Forroset: A multipurpose dataset of Brazilian Forró music

Transparency Report 1.0 (full, 36 items)

04 January, 2022

PREREGISTRATION SECTION

(1) Prior to analyzing the complete data set, a time-stamped preregistration was posted in an independent, third-party registry for the data analysis plan. **No**

Comments about your Preregistration

No comments.

METHODS SECTION

The manuscript fully describes...

(14) the rationale for the sample size used (e.g., an a priori power analysis). **NA**

(15) how participants were recruited. **NA**

(16) how participants were selected (e.g., eligibility criteria). **NA**

(17) what compensation was offered for participation. **NA**

(18) how participant dropout was handled (e.g., replaced, omitted, etc). **NA**

(19) how participants were assigned to conditions. **NA**

(20) how stimulus materials were randomized. **NA**

(21) whether (and, if so, how) participants, experimenters, and data-analysts were kept naive to potentially biasing information. **NA**

(22) the study design, procedures, and materials to allow independent replication. **NA**

(23) the measures of interest (e.g., friendliness). **NA**

Comments about your Methods section

No comments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION SECTION

The manuscript. . .

(26) distinguishes explicitly between "confirmatory" (i.e., prespecified) and "exploratory" (i.e., not prespecified) analyses. **NA**

(27) describes how violations of statistical assumptions were handled. **NA**

(28) justifies all statistical choices (e.g., including or excluding covariates; applying or not applying transformations; use of multi-level models vs. ANOVA). **NA**

(29) reports the sample size for each cell of the design. **NA**

(30) reports how incomplete or missing data were handled. **Yes**

(31) presents protocols for data preprocessing (e.g., cleaning, discarding of cases and items, normalizing, smoothing, artifact correction). **Yes**

Comments about your Results and Discussion

The preprocessing protocols are fully described in the *"Forroset creation"* section of the article.

DATA, CODE, AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY SECTION

The following have been made publicly available. . .

(32) the (processed) data, on which the analyses of the manuscript were based. **Yes**

(33) all code and software (that is not copyright protected). **No**

(34) all instructions, stimuli, and test materials (that are not copyright protected). **NA**

(35) Are the data properly archived (i.e., would a graduate student with relevant background knowledge be able to identify each variable and reproduce the analysis)? **Yes**

(36) The manuscript includes a statement concerning the availability and location of all research items, including data, materials, and code relevant to the study. **Yes**

Comments about your Data, Code, and Materials

The dataset is available at https://sandbox.zenodo.org/record/995650#.YeLIHf5Ki5f, and the source code at https://github.com/lucas-fpaiva/Forroset. Both are under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0).

References

Aczel, B., Szaszi, B., Sarafoglou, A. Kekecs, Z., Kucharský, Š., Benjamin, D., . . . & Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2019). A consensus-based transparency checklist. *Nature Human Behavior*, 1–3. doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0772-6