h_*(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \eta(x) > 0 , \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} , \end{cases}$$

is such that

$$h_* = \underset{h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\text{miss}}(h) .$$

1. In practice, the minimization of  $L_{\text{miss}}$  holds on a specific set  $\mathcal{H}$  of classifiers (often called the *dictionary*), which may possibly not contain the Bayes classifier. Moreover, since in most cases, the classification risk  $L_{\text{miss}}$  cannot be computed nor minimized, it is instead estimated by the empirical classification risk defined as

$$\hat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{Y_i \neq h(X_i)} ,$$

where  $(X_i, Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  are independent observations with the same distribution as  $(X, Y)$ . The classification problem then boils down to solving

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n \in \operatorname{argmin}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \hat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h) .$$

Prove that for all set  $\mathcal{H}$  of classifiers and all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$L_{\text{miss}}(\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n) - \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L_{\text{miss}}(h) \leq 2 \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left| \hat{L}_{\text{miss}}^n(h) - L_{\text{miss}}(h) \right| .$$

2. Using Hoeffding's inequality, stating that if  $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$  then for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] \right| > t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left( \frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2} \right) , \quad (1)$$

prove that when  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_M\}$  for a given  $M \geq 1$ , then, for all  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left( L_{\text{miss}}(\hat{h}_{\mathcal{H}}^n) \leq \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} L_{\text{miss}}(h_j) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} \log \left( \frac{2M}{\delta} \right)} \right) \geq 1 - \delta .$$