

Technical Appendix

Fiscal Compact and Debt Consolidation Dynamics

1 The Baseline Model

Household

There is a representative infinity-lived household maximising his expected life-utility at period $t = 0$. We assume a utility function depending on consumption and leisure. Consumers have to minimise expenditure given the level of composite good C_t .

$$(1) \quad \max_{C_t, N_t} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, N_t)$$

We assume that regularity conditions hold and that $\partial U / \partial C_t > 0$, $\partial U / \partial N_t < 0$, $\partial U / \partial C_t^2 < 0$ and $\partial U / \partial N_t^2 < 0$. Moreover, we assume a standard constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) functional form with separable consumption and leisure.

$$(2) \quad \max_{C, N} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\phi}}{1+\phi} \right)$$

We also assume that there is a continuum (in the $[0, 1]$ interval) of different goods produced with monopolistic competition in the goods market.

$$(3) \quad C_t = \left(\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

Utility is maximised subject to the household's budget constraint.

$$(4) \quad \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di + B_t \leq (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} + W_t N_t - T_t$$

And to a *No-Ponzi Game* condition in the government bonds market.

$$(5) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_t \{B_T\} \geq 0, \quad \forall t$$

The representative consumer allocates wealth between consumption and saving: $P_t(i)$ denote the prices of different goods i , Q_t is the interest rate, W_t stands for wage and T_t is a lump-sum transfer which also captures the dividends coming from firms owned by households.

In order to derive the optimal allocation between goods, the representative agent maximises total consumption subject to any possible level of expenditure:

$$(6) \quad \min_{C_t(i)} \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di$$

s.t.

$$(7) \quad \left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} \geq C_t$$

The Lagrangian takes the form

$$(8) \quad \min_{C_t(i)} \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di - \psi_t \left(\left[\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}} di \right]^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} - C_t \right)$$

From the first order conditions we can recover the *demand schedule* and the *aggregate price*

$$(9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial C_t(i)} \equiv C_t(i) = C_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{\psi_t} \right)^{-\epsilon}$$

Where ψ_t is the Lagrangian multiplier. From F.O.C it is possible to show that $\psi_t > 0$ implying a binding constraint.

Plugging into the definition of a composite good and solving for ψ_t we obtain the *aggregate price index*

$$(10) \quad \psi_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}} \equiv P_t$$

Then the *demand for good i* is

$$(11) \quad C_t(i) = C_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\epsilon}$$

Thus we get

$$(12) \quad \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di = P_t C_t$$

which can be plugged into the original budget constraint yielding Equation (13)

$$(13) \quad P_t C_t + B_t \leq (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} + W_t N_t - T_t$$

Maximising the utility function w.r.t. (13) we can construct the Lagrangian:

$$(14) \quad \max_{C_t, N_t} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\phi}}{1+\phi} - \Lambda_t (P_t C_t + B_t - (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} - W_t N_t + T_t) \right)$$

The first order conditions are ¹

$$(15) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial C_t} \equiv C_t^{-\sigma} = \Lambda_t P_t$$

$$(16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial N_t} \equiv N_t^{\phi} = \Lambda_t W_t$$

$$(17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial B_t} \equiv \beta \frac{\Lambda_{t+1}}{\Lambda_t} = \frac{1}{(1 + R_t)}$$

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \Lambda_t} \equiv P_t C_t + B_t - (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} - W_t N_t + T_t = 0$$

By solving the system we can recover the *Labour Supply* (19). Solving forward Equation (15) we get the *Euler Equation* (20).

$$(19) \quad \frac{W_t}{P_t} = N_t^{\phi} C_t^{\sigma}$$

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{1}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{(1 + R_t)}$$

The *Euler Equation* states how to allocate consumption between different periods by acquiring bonds at price Q_t .

¹The Lagrangian multiplier is positive $\Lambda_t > 0$. In this way the *complementary slackness condition* is satisfy and $\Lambda_t (P_t C_t + Q_t B_t - B_{t-1} - W_t N_t + T_t) = 0$. From the derivative w.r.t. C_t is easy to show that $\Lambda_t = C_t P_t$ is positive by assumption.

Firms

We assume firms operate with monopolistic competition and produce differentiated goods by using just labour and technology. Technology A_t is equal among firms. The production function has the following form:

$$(21) \quad Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$$

Price levels adjust *à la Calvo* with a fraction $1 - \theta$ of re-optimizing firms and a fraction θ of non re-optimizing firms with $\theta \in [0, 1]$. $S(t)$ is the set of non re-optimizing firms.

$$(22) \quad P_t = \left(\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\epsilon} di \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$(23) \quad P_t = \left(\int_{S(t)} \theta P_{t-1}(i)^{1-\epsilon} di + (1 - \theta) P_t^{*1-\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$(24) \quad P_t = (\theta P_{t-1}^{1-\epsilon} + (1 - \theta) P_t^{*1-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

dividing both sides by P_{t-1} we can rewrite Equation (22) in terms of inflation

$$(25) \quad \Pi_t^{1-\epsilon} = \theta + (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{1-\epsilon}$$

Re-optimizing firms solve the following profit maximisation subject to the *Demand Constraint*

$$(26) \quad \max_{P_t^*} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \mathbb{E}_t \{ Q_{t,t+k} (P_t^* Y_{t+k|t} - \Psi_{t+k}(Y_{t+k|t})) \}$$

where $Q_{t,t+k}$ is the discount factor. It is assumed to be equal to the consumers' discount factor. Ψ_{t+k} is a cost function depending on the production level. We assume regularity condition on the cost function.

$$(27) \quad s.t. \quad Y_{t+k|t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k}$$

directly plugging the *Demand Constraint* into the objective equation and maximising for P_t^* yields

$$(28) \quad \max_{P_t^*} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \mathbb{E}_t \left\{ Q_{t,t+k} \left(P_t^* \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k} - \Psi_{t+k} \left(\left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k} \right) \right) \right\}$$

$$(29) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \mathbb{E}_t Q_{t,t+k} \left((1-\epsilon) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k} + \epsilon \Psi'_{t+k|t} \frac{P_t^{*- \epsilon - 1}}{P_{t+k}^{\epsilon}} Y_{t+k} \right) = 0$$

$$(30) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \mathbb{E}_t \left[Q_{t,t+k} Y_{t+k} \left(P_t^* - \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1} \Psi'_{t+k|t} \right) \right] = 0$$

dividing by P_{t-1} and plugging $Q_{t,t+k} = \beta^k \left(\frac{C_{t+k}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}}$ and $MC_{t+k} = \frac{\Psi'_{t+k}}{P_{t+k}}$ we get

$$(31) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\theta\beta)^k \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{C_{t+k}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}} \right) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k} \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} - \mathcal{M} MC_{t+k} \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t-1}} \right) \right] = 0$$

and after some manipulations

$$(32) \quad P_t^* = \mathcal{M} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\theta\beta)^k \mathbb{E}_t [C_{t+k}^{-\sigma} Y_{t+k} P_{t+k} MC_{t+k}]}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\theta\beta)^k \mathbb{E}_t [C_{t+k}^{-\sigma} Y_{t+k}]}$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}$. Notice that as $\theta = 0$ we are in the case of flexible price, thus the optimal price setting is given by $P_t^* = \mathcal{M} \Psi'_{t|t}$.

Firms, as consumers, face a dualistic problem. They need to choose the optimal price in order to maximize profits and also have to choose the amount of labor to minimize the costs.

$$(33) \quad \min_{N_t(i)} \quad \frac{W_t}{P_t} N_t(i)$$

s.t.

$$(34) \quad Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$$

Building-up the Lagrangian function we define the Lagrangian multiplier as the marginal cost of increasing the production by one unit (*shadow price*).

$$(35) \quad \min_{N_t(i)} \quad \frac{W_t}{P_t} N_t(i) - MC_t (Y_t(i) - A_t N_t(i)^{1-\alpha})$$

$$(36) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial N_t(i)} \equiv MC_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha) A_t N_t(i)^{-\alpha}}$$

Government

The government purchases a continuum of different public goods in the $[0, 1]$ range produced by firms with monopolistic competition. The objective function is the following ²:

$$(37) \quad \max_{G_t(i)} G_t = \left(\int_0^1 G_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}}$$

maximising the consumption of public goods for any level of expenditure.

$$(38) \quad s.t. \quad \int_0^1 P_t(i) G_t(i) di$$

The Lagrangian takes the form

$$(39) \quad \max_{G_t(i)} \left(\int_0^1 G_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} - \nu_t \left(\int_0^1 P_t(i) G_t(i) di \right)$$

computing the F.O.C. with respect to $G_t(i)$ yields Equation (40)

$$(40) \quad \left(\int_0^1 G_t(i)^{1-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon-1}} G_t(i)^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}} - \nu_t P_t(i) = 0$$

from which we can recover the *Demand Schedule for Public Goods*

$$(41) \quad G_t(i) = G_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\epsilon}$$

The Government is financed by *Lump-Sum Taxes* and abide by the *Government Budget Constraint* (GBC).³

$$(42) \quad B_t = (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} + G_t - T_t$$

Finally, in our model the public spending is not set as a purely exogenous process but is made by two parts; one part is deterministic and abide by the *Fiscal Compact Rule* (FCR). The other is an exogenous process and can be considered as an unexpected government spending shock Ω .⁴

$$(43) \quad G_t = T_t + \alpha_g \left(\frac{B^*}{Y^*} - \frac{B_t}{Y_t^F} \right) + \Omega_t$$

²We assume private and public goods having the same elasticities.

³We set T_t positive because we put it positive into the agents budget interpreting it as transfer as in Galí (2008).

Having it negative would make no difference.

⁴The most straight example could be a war or an earthquake.

Where $\frac{B^*}{Y^*}$ is the government debt/GDP target exogenously assigned by the fiscal compact rule. We assume that the target level is equal to the steady state level B/Y . This assumption will be useful in the log-linearized version of the model, where variables are described as deviation from the steady state. Assuming the target level equal to the steady state level implies that the log-deviation from the steady state is also the deviation from the target. Making this assumption makes the debt return rules be equivalent to imposing a constraint on the timing of system shock absorption. In fact, changing the size of α_g changes the velocity with which the shock hitting debt is absorbed by the system. As α_g increases the shock is absorbed faster, while in the opposite case it is slower.

Market Clearing

Goods Market

The market clearing condition in the good market is

$$(44) \quad Y_t(i) = C_t(i) + G_t(i)$$

From which we get the *Aggregate Output Equation*

$$(45) \quad Y_t = C_t + G_t$$

Labour Market

The *Aggregate labour* equation is

$$(46) \quad N_t = \int_0^1 N_t(i) di$$

Rewriting the *Production Function* (21) and solving for $N_t(i)$ we get

$$(47) \quad N_t(i) = \left(\frac{Y_t(i)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

and by plugging into the *Aggregate Labour*

$$(48) \quad N_t = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{Y_t(i)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} di$$

Finally by using the definition of $Y_t(i)$, we get Equation (49)

$$(49) \quad N_t = \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di$$

The System of Equations

The non-linear system of equations is made-up by the following equations: labor supply, Euler equation, firms optimal price setting, labor demand, price dynamics, inflation dynamics, goods market clearing, labor market clearing and fiscal compact rule. We also model the exogenous variables as autoregressive processes and we consider a standard *Feedback Rule* for the policy interest rate. We specify explicitly these equations in the next sections, where the log-linearised model is derived. The endogenous variables are $W_t, P_t, N_t, C_t, R_t, \Pi_t, Y_t, MC_t, B_t, G_t$ while A_t and Ω_t are exogenous shocks.

$$(50) \quad \frac{W_t}{P_t} = N_t^\phi C_t^\sigma$$

$$(51) \quad \mathbb{E}_t \left[\beta \left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \frac{1}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{(1 + R_t)}$$

$$(52) \quad P_t C_t + B_t = (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} + W_t N_t - T_t$$

$$(53) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\theta \beta)^k \mathbb{E}_t \left[\left(\frac{C_{t+k}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t+k}} \right) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}} \right)^{-\epsilon} Y_{t+k} \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} - \mathcal{M} M C_{t+k} \frac{P_{t+k}}{P_{t-1}} \right) \right] = 0$$

$$(54) \quad M C_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha) A_t N_t(i)^{-\alpha}}$$

$$(55) \quad P_t = (\theta P_{t-1}^{1-\epsilon} + (1 - \theta) P_t^{*1-\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{1-\epsilon}}$$

$$(56) \quad \Pi_t^{1-\epsilon} = \theta + (1 - \theta) \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{1-\epsilon}$$

$$(57) \quad Y_t = C_t + G_t$$

$$(58) \quad N_t = \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di$$

$$(59) \quad B_t = (1 + R_t) B_{t-1} + G_t - T_t$$

$$(60) \quad G_t = T_t + \alpha_g \left(\frac{B^*}{Y^*} - \frac{B_t}{Y_t^F} \right) + \Omega_t$$

Flexible Price Equilibrium

From the firm price optimization setting we get the flexible price mark-up $P_t^* = \mathcal{M}\Psi'_{t|t}$. Given that under flexible prices $P_t^* = P_t$ we have $MC_t = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}$. Now we would like to find an expression for output under flexible price, in order to build the Dynamic IS equation and the NKPC. Plugging the flexible price mark-up into labor demand $MC_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t} \frac{1}{A_t(1-\alpha)N_t^{1-\alpha}}$ and using the goods market clearing condition $C_t = Y_t + G_t$, the labor supply $\frac{W_t}{P_t} = C_t^\sigma N_t^\phi$ and the labor market clearing condition $N_t = \left(\frac{Y_t}{A_t}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$ we get:

$$(61) \quad (Y_t - G_t)^\sigma Y_t^{\frac{\phi+\alpha}{1-\alpha}} = \mathcal{M}(1-\alpha)A_t^{\frac{1+\phi}{1-\alpha}}$$

In order to disentangle Y_t we log-linearise the equation around the steady state.

$$(62) \quad \hat{y}_t^F = \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)C}{(1-\alpha)\sigma Y + C(\phi+\alpha)} \right) \left(\left(\frac{1+\phi}{1-\alpha} \right) \hat{a}_t - \sigma \frac{G}{C} \hat{g}_t \right)$$

2 Steady State Relationship

In steady state we obtain the following relationships by dropping time and assuming steady state inflation equal to one $\Pi = 1$.

Steady State Labor Supply

$$(63) \quad \frac{W}{P} = N^\phi C^\sigma$$

Steady State Euler Equation

$$(64) \quad \frac{1}{1+R} = \beta$$

Steady State Consumers Budget Constraint

$$(65) \quad PC = RB + WN - T$$

By assuming in steady state $P^* = P_t$ we get the *Steady State Price Setting*

$$(66) \quad MC = \frac{1}{\mathcal{M}}$$

Steady State Goods Market Clearing

$$(67) \quad Y = C + G$$

Steady State Labor Market Clearing

$$(68) \quad N = \left(\frac{Y}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P(i)}{P}\right)^{-\frac{\epsilon}{1-\alpha}} di$$

where the integral on the RHS is equal to one.

Steady State Firms Cost Minimization

$$(69) \quad MC = \frac{W}{P} \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)N^{-\alpha}}$$

Steady State Government Budget Constraint

$$(70) \quad B = \frac{1}{R}(G - T)$$

Steady State FCR

$$(71) \quad G = T + \Omega$$

3 Log-Linearization

Model log-linearisation mainly follows the three Uhlig's building blocks⁵.

$$(72) \quad e^{x_t + ay_t} \approx 1 + x_t + ay_t$$

$$(73) \quad x_t y_t \approx 0$$

$$(74) \quad \mathbb{E}_t [ae^{x_{t+1}}] \approx \mathbb{E}_t [ax_{t+1}]$$

Where x_t and y_t are real variables close to zero ($x_t = \log(X_t) - \log(\bar{X})$, in our notation this will be \hat{x}_t), \bar{X} is the steady state value of the variable X_t (in our notation this will be just X) and a is a constant (the second and third building blocks are up to a constant). As suggested by Uhlig we replace each variables by $\bar{X}e^{x_t}$, than applying the three building blocks. After some manipulations, all the constants drop out to each equations.

⁵Reported here as in the original notation of Uhlig (1995)

Labour Supply

$$(75) \quad \hat{w}_t - \hat{p}_t = \phi \hat{n}_t + \sigma \hat{c}_t$$

Euler Equation

$$(76) \quad \hat{c}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \hat{c}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\hat{i}_t - \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1} \right)$$

Inflation Dynamics

$$(77) \quad \pi_t = (1 - \theta)(\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1})$$

Price Setting

$$(78) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k (\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k \mathbb{E}_t \left[(\hat{m}c_{t+k|t} + (\hat{p}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t-1})) \right]$$

Goods Market Clearing

$$(79) \quad \hat{c}_t = \frac{Y}{C} \hat{y}_t - \frac{G}{C} \hat{g}_t$$

*Labor Market Clearing*⁶

$$(82) \quad \hat{n}_t = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha)} (\hat{y}_t - \hat{a}_t)$$

Firms Cost Minimization

$$(83) \quad \hat{m}c_t = \hat{w}_t - \hat{p}_t - \hat{a}_t + \alpha \hat{n}_t$$

Price Dynamics

⁶Taking the log-deviation of the *Market Clearing Condition* we get

$$(80) \quad N(1 + \hat{n}) = \left(\frac{Y}{A} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right) (\hat{y}_t - \hat{a}_t) \right) + RES$$

where *RES* is something very small quantity in a neighborhood of the zero inflation steady state and can be not considered in a first order Taylor expansion. See Galí (2008) chapter 3, Appendix 3.3.

$$(81) \quad \hat{y}_t = (1 - \alpha) \hat{n}_t + \hat{a}_t$$

$$(84) \quad \hat{p}_t = (1 - \theta)\hat{p}_t^* + \theta\hat{p}_{t-1}$$

Government budget constraint

$$(85) \quad \hat{b}_t = (1 + R)\hat{b}_{t-1} + R\hat{i}_t + \frac{G}{B}\hat{g}_t - \frac{T}{B}\hat{\tau}_t$$

FCR

$$(86) \quad \hat{g}_t = \hat{\tau}_t - \frac{\alpha_g B}{GY}(\hat{b}_t - \hat{y}_t^F) + \frac{1}{G}\omega_t$$

3.1 Minimum set of Equations

By using the fact that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta^k \beta^k} = (1 + \beta\theta)$, from Equation (78) follows

$$(87) \quad \hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1} = (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k \mathbb{E}_t [(\hat{m}c_{t+k|t} + (\hat{p}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t-1}))]$$

When $\alpha \neq 0$ we rule out the constant return to scale hypothesis, meaning $\hat{m}c_{t+k|t} \neq \hat{m}c_{t+k}$. We then need to find an equation for $\hat{m}c_{t+k|t}$. Starting from the marginal cost equation and plugging $\hat{\tau}_t = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\hat{a}_t - \alpha\hat{y}_t)$ we get

$$(88) \quad \hat{m}c_{t+k} = \hat{w}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t+k} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\hat{a}_{t+k} - \alpha\hat{y}_{t+k})$$

and

$$(89) \quad \hat{m}c_{t+k|t} = \hat{w}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t+k} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\hat{a}_{t+k} - \alpha\hat{y}_{t+k|t})$$

Thus

$$(90) \quad \hat{m}c_{t+k|t} - \hat{m}c_{t+k} = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}(\hat{y}_{t+k|t} - \hat{y}_{t+k})$$

And by plugging the demand schedule we get ⁷

$$(91) \quad \hat{m}c_{t+k|t} = \hat{m}c_{t+k} + \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{1-\alpha}(\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t+k})$$

⁷By taking log of the *Demand Constraint* $Y_{t+k|t} = \left(\frac{P_t^*}{P_{t+k}}\right)^{-\epsilon} (Y_{t+k})$ we have $\hat{y}_{t+k|t} = \hat{y}_{t+k} + \epsilon(\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t+k})$. Then $\hat{m}c_{t+k} = \hat{m}c_{t+k|t} + \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{1-\alpha}(\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t+k})$.

By plugging into (87) we get

$$(92) \quad \hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1} = (1 - \beta\theta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k \mathbb{E}_t \left(mc_{t+k} - \frac{\alpha\epsilon}{1-\alpha} (\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t+k}) + (\hat{p}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t-1}) \right)$$

and after some algebraic manipulations we have

$$(93) \quad \hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1} = (1 - \beta\theta) \Theta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k \mathbb{E}_t mc_{t+k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta^k \beta^k \mathbb{E}_t (\hat{p}_{t+k} - \hat{p}_{t-1})$$

Where $\Theta = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha-\alpha\epsilon}$. By rewriting (93) as different equation, and using $\pi = (1 - \theta)(\hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1})$ we get the *New Keynesian Philips Curve*

$$(94) \quad \hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1} = (1 - \beta\theta) \Theta \frac{1}{(1 - \theta\beta F)} mc_t + \frac{1}{(1 - \theta\beta F)} (\hat{p}_t - \hat{p}_{t-1})$$

Where F is the forward operator

$$(95) \quad \hat{p}_t^* - \hat{p}_{t-1} = \beta\theta \mathbb{E}_t (\hat{p}_{t+1}^* - \hat{p}_t) + (1 - \beta\theta) \Theta \hat{m}c_t + \pi_t$$

$$(96) \quad \hat{\pi}_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \hat{\pi}_{t+1} + \lambda \hat{m}c_t$$

where $\lambda = \frac{(1-\theta)(1-\beta\theta)\Theta}{\theta}$.

Finally the marginal cost equation is given by plugging into (84) the *Labour Supply* $\hat{w}_t - \hat{p}_t = \frac{\sigma Y}{C} \hat{y}_t - \frac{\sigma G}{C} + \phi \hat{n}_t$ and log of market clearing conditions $\hat{n}_t = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (\hat{y}_t - \hat{a}_t)$ after some manipulations we get Equation (97)

$$(97) \quad \hat{m}c_t = \left(\frac{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi + \alpha)}{C(1-\alpha)} \right) \hat{y}_t - \left(\frac{\phi + 1}{1-\alpha} \right) \hat{a}_t - \frac{\sigma G}{C} \hat{g}_t$$

Arranging in a convenient way

$$(98) \quad \hat{m}c_t = \left(\frac{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi + \alpha)}{C(1-\alpha)} \right) \left(\hat{y}_t - \left(\frac{C(1-\alpha)}{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi + \alpha)} \right) \left(\left(\frac{\phi + 1}{1-\alpha} \right) \hat{a}_t - \frac{\sigma G}{C} \hat{g}_t \right) \right)$$

And by plugging the log-linear equation of flexible equilibrium output we get ⁸

$$(99) \quad \hat{m}c_t = \left(\frac{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi + \alpha)}{C(1-\alpha)} \right) (\hat{y}_t - \hat{y}_t^F)$$

⁸ $\hat{y}_t^F = \left(\frac{C(1-\alpha)}{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi + \alpha)} \right) \left(\left(\frac{\phi + 1}{1-\alpha} \right) \hat{a}_t + \frac{\sigma G}{C} \hat{g}_t \right)$

By plugging into the NKPC and defining $\tilde{y}_t = \hat{y}_t - \hat{y}_t^F$ we get

$$(100) \quad \pi_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t + \zeta_{\pi,t}$$

where $\kappa = \lambda \frac{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi+\alpha)}{C(1-\alpha)}$, while $\zeta_{\pi,t} = \rho_\zeta \zeta_{\pi,t-1} + \eta_{\zeta,t}$ is a price mark-up shock distributed as an AR(1).

In order to find a functional form for the output-gap we need to exploit the *Euler Equation*. Recalling the log form of the *Euler Equation* we have $\hat{c}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \hat{c}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{\sigma}(\hat{i}_t - \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1})$. Plugging the market-clearing condition for the goods market we have the following relationships:

$$(101) \quad \hat{y}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \hat{y}_{t+1} - \frac{C}{Y\sigma}(\hat{i}_t - \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1}) - \frac{G}{Y}(\mathbb{E}_t \hat{g}_{t+1} - \hat{g}_t)$$

In order to write it as function of the output-gap, just sum and subtract the flexible price output y_t^F .

$$(102) \quad \tilde{y}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \frac{C}{Y\sigma}(\hat{i}_t - \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1}) - \frac{G}{Y}(\mathbb{E}_t \hat{g}_{t+1} - \hat{g}_t) + \epsilon_{y,t}$$

Where $\epsilon_{y,t} = \hat{g}_{t+1}^F - \hat{g}_t^F$ and y_t^F can be rewritten as function of shocks and past variables by making some assumptions and plugging the equation for g_t after some manipulations. First plug the government budget constraint and re-arrange the terms.

$$(103) \quad \hat{g}_t = \hat{\tau}_t - \frac{\alpha_g BR}{G(Y + \alpha_g)} \hat{i}_t - \frac{\alpha_g B(1+R)}{G(Y + \alpha_g)} \hat{b}_{t-1} + \frac{\alpha_g B}{G(Y + \alpha_g)} \hat{y}_t^F + \frac{1}{G} \omega_t$$

Now given that in flexible price equilibrium $y_t = y^F \rightarrow \tilde{y} = 0$ and inflation is equal to inflation set by CB $i_t = \theta_{i,t}$. Finally plugging into y_t^F and rearranging terms we have

$$(104) \quad \hat{y}_t^F = \Xi \left(\left(\frac{\phi+1}{1-\alpha} \right) \hat{a}_t + \frac{\sigma G}{C} \hat{\tau}_t + \frac{\sigma \alpha_g BR}{C(Y + \alpha_g)} \theta_{i,t} - \frac{\sigma \alpha_g B(1+R)}{C(Y + \alpha_g)} \hat{b}_{t-1} + \frac{\sigma}{C} \omega_t \right)$$

where $\Xi = \left(\frac{(Y + \alpha_g)C(1-\alpha)}{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi+\alpha)(Y + \alpha_g) - (1-\alpha)\sigma \alpha_g B} \right)$.

Finally in order to close the model we assume that central bank responds to change in inflation, output gap and interest rate following a feedback rule

$$(105) \quad \hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + \theta_{i,t}$$

Where $\theta_{i,t} = \rho_\theta \theta_{i,t-1} + \eta_{\theta,t}$ is an exogenous shock on interest rate which follows an AR(1) process.

4 The log-linearized model

The log-linearized version of the model is reported here for convenience.

$$(106) \quad \tilde{y}_t = \mathbb{E}_t \tilde{y}_{t+1} - \alpha_y (\hat{i}_t - \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1}) - b_y (\mathbb{E}_t \hat{g}_{t+1} - \hat{g}_t) + \epsilon_{y,t}$$

$$(107) \quad \pi_t = \beta \mathbb{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa \tilde{y}_t + \zeta_{\pi,t}$$

$$(108) \quad \hat{i}_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_y \tilde{y}_t + \theta_{i,t}$$

$$(109) \quad \hat{b}_t = (1 + a_{b1}) \hat{b}_{t-1} + a_{b1} \hat{i}_t + a_{b2} (\hat{g}_t - \hat{\tau}_t)$$

$$(110) \quad \hat{g}_t = \hat{\tau}_t - a_{d1} (\hat{b}_t - \hat{y}_t) + a_{d2} \omega_t$$

$$(111) \quad \hat{y}_t^F = \Xi \left(a_{e1} \hat{a}_t + a_{e2} \hat{\tau}_t + a_{e3} \theta_{i,t} - a_{e4} \hat{b}_{t-1} + a_{e5} \omega_t \right)$$

Where $a_y = C/Y\sigma$; $b_y = G/Y$; $\kappa = \lambda \frac{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi+\alpha)}{C(1-\alpha)}$; $\lambda = \frac{(1-\theta)(1-\beta\theta)\Theta}{\theta}$; $\Theta = \frac{1-\alpha}{1-\alpha-\alpha\epsilon}$;
 $a_{b1} = R$; $a_{b2} = G/B$; $a_{d1} = \alpha_g B/GY$; $a_{d2} = 1/G$; $\Xi = \left(\frac{(Y+\alpha_g)C(1-\alpha)}{\sigma Y(1-\alpha) + C(\phi+\alpha)(Y+\alpha_g) - (1-\alpha)\sigma\alpha_g B} \right)$;
 $a_{e1} = \frac{\phi+1}{1-\alpha}$; $a_{e2} = \frac{\sigma G}{C}$; $a_{e3} = \frac{\sigma\alpha_g B R}{C(Y+\alpha_g)}$; $a_{e4} = \frac{\sigma\alpha_g B(1+R)}{C(Y+\alpha_g)}$; $a_{e5} = \frac{\sigma}{C}$.

References

- [1] Galì J. (2008) “*Monetary Policy, Inflation, and the Business Cycle: An Introduction to the New Keynesian Framework*” Princeton University Press;
- [2] Uhlig H. (1995) “*A Toolkit for Analysing Nonlinear Dynamic Stochastic Models Easily*” Discussion Paper 1995-97, Tilburg University, Center for Economic Research.
- [3] Walsh C.E. (2010) “*Monetary Theory and Policy, Third Edition*” MIT Press Books.