

Exercise 02: Higher Dimensional K-Means, Principal Components Analysi and K-Medoids

Machine Learning I – SoSe 24

1	Preparations	2
	1.1 RStudio Project	2
	1.2 Required Packages	2
2	High(er)-dimensional K-Means in R	3
	2.1 K-means clustering with 3 dimensions	3
	2.2 USA arrests data: PCA and clustering	4
	2.3 Hamburg decathlon data, PCA and clustering	6
3	K-Medoids using the PAM Algorithm	7
	3.1 PAM Clustering	7
	3.2 Silhouette plot for K-means	9



1 Preparations

1.1 RStudio Project

- 1. Open your Machine Learning 1 RStudio Project
- 2. Create an R Script file to perform this exercise

1.2 Required Packages

For this exercise you require the following additional R packages. Please make sure that you have installed them on your computer before coming to the workshop session since Eduroam is still not working.

```
# check if packages can be loaded, i.e. they are already installed
library(rgl)  # 3D visualization
library(cluster)  # additional cluster analysis techniques
library(ISLR2)  # material from book "Introduction to Statistical Learn."
```

If you get an error at this stage, you need to install the packages using

```
install.packages("rgl")
install.packages("cluster")
install.packages("ISLR2")
```



2 High(er)-dimensional K-Means in R

2.1 K-means clustering with 3 dimensions

Copy and paste the following code into your R-script file.

```
set.seed(135792468)
x <- matrix(rnorm(75*3), ncol = 3)
x[1:25, 1] <- x[1:25, 1] + 5
x[51:75, 2] <- x[51:75, 2] - 6
truth <- rep(1:3, each = 25)
pairs(x, col = truth)</pre>
plot3d(x, col = truth, size=1, type="s")
```

- (a) Make sure you understand the above code.
- plot3d opens a graphics window. You can rotate this 3D plot in different directions using the mouse to get different views. It help to make the window larger, to see the detail better. Try to find a viewing angle that separates the clusters well.
- Run the kmeans function on this data with 3 clusters.

```
km_out <- kmeans(x, centers = 3, nstart = 20)</pre>
```

What does the argument nstart do, and why is this used? generated

number of initial sets of cluster centers to be generated

- Compare the output clusters with the true cluster values with a 2-dim frequency table. How many observations are not clustered correctly? 51
- Colour the clusters and add the cluster centroids.

```
plot3d(x, col = km_out$cluster, size = 1, type = "s")
plot3d(km_out$centers, add=TRUE, col = 1:3, type = "s")
```

- Repeat the above using just two clusters. How can you describe the two clusters in one sentence? very sparse
- g) Copy and adapt your code to do the following:
 - i) Generate a new matrix called y with 10 columns instead of 3, define the clusters in exactly the same way as above.
 - ii) Run kmeans with 3 centres on x and on y.
 - iii) Use the R function table to compare how many rows have been correctly and incorrectly assigned.
 - iv) Discuss the result. Results for both are similar so the number of clumns did not impact the result



Mean: 7.788

Variable: Assault

Variable: UrbanPop

Mean: 170.76

Mean: 65.54

Variable: Rape

Standard Deviation: 4.35551

2.2 USA arrests data: PCA and clustering

James et al. considers the USArrests data frame, see the R help page help(USArrests). Note that this is now an old dataset collected 50 ago.

The first part of this exercise closely follows the Lab 12.5.1 Principle Components Analysis on page 530 of James et al. Afterwards you will use K-Means clustering on the original data and on the PCA data. The aims of this exercise are:

- to learn about the principal components method,
- to see how many clusters are appropriate,
- to investigate the characteristics of each cluster (if possible)
- and to see if it makes a difference between clustering the original data or clustering the principal components.

Note that there is no right answer here! Our main aim is to learn more about the data as opposed to proposing a good model. Variable: Murder

Work through the following using 12.5.1 in James et al. to help you.

2.2.1 A few descriptive stats

Standard Deviation: 83.33766
"Assault" "UrbanPop" "Rape" Note the names of the four variables in USArrests. "Murder" For each variable obtain the mean and the standard deviation.

Standard Deviation: 14.47476

2.2.2 PCA

2) Use prcomp to get the principal components for this dataset.

Mean: 21.232 Standard Deviation: 9.366385

d) What is the difference between pca_out\$scale and r.out\$sdev?

Create the scree plot and cumulative variance plot plots as in James. The first two Prin**e**} cipal components explain 86.8% of the variance, so we will use just the first two.

f Obtain the biplot using

```
biplot(pca_out, xlabs = state.abb)
```

state.abb contains the standard US state abbreviations, giving a neater presentation, than that given in James et al.

2.2.3 K-Means with PCs

Run K-means on the PCA data with 2 clusters and plot the results. Note that the funcg) tion biplot does not allow the points to be coloured according to cluster assignment. Make sure you understand the two plotting commands below.

```
km_out <- kmeans(pca_out$x, centers = 2, nstart = 20)</pre>
plot(pca_out$x[, 1:2], type="n")
text(pca_out$x[,1], pca_out$x[,2], labels=state.abb, col=km_out$cluster)
```

How many states are in each cluster?

Adapt the code below to obtain the within sum of squares using 1 to 10 clusters plotting the results. At which value of *k* is there an "Elbow"?

```
wss_vec <- rep(NA,??)
for(k in 1:??){
```



```
km_out <- ??(??, centers=?, nstart=20)
wss_vec[?] <- km_out$???
}
plot(???, type = "b")</pre>
```

Using the "best" number plot the principal components coloured by cluster. As USArrests only has 4 variables obtaining a pairs plot is also worthwhile.

j) Repeat parts g, h and i clustering on the original USArrests data frame, and compare the results.



2.3 Hamburg decathlon data, PCA and clustering

The decathlon data¹ contain the results of each discipline along with other information such as age, number of points gained for each competitor. The data file for the 2017 competition is provided in Moodle². You should download and save this file in the usual way. The data is already pre-processed. In particular quite a few competitors did not complete all the events and so have missing values. Because of this the given data are restricted only to those who completed the entire decathlon. Note you will have to change the file-path in the read.csv2 command.

```
# load data
decathlon <- read.csv2("Data/DecathlonHamburg2017.csv")</pre>
```

- (a) Load the data.
- b) The matrix scatter plot obtained using pairs() is not pretty, but we can nevertheless see some strong correlations. Which combination ofb) disciplines have a large positive or large negative correlation, and is this what you would expect?
- c) Use K-Means directly on the unscaled data decathlon (use k=4, 5 or 6). Plot the scatter plot matrix using colours for the clusters based on

```
pairs(decathlon, col = ???$cluster)
```

Look at the plots in the 1500m column. The clusters are heavily dependent on the 1500m times. Why is this? This is an example where the data should be initially standardised using scale(decathlon).

- d) Use prcomp to get the principal components for this dataset and plot the first two using biplot. What characteristic is clear to see in the first principal component (x-axis)?

 Note also that there are 4 clear outliers.
- e) Use

```
plot3d(pca_out$x[,1:3], size = 1, type = "s")
```

to create an interactive plot of the first three PCs. It is not so easy to learn anything more from this than the biplot tells us.

- f) Create a *cumulative variance plot* for the principal components and decide about the number of PCs to use.
- g) Use k-means clustering on the principle components choosing an appropriate value for k and plotting the results in
 - i) a biplot and
 - ii) a scatter plot matrix.

Comment: In part (b) you ran K-Means on the original unscaled data, to emphasize the problems of the 1500m event. If you were to continue with a K-Means clustering on the original data, then scaling the variables is recommended.

¹https://www.10-kampf.de/ergebnisse/

²https://lms.bht-berlin.de/mod/folder/view.php?id=1188068&forceview=1



3 K-Medoids using the PAM Algorithm

In this section you will need the packages cluster and ISLR. Using the package cluster, allows us to use some new types of clustering techniques and diagram to investigate the output from a clustering method. As kmeans was written before the cluster package, a kmeans object cannot be directly plotted by these new functions, although it is possible to *coerce* the kmeans object into a suitable form, see Exercise 3.2.

3.1 PAM Clustering

Use the following code in order to run the Partitioning Around Medoids PAM algorithm on the USArrests dataset from Exercise 2.2. You will need to replace ??? with the appropriate code.

The USArrests data set has the state names as row names. For the cluster plots it is better to use the state abbreviations. Replace the row names with the abbreviations.

```
data("USArrests")
row.names(USArrests) <- state.abb
pairs(USArrests)</pre>
```

Have an initial look at the PAM clustering with 4 clusters. Like before the data should be scaled before we start clustering

```
library(???)
clustmat <- scale(USArrests)
pam_out <- pam(???, k = ???)
clusplot(pam_out, labels=3, col.p = pam_out$clustering)</pre>
```

The cluster plot automatically plots the first two principal components. Each cluster is represented by an ellipse that encircles all the points in that cluster. The default setting for pamis to use the Euclidean distance metric. As we are considering robust clustering, compare the resulting clusters when using manhattan.

```
pam_out <- pam(???, k = ???, metric = "manhattan")

clusplot(pam_out, labels = 3, col.p = pam_out$clustering)</pre>
```

Use the Manhattan metric, when using pamfor the rest of this workshop.

Another plot, used to assess the appropriateness of a clustering, is a **silhouette plot**. The elements are sorted into their clusters and its *silhouette width* is plotted. The silhouette width measures the similarity of each point to its cluster. A rough guide is a silhouette widths over 0.4 suggest the corresponding element is in a good cluster. Negative silhouette widths suggest that the corresponding element would probably be better assigned to a neighbour cluster. See the silhouette help page (or Wikipedia) for more details. The following code adds a reference line with the average silhouette width.

```
sp <- silhouette(pam_out)
plot(sp, col = 1:4)
mean(sp [, "sil_width"])
abline(v = mean(sp[, "sil_width"]))</pre>
```

Earlier you saw how the elbow method can be used as a guide assess to the best number of



clusters. The mean silhouette width can also be used to do this.

```
avesw_vec <- rep(NA, 7)
for(k in 2:7){
  avesw_vec[???] <- mean(silhouette(pam(clustmat, k = ???, metric=???))[, "sil_width"])
}
plot(1:7, avesw_vec, type = "b", ylim = c(0,0.6))</pre>
```

The larger the silhouette width the better. Which number of clusters gives the largest mean silhouette width?

Re-run the PAM algorithm with the optimal number of clusters

```
pam_out <- pam(???, k = ???)
clusplot(???, labels = 3, col.p = pam_out$clustering)
sp <- silhouette(???)
plot(sp, col = 1:???)
abline(v = mean(sp[, "sil_width"]))</pre>
```

Although none of the silhouette widths are large this silhouette plot is noticeably better than the first.

Compare the optimal PAM clustering with the K-Means result.

```
km_out<-kmeans(???, centers = ?? , nstart = 20)
table(km_out$???, pam_out$???)

km_out <- kmeans(clustmat, centers = 2, nstart=20)
table(km_out$cluster, pam_out$clustering)</pre>
```

The clustering obtained by the two methods is very similar.

The pamfunction tries to find a good starting point for the initial medoids. If you want to instead us multiple different random choices for the initial medoids, you can use the argument nstart with your chosen number of repeats. For this data set the two approaches give the same results.



3.2 Silhouette plot for K-means

The function silhouette was written for objects created by functions in the cluster package. kmeans is a function in the stats package installed and loaded with the base R installation. As a result

silhouette(km_out) doesn't work, but with a little work we can coerce the data into the correct format.

The first argument to silhouette should be the cluster vector accessible via km_out\$cluster. The second argument should be a matrix containing the pairwise euclidean distance between each pair of points, dist(clustmat). E.g. the euclidean distance in the USArrests dataset between elements Alabama and Alaska is 37.18. (Note this is not geographical distance!).

The following code gives a silhouette plot for your last K-means clustering.

```
# sp object by hand
sp <- silhouette(km_out$cluster, dist(USArrests))
plot(sp, col=1:???)</pre>
```