Spatial models in Gammapy

gammapy coding sprint

Paris, 02/2019

Luca Giunti, giunti@apc.in2p3.fr

Spatial models – status

The base class

```
1 class SkySpatialModel(Model):
      """Sky spatial model base class."""
2
3
      def __call__(self, lon, lat):
4
           """ Call evaluate method"""
5
           kwargs = dict()
6
           for par in self.parameters.parameters:
7
               kwargs[par.name] = par.quantity
8
9
           return self.evaluate(lon, lat, **kwargs)
10
```

The models

SkyPointSource, SkyGaussian, SkyDisk, SkyShell, SkyDiffuseConstant, SkyDiffuseMap

Planar approximation or sphere?

- Planar model are easier to normalize and implement, and for most sources small angle approximation holds
- Planar approximation is valid in limited regions of a map (e.g. near the GP), due to projection and distortion
- Planar models need to be evaluated in pixel coordinates
 (x, y), but gammapy models use (lon, lat): intrinsically on
 the sphere

What others have?

Astropy	р
Sherpa	р
Astromodels	p/s
Gammalib	S

Planar approximation or sphere?

Normalization: $\int_{R^2} f \ dx \ dy = 1 \text{ vs. } \int_{4\pi} f \ d\Omega = 1$

Evaluation: e.g. $dist = \sqrt{\Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2}$ vs. angular_separation()

Name	Normalization	Evaluation
SkyGaussian	s #2034	S
SkyDisk	S	S
SkyShell	р	S
SkyEllipse #2046	S	S

SkyPointSource

 ${\sf SkyDiffuseConstant}$

 ${\sf SkyDiffuseMap}$

Spatial models – to do (PIG 7, #1663)

- Settle on the planar vs. spherical models question, and implement all the models accordingly
- Implement an elongated Gaussian, and possibly other models
- Define default parameters
- Expose model parameters as attributes
- Implement an evaluation_radius property for all models
- Allow initialization of the spatial positions with SkyCoord
- Add examples to the docs