Applied Stochastic Analysis Homework assignment 10

Luca Venturi

April 28, 2017

Exercise 1

(a) By applying Itô formula, we have

$$\begin{split} I_t^{(3)} &\doteq \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} dW_{s_3} \, dW_{s_2} \, dW_{s_1} = \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} W_{s_2} \, dW_{s_2} \, dW_{s_1} \\ &= \int_0^t \left[\int_0^{s_1} d\left(\frac{1}{2}W_{s_2}^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \right] dW_{s_1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1}^2 \, dW_{s_1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t s_1 \, dW_{s_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \int_0^t d\left(W_{s_1}^3\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1} \, ds_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t d(s_1 W_{s_1}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1} \, ds_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} W_t^3 - \frac{1}{2} t W_t. \end{split}$$

(b) We proceed by induction. For k=3 the equality holds due to part (a) and to

$$I_t^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t, \qquad I_t^{(1)} = W_t.$$

First of all, we notice that

$$I_t^{(k+1)} = \int_0^t I_{s_1}^{(k)} dW_{s_1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad dI_t^{(k+1)} = I_t^{(k)} dW_t.$$

Then, if we suppose that the recursion formula holds for k, for k+1 we get

$$dI_t^{(k+1)} = I_t^{(k)} dW_t = \frac{1}{k} \Big(W_t I_t^{(k-1)} - t I_t^{(k-2)} \Big) dW_t.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} d\left[\frac{1}{k+1}\Big(W_tI_t^{(k)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big)\right] &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[d\Big(W_tI_t^{(k)}\Big)-d\Big(tI_t^{(k-1)}\Big)\Big] = \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_t^{(k)}dW_t+W_t\,dI_t^{(k)}+dW_t\,dI_t^{(k)}-tdI_t^{(k-1)}-I_t^{(k-1)}\,dt-dtdI_t^{(k-1)}\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_t^{(k)}dW_t+W_tI_t^{(k-1)}dW_t+I_t^{(k-1)}(dW_t)^2-tI_t^{(k-2)}\,dW_t-I_t^{(k-1)}\,dt\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_t^{(k)}+W_tI_t^{(k-1)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big]dW_t \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[\frac{1}{k}\Big(W_tI_t^{(k-1)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big)+W_tI_t^{(k-1)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big]dW_t \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big(1+\frac{1}{k}\Big)\Big(W_tI_t^{(k-1)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big)dW_t = \frac{1}{k}\Big(W_tI_t^{(k-1)}-tI_t^{(k-2)}\Big)dW_t. \end{split}$$

This shows that

$$dI_t^{(k+1)} = d \left[\frac{1}{k+1} \left(W_t I_t^{(k)} - t I_t^{(k-1)} \right) \right],$$

which implies

$$I_t^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \Big(W_t I_t^{(k)} - t I_t^{(k-1)} \Big),$$

i.e. what we wanted to prove.

Exercise 3

If we consider the SDE

$$dX_t = \lambda X_t dt + \mu X_t dW_t,$$

the Milstein scheme gives

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \lambda X_n \Delta t + \mu X_n \Delta W + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 X_n ((\Delta W)^2 - \Delta t),$$

where ΔW is a r.v. $\sim N(0, \Delta t)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^{2} = \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(1 + \lambda \Delta t + \mu \Delta W + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}((\Delta W)^{2} - \Delta t)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2}\left[(1 + \lambda \Delta t)^{2} + \mu^{2} \Delta t + \frac{1}{4}\mu^{4} \mathbb{E}\left[((\Delta W)^{2} - \Delta t))^{2}\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2}\left[(1 + \lambda \Delta t)^{2} + \mu^{2} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{4}(\Delta t)^{2}\right].$$

Therefore the stability region for the Milstein scheme is

$$R_1 = \{ (x, y) = (\lambda \Delta t, \mu^2 \Delta t) : (1 + x)^2 + y + \frac{1}{2}y^2 < 1 \}.$$

We know that the stability region for the SDE is $R_2 = \{(x,y) : y < -2x \}$ and the one for the EM scheme is $R_3 = \{(x,y) : (1+x)^2 + y < 1 \}$.