## Applied Stochastic Analysis Homework assignment 10

Luca Venturi

April 30, 2017

## Exercise 1

(a) By applying Itô formula, we have

$$\begin{split} I_t^{(3)} &\doteq \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} dW_{s_3} \, dW_{s_2} \, dW_{s_1} = \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} W_{s_2} \, dW_{s_2} \, dW_{s_1} \\ &= \int_0^t \left[ \int_0^{s_1} d\left(\frac{1}{2}W_{s_2}^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 \right] dW_{s_1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1}^2 \, dW_{s_1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t s_1 \, dW_{s_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \int_0^t d\left(W_{s_1}^3\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1} \, ds_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t d(s_1 W_{s_1}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t W_{s_1} \, ds_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} W_t^3 - \frac{1}{2} t W_t. \end{split}$$

(b) We proceed by induction. For k=3 the equality holds due to part (a) and to

$$I_t^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}t, \qquad I_t^{(1)} = W_t.$$

First of all, we notice that

$$I_t^{(k+1)} = \int_0^t I_{s_1}^{(k)} dW_{s_1} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad dI_t^{(k+1)} = I_t^{(k)} dW_t.$$

Then, if we suppose that the recursion formula holds for k, for k+1 we get

$$dI_t^{(k+1)} = I_t^{(k)} dW_t = \frac{1}{k} \Big( W_t I_t^{(k-1)} - t I_t^{(k-2)} \Big) dW_t.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{split} d\left[\frac{1}{k+1}\Big(W_{t}I_{t}^{(k)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big)\right] &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[d\Big(W_{t}I_{t}^{(k)}\Big)-d\Big(tI_{t}^{(k-1)}\Big)\Big] = \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_{t}^{(k)}dW_{t}+W_{t}dI_{t}^{(k)}+dW_{t}dI_{t}^{(k)}-tdI_{t}^{(k-1)}-I_{t}^{(k-1)}dt-dtdI_{t}^{(k-1)}\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_{t}^{(k)}dW_{t}+W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}dW_{t}+I_{t}^{(k-1)}(dW_{t})^{2}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}dW_{t}-I_{t}^{(k-1)}dt\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[I_{t}^{(k)}+W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big]dW_{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big[\frac{1}{k}\Big(W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big)+W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big]dW_{t} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1}\Big(1+\frac{1}{k}\Big)\Big(W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big)dW_{t} = \frac{1}{k}\Big(W_{t}I_{t}^{(k-1)}-tI_{t}^{(k-2)}\Big)dW_{t}. \end{split}$$

This shows that

$$dI_t^{(k+1)} = d \left[ \frac{1}{k+1} \left( W_t I_t^{(k)} - t I_t^{(k-1)} \right) \right],$$

which implies

$$I_t^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{k+1} \Big( W_t I_t^{(k)} - t I_t^{(k-1)} \Big),$$

i.e. what we wanted to prove.

## Exercise 2

Let's consider the SDE

$$dX_t = b(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t.$$

We know that the Milstein scheme has order 1 and it's based on the expansion:

$$X_t = X_0 + b(X_0) \int_0^t ds + \sigma(X_0) \int_0^t dW_s + (\sigma\sigma')(X_0) \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} [d(W_s^2) - ds] + R_1,$$

where

$$R_1 = \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathcal{L}_0 b(X_z) \, dz ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathcal{L}_0 \sigma(X_z) \, dz dW_s + \int_0^t \int_0^s \mathcal{L}_1 b(X_z) \, dW_z ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^z \mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{L}_1 \sigma(X_u) \, dW_u dW_z dW_s + \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_0^z \mathcal{L}_0 \mathcal{L}_1 \sigma(X_u) \, du dW_z dW_s$$

(here  $\mathcal{L}_0 = b\partial_x + (1/2)\sigma^2\partial_{xx}^2$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \sigma\partial_x$ ). Applying the rule

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_0 f(X_s) \, ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_1 f(X_s) \, dW_s$$

to the first four components of  $R_1$ , we get the new expansion:

$$X_{t} = X_{0} + b(X_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} ds + \sigma(X_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} dW_{s} + (\sigma\sigma')(X_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(W_{s}^{2}) - ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (bb')(X_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2}b'')(X_{0}) \right] \int_{0}^{t} d(s^{2}) + (\sigma b')(X_{0}) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} dW_{z} ds$$

$$+ \left[ (b\sigma')(X_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^{2}\sigma'')(X_{0}) \right] \int_{0}^{t} \left[ d(sW_{s}) - W_{s} ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma(X_{0}) \left[ (\sigma')^{2} (X_{0}) + (\sigma\sigma'')(X_{0}) \right] \int_{0}^{t} \left[ \frac{1}{3} d(W_{s}^{3}) - d(sW_{s}) \right] + R_{2}$$

for some remainder term  $R_2$  (we used the result from exercise 1.a for the last term). From this expansion we get the following discrete scheme:

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + b(X_n)\Delta t + \sigma(X_n)\Delta W + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma\sigma')(X_n)((\Delta W)^2 - \Delta t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (bb')(X_n) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2b'')(X_n) \right] (\Delta t)^2$$

$$+ \left[ (b\sigma')(X_n) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2\sigma'')(X_n) \right] (\Delta t\Delta W - \Delta Z) + (\sigma b')(X_n)\Delta Z$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sigma(X_n) \left[ (\sigma')^2(X_n) + (\sigma\sigma'')(X_n) \right] \left( \frac{1}{3}(\Delta W)^3 - \Delta W\Delta t \right),$$

where  $\Delta W$  is a r.v.  $\sim N(0, \Delta t)$  and  $\Delta Z$  is a r.v.  $\sim \int_0^{\Delta t} \int_0^s dW_z ds \sim N(0, (\Delta t)^3/3)$ . In particular  $\mathbb{E}[\Delta W \Delta Z] = (\Delta t)^2/2$ , so, at every step we must compute an instance of the 2d r.v.  $(\Delta W, \Delta Z) \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ , where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta t & (\Delta t)^2 / 2 \\ (\Delta t)^2 / 2 & (\Delta t)^3 / 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(we can do this using the method based on Choleski decomposition of  $\Sigma$  for example). We expect this method to have strong order of convergence 1.5 cause we derived every term in the remainder of the Milstein scheme, which has order 1, such that now in the remainder term  $R_2$  we only have triple (or quadruple) (stochastic) integrals, but none of the type  $dW_t dW_s dW_z$ .

## Exercise 3

If we consider the SDE

$$dX_t = \lambda X_t dt + \mu X_t dW_t,$$

the Milstein scheme gives

$$X_{n+1} = X_n + \lambda X_n \Delta t + \mu X_n \Delta W + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 X_n ((\Delta W)^2 - \Delta t),$$

where  $\Delta W$  is a r.v.  $\sim N(0, \Delta t)$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}|X_{n+1}|^{2} = \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(1 + \lambda \Delta t + \mu \Delta W + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{2}((\Delta W)^{2} - \Delta t)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2}\left[(1 + \lambda \Delta t)^{2} + \mu^{2} \Delta t + \frac{1}{4}\mu^{4} \mathbb{E}\left[((\Delta W)^{2} - \Delta t))^{2}\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}|X_{n}|^{2}\left[(1 + \lambda \Delta t)^{2} + \mu^{2} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2}\mu^{4}(\Delta t)^{2}\right].$$

Therefore the stability region for the Milstein scheme is

$$R_1 = \{ (x, y) = (\lambda \Delta t, \mu^2 \Delta t) : (1 + x)^2 + y + \frac{1}{2}y^2 < 1 \}.$$

We know that the stability region for the SDE is  $R_2 = \{(x,y) : y < -2x \}$  and the one for the EM scheme is  $R_3 = \{(x,y) : (1+x)^2 + y < 1 \}$ .