# Introducing Elixir

GETTING STARTED IN FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING

Simon St. Laurent & J. David Eisenberg

O'REILLY®

Introducing Elixir

Elixir is an excellent language if you want to learn about functional programming, and with this hands-on introduction, you'll discover just how powerful and fun Elixir can be. This language combines the robust functional programming of Erlang with a syntax similar to Ruby, and includes powerful features for metaprogramming.

This book shows you how to write simple Elixir programs by teaching one skill at a time. Once you pick up pattern matching, process-oriented programming, and other concepts, you'll understand why Elixir makes it easier to build concurrent and resilient programs that scale up and down with ease.

- Get comfortable with IEx, Elixir's command-line interface
- Discover atoms, pattern matching, and guards: the foundations of your program structure
- Delve into the heart of Elixir with recursion, strings, lists, and higher-order functions
- Create processes, send messages among them, and apply pattern matching to incoming messages
- Store and manipulate structured data with Erlang Term Storage and the Mnesia database
- Build resilient applications with Erlang's Open Telecom Platform
- Define macros with Elixir's metaprogramming tools

Simon St. Laurent is a Strategic Content Director at O'Reilly Media, Inc., focusing primarily on web-related topics. He is co-chair of O'Reilly's Fluent and OSCON conferences. Simon has written or co-written books, including *Introducing Erlang*, *Learning Rails 3*, and *XML Pocket Reference*, Third Edition (all O'Reilly).

J. David Eisenberg is a programmer and instructor in San Jose, California, with a talent for teaching and explaining. He's developed courses for CSS, JavaScript, CGI, and XML, and teaches Computer Information Technology courses at Evergreen Valley College. David has written books including *SVG Essentials*, *Études for Erlang* (both O'Reilly), and *Let's Read Hiragana* (Eisenberg Consulting).

Twitter: @oreillymedia
facebook.com/oreilly

Introducing Elixir

Simon St. Laurent and J. David Eisenberg

Introducing Elixir

by Simon St. Laurent and J. David Eisenberg

Copyright © 2014 Simon St. Laurent and J. David Eisenberg. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America.

Published by O'Reilly Media, Inc., 1005 Gravenstein Highway North, Sebastopol, CA 95472.

O'Reilly books may be purchased for educational, business, or sales promotional use. Online editions are also available for most titles (*http://safaribooksonline.com*). For more information, contact our corporate/institutional sales department: 800-998-9938 or *corporate@oreilly.com*.

Editors: Simon St. Laurent and Meghan Blanchette	**Cover Designer:** Karen Montgomery
Production Editor: Melanie Yarbrough	**Interior Designer:** David Futato
Proofreader: Amanda Kersey	**Illustrator:** Rebecca Demarest
Indexer: J. David Eisenberg	

September 2014:   First Edition

Revision History for the First Edition:

2014-09-10:   First release

See *http://oreilly.com/catalog/errata.csp?isbn=9781449369996* for release details.

The O'Reilly logo is a registered trademark of O'Reilly Media, Inc. *Introducing Elixir*, the cover image of a four-horned antelope, and related trade dress are trademarks of O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and O'Reilly Media, Inc. was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in caps or initial caps.

While the publisher and the authors have used good faith efforts to ensure that the information and instructions contained in this work are accurate, the publisher and the authors disclaim all responsibility for errors or omissions, including without limitation responsibility for damages resulting from the use of or reliance on this work. Use of the information and instructions contained in this work is at your own risk. If any code samples or other technology this work contains or describes is subject to open source licenses or the intellectual property rights of others, it is your responsibility to ensure that your use thereof complies with such licenses and/or rights.

ISBN: 978-1-449-36999-6

[LSI]

Table of Contents

Preface

Elixir offers developers the functional power and concurrent resilience of Erlang, with friendlier syntax, libraries, and metaprogramming. Elixir compiles to Erlang byte code, and you can mix and match it with Erlang and Erlang tools. Despite a shared foundation, however, Elixir feels very different, perhaps more similar to Ruby than to Erlang's ancestor Prolog.

Introducing Elixir will give you a gentle guide to this powerful language.

> This release of *Introducing Elixir* covers version 1.0.0. We will update it as the language evolves. If you find mistakes or things that have broken, please let us know through the errata system.

Who This Book Is For

This book is mostly for people who've been programming in other languages but want to look around. Maybe you're being very practical, and a distributed model, with its resulting scale and resilience advantages, appeals to you. Maybe you want to see what this "functional programming" stuff is all about. Or maybe you're just going for a hike, taking your mind to a new place.

I suspect that functional programming is more approachable as a first language, before you've learned to program in other paradigms. However, getting started in Elixir—sometimes even just installing it—requires a fair amount of computing skill. If you're a complete newcomer to programming, welcome, but there will be a few challenges along the way.

Who This Book Is Not For

This book is not for people in a hurry to get things done.

If you already know Elixir, you don't likely need this book unless you're looking for a slow brush-up.

If you already know Erlang, this book will give you an opportunity to see how things are different, but odds are good that you understand the key structures.

If you're already familiar with functional languages, you may find the pacing of this gentle introduction hopelessly slow. Definitely feel welcome to jump to another book or online documentation that moves faster if you get bored.

What This Book Will Do For You

You'll learn to write simple Elixir programs. You'll understand why Elixir makes it easier to build resilient programs that can scale up and down with ease. You'll be able to read other Elixir resources that assume a fair amount of experience and make sense of them.

In more theoretical terms, you'll get to know functional programming. You'll learn how to design programs around message passing and recursion, creating process-oriented programs focused more on data flow.

Most importantly, the gates to concurrent application development will be open. Though this introduction only gets you started using the incredible powers of OTP, that foundation can take you amazing places. Once you've mastered the syntax and learned about Elixir's expectations for structuring programs, your next steps should be creating reliable and scalable applications - with much less effort than you would have needed in other approaches!

How This Book Works

This book tries to tell a story with Elixir. You'll probably get the most out of it if you read it in order at least the first time, though you're always welcome to come back to find whatever bits and pieces you need.

You'll start by getting Elixir installed and running, and looking around its shell, IEx. You'll spend a lot of time in that shell, so get cozy. Next, you'll start loading code into the shell to make it easier to write programs, and you'll learn how to call that code and mix it up.

You'll take a close look at numbers because they're an easy place to get familiar with Elixir's basic structures. Then you'll learn about atoms, pattern matching, and guards —the likely foundations of your program structure. After that you'll learn about strings, lists, and the recursion at the heart of much Elixir processing. Once you've gone a few thousand recursions down and back, it'll be time to look at processes, a key part of Elixir that relies on the message-passing model to support concurrency and resilience.

Once you have the foundation set, you can take a closer look at debugging and data storage, and then get a quick look at a toolset that is likely at the heart of your long-term development with Elixir: Erlang's Open Telecom Platform (OTP), which is about much much more than telephones.

Finally, you'll learn about Elixir's macro tools, features that give Elixir tremendous flexibility by letting you extend the language.

Some people want to learn programming languages through a dictionary, smashing together a list of operators, control structures, and datatypes. Those lists are here, but they're in Appendix A, not the main flow of the book.

The main point you should get from this book is that you can program in Elixir. If you don't get that, let me know!

Other Resources

This book may not be the best way for you to learn Elixir. It all depends on what you want to learn and why. If you're looking for a faster-flying introduction to the language, Dave Thomas' *Programming Elixir* (Pragmatic Publishers) jumps in faster and emphasizes Elixir's uniqueness more frequently.

If you like the pace of this book and want to try out your new knowledge, you might like *Études for Elixir* (O'Reilly Media). That book provides descriptions of short programs that you can write in Elixir, and they may ask you stretch a bit beyond the examples you find here. It is also designed so that its chapters are in parallel with this book's chapters.

The other books in the field all cover Erlang, not Elixir. Hopefully there will be more Elixir-specific work soon. *Elixir in Action* (Manning) is getting underway. The main Elixir website includes a lot of tutorials, documentation, and links to other resources.

If your primary interest in learning Elixir is to break out of a programming rut, you should explore Bruce Tate's wild tour of *Seven Languages in Seven Weeks* (Pragmatic Publishers), which explores Ruby, Io, Prolog, Scala, Erlang, Clojure, and Haskell. Erlang gets only (an excellent) 37 pages, but that might be what you want.

Erlang books can also help you understand what makes Elixir work so well.

For a simple introduction to Erlang that largely parallels this book, *Introducing Erlang* will get you started with Erlang and functional programming.

For an online experience (now also in print from No Starch Books) with more snark and funnier illustrations, you should explore Fred Hebert's *Learn You Some Erlang for Great Good!*.

The two classic general books on Erlang are the similarly-titled *Programming Erlang* (Pragmatic Publishers) by Erlang creator Joe Armstrong, and *Erlang Programming* (O'Reilly) by Francesco Cesarini and Simon Thompson. They cover a lot of similar and overlapping terrain, and both may be good places to start if this book moves too slowly or you need more reference material. *Erlang Programming* goes further into what you can do with Erlang, whereas *Programming Erlang* provides a lot of detail on setting up an Erlang programming environment.

On the more advanced side, *Erlang and OTP in Action* (Manning) by Martin Logan, Eric Merritt, and Richard Carlsson, opens with a high-speed 72-page introduction to Erlang and then spends most of its time applying the Open Telecom Platform, Erlang's framework for building upgradeable and maintainable concurrent applications.

Designing for Scalability with Erlang/OTP (O'Reilly), by Francesco Cesarini and Steve Vinoski, explores how OTP and Erlang make things that seem hugely difficult in other environments a normal day's work in Erlang.

If you want to focus on connecting Erlang to the Web, you should definitely also explore *Building Erlang Web Applications* (O'Reilly) by Zachary Kessin.

You'll also want to visit the main Erlang website for updates, downloads, documentation, and more.

Elixir Will Change You

Before you go deeper, you should know that working in Elixir may irrevocably change the way you look at programs. Its combination of functional code, process orientation, and distributed development may seem alien at first. However, once it sinks in, Elixir can transform the way you solve problems (perhaps even beyond the way Erlang does), and potentially make it difficult to return to other languages, environments, and programming cultures.

Conventions Used in This Book

The following typographical conventions are used in this book:

Italic
: Indicates new terms, URLs, email addresses, filenames, and file extensions.

`Constant width`
: Used for program listings, as well as within paragraphs to refer to program elements such as variable or function names, statements, and keywords.

`Constant width bold`
: Shows commands or other text that should be typed literally by the user.

```
Constant width italic
```
> Shows text that should be replaced with user-supplied values or by values determined by context.

> This icon signifies a tip, suggestion, or general note.

> This icon indicates a warning or caution.

Using Code Examples

The examples in this book are meant to teach basic concepts in small bites, making it easy to see what changed from one example to another. While you may certainly borrow code and reuse it as you see fit, you won't be able to take the code of this book and build a stupendous application instantly (unless perhaps you have an unusual fondness for calculating the speeds of falling objects). You should, however, be able to figure out the steps you need to take to build a great application.

You can download the code from GitHub. (Eventually it will also be available from the Examples link on the book's catalog page.)

This book is here to help you get your job done. In general, you may use the code in this book in your programs and documentation. You do not need to contact us for permission unless you are reproducing a significant portion of the code. For example, writing a program that uses several chunks of code from this book does not require permission. Selling or distributing a CD-ROM of examples from O'Reilly books does require permission. Answering a question by citing this book and quoting example code does not require permission. Incorporating a significant amount of example code from this book into your product's documentation does require permission.

We appreciate, but do not require, attribution. An attribution usually includes the title, author, publisher, and ISBN. For example: "*Introducing Elixir*, by Simon St.Laurent and J. David Eisenberg (O'Reilly). Copyright 2014 Simon St.Laurent and J. David Eisenberg, 978-1-449-36999-6."

If you feel your use of code examples falls outside fair use or the permission given above, feel free to contact us at *permissions@oreilly.com*.

Help This Book Grow

While I hope that you will enjoy reading this book and learn from it, I also hope that you can contribute to helping other readers learn Elixir here. You can help your fellow readers in a number of ways:

- If you find specific technical problems, bad explanations, or things that can be improved, please report them through the errata system.

- If you like (or don't like) the book, please leave reviews. The most visible places to do so are on Amazon.com (or its international sites) and at the O'Reilly page for the book. Detailed explanations of what worked and what didn't work for you (and the broader target audience of programmers new to Erlang) are helpful to other readers and to me.

- If you find you have much more you want to say about Elixir, please consider sharing it, whether on the Web, in a book of your own, in training classes, or in whatever form you find easiest.

We'll update the book for errata and try to address issues raised in reviews. Even once the book is "complete," I may still add some extra pieces to it. If you purchased it as an ebook, you'll receive these updates for free at least up to the point where it's time for a whole new edition. I don't expect that new edition declaration to come quickly, however, unless the Elixir world changes substantially.

Hopefully this book will engage you enough to make you consider sharing.

Please Use It For Good

We'll let you determine what "good" means, but think about it. Please try to use Elixir's power for projects that make the world a better place, or at least not a worse place.

Safari® Books Online

Safari Books Online is an on-demand digital library that delivers expert content in both book and video form from the world's leading authors in technology and business.

Technology professionals, software developers, web designers, and business and creative professionals use Safari Books Online as their primary resource for research, problem solving, learning, and certification training.

Safari Books Online offers a range of plans and pricing for enterprise, government, education, and individuals.

Members have access to thousands of books, training videos, and prepublication manuscripts in one fully searchable database from publishers like O'Reilly Media, Prentice Hall Professional, Addison-Wesley Professional, Microsoft Press, Sams, Que, Peachpit Press, Focal Press, Cisco Press, John Wiley & Sons, Syngress, Morgan Kaufmann, IBM Redbooks, Packt, Adobe Press, FT Press, Apress, Manning, New Riders, McGraw-Hill, Jones & Bartlett, Course Technology, and hundreds more. For more information about Safari Books Online, please visit us online.

How to Contact Us

Please address comments and questions concerning this book to the publisher:

> O'Reilly Media, Inc.
> 1005 Gravenstein Highway North
> Sebastopol, CA 95472
> 800-998-9938 (in the United States or Canada)
> 707-829-0515 (international or local)
> 707-829-0104 (fax)

We have a web page for this book, where we list errata, examples, and any additional information. You can access this page at *http://bit.ly/introducing_elixir*.

To comment or ask technical questions about this book, send email to *bookquestions@oreilly.com*.

For more information about our books, courses, conferences, and news, see our website at *http://www.oreilly.com*.

Find us on Facebook: *http://facebook.com/oreilly*

Follow us on Twitter: *http://twitter.com/oreillymedia*

Watch us on YouTube: *http://www.youtube.com/oreillymedia*

Acknowledgments

The Elixir community is amazing, open to questions and suggestions from a wide range of perspectives. We've been lucky to be able to ask questions and get them answered, and have enjoyed a rare community that treats "difficult to explain" as a problem worth fixing in code.

José Valim's leadership and explanations have helped us throughout the project. Our competitor Dave Thomas confirmed that yes, Elixir is here and the world is waiting for it. From the Erlang side, Francesco Cesarini encouraged us to purse this new language sibling. Reviewers Bibek Pandey, Alexei Sholik, David Lorenzetti, Bengt Kleberg, Mistral

Getting Comfortable

The easiest place to start learning Elixir is in Interactive Elixir, `iex`. This command-line interface is a cozy place to get started and a good place to start figuring out what works and what doesn't work in Elixir. Its features will spare you headaches later, so settle in!

Installation

Because Elixir runs on top of Erlang, you'll need to install Erlang on your system first, and then install Elixir.

Installing Erlang

If you're on Windows, installing Erlang is easy. Download the Windows binary file, run the installer, and you're set. If you are a brave beginner tackling your first programming language, this is easily your best bet.

On Linux or Mac OS X, you may be able to download the source file and compile it. For me, on Mac OS X, I just had to unzip and untar it, and then, from the directory created by the untarring, run `./configure`, `make`, and `sudo make install`. However, that simple sequence works only if you have the right files previously installed, and can give you mysterious errors if they weren't. In particular, Apple's shift to the LLVM compiler in newer versions of XCode instead of GCC makes it less likely that GCC will be on newer Mac OS X systems, and Erlang needs GCC.

(You can also ignore the error about FOP, which Erlang uses to generate PDF documentation you can download elsewhere. Also, on newer Macs, you'll get an error at the end that wxWidgets doesn't work on 64-bit Mac OS X. For now, ignore this.)

If the compilation approach doesn't work or isn't for you, Erlang Solutions offers a number of installs. Also, many different package managers (Debian, Ubuntu, MacPorts, homebrew, and so on) include Erlang. It may not be the very latest version, but having

Erlang running is much better than not having Erlang running. They do tend to make it run on the latest version of various operating systems, so if you have installation problems, look closely at their requirements.

Erlang is increasingly part of the default installation on many systems, including Ubuntu, largely thanks to the spread of CouchDB.

Installing Elixir

Once you have Erlang installed, you should be able to download a precompiled version of Elixir or the GitHub source. Some package managers are starting to support Elixir, including homebrew. This version of this book should work with Elixir 1.0.0.

Then you need to set your path so that it can find *elixir/bin*.

Elixir's instructions for setup are organized into a tutorial.

Firing It Up

Go to the command line (or shell, or terminal) and type **iex**.

You'll see something like the following code sample, likely with a cursor next to the iex(1)> prompt:

```
Erlang/OTP 17 [erts-6.0] [source-07b8f44] [64-bit] [smp:8:8] [async-threads:10] [hipe] [kernel-pol

Interactive Elixir (1.0.0) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)
```

You're in Elixir! (The first line about Erlang reflects that Elixir runs within Erlang. Don't worry about that part!)

First Steps

Before moving on to the excitement of programming Elixir, it's always worth noting how to quit. The shell suggests Ctrl+C, which will bring you to a menu. If you press "a" in that menu, IEx will stop, and you'll see whatever prompt you had before starting IEx:

```
iex(1)>
BREAK: (a)bort (c)ontinue (p)roc info (i)nfo (l)oaded
       (v)ersion (k)ill (D)b-tables (d)istribution
a
$
```

You can also ask iex (once you start it up again) for help, by entering **h()** or just h:

```
iex(1)> h()
# IEx.Helpers


                           IEx.Helpers

Welcome to Interactive Elixir. You are currently seeing the documentation for
the module IEx.Helpers which provides many helpers to make Elixir's shell more
joyful to work with.

This message was triggered by invoking the helper h(), usually referred to as
h/0 (since it expects 0 arguments).

There are many other helpers available:
...
:ok
```

So what have you done here? You've issued an iex command, calling a helper function, h, that provides you with some basic help information. It printed a lot of information to the screen and then ended, returning :ok.

Moving Through Text and History

If you explore the shell, you'll find that many things work the way they do in other shells, or in Emacs. The left and right arrow keys move you backward and forward through the line you're editing. Some of the key bindings echo those of the Emacs text editor. Ctrl-A will take you to the beginning of a line, while Ctrl-E will take you back to the end of the line. If you get two characters in the wrong sequence, pressing Ctrl-T will transpose them.

Also, as you type closing parentheses or square brackets, the cursor will highlight the corresponding opening parenthesis or square bracket.

The up- and down-arrow keys run through the history, making it easy to reissue commands. You can reference a given result value with v(N), where N is the line number.

Moving Through Files

IEx does understand filesystems to some extent because you may need to move through them to reach the files that will become part of your program. The commands have the same names as Unix commands but are expressed as functions. IEx starts wherever you opened the shell, and you can figure out where that is with pwd:

```
iex(1)> pwd()
/Users/elixir/code/
:ok
```

If you get tired of typing the empty parentheses, you can skip it. We've included them here to emphasize that you're calling functions.

To change directories, use the cd function, but you'll need to wrap the argument in double quotes:

```
iex(2)> cd ".."
/Users/elixir
:ok
iex(3)> cd "code"
/Users/elixir/code
:ok
```

You can look around with the ls() command, which will list files in the current directory if you give it no arguments, and list files in a specified directory if you give it one argument.

Doing Something

One of the easiest ways to get started playing with Elixir is to use the shell as a calculator. Unlike your typical command line, you can enter mathematical expressions and get useful results:

```
Interactive Elixir (0.13.0) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)
iex(1)> 2+2
4
iex(2)> 27-14
13
iex(3)> 35*42023943
1470838005
iex(4)> 4*(3+5)
32
iex(5)> 200/15
13.333333333333334
```

The first three operators are addition(+), subtraction(-), and multiplication(*), which work the same way whether you're working with integer values or floating points. Parentheses let you modify the order in which operators are processed, as shown on line 4. (The normal order of operations is listed in Appendix A.) The fourth operator, /, supports division where you expect a floating-point (a number with a decimal part) result, as shown on line 5.

Calling Functions

Functions are bits of logic that accept arguments and return a value. Mathematical functions are an easy place to start. For example, if you want an integer result (and have integer arguments), use the `div` function instead of the `/` operator, with `rem` to get the remainder, as shown on lines 6 and 7:

```
iex(6)> div(200,15)
13
iex(7)> rem(200,15)
5
iex(8)> rem 200,15
5
```

Line 8 demonstrates a feature of Elixir syntax: parentheses around the arguments to a function are optional. If you think they make your code clearer, use them. If you think they are extra typing, don't.

Elixir will accept integers in place of floats, but floats are not always welcome where integers are used. If you need to convert a floating-point number to an integer, you can use the `round()` built-in function:

```
iex(9)> round 200/15
13
```

The `round()` function drops the decimal part of the number. If the decimal part was greater than or equal to .5, it increases the integer part by 1, rounding up. If you'd rather just drop the decimal part completely, use the `trunc()` function, which effectively always rounds down.

You can also refer to a previous result by its line number using `v()`. For example:

```
iex(10)> 4*v(9)
52
```

The result on line 9 was 13, and `4*13` is 52.

> If you're feeling adventurous, you can use negative numbers to reference prior results. `v(-1)` is the previous result, `v(-2)` is the result before that, and so on.

If you want to do more powerful calculations, Elixir lets you use Erlang's math module, which offers pretty much the classic set of functions supported by a scientific calculator. They return floating-point values. The constant pi is available as a function, `:math.pi`. Trigonometric, logarithmic, exponential, square root, and (except on Windows) even the Gauss error functions are readily available. (The trigonometric

functions take their arguments in radians, not degrees, so be ready to convert if necessary.) Using these functions is a little verbose because of the need to prefix them with `:math.`, but it's still reasonably sane.

For example, to get the sine of zero radians, you could write:

```
iex(11)> :math.sin(0)
0.0
```

Note that it's `0.0`, not just `0`, indicating that the number is floating point. (And yes, you could have written `:math.sin 0` without the parentheses.)

To calculate the cosine of pi and 2pi radians, you'd write:

```
iex(12)> :math.cos(:math.pi)
-1.0
iex(13)> :math.cos(2 * :math.pi)
1.0
```

To calculate 2 taken to the 16th power, you'd use:

```
iex(14)> :math.pow(2,16)
65536.0
```

The full set of mathematical functions supported by Erlang's `math` module and accessible through Elixir is listed in Appendix A.

Numbers in Elixir

Elixir recognizes two kinds of numbers: integers and floating point numbers (often called floats). It's easy to think of integers as "whole numbers," with no decimal, and floats as "decimal numbers," with a decimal point and some value (even if it's 0) to the right of the decimal. `1` is an integer, while `1.0` is a floating-point number.

However, it's a little trickier than that. Elixir stores integers and floats in a very different way. Elixir lets you store massive integers, but whether they're big or small, they are always precise. You don't need to worry about their values being off by just a little.

Floats, on the other hand, cover a wide range of numbers but with limited precision. Elixir uses the 64-bit IEEE 754-1985 "double precision" representation. This means that it keeps track of about 15 decimal digits plus an exponent. It can also represent some large numbers—powers up to positive or negative 308 are available—but because it tracks only a limited number of digits, results will vary a little more than may seem convenient, especially when you want to do comparisons:

```
iex(1)> 34875983475983475984375834758934758437490245.0
3.4875983475983474e42
iex(2)> 2343243.345435893850234543339545
2343243.3454358936
```

```
iex(3)> 0.00000000000000000000000000000023432432432432234232324
2.3432432432432235e-30
```

As you can see, some digits get left behind, and the overall magnitude of the number is represented with an exponent.

When you enter floating-point numbers, you must always also have at least one number to the left of the decimal point, even if it's zero. Otherwise Elixir reports a syntax error —it doesn't understand what you're doing:

```
iex(4)> .00000000000000000000000000000023432432432432234232324
** (SyntaxError) iex:4: syntax error before: '.'
```

You can also write floats using the digits-plus-exponent notation:

```
iex(4)> 2.923e127
2.923e127
iex(5)> 7.6345435e-231
7.6345435e-231
```

Floats' lack of precision can cause anomalous results. For example, the sine of zero is zero, and the sine of pi is also zero. However, if you calculate this in Elixir, you won't quite get to zero with the float approximation Elixir provides for pi:

```
iex(6)> :math.sin(0)
0.0
iex(7)> :math.sin(:math.pi)
1.2246467991473532e-16
```

If Elixir's representation of pi went further, and its calculations of pi went further, the result for line 7 would be closer to zero.

If you need to keep track of money, integers are going to be a better bet. Use the smallest available unit—cents for US dollars, for instance—and remember that those cents are 1/100 of a dollar. (Financial transactions can go to much smaller fractions, but you'll still want to represent them as integers with a known multiplier.) For more complex calculations, though, you'll want to use floats, and just be aware that results will be imprecise.

Elixir supports integers in a few bases other than 10. For example, if you wanted to specify the binary value of 1010111, you could write:

```
iex(8)> 0b01010111
87
```

Elixir reports back with the base 10 value of the number. Similarly, you can specify hexadecimal (base 16) numbers by using x instead of b:

```
iex(9)> 0xcafe
51966
```

To make any of these numbers negative, just put a minus sign (-) in front of them. This works with integers, numbers in hex or binary, and floats:

```
iex(10)> -1234
-1234
iex(11)> -0xcafe
-51966
iex(12)> -2.045234324e6
-2045234.324
```

Working with Variables in the Shell

The v() function lets you refer to the results of previous expressions, but it's not exactly convenient to keep track of result numbers, and the v() function works only in the shell. It isn't a general-purpose mechanism. A more reasonable solution stores values with textual names, creating variables.

Elixir variable names begin with a lowercase letter or an underscore. Normal variables start with a lowercase letter, whereas "don't care" variables start with an underscore. For now, stick with normal variables. You assign a value to a variable using a syntax that should be familiar from algebra or other programming languages, here with n as the variable:

```
iex(13)> n=1
1
```

To see the value of a variable, just type its name:

```
iex(14)> n
1
```

Elixir, unlike many other functional programming languages (including Erlang), will let you assign n a new value:

```
iex(15)> n=2
2
iex(16)> n=n+1
3
```

Elixir makes the righthand side of an expression, after the =, match the lefthand side. It will assign a new value to n if you ask it to do so, and will even use the old value of n on the righthand side to calculate a new value for n. n=n+1 means "assign the value n+1, which is 3, to n."

When you assign a value to a variable, you should make sure that all the calculations are on the right side of the equals sign. Even though I know that m should be 6 when 2*m = 3*4, Erlang doesn't:

```
iex(17)> 2*m=3*4
** (ErlangError) erlang error: :illegal_pattern
```

IEx will remember your variables until you quit or tell it to forget them.

You can also put multiple statements on a line with a semicolon (;). Syntactically, it acts just like a line break:

```
iex(18)> distance = 20; gravity=9.8
9.8
iex(19)> distance
20
iex(20)> gravity
9.8
```

IEx will only report the value of the last statement, but as you can see on lines 19 and 20, all the values were assigned.

If it's all getting too messy, call `clear`. It will just clear the screen for you.

Before moving on to the next chapter, which will introduce modules and functions, spend some time playing in IEx. The experience, even at this simple level, will help you move forward. Use variables, and see what happens with large integers. Elixir supports large numbers very well. Try mixing numbers with decimal values (floats) and integers in calculations, and see what happens. Nothing should be difficult yet.

Functions and Modules

Like most programming languages, Elixir lets you define functions to help you represent repeated calculations. While Elixir functions can become complicated, they start out reasonably simple.

Fun with fn

You can create functions in IEx using the keyword `fn`. For example, to create a function that calculates the velocity of a falling object based on the distance it drops in meters, you could do the following:

```
iex(1)> fall_velocity= fn (distance) -> :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance) end
#Function<6.6.111823515/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
```

That binds the variable `fall_velocity` to a function that takes an argument of `distance`. (Parentheses are optional around the argument.) The function returns (I like to read the `->` as "yields") the square root of 2 times a gravitational constant for Earth of 9.8 m/s, times distance (in meters). Then the function comes to an `end`.

The return value in the shell, `#Function<6.6.111823515/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>`, isn't especially meaningful by itself, but it tells you that you've created a function and didn't just get an error. (The exact format of that return value changes with Elixir versions, so it may look a bit different.)

Conveniently, binding the function to the variable `fall_velocity` lets you use that variable to calculate the velocity of objects falling to Earth:

```
iex(2)> fall_velocity.(20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> fall_velocity.(200)
62.609903369994115
iex(4)> fall_velocity.(2000)
197.9898987322333
```

If you need to do something more complex, you can separate pieces of your function with newlines. IEx will keep the line *open* until you type end, as in this more verbose version:

```
iex(5)> f=fn (distance)
...(5)> ->  :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
...(5)> end
iex(6)> f.(20)
19.79898987322333
```

This can be useful when you want to include multiple statements in a function.

You need the period between the variable name and the argument when you call a function that is stored in a variable. You won't need it for functions declared in modules, coming later this chapter.

If you want those meters per second in miles per hour, just create another function. You can copy-and-paste the earlier results into it (as I did here), or pick shorter numbers:

```
iex(6)> mps_to_mph = fn mps -> 2.23693629 * mps end
#Fun<erl_eval.6.111823515>
iex(7)> mps_to_mph.(19.79898987322333)
44.289078952755766
iex(8)> mps_to_mph.(62.609903369994115)
140.05436496173314
iex(9)> mps_to_mph.(197.9898987322333)
442.89078952755773
```

I think I'll stay away from 2,000-meter drops. Prefer the fall speed in kilometers per hour?

```
iex(10)> mps_to_kph = fn(mps) -> 3.6 * mps end
#Fun<erl_eval.6.111823515>
iex(11)> mps_to_kph.(19.79898987322333)
71.27636354360399
iex(12)> mps_to_kph.(62.60990336999411)
225.39565213197878
iex(13)> mps_to_kph.(197.9898987322333)
712.76363543604
```

You can also go straight to your preferred measurement by nesting the following calls:

```
iex(14)> mps_to_kph.(fall_velocity.(2000))
712.76363543604
```

However you represent it, that's really fast, though air resistance will in reality slow them down a lot.

This is handy for repeated calculations, but you probably don't want to push this kind of function use too far in IEx, as quitting the shell session makes your functions vanish. This style of function is called an anonymous function because the function itself doesn't have a name. (The variable name isn't a function name.) Anonymous functions are

useful for passing functions as arguments to other functions. Within modules, though, you can define named functions that are accessible from anywhere.

And the &

Elixir offers a shortcut style for defining anonymous functions using &, the *capture operator*. Instead of fn, you'll use &; and instead of naming the parameters, you'll use numbers, like &1 and &2.

Previously, you defined fall_velocity as:

```
iex(1)> fall_velocity= fn (distance) -> :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance) end
#Fun<erl_eval.6.111823515>
```

If that is too verbose for you, you could use the &:

```
iex(1)> fall_velocity= &(:math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * &1))
#Function<6.17052888 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(2)> fall_velocity.(20)
19.79898987322333
```

When getting started, it's probably easier to use parameter names, but as impatience sets in, the capture operator is there. Its value will become clearer when you do more complex things with functions, as shown in Chapter 8.

Defining Modules

Most Elixir programs, except things like the preceding simple calculations, define their functions in compiled modules rather than in the shell. Modules are a more formal place to put programs, and they give you the ability to store, encapsulate, share, and manage your code more effectively.

Each module should go in its own file, with an extension of *.ex*. (You can put more than one module in a file, but keep it simple while getting started.) You should use *name_of_module.ex*, where *name_of_module* is the lowercase version of the module name you specify inside of the module file. For the module Drop, the file name would be *drop.ex*. Example 2-1, which you can find in the examples archive at *ch02/ex1-drop*, shows what a module, *drop.ex*, containing the functions previously defined might look like.

Example 2-1. Module for calculating and converting fall velocities

```elixir
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def mps_to_mph(mps) do
   2.23693629 * mps
```

```
  end

  def mps_to_kph(mps) do
    3.6 * mps
  end
end
```

`defmodule` contains the functions that the module will support. It takes the name of the module—this time starting with a capital letter—and contains function definitions. These begin with `def`, using a slightly different structure than you used when defining functions with `fn`. You don't need to assign the function to a variable, and use `def` instead of `fn`.

Function definitions inside of a module must use the longer do… end syntax rather than the shortcut `->` syntax. If your function definition is very short, you may put it all on one line like this:

```
  def mps_to_mph(mps), do: 2.23693629 * mps
```

You may see this "one-liner" version in other people's code, but for consistency and readability, we recommend that you use the full do…end syntax for all your functions.

Any functions you declare with `def` will be visible outside of the module and can be called by other code. If you want keep some functions accessible only within the module, you can use `defp` instead of `def`, and they will be private.

Usually the code inside of the module will be contained in functions.

How do you make this actually do something?

It's time to start compiling Elixir code. The shell will let you compile modules and then use them immediately. The `c` function lets you compile code. You need to start IEx from the same directory as the file you want to compile:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
```

If you were to look at the directory where your *drop.ex* file is, you would now see a new file named *Elixir.Drop.beam*. Once compiled, you can use the functions in your module:

```
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(20))
44.289078952755766
```

It works the same as its predecessors, but now you can quit the shell, return, and still use the compiled functions:

```
iex(4)>
BREAK: (a)bort (c)ontinue (p)roc info (i)nfo (l)oaded
       (v)ersion (k)ill (D)b-tables (d)istribution
a
$ iex
Erlang/OTP 17 [erts-6.0] [source-07b8f44] [64-bit] [smp:8:8] [async-threads:10]
[hipe] [kernel-poll:false] [dtrace]

Interactive Elixir (1.0.0) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)
iex(1)> Drop.mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(20))
44.289078952755766
```

Most Elixir programming involves creating functions in modules and connecting them into larger programs.

If you aren't sure which directory you are currently in, you can use the pwd() shell command. If you need to change to a different directory, use cd(*pathname*):

```
iex(1)> pwd()
/Users/elixir/code/ch02
:ok
iex(2)> cd("ex1-drop")
/Users/elixir/code/ch02/ex1-drop
:ok
iex(3)> pwd()
/Users/elixir/code/ch02/ex1-drop
:ok
```

When calling named functions, the parentheses are optional. Elixir will interpret a space after the function name as the equivalent of the opening of a set of parentheses, with the parentheses closing at the end of the line. When this produces unexpected results, Elixir may ask in an error message that you "do not insert spaces in between the function name and the opening parentheses."

If you find yourself repeating yourself all the time in IEx, you can also use c to "compile" a series of IEx commands. Instead of defining a module in a *.ex* file, you put a series of commands for IEx in a *.exs* (for Elixir script) file. When you call the c function with that file, Elixir will execute all of the commands in it.

Elixir Compilation and the Erlang Runtime System

When you write Elixir in the shell, it has to interpret every command, whether or not you've written it before. When you tell Elixir to compile a file, it converts your text into something it can process without having to reinterpret all the text, tremendously improving efficiency when you run the code.

That "something it can process," in Elixir's case, is an Erlang BEAM file. It contains code that the BEAM processor, a key piece of the Erlang Runtime System (ERTS), can run. BEAM is Bogdan's Erlang Abstract Machine, a virtual machine that interprets optimized BEAM code. This may sound slightly less efficient than the traditional compilation to machine code that runs directly on the computer, but it resembles other virtual machines. (Oracle's Java Virtual Machine (JVM) and Microsoft's .NET Framework are the two most common virtual machines.)

Erlang's virtual machine optimizes some key things, making it easier to build applications that scale reliably. Its process scheduler simplifies distributing work across multiple processors in a single computer. You don't have to think about how many processors your application might get to use—you just write independent processes, and Erlang spreads them out. Erlang also manages input and output in its own way, avoiding connection styles that block other processing. The virtual machine also uses a garbage collection strategy that fits its style of processing, allowing for briefer pauses in program execution. (Garbage collection releases memory that processes needed at one point but are no longer using.)

When you create and deliver Elixir programs, you will be distributing them as a set of compiled BEAM files. You don't need to compile each one from the shell as we're doing here, though. `elixirc` will let you compile Elixir files directly and combine that compilation into `make` tasks and similar things, and calling `elixir` on *.exs* files will let you run Elixir code as scripts outside of the IEx environment.

From Module to Free-Floating Function

If you like the style of code that `fn` allowed but also want your code stored more reliably in modules where it's easier to debug, you can get the best of both worlds by using `&`, the capture operator to refer to a function you've already defined. You can specify the function to retrieve with a single argument in the form *Module_name.function_name/arity*. Arity is the number of arguments a function takes: 1 in the case of `Drop.fall_velocity`:

```
iex(2)> fun=&Drop.fall_velocity/1
&Drop.fall_velocity/1
iex(3)> fun.(20)
19.79898987322333
```

You can also do this within code in a module. If you're referring to code in the same module, you can leave off the module name preface. In this case, that would mean leaving off `Drop.` and just using `&(fall_velocity/1)`.

Splitting Code Across Modules

The `Drop` module currently mixes two different kinds of functions. The `fall_veloci ty` function fits the name of the module, `Drop`, very well, providing a calculation based on the height from which an object falls. The `mps_to_mph` and `mps_to_kph` functions, however, aren't about dropping. They are generic measurement-conversion functions that are useful in other contexts and really belong in their own module. Example 2-2 and Example 2-3, both in *ch02/ex2-split*, show how this might be improved.

Example 2-2. Module for calculating fall velocities

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

Example 2-3. Module for converting fall velocities

```
defmodule Convert do
 def mps_to_mph(mps) do
   2.23693629 * mps
  end

  def mps_to_kph(mps) do
   3.6 * mps
  end
end
```

Next, you can compile them, and then the separated functions are available for use:

```
Interactive Elixir (1.0.0) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> c("convert.ex")
[Convert]
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(20)
19.79898987322333
iex(4)> Convert.mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(20))
44.289078952755766
```

That reads more neatly, but how might this code work if a third module needed to call those functions? Modules that call code from other modules need to specify that explicitly. Example 2-4, in *ch02/ex3-combined*, shows a module that uses functions from both the drop and convert modules.

Example 2-4. Module for combining drop and convert logic

```
defmodule Combined do
  def height_to_mph(meters) do
    Convert.mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(meters))
  end
end
```

That looks much like the way you called it from IEx. This will only work if the Com bined module has access to the Convert and Drop modules, typically by being in the same directory, but it's quite similar to what worked directly in IEx.

The combined function lets you do much less typing:

```
iex(5)> c("combined.ex")
[Combined]
iex(6)> Combined.height_to_mph(20)
44.289078952755766
```

> If you're coming from Erlang, you're probably used to the discipline of thick module walls and functions that only become accessible through explicit -export and -import directives. Elixir goes the opposite route, making everything accessible from the outside except for functions explicitly declared private with defp.

Combining Functions with the Pipe Operator

There's another way to combine the functions, using Elixir's |> operator, called the pipe operator. The pipe operator, sometimes called pipe forward, lets you put the result of one function into the first argument of the next function. Example 2-5, in *ch02/ex4-pipe*, shows the operator in use.

Example 2-5. Using the pipe operator

```
defmodule Combined do
  def height_to_mph(meters) do
    Drop.fall_velocity(meters) |> Convert.mps_to_mph
  end
end
```

Note that the order is reversed from Example 2-4, with Drop.fall_velocity(me ters) appearing before Convert.mps_to_mph. If you read |> as "goes into", the logic may be clearer. You can have several of these in a row, converting functions that used to be deeply nested into hopefully clearer sequences.

The pipe operator only passes one result into the next function as its first parameter. If you need to use a function that takes multiple parameters, just specify the additional parameters as if the first didn't have to be there.

Importing Functions

As long as you fully specify the name of the function, Elixir does a great job of seeking out the code. However, if you're working with code that relies on code in a particular module constantly, it may be appealing to reduce your typing by formally importing it.

Example 2-6, in *ch02/ex5-import*, shows a simple use of `import` to bring in all the functions (and macros, though there aren't any yet) in the `Convert` module.

Example 2-6. Module for combining drop and convert logic, with imported Convert

```
defmodule Combined do
  import Convert

  def height_to_mph(meters) do
    mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(meters))
  end
end
```

The `import Convert` line tells Elixir that all of the functions and macros (except those starting with underscore) in the `Convert` module should be available without prefixes in this module.

Importing an Erlang module, shown in Example 2-7, is much the same, except that you prefix the module name with a colon and don't start the name with an uppercase letter:

Example 2-7. Importing the Erlang math module.

```
defmodule Drop do
  import :math

  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

Importing entire modules might create conflicts with function names you are already using in your own module, so Elixir lets you specify which functions you want with the `only` argument. For example, to get just the `sqrt` function, you could use:

```
defmodule Drop do
  import :math, only: [sqrt: 1]
  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
```

```
    end
  end
```

If you just need to import a module for one function, you can place the `import` directive inside of the `def` or `defp` for that function. It won't apply beyond that function's scope:

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    import :math, only: [sqrt: 1]
    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

> If you want all of the functions except for some specific functions, you can use the `except` argument:
>
> ```
> import :math, except: [sin: 1, cos:, 1]
> ```

Use `import` with caution. It certainly spares you typing, but it can also make it harder to figure out where functions came from.

Default Values for Arguments

If you wanted to deal with astronomical bodies other than Earth (and you'll be doing a lot of that in subsequent chapters), you might want to create a `fall_velocity/2` function that accepts both a distance and a gravity constant:

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(distance, gravity) do
    :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
  end
end
```

You can then calculate velocities from Earth, where the gravity constant is 9.8, and the moon, where the gravity constant is 1.6:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(20, 9.8)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(20, 1.6)
8.0
```

If you anticipate dropping objects primarily on Earth, Elixir lets you specify a default value for the `gravity` parameter by putting the default value after a pair of backslashes, as in Example 2-8, which you can find in *ch02/ex6-defaults*.

Example 2-8. Function with a default value

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(distance, gravity \\ 9.8) do
    :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
  end
end
```

Now you can specify only the first argument for Earth, and both arguments for other astronomical bodies:

```
iex(4)> c("drop.ex")
drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module Drop
[Drop]
iex(5)> Drop.fall_velocity(20)
19.79898987322333
iex(6)> Drop.fall_velocity(20, 1.6)
8.0
```

Documenting Code

Your programs can run perfectly well without documentation. Your projects, however, will have a much harder time.

While programmers like to think they write code that anyone can look at and sort out, the painful reality is that code even a little more complicated than that shown in the previous examples can prove mystifying to other developers. If you step away from code for a while, the understanding you developed while programming it may have faded, and even your own code can seem incomprehensible.

Elixir's creators are well aware of these headaches and have emphasized "Documentation as first-class citizen" right on the front page of Elixir's website (for now at least!).

The simplest way to add more explicit explanations to your code is to insert comments. You can start a comment with #, and it runs to the end of the line. Some comments take up an entire line, while others are short snippets at the end of a line. Example 2-9 shows both varieties of comments.

Example 2-9. Comments in action

```
#convenience functions!
defmodule Combined do

  def height_to_mph(meters) do #takes meters, returns mph
    Convert.mps_to_mph(Drop.fall_velocity(meters))
  end
end
```

The Elixir compiler will ignore all text between the # sign and the end of the line, but humans exploring the code will be able to read them.

Informal comments are useful, but developers have a habit of including comments that help them keep track of what they're doing while they're writing the code. Those comments may or may not be what other developers need to understand the code, or even what you need when you return to the code after a long time away. More formal comment structures may be more work than you want to take on in the heat of a programming session, but they also force you to ask who might be looking at your code in the future and what they might want to know.

Elixir goes way beyond basic comments, offering a set of tools for creating documentation you can explore through IEx or separately through a web browser.

Documenting Functions

The `Drop` module contains one function: `fall_velocity/1`. You probably know that it takes a distance in meters and returns a velocity in meters per second for an object dropped in a vacuum on Earth, but the code doesn't actually say that. Example 2-10 shows how to fix that with the `@doc` tag.

Example 2-10. Documented function for calculating fall velocities

```
defmodule Drop do
  @doc """
  Calculates the velocity of an object falling on Earth
  as if it were in a vacuum (no air resistance).  The distance is
  the height from which the object falls, specified in meters,
  and the function returns a velocity in meters per second.
  """

  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    import :math, only: [sqrt: 1]

    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

After you compile that, the h function in IEx will now tell you useful information about the function:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> h Drop.fall_velocity
* def fall_velocity(distance)

Calculates the velocity of an object falling on Earth
as if it were in a vacuum (no air resistance).  The distance is
the height from which the object falls, specified in meters,
and the function returns a velocity in meters per second.
```

That's a major improvement, but what if a user specifies "twenty" meters instead of 20 meters? Because Elixir doesn't worry much about types, the code doesn't say that the value for `distance` has to be a number or the function will return an error.

You can add a tag, `@spec`, to add that information. It's a little strange, as in some ways it feels like a duplicate of the method declaration. In this case, it's simple, as shown in Example 2-11.

Example 2-11. Documented function for calculating fall velocities

```
defmodule Drop do

@doc """
Calculates the velocity of an object falling on Earth
as if it were in a vacuum (no air resistance).  The distance is
the height from which the object falls, specified in meters,
and the function returns a velocity in meters per second.
"""

@spec fall_velocity(number()) :: number()

  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    import :math, only: [sqrt: 1]

    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

Now you can use the `s` function to see type information about your function from IEx:

```
iex(3)> s(Drop.fall_velocity)
@spec fall_velocity(number()) :: number()
```

You can also use `s(Drop)` to see all the specs defined in the `Drop` module.

This chapter has really demonstrated only the `number()` type, which combines `integer()` and `float()`. Appendix A includes a full list of types.

Documenting Modules

The modules in this chapter are very simple so far, but there is enough there to start documenting, as shown in the files at *ch02/ex7-docs*. Example 2-12 presents the `Drop` module with more information about who created it and why.

Example 2-12. Documented module for calculating fall velocities

```
defmodule Drop do
  @moduledoc """
  Functions calculating velocities achieved by objects dropped in a vacuum.

  from *Introducing Elixir*, O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2014.
```

```
  Copyright 2014 by Simon St.Laurent and J. David Eisenberg.
  """
  @vsn 0.1

  @doc """
  Calculates the velocity of an object falling on Earth
  as if it were in a vacuum (no air resistance).  The distance is
  the height from which the object falls, specified in meters,
  and the function returns a velocity in meters per second.
  """

  @spec fall_velocity(number()) :: number()

  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    import :math, only: [sqrt: 1]

    sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
end
```

This lets you use h to learn more about the module:

```
iex(4)> h Drop

                              Drop

Functions calculating velocities achieved by objects dropped in a vacuum.

from *Introducing Elixir*, O'Reilly Media, Inc., 2014. Copyright 2014 by
Simon St.Laurent and J. David Eisenberg.
```

Having the documentation is useful for anyone else who is reading your code (and if
you are away from your code for a few months, when you return, *you* will be that "anyone
else"). You can also use this documentation to create web pages that summarize your
modules and functions. To do this, you need the ExDoc tool. ExDoc recognizes Markdown formatting in your documentation, so your documentation can include emphasized text, lists, and links, among other things. For more details on using ExDoc, see
Appendix B.

Atoms, Tuples, and Pattern Matching

Elixir programs are at heart a set of message requests and tools for processing them. Elixir provides tools that simplify the efficient handling of those messages, letting you create code that is readable (to programmers at least) while still running efficiently when you need speed.

Atoms

Atoms are a key component of Elixir. Technically they're just another type of data, but it's hard to overstate their impact on Elixir programming style.

Usually, atoms are bits of text that start with a colon, like `:ok` or `:earth` or `:Today`. They can also contain underscores (_) and at symbols (@), like `:this_is_a_short_sen tence` or `:me@home`. If you want more freedom to use spaces, you can start with the colon, and then put them in single quotes, like `:'Today is a good day'`. Generally, the one-word lowercase form is easier to read.

Atoms have a value—it's the same as their text:

```
iex(1)> :test
:test
```

That's not very exciting in itself. What makes atoms exciting is the way that they can combine with other types and Elixir's pattern-matching techniques to build simple but powerful logical structures.

Pattern Matching with Atoms

Elixir used pattern matching to make the examples in Chapter 2 work, but it was very simple. The name of the function was the one key piece that varied, and as long as you provided a numeric argument, Elixir knew what you meant. Elixir's pattern matching

offers much more sophisticated possibilities, however, allowing you to match on arguments as well as on function names.

For example, suppose you want to calculate the velocity of falling objects not just on Earth, where the gravitational constant is 9.8 meters per second squared, but on Earth's moon, where it is 1.6 meters per second squared, and on Mars, where it is 3.71 meters per second squared. Example 3-1, which you can find in *ch03/ex1-atoms*, shows one way to build code that supports this.

Example 3-1. Pattern matching on atoms as well as function names

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end

end
```

It looks like the `fall_velocity` function gets defined three times here, and it certainly provides three processing paths for the same function. However, because Elixir will choose which function to call by pattern matching, they aren't duplicate definitions. As in English, these pieces are called *clauses*. All of the clauses for a given function name must be grouped together in the module.

Once you have this, you can calculate velocities for objects falling a given distance on Earth, the Earth's moon, and Mars:

```
iex(2)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,20)
19.79898987322333
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity(:moon,20)
8.0
iex(5)> Drop.fall_velocity(:mars,20)
12.181953866272849
```

You'll quickly find that atoms are a critical component for writing readable Elixir code.

If you want to do a pattern match against a value stored in a variable, you'll need to put a ^ in front of the variable name.

Atomic Booleans

Elixir uses the values `true` and `false` to represent the boolean logic values of the same names. Although underneath these are atoms, `:true` and `:false`, they are common enough that you don't need to use the colons. Elixir will return these values if you ask it to compare something:

```
iex(1)> 3<2
false
iex(2)> 3>2
true
iex(3)> 10 == 10
true
iex(4)> :true == true
true
iex(5)> :false == false
true
```

Elixir also has special operators that work on these atoms (and on comparisons that resolve to these atoms):

```
iex(1)> true and true
true
iex(2)> true and false
false
iex(3)> true or false
true
iex(4)> false or false
false
iex(5)> not true
false
```

The `and` and `or` operators both take two arguments. For `and`, the result is `true` if and only if the two arguments are true. For `or`, the result is `true` if at least one of the arguments is `true`. If you're comparing expressions more complicated than `true` and `false`, it's wise to put them in parentheses.

Elixir will automatically take shortcuts on its logic. If it finds, for example, that the first argument in an `and` is `false`, it won't evaluate the second argument and will return `false`. If the first argument in an `or` is `true`, it won't evaluate the second argument and will return `true`.

The `not` operator is simpler, taking just one argument. It turns `true` into `false` and `false` into `true`. Unlike the other boolean operators, which go between their arguments, `not` goes before its single argument.

If you try to use these operators with any other atoms, you'll get an argument error:

```
iex(6)> not :bonkers
** (ArgumentError) argument error
    :erlang.not(:bonkers)
```

> Like `true` and `false`, Elixir lets you write the atom `:nil` as `nil`. There are other atoms that often have an accepted meaning, like `:ok` and `:error`, but those are more conventions than a formal part of the language and don't get special treatment. Their colons are required.

Guards

The `fall_velocity` calculations work fairly well, but there's still one glitch: if the function gets a negative value for distance, the square-root (`sqrt`) function in the calculation will be unhappy:

```
iex(5)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,-20)
** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
    (stdlib) :math.sqrt(-392.0)
        drop.ex:4: Drop.fall_velocity/2
```

Since you can't dig a hole 20 meters down, release an object, and marvel as it accelerates to the surface, this isn't a terrible result. However, it might be more elegant to at least produce a different kind of error.

In Elixir, you can specify which data a given function will accept with *guards*. Guards, indicated by the `when` keyword, let you fine-tune the pattern matching based on the content of arguments, not just their shape. Guards have to stay simple, can use only a very few built-in functions, and are limited by a requirement that they evaluate only data without any side effects, but they can still transform your code.

> You can find a list of functions that can safely be used in guards in Appendix A.

Guards evaluate their expressions to `true` or `false`, as previously described, and the first one with a `true` result wins. That means that you can write `when true` for a guard that always gets called if it is reached, or block out some code you don't want to call (for now) with `when false`.

In this simple case, you can keep negative numbers away from the square-root function by adding guards to the `fall_velocity` clauses, as shown in Example 3-2, which you can find at *ch03/ex2-guards*.

Example 3-2. Adding guards to the function clauses

```
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end

end
```

The `when` expression describes a condition or set of conditions in the function head. In this case, the condition is simple: the `Distance` must be greater than or equal to zero. In Elixir, greater than or equal to is written >=, and less than or equal to is written <=, just as they're described in English. If you compile that code and ask for the result of a positive distance, the result is the same. Ask for a negative distance, and the result is different:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,-20)
** (FunctionClauseError) no function clause matching in Drop.fall_velocity/2
    drop.ex:3: Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, -20)
```

Because of the guard, Elixir doesn't find a function clause that works with a negative argument. The error message may not seem like a major improvement, but as you add layers of code, "not handled" may be a more appealing response than "broke my formula."

A clearer, though still simple, use of guards might be code that returns an absolute value. Yes, Elixir has a built-in function, `abs`, for this, but Example 3-3 makes clear how this works.

Example 3-3. Calculating absolute value with guards

```
defmodule MathDemo do

  def absolute_value(number) when number < 0 do
```

```
   -number
 end

 def absolute_value(number) when number == 0 do
  0
 end

 def absolute_value(number) when number > 0 do
  number
 end

end
```

When `mathdemo:absolute_value` is called with a negative (less than zero) argument, Elixir calls the first clause, which returns the negation of that negative argument, making it positive. When the argument equals (==) zero, Elixir calls the second clause, returning 0. Finally, when the argument is positive, Elixir calls the third clause, just returning the number. (The first two clauses have processed everything that isn't positive, so the guard on the last clause is unnecessary and will go away in Example 3-4.)

```
iex(1)> c("mathDemo.ex")
[MathDemo]
iex(2)> MathDemo.absolute_value(-20)
20
iex(3)> MathDemo.absolute_value(0)
0
iex(4)> MathDemo.absolute_value(20)
20
```

This may seem like an unwieldy way to calculate. Don't worry—Elixir has simpler logic switches you can use inside of functions. However, guards are critically important to choosing among function clauses, which will be especially useful as you start to work with recursion in Chapter 4.

Elixir runs through the function clauses in the order you list them and stops at the first one that matches. If you find your information is heading to the wrong clause, you may want to reorder your clauses or fine-tune your guard conditions.

Also, when your guard clause is testing for just one value, you can easily switch to using pattern matching instead of a guard. This `absolute_value` function in Example 3-4 does the same thing as the one in Example 3-3.

Example 3-4. Calculating absolute value with guards and pattern matching

```
defmodule MathDemo do

 def absolute_value(number) when number < 0 do
  -number
 end

 def absolute_value(0) do
```

```
    0
  end

  def absolute_value(number) do
    number
  end

end
```

In this case, it's up to you whether you prefer the simpler form or preserving a parallel approach.

> You can also have multiple comparisons in a single guard. If you separate them with an `or` statement, it succeeds if any of the comparisons succeeds. If you separate them with an `and` statement, they all have to succeed for the guard to succeed.

Underscoring That You Don't Care

Guards let you specify more precise handling of incoming arguments. Sometimes you may actually want handling that is less precise, though. Not every argument is essential to every operation, especially when you start passing around complex data structures. You could create variables for arguments and then never use them, but you'll get warnings from the compiler (which suspects you must have made a mistake), and you may confuse other people using your code who are surprised to find your code cares about only half of the arguments they sent.

You might, for example, decide that you're not concerned with what planemo (for planetary mass object, including planets, dwarf planets, and moons) a user of your velocity function specifies, and you're just going to use Earth's value for gravity. Then, you might write something like Example 3-5, from *ch03/ex3-underscore*.

Example 3-5. Declaring a variable and then ignoring it

```
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

end
```

This will compile, but you'll get a warning, and if you try to use it for, say, Mars, you'll get the wrong answer for Mars:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
drop.ex:3: variable planemo is unused
[Drop]
```

```
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:mars,20)
19.79898987322333
```

On Mars, that should be more like 12 than 19, so the compiler was right to scold you.

Other times, though, you really only care about some of the arguments. In these cases, you can use a simple underscore (_). The underscore accomplishes two things: it tells the compiler not to bother you, and it tells anyone reading your code that you're not going to be using that argument. In fact, Elixir won't let you. You can try to assign values to the underscore, but Elixir won't give them back to you. It considers the underscore permanently unbound:

```
iex(3)> _ = 20
20
iex(4)> _
** (CompileError) iex:4 unbound variable _
    :erl_eval.exprs/2
```

If you really wanted your code to be Earth-centric and ignore any suggestions of other planemos, you could instead write something like Example 3-6.

Example 3-6. Deliberately ignoring an argument with an underscore

```
defmodule Drop2 do

  def fall_velocity(_, distance) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

end
```

This time there will be no compiler warning, and anyone who looks at the code will know that first argument is useless:

```
iex(4)> c("drop2.ex")
drop.ex:1: redefining module Drop
[Drop]
iex(5)> Drop2.fall_velocity(:you_dont_care,20)
19.79898987322333
```

You can use underscore multiple times to ignore multiple arguments. It matches anything for the pattern match and never binds, so there's never a conflict.

> You can also start variables with underscores —like _planemo—and the compiler won't warn if you never use those variables. Those variables do get bound, and you can reference them later in your code if you change your mind. However, if you use the same variable name more than once in a set of arguments, even if the variable name starts with an underscore, you'll get an error from the compiler for trying to bind twice to the same name.

Adding Structure: Tuples

Elixir's tuples let you combine multiple items into a single composite datatype. This makes it easier to pass messages between components, letting you create your own complex datatypes as needed. Tuples can contain any kind of Elixir data, including numbers, atoms, other tuples, and the lists and strings you'll encounter in later chapters.

Tuples themselves are simple, a group of items surrounded by curly braces:

```
iex(1)> {:earth, 20}
{:earth,20}
```

Tuples might contain one item, or they might contain 100. Two to five seem typical (and useful, and readable). Often (but not always) an atom at the beginning of the tuple indicates what it's really for, providing an informal identifier of the complex information structure stored in the tuple.

Elixir includes built-in functions that give you access to the contents of a tuple on an item-by-item basis. You can retrieve the values of items with the `elem` function, set values in a new tuple with the `put_elem` function, and find out how many items are in a tuple with the `tuple_size` function. Elixir (unlike Erlang) counts from zero, so the first item in a tuple is referenced as 0, the second as 1, and so on:

```
iex(2)> tuple={:earth,20}
{:earth,20}
iex(3)> elem(tuple,1)
20
iex(4)> newTuple=put_elem(tuple,1,40)
{:earth,40}
iex(5)> tuple_size(newTuple)
2
```

If you can stick with pattern matching tuples, however, you'll likely create more readable code.

Pattern Matching with Tuples

Tuples make it easy to package multiple arguments into a single container and let the receiving function decide what to do with them. Pattern matching on tuples looks much like pattern matching on atoms, except that there is, of course, a pair of curly braces around each set of arguments, as Example 3-7, which you'll find in *ch03/ex4-tuples*, demonstrates.

Example 3-7. Encapsulating arguments in a tuple

```
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity({:earth, distance}) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end
```

```
  def fall_velocity({:moon, distance}) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity({:mars, distance}) when distance >= 0 do
   :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end

end
```

The arity changes: this version is `fall_velocity/1` instead of `fall_velocity/2` because the tuple counts as only one argument. The tuple version works much like the atom version but requires the extra curly braces when you call the function as well:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity({:earth,20})
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity({:moon,20})
8.0
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity({:mars,20})
12.181953866272849
```

Why would you use this form when it requires a bit of extra typing? Using tuples opens more possibilities. Other code could package different things into tuples—more arguments, different atoms, even functions created with `fn()`. Passing a single tuple rather than a pile of arguments gives Elixir much of its flexibility, especially when you get to passing messages between different processes.

Processing Tuples

There are many ways to process tuples, not just the simple pattern matching shown in Example 3-7. If you receive the tuple as a single variable, you can do many different things with it. A simple place to start is using the tuple as a pass through to a private version of the function. That part of Example 3-8 may look familiar, as it's the same as the `fall_velocity/2` function in Example 3-2. (You can find this at *ch03/ex5-tuplesMore*.)

Example 3-8. Encapsulating arguments in a tuple and passing them to a private function

```
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity({planemo, distance}) when distance >= 0 do
   fall_velocity(planemo, distance)
  end


  defp fall_velocity(:earth, distance) do
```

```
  :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
end

defp fall_velocity(:moon, distance) do
  :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
end

defp fall_velocity(:mars, distance) do
  :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
end

end
```

The use of `defp` for the private versions mean that *only* `fall_velocity/1`, the tuple version, is public. The `fall_velocity/2` function is available within the module, however. It's not especially necessary here, but this "make one version public, keep another version with different arity private" is common in situations where you want to make a function accessible but don't necessarily want its inner workings directly available.

If you call this function—the tuple version, so curly braces are necessary—`fall_velocity/1` calls the private `fall_velocity/2`, which returns the proper value to `fall_velocity/1`, which will return it to you. The results should look familiar:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity({:earth,20})
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity({:moon,20})
8.0
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity({:mars,20})
12.181953866272849
```

There are a few different ways to extract the data from the tuple. You could reference the components of the tuple by number using the built-in Kernel macro `elem/2`, which takes a tuple and a numeric position as its arguments. The first component of a tuple can be reached at position `0`, the second at position `1`, and so on:

```
def fall_velocity(where) do
  fall_velocity(elem(where,0), elem(where,1))
end
```

You could also break things up a bit and do pattern matching after getting the variable:

```
def fall_velocity(where) do
  {planemo, distance} = where
  fall_velocity(planemo,distance)
end
```

The result of that last line will be the value the function returns.

The pattern matching is a little different. The function accepted a tuple as its argument and assigned it to the variable where. (If where is not a tuple, the function will fail with an error.) Extracting the contents of that tuple, since we know its structure, can be done with a pattern match inside the function. The planemo and distance variables will be bound to the values contained in the where tuple and can then be used in the call to fall_velocity/2.

Logic and Recursion

So far, Elixir seems logical but fairly simple. Pattern matching controls the flow through a program, and requests that match a form return certain responses. While this is enough to get many things done, there are times when you'll want more powerful options, especially as you start working with larger and more complicated data structures.

Logic Inside of Functions

Pattern matching and guards are powerful tools, but there are times when it's much easier to do some comparisons inside of a function clause instead of creating new functions. Elixir's designers agreed and created two constructs for evaluating conditions inside of functions: the `case` expression and the less frequently used `cond` and `if` expressions.

The `case` construct lets you use pattern matching and guards inside of a function clause. It reads most clearly when a single value (or set of values) needs to be compared with multiple possibilities. The `cond` construct evaluates only a series of guards, without pattern matching. The `cond` construct tends to produce more readable code in situations where the multiple possibilities are specified by combinations of different values. The `if` construct evaluates only a single guard.

All these constructs return a value your code can capture.

Evaluating Cases

The `case` construct lets you perform pattern matching inside of your function clause. If you found the multiple function clauses of Example 3-2 hard to read, you might prefer to create a version that looks like Example 4-1, which you can find in *ch04/ex1-case*.

Example 4-1. Moving pattern matching inside the function with case

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    case planemo do
     :earth -> :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
     :moon  -> :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
     :mars  -> :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
    end
  end

end
```

The `case` construct will compare the atom in `planemo` to the values listed, going down the list in order. It won't process beyond the match it finds. Each matching value is followed by a `->`, which you can read as "yields". The `case` construct will return the result of different calculations based on which atom is used, and because the case construct returns the last value in the function clause, the function will return that value as well.

> You can use the underscore (_) for your pattern match if you want a choice that matches "everything else." However, you should always put that last—nothing that comes after that will ever be evaluated.

The results should look familiar:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:moon,20)
8.0
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity(:mars,-20)
** (FunctionClauseError) no function clause matching: Drop.fall_velocity(:mars, -20)
    drop.ex:3: Drop.fall_velocity(:moon, -20)
```

The `case` construct switches among planemos, while the guard clause on the function definition keeps out negative distances, producing (rightly) the error on line 4. This way the guard needs to appear only once.

You can also use the return value from the `case` construct to reduce duplicate code and make the logic of your program clearer. In this case, the only difference between the calculations for `earth`, `moon`, and `mars` is a gravitational constant. Example 4-2, which you can find in *ch04/ex2-case*, shows how to make the case construct return the gravitational constant for use in a single calculation at the end.

Example 4-2. Using the return value of the case construct to clean up the function

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    gravity = case planemo do
      :earth -> 9.8
      :moon  -> 1.6
      :mars  -> 3.71
    end
    :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
  end

end
```

This time, the `gravity` variable is set to the return value of the `case` construct. The now more readable formula `math:sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)` is the last line of the function, and the value it produces will be the return value.

You can also use guards with a `case` statement, as shown, perhaps less than elegantly, in Example 4-3, which is in *ch04/ex3-case*. This might make more sense if there were different planemos with different rules about distances.

Example 4-3. Moving guards into the case statement

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance)  do
    gravity = case planemo do
      :earth when distance >= 0 -> 9.8
      :moon when distance >= 0  -> 1.6
      :mars when distance >= 0  -> 3.71
    end
    :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
  end

end
```

This produces similar results, except that the error message at the end changes from (FunctionClauseError) no function clause matching: Drop.fall_velocity(:mars, -20) to (CaseClauseError) no case clause matching: :mars:

```
iex(7)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(8)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,20)
19.79898987322333
iex(9)> Drop.fall_velocity(:moon,20)
8.0
iex(10)> Drop.fall_velocity(:mars,-20)
** (CaseClauseError) no case clause matching: :mars
    drop.ex:4: Drop.fall_velocity/2
```

The error is correct, in that the `case` construct is trying to match `:mars`, but misleading because the problem isn't with `:mars` but rather with the guard that's checking the `distance` variable. If Elixir tells you that your `case` doesn't match but a match is obviously right there in front of you, check your guard statements.

Adjusting to Conditions

The `cond` construct is broadly similar to the `case` statement, but without the pattern matching. If you would like, this allows you to write a catch-all clause—a guard matching `true` at the end. This often makes it easier to express logic based on broader comparisons than simple matching.

Suppose, for example, that the precision of the `fall_velocity` function is too much. Instead of an actual speed, you'd like to describe the speed produced by dropping from a tower of a given height. You can add a `cond` construct that does that to the earlier code from Example 4-2, as shown in Example 4-4, in *ch04/ex4-cond*.

Example 4-4. Adding an if construct to convert numbers into atoms

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    gravity = case planemo do
     :earth -> 9.8
     :moon  -> 1.6
     :mars  -> 3.71
    end

    velocity = :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)

    cond do
      velocity == 0 -> :stable
      velocity < 5 -> :slow
      velocity >= 5 and velocity < 10 -> :moving
      velocity >= 10 and velocity < 20 -> :fast
      velocity >= 20 -> :speedy
    end
  end

end
```

This time, the `cond` construct returns a value (an atom describing the velocity) based on the many guards it includes. Because that value is the last thing returned within the function, that becomes the return value of the function.

The results are a little different from past trials:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,20)
```

```
:fast
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:moon,20)
:moving
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity(:mars,20)
:fast
iex(5)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,30)
:speedy
```

If you want to capture the value produced by the cond construct in a variable, you can. Just replace the cond do in the first line with something like description = cond do.

> Elixir evaluates the cond and if statements on the basis of *truthiness*. All values are considered to be true except nil and false.

If, or else

For simpler cases, Elixir also offers an if function that tests only a single clause, and allows an else to follow if a failed test also requires action.

Example 4-5, in *ch04/ex5-if*, sends a warning to standard output (in this case IEx) if you drop an object too fast. It uses the simpler cousin of cond, if, to decide whether to put out the extra message.

Example 4-5. Sending an extra warning if the velocity is too high

```elixir
defmodule Drop do

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    gravity = case planemo do
     :earth -> 9.8
     :moon  -> 1.6
     :mars  -> 3.71
    end

   velocity = :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)

    if velocity > 20 do
      IO.puts("Look out below!")
    else
      IO.puts("Reasonable...")
    end

   velocity

  end

end
```

The new `if` clause checks the `velocity` variable to see if it's above 20. If it is, it calls `IO.puts`, which creates a side effect: a message on the screen. If not, the `else` clause puts a milder message on the screen. (The `velocity` at the end makes sure that the calculated result is the return value.):

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,50)
Look out below!
31.304951684997057
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:moon,100)
Reasonable...
17.88854381999832
```

You can write `if` statements in a few different ways. The `if` statement is compact enough that it can be tempting to put it on a single line:

```
iex(4)> x=20
20
iex(5)> if x>10 do :large end
:large
```

That worked well, and you can even add an `else`:

```
iex(6)>if x>10 do :large else :small end
:large
```

As an alternative, Elixir lets you put a colon after the `do` and then use a shorter form:

```
iex(6)> if x>10, do: :large, else: :small
:large
```

You may also find Elixir's `unless` statement more readable than an `if` when you want to test against an opposite:

```
iex(8)> unless x>10, do: :small, else: :large
:large
```

Variable Assignment in case and if Constructs

Every possible path created in a `case`, `cond`, or `if` statement has the opportunity to bind values to variables. This is usually a wonderful thing, but could let you create unstable programs by assigning different variables in different clauses. This might look something like Example 4-6, which you can find in *ch04/ex6-broken*.

Example 4-6. A badly broken cond construct

```
defmodule Broken do

  def bad_cond(test_val) do

    cond do
      test_val < 0 -> x=1
```

```
        test_val >= 0 -> y=2
    end

  x+y

  end
end
```

Erlang would have stopped you from doing this by refusing to compile the code and letting you know that there are *unsafe* variables. Elixir, however, lets it compile, and then breaks at runtime:

```
iex(1)> c("broken.ex")
[Broken]
iex(2)> Broken.bad_cond(20)
** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
    broken.ex:10: Broken.bad_cond/1
```

> Elixir also lifts Erlang's tight rules on what can happen in the clause being evaluated by `if` and `cond`. Erlang only lets you use the features available in guards, ensuring that there will be no side effects. Elixir doesn't have those limits.

The Gentlest Side Effect: IO.puts

Up until Example 4-5, all of the Elixir examples you've seen focused on a single path through a group of functions. You put an argument or arguments in, and got a return value back. That approach is the cleanest way to do things: you can count on things that worked before to work again because there's no opportunity to muck up the system with leftovers of past processing.

Example 4-5 stepped outside of that model, creating a side effect that will linger after the function is complete. The side effect is just a message that appears in the shell (or in standard output when you start running Elixir outside of the shell). Applications that share information with multiple users or keep information around for longer than a brief processing cycle will need stronger side effects, like storing information in databases.

Elixir best practice suggests using side effects *only* when you really need to. An application that presents an interface to a database, for example, really will need to read and write that database. An application that interacts with users will need to put information on the screen (or other interface) so that users can figure out what they're expected to do.

Side effects are also extremely useful for tracing logic when you are first starting out. The simplest way to see what a program is doing, before you've learned how to use the

built-in tracing and debugging tools for processes, is to have the program report its status at points you consider interesting. This is not a feature you want to leave in shipping code, but when you're getting started, it can give you an easily understandable window into your code's behavior.

The `IO.puts` function lets you send information to the console, or, when you're eventually running code outside of the console, to other places. For now, you'll just use it to send messages from the program to the console. Example 4-5 showed the simplest way to use `IO.puts`, just printing a message it takes in double quotes:

```
IO.puts("Look out below!")
```

`IO.puts` adds a *newline* to the end, telling the console to start any new messages it sends at the beginning of the next line. It makes your results look a bit neater. If you don't want a newline, you can use `IO.write` instead. If you want to print a variable that isn't a string, you can use `IO.inspect`.

> Elixir flatly prohibits operations that could cause side effects in guard expressions. If side effects were allowed in guards, then any time a guard expression was evaluated—whether it returned true or false—the side effect would happen. `IO.puts` wouldn't likely do anything terrible, but these rules mean that it too is blocked from use in guard expressions.

Simple Recursion

The main tool you'll use to repeat actions is recursion: having a function call itself until it's (hopefully) reached a conclusion. This can sound complicated, but it doesn't have to be.

There are two basic kinds of useful recursion. In some situations, you can count on the recursion to reach a natural end. The process runs out of items to work on or reaches a natural limit. In other situations, there is no natural end, and you need to keep track of the result so the process will end. If you can master these two basic forms, you'll be able to create many more complex variations.

> There is a third form, in which the recursive calls never reach an end. This is called an *infinite loop* and is best known as an error you'll want to avoid. As you'll see in Chapter 9, though, even infinite loops can be useful.

Counting Down

The simplest model of recursion with a natural limit is a countdown, like the one used for rockets. You start with a large number and count down to zero. When you reach zero, you're done (and the rocket takes off, if there is one).

To implement this in Elixir, you'll pass a starting number to an Elixir function. If the number is greater than zero, it will then announce the number and call itself with the number minus one as the argument. If the number is zero (or less), it will announce blastoff! and end. Example 4-7, found in *ch04/ex7-countdown*, shows one way to do this.

Example 4-7. Counting down

```elixir
defmodule Count do

  def countdown(from) when from > 0 do
    IO.inspect(from)
    countdown(from-1)
  end

  def countdown(from) do
    IO.puts("blastoff!")
  end

end
```

The last clause could have a guard—when from <= 0—but it would be useful only to make clear when the blastoff happens to human readers. Unnecessary guard clauses may lead to later confusion, so brevity is probably the best option here. However, you'll get a warning that from is unused in the final clause. Here's a test run:

```
iex(1)> c("count.ex")
count.ex:8: variable from is unused
[Count]
iex(2)> Count.countdown(2)
2
1
blastoff!
:ok
```

The first time through, Elixir chose the first clause of countdown(from), passing it a value of 2. That clause printed 2, plus a newline, and then it called the countdown function again, passing it a value of 1. That triggered the first clause again. It printed 1, plus a newline, and then it called the countdown function again—this time passing it a value of 0.

The value of 0 triggered the second clause, which printed blastoff! and ended. After running three values through the same set of code, the function comes to a neat conclusion.

> You could also implement this conclusion with an if statement in-side a single countdown(from) function clause. This is unusual in Elixir. I find guards more readable in these cases, but you may see things differently.

Counting Up

Counting up is trickier because there's no natural endpoint, so you can't model your code on Example 4-7. Instead, you can use an *accumulator*. An accumulator is an extra argument that keeps track of the current result of past work, passing it back into a recursive function. (You can have more than one accumulator argument if you need, though one is often sufficient.) Example 4-8, which you can find in *ch04/ex8-countup*, shows how to add a countup function to the count module, which lets Elixir count up to a number.

Example 4-8. Counting up

```elixir
defmodule Count do

  def countup(limit) do
    countup(1,limit)
  end

  defp countup(count, limit) when count <= limit do
    IO.inspect(count)
    countup(count+1, limit)
  end

  defp countup(count, limit) do
    IO.puts("finished!")
  end

end
```

It produces results like the following:

```
iex(1)> c("count.ex")
count.ex:12: variable count is unused
count.ex:12: variable limit is unused
[Count]
iex(2)> Count.countup(2)
1
2
```

```
finished!
:ok
```

The `countup/2` function, which does most of the work, remains private, not exported. This isn't mandatory; you might make it public if you wanted to support counting between arbitrary values, but it's a common practice. Keeping the recursive internal functions private makes it less likely that someone will misuse them for purposes they're not well-suited to. In this case, it doesn't matter at all, but it can make a big difference in other more complex situations, especially when data is modified.

When you call `countup/1`, it calls `countup/2` with an argument of 1 (for the current count) and the `limit` value you provided for the upper limit.

If the current count is less than or equal to the upper limit, the first clause of the `countup/2` function reports the current `count` value with `IO.puts`. Then it calls itself again, increasing the `count` by one but leaving the `limit` alone.

If the current count is greater than the upper limit, it fails the guard on the first clause, so the second clause kicks in, reports `"Finished."`, and is done.

The guards here are sufficient to avoid infinite loops. You can enter zero, negative numbers, or decimals as arguments to `countup/1` and it will terminate neatly. You can get into serious trouble, however, if your termination test relies on `==` or `===` for comparison to a single value rather than `>=` or `<=` for comparison to a range.

Recursing with Return Values

The counting examples are simple—they demonstrate how recursion works, but just discard the return values. There are return values—the `IO.puts` calls return the atom `:ok`—but they aren't of much use. More typically, a recursive function call will make use of the return value.

A classic recursive call calculates factorials. A factorial is the product of all positive integers equal to or less than the argument. The factorial of 1 is 1; 1 by itself yields 1. The factorial of 2 is 2; 2 × 1 yields 2. It starts to get interesting at 3, where 3 × 2 × 1 is 6. At 4, 4 × 3 × 2 × 1 is 24, and the results get rapidly larger with larger arguments.

There was a pattern to that, though. You can calculate any factorial by multiplying the integer by the factorial of one less. That makes it a perfect case for using recursion, using the results of smaller integers to calculate the larger ones. This approach is similar to the countdown logic, but instead of just counting, the program collects calculated results. That could look like Example 4-9, which you'll find in *ch04/ex9-factorial-down*.

Example 4-9. A factorial written with the counting-down approach

```
defmodule Fact do

  def factorial(n) when n > 1 do
    n * factorial(n-1)
  end

  def factorial(n) when n<=1 do
    1
  end
end
```

The first clause of `factorial` uses the pattern previously described. The first clause, used for numbers above one, returns a value that is the number `n` times the factorial of the next integer down. The second clause returns the value 1 when it reaches 1. Using `<=` in that comparison, rather than `==`, gives the function more resilience against non-integer or negative arguments, though the answers it returns aren't quite right: factorials really only work for integers of 1 or higher. The results are as previously suggested:

```
iex(1)> c("fact.ex")
[Fact]
iex(2)> Fact.factorial(1)
1
iex(3)> Fact.factorial(3)
6
iex(4)> Fact.factorial(4)
24
iex(5)> Fact.factorial(40)
815915283247897734345611269596115894272000000000
```

This works, but it may not be clear why it works. Yes, the function counts down and collects the values, but if you want to see the mechanism, you need to add some `IO.puts` calls into the code, as shown in Example 4-10. (You can find this at *ch04/ex10-factorial-down-instrumented.*)

Example 4-10. Looking into the factorial recursion calls

```
defmodule Fact do

  def factorial(n) when n > 1 do
    IO.puts("Calling from #{n}.")
    result = n * factorial(n-1)
    IO.puts("#{n} yields #{result}.")
    result
  end

  def factorial(n) when n<=1 do
    IO.puts("Calling from 1.")
    IO.puts("1 yields 1.")
    1
```

```
      end
end
```

There's a bit more overhead here. To present the result of the recursive call and still return that value to the next recursive call requires storing it in a variable, here called result. The IO.puts call makes visible which value produced the result. Then, because the last value expression in a function clause is the return value, result appears again. The second clause for 1 is similar, except that it can report simply that 1 yields 1. because it always will.

When you compile this and run it, you'll see something like the following:

```
iex(1)> c("fact.ex")
[Fact]
iex(2)> Fact.factorial(4)
Calling from 4.
Calling from 3.
Calling from 2.
Calling from 1.
1 yields 1.
2 yields 2.
3 yields 6.
4 yields 24.
24
```

Although the calls count down the values, as the logic would suggest, the messages about results don't appear until the countdown is complete, and then they all appear in order, counting up.

The reason this happens is that the function calls don't return values until the countdown is complete. Until then, Elixir builds a stack of frames corresponding to the function calls. You can think of the frames as paused versions of the function logic, waiting for an answer to come back. Once the call with an argument of 1 returns a simple value, not calling any further, Elixir can unwind those frames and calculate the result. That unwinding presents the results—"X yields Y"—in the order that the frames unwind.

That "unwinding" also means that the code in Example 4-9 and Example 4-10 is not *tail recursive*. When Elixir encounters code that ends with a simple recursive call, it can optimize the handling to avoid keeping that stack of calls around. This probably doesn't matter for a one-time calculation, but it makes a huge difference when you write code that will stay running for a long time.

You can achieve tail recursion for factorials by applying the counting-up approach to factorials. You'll get the same results (at least for integer values), but the calculations will work a little differently, as shown in Example 4-11, at *ch04/ex11-factorial-up*.

Example 4-11. A factorial written with the counting-up approach

```elixir
defmodule Fact do

  def factorial(n) do
    factorial(1,n,1)
  end

  defp factorial(current, n, result) when current <= n do
    new_result = result * current
    IO.puts("#{current} yields #{new_result}.")
    factorial(current+1, n, new_result)
  end

  defp factorial(_current, _n, result) do
    IO.puts("finished!")
    result
  end

end
```

As in the counting-up example, the main function call, here `factorial/1`, calls a private function, `factorial/3`. In this case, there are two accumulators. `current` stores the current position in the count, whereas `result` is the answer from the previous multiplication. When the value of `current` climbs past the limiting value n, the first guard fails, the second clause is invoked, and the function is finished and returns the `result`. (You'll get a compilation warning because the final clause doesn't use the accumulator variables `current` or n. You can ignore it.)

Because `factorial/3`'s last call in the recursive section is to itself, without any complications to track, it is tail recursive. Elixir can minimize the amount of information it has to keep around while the calls all happen.

The calculation produces the same results, but does the math in a different order:

```
iex(1)> c("fact.ex")
[Fact]
iex(2)> Fact.factorial(4)
1 yields 1.
2 yields 2.
3 yields 6.
4 yields 24.
finished!
24
```

Although the code is tracking more values, the runtime has less to do. When it finally hits the final result, there's no further calculation needed. That result is the result, and it passes back through to the original call. This also makes it easier to structure the `IO.puts` calls. If you remove them or comment them out, the rest of the code stays the same.

Communicating with Humans

Elixir rebuilds the Erlang tools for working with strings from scratch, bringing them up to speed for Unicode (UTF-8) and recognizing that strings deserve more focus than just a list of characters. Chapter 4 showed you a bit of string handling and presentation (`IO.puts`), but there are more pieces you'll want to learn to handle communications with people and sometimes with other applications. At the very least, this chapter will let you build more convenient interfaces for testing your code than calling functions from IEx.

> If you're feeling completely excited about the recursion you learned in Chapter 4, you may want to jump ahead to Chapter 6, where that recursion will once again be front and center.

Strings

Atoms are great for sending messages within a program, even messages that the programmer can remember, but they're not really designed for communicating outside of the context of Erlang processes. If you need to be assembling sentences or even presenting information, you'll want something more flexible. Strings are the structure you need. You've already used strings a little bit, as the double-quoted arguments to `IO.puts` in Chapter 4:

```
IO.puts("Look out below!")
```

The double-quoted content (`Look out below!`) is a string. A string is a sequence of characters. If you want to include a double quote within the string, you can escape it with a backslash, like \". \n gives you a newline. To include a backslash, you have to use \\. Appendix A includes a complete list of escapes and other options.

If you create a string in the shell, Elixir will report back the string *with* the escapes. To see what it "really" contains, use `IO.puts`:

```
iex(1)> x= "Quote -  \" in a string. \n  Backslash, too: \\ . \n"
"Quote -  \" in a string. \n  Backslash, too: \\ . \n"
iex(2)> IO.puts(x)
Quote -  " in a string.
  Backslash, too: \ .

:ok
```

> If you start entering a string and don't close the quotes, when you press Enter, IEx will just give you a new line with the same number. This lets you include newlines in strings, but it can be very confusing. If you think you're stuck, usually entering " will get you out of it.

Elixir also provides operations for creating new strings. The simplest is concatenation, where you combine two strings into one. Elixir uses the unusual-looking but functional `<>` operator:

```
iex(3)> "el" <> "ixir"
"elixir"
iex(4)> a="el"
"el"
iex(5)> a <> "ixir"
"elixir"
```

Elixir also has string interpolation, using `{}` as a wrapper around content to be added to the string. You used this in Chapter 4 to see the value of variables:

```
IO.puts("#{n} yields #{result}.")
```

When Elixir encounters `#{}` in a string, it processes its contents to get a result, converts them to a string if necessary, and combines the pieces into a single string. That interpolation happens only once. Even if the variable used in the string changes, the contents of the string will remain the same:

```
iex(1)> a = "this"
"this"
iex(2)> b = "The value of a is #{a}."
"The value of a is this."
iex(3)> a = "that"
"that"
iex(4)> b
"The value of a is this."
```

You can put anything that returns a value in the interpolation: a variable, a function call, or an operation on parts. I find it most readable to just have variables, but your usage

may vary. Like any other calculation, if the value to be interpolated can't be calculated, you'll get an error.

> Interpolation works only for values that are already strings or can naturally be converted to strings (such as numbers). If you want to interpolate any other sort of value, you must wrap it in a call to the `inspect` function:

```
iex(1)> x = 7 * 5
35
iex(2)> "x is now #{x}"
"x is now 35"
iex(3)> y = {4, 5, 6}
{4,5,6}
iex(4)> "y is now #{y}"
** (Protocol.UndefinedError) protocol String.Chars not implemented
for {4, 5, 6}
    (elixir) lib/string/chars.ex:3: String.Chars.impl_for!/1
    (elixir) lib/string/chars.ex:17: String.Chars.to_string/1
iex(4)> "y is now #{inspect y}"
"y is now {4,5,6}"
```

Elixir also offers two options for comparing string equality, the == operator and the === (exact or strict equality) operator. The == operator is generally the simplest for this, though the other produces the same results:

```
iex(5)> "el" == "el"
true
iex(6)> "el" == "ixir"
false
iex(7)> "el" === "el"
true
iex(8)> "el" === "ixir"
false
```

Elixir doesn't offer functions for changing strings in place, as that would work badly with a model where variable contents don't change. However, it does offer a set of functions for finding content in strings and dividing or padding those strings, which together let you extract information from a string (or multiple strings) and recombine it into a new string.

If you want to do more with your strings, you should definitely explore the documentation for the String and Regex (regular expressions) Elixir modules.

Multiline Strings

Multiline strings, sometimes called *heredocs*, let you create strings containing newlines. Chapter 2 mentioned them briefly, as a convenience for creating documentation, but you can use them for other purposes as well.

Unlike regular strings, multiline strings open and close with three double quotes:

```
iex(1)> multi = """
...(1)> This is a multiline
...(1)> string, also called a heredoc.
...(1)> """
"This is a multiline\nstring, also called a heredoc.\n"
iex(2)> IO.puts(multi)
This is a multiline
string, also called a heredoc.

:ok
```

Apart from the different way you enter them, you process multiline strings the same way as any other strings.

Unicode

Elixir works well with Unicode (UTF-8) strings. The `String.length/1` function returns the number of Unicode graphemes in its argument. This is not necessarily the same as the number of bytes in the string, as it requires more than one byte to represent many Unicode characters. If you do need to know the number of bytes, you can use the `byte_size/1` function:

```
iex(1)> str="서울 - 대한민국" # Seoul, Republic of Korea
"서울 - 대한민국"
iex(2)> String.length(str)
9
iex(3)> byte_size(str)
21
```

Character Lists

Elixir's string handling is a major change from Erlang's approach. In Erlang, all the strings were lists of characters, the same kind of lists you'll learn about in Chapter 6. As many Elixir programs will need to work with Erlang libraries, Elixir provides support for character lists as well as strings.

Character lists are slower to work with and take up more memory than strings, so they shouldn't be your first choice.

To create a character list, you use single quotes instead of double quotes:

```
iex(1)> x = 'ixir'
'ixir'
```

You concatenate character lists with ++ instead of <>:

```
iex(2)> 'el' ++ 'ixir'
'elixir'
```

You can convert character lists to strings with `List.to_string/1` and strings to character lists with `String.to_char_list/1`:

```
iex(3)> List.to_string('elixir')
"elixir"
iex(4)> String.to_char_list("elixir")
'elixir'
```

For purposes other than working with Erlang libraries, you should probably stick with strings. (Chapter 6 will explain more about working with lists, and these may be helpful if you have data that you want to treat explicitly as a list of characters.)

String Sigils

Elixir offers another way to create strings, character lists, and regular expressions you can apply to the other two formats. String sigils tell the interpreter, "This is going to be this kind of content."

Sigils start with a ~ sign, then one of the letters s (for binary string), c (for character list), r (for regular expression), or w (to produce a list split into words by whitespace). If the letter is lowercase, then interpolation and escaping happen as usual. If the letter is uppercase (S, C, R, or W), then the string is created exactly as shown, with no escaping or interpolation. After the letter, you can use any nonalphanumeric character, not just quotes, to start and end the string.

This sounds complicated, but it works pretty easily. For example, if you needed to create a string that contained escapes that some other tool was going to process, you might write:

```
iex(5)> pass_through = ~S"This is a {#msg}, she said.\n  This is only a {#msg}."
"This is a {#msg}, she said.\\n  This is only a {#msg}."
iex(6)> IO.puts(pass_through)
This is a {#msg}, she said.\n  This is only a {#msg}.
:ok
```

Elixir also offers w and W, for lists of words. This sigil takes a binary string and splits it into a list of strings separated by whitespace:

```
iex(1)> ~w/Elixir is great!/
["Elixir", "is", "great!"]
```

You can also create your own sigils for your own formats. See the Elixir website for more on these possibilities.

Asking Users for Information

Many Elixir applications run kind of like wholesalers—in the background, providing goods and services to retailers who interact directly with users. Sometimes, however, it's nice to have a direct interface to code that is a little more customized than IEx command line. You probably won't write many Elixir applications whose primary interface is the command line, but you may find that interface very useful when you first try out your code. (Odds are good that if you're working with Elixir, you don't mind using a command-line interface, either.)

You *can* mix input and output with your program logic, but for this kind of simple facade, it probably makes better sense to put it in a separate module. In this case, the `ask` module will work with the `drop` module from Example 3-8.

Erlang's `io` functions for input have a variety of strange interactions with the Erlang shell, as discussed in the following section. You will have better luck working with them in other contexts.

Gathering Characters

The `IO.getn` function will let you get just a few characters from the user. This seems like it should be convenient if, for example, you have a list of options. Present the options to the user, and wait for a response. In Example 5-1, which you can find at *ch05/ex1-ask*, the list of planemos is the option, and they're easy to number 1 through 3. That means you just need a single-character response.

Example 5-1. Presenting a menu and waiting for a single-character response

```
defmodule Ask do

  def chars() do
    IO.puts(
      """
Which planemo are you on?
 1. Earth
 2. Moon
 3. Mars
"""
    )
```

```
    IO.getn("Which? > ")

  end
end
```

Most of that is presenting the menu. The key piece is the `IO.getn` call at the end. The first argument is a prompt, and the second is the number of characters you want returned, with a default value of 1. The function still lets users enter whatever they want until they press Enter, but it will tell you only the first character (or however many characters you specified), and it will return it as a string:

```
iex(9)> c("ask.ex")
[Ask]
iex(10)> Ask.chars
Which planemo are you on?
1. Earth
2. Earth's Moon
3. Mars

Which? > 3
"3"
iex(11)>
nil
iex(12)>
```

The `IO.getn` function returns the string `"3"`, the character the user entered, after pressing Enter. However, as you can tell by the `nil` and the duplicated command prompt, the Enter still gets reported to IEx. This can get stranger if users enter more content than is needed:

```
iex(13)> Ask.chars
Which planemo are you on?
1. Earth
2. Earth's Moon
3. Mars

Which? > 2222222
"2"
iex(14)> 222222
222222
iex(15)>
```

There may be times when `IO.getn` is exactly what you want, but odds are good, at least when working within IEx, that you'll get cleaner results by taking in a complete line of user input and picking what you want from it.

Reading Lines of Text

Erlang offers a few different functions that pause to request information from users. The `IO.gets` function waits for the user to enter a complete line of text terminated by a newline. You can then process the line to extract the information you want, and nothing will be left in the buffer. Example 5-2, in *ch05/ex2-ask*, shows how this could work, though extracting the information is somewhat more complicated than I would like.

Example 5-2. Collecting user responses a line at a time

```elixir
defmodule Ask do

  def line() do
    planemo=get_planemo()
    distance=get_distance()
    Drop.fall_velocity(planemo, distance)
  end

  defp get_planemo() do
    IO.puts(
    """
Which planemo are you on?
 1. Earth
 2. Earth's Moon
 3. Mars
 """
    )

    answer = IO.gets("Which? > ")
    value=String.first(answer)
    char_to_planemo(value)
  end

defp get_distance() do
  input = IO.gets("How far? (meters) > ")
  value = String.strip(input)
  binary_to_integer(value)
end

defp char_to_planemo(char) do
  case char do
      "1" -> :earth
      "2" -> :moon
      "3" -> :mars
  end
  end

end
```

To clarify the code, the `line` function just calls three other functions. It calls `get_planemo` to present a menu to the user and get a reply, and it similarly calls `get_dis tance` to ask the user the distance of the fall. Then it calls `Drop.fall_velocity` to return the velocity at which a frictionless object will hit the ground when dropped from that height at that location.

The `get_planemo` function uses `IO.puts` and a multiline string to present information and an `IO.gets` call to retrieve information from the user. Unlike `IO.getn`, `IO.gets` returns the entire value the user entered as a string, including the newline, and leaves nothing in the buffer:

```
defp get_planemo() do
  IO.puts(
  """
Which planemo are you on?
 1. Earth
 2. Earth's Moon
 3. Mars
 """
    )

  answer = IO.gets("Which? > ")
  value=String.first(answer)
  char_to_planemo(value)
end
```

The last two lines process the result. The only piece of the response that matters to this application is the first character of the response. The easy way to grab that is with the built-in function `String.first`, which pulls the first character from a string.

The `Drop.fall_velocity` function won't know what to do with a *planemo* listed as 1, 2, or 3; it expects an atom of `:earth`, `:moon`, or `:mars`. The `get_planemo` function concludes by returning the value of that conversion, performed by the `char_to_planemo` function:

```
defp char_to_planemo(char) do
  case char do
      "1" -> :earth
      "2" -> :moon
      "3" -> :mars
  end
end
```

The `case` statement matches against the string. The atom returned by the case statement will be returned to the `get_planemo/0` function, which will in turn return it to the `line/ 0` function for use in the calculation.

Getting the distance is somewhat easier:

```elixir
defp get_distance() do
  input = IO.gets("How far? (meters) > ")
  value = String.strip(input)
  String.to_integer(value)
end
```

The `input` variable collects the user's response to the question, "How far?" The processing for `value` uses `String.strip` to remove any surrounding whitespace from `input`, including the newline at the end. Finally, the `binary_to_integer` function extracts an integer from `value`. Using `binary_to_integer` isn't perfect, but for these purposes, it's probably acceptable.

A sample run demonstrates that it produces the right results given the right input:

```elixir
iex(1)> c("ask.ex")
[Ask]
iex(2)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(3)> Ask.line
Which planemo are you on?
1. Earth
2. Earth's Moon
3. Mars

Which? > 1
How far? (meters) > 20
19.79898987322333
iex(4)> Ask.line
Which planemo are you on?
1. Earth
2. Earth's Moon
3. Mars

Which? > 2
How far? (meters) > 20
8.0
```

Chapter 10 will return to this code, looking at better ways to handle the errors users can provoke by entering unexpected answers.

Lists

Elixir is great at handling lists, long series of similar (or not) values. List processing makes it easy to see the value of recursion and offers opportunities to get a lot of work done for very little effort.

List Basics

An Elixir list is an ordered set of elements. Generally you will process a list in order, from the first item (the *head*) to the last item, though there are times when you may want to grab a particular item from the list. Elixir also provides built-in functions for manipulating lists when you don't want to go through the entire sequence.

Elixir syntax encloses lists in square brackets and separates elements with commas. A list of numbers might look like the following:

```
[1,2,4,8,16,32]
```

The elements can be of any type, including numbers, atoms, tuples, strings, and other lists. When you're starting out, it's definitely easiest to work with lists that contain only a single type of element, rather than mixing all the possibilities, but Elixir itself has no such constraint. There is also no limit on the number of items a list can contain, though eventually you may find practical limits of memory.

You can pattern match with lists just as you can with other Elixir data structures:

```
iex(1)> [1,x,4,y] = [1,2,4,8]
[1,2,4,8]
iex(2)> x
2
iex(3)> y
8
```

Your code will usually make more sense if you use tuples to handle data structures containing various kinds of data in a known sequence, and lists to handle structures containing less varied data in unknown quantities. Tuples are expected to come in a certain order and can also contain lists, so if you have a data structure that's mostly known except for an expanding part or two, including a list inside of a tuple can be a workable solution.

Lists can contain lists, and sometimes this can produce surprising results. If, for example, you want to add a list to a list, you may end up with more levels of list than you planned:

```
iex(4)> insert = [2,4,8]
[2,4,8]
iex(5)> full = [1,insert,16,32]
[1,[2,4,8],16,32]
```

You can fix that (if you want to) with the `List.flatten/1` function:

```
iex(6)> neat = List.flatten(full)
[1,2,4,8,16,32]
```

This also means that if you want to append lists, you need to decide whether you're creating a list of lists or a single list containing the contents of the component lists. To create a list of lists, you just put lists into lists:

```
iex(7)> a = [1,2,4]
[1,2,4]
iex(8)> b = [8,16,32]
[8,16,32]
iex(9)> list_of_lists = [a,b]
[[1,2,4],[8,16,32]]
```

To create a single list from multiple lists, you can use the `Enum.concat/2` function or the equivalent ++ operator:

```
iex(10)> combined = Enum.concat(a, b)
[1,2,4,8,16,32]
iex(11)> combined2 = a ++ b
[1,2,4,8,16,32]
```

Both produce the same result: a combined and flattened list.

The ++ operator is right associative, which can change the order of the resulting list when you append multiple lists.

If you have a set of lists you'd like combined, you can use the `Enum.concat/1` function, which takes a list of lists as its argument and returns a single list containing their contents:

```
iex(12)> c = [64,128,256]
[64,128,256]
iex(13)> combined3 = Enum.concat([a,b,c])
[1,2,4,8,16,32,64,128,256]
```

Splitting Lists into Heads and Tails

Lists are a convenient way to hold piles of similar data, but their great strength in Elixir is the way they make it easy to do recursion. Lists are a natural fit for the counting-down style of logic explored in Chapter 4: you can run through a list until you run out of items. In many languages, running through a list means finding out how many items it contains and going through them sequentially. Elixir takes a different approach, letting you process the first item in a list, the *head*, while extracting the rest of the list, the *tail*, so that you can pass it to another call recursively.

To extract the head and the tail, you use pattern matching, with a special form of the list syntax on the left:

```
[head | tail] = [1,2,4]
```

The two variables separated by a vertical bar (|), or *cons*, for list constructor, will be bound to the head and tail of the list on the right. In the console, Elixir will just report the contents of the right side of the expression, not the fragments created by the pattern match, but if you work through a list you can see the results:

```
iex(1)> list = [1, 2, 4]
[1,2,4]
iex(2)> [h1 | t1] = list
[1,2,4]
iex(3)> h1
1
iex(4)> t1
[2,4]
iex(5)> [h2 | t2] = t1
[2,4]
iex(6)> h2
2
iex(7)> t2
[4]
iex(8)> [h3 | t3] = t2
[4]
iex(9)> h3
4
iex(10)> t3
[]
iex(11)> [h4 | t4] = t3
```

```
** (MatchError) no match of right hand side value: []
    :erl_eval.expr/3
```

Line 2 copies the initial list into two smaller pieces. h1 will contain the first item of the list, whereas t1 will contain a list that has everything *except* the first element. Line 5 repeats the process on the smaller list, breaking t1 into a h2 and a t2. This time t2 is still a list, as shown on line 7, but contains only one item. Line 8 breaks that single-item list again, putting the value into h3 and an *empty* list into t3.

What happens when you try to split an empty list, as shown on line 11? Elixir reports an error, "no match…". This fortunately does not mean that recursion on lists is doomed to produce errors. That lack of a match will naturally stop the recursive process, which is probably what you want.

> Head and tail work only moving forward through a list. If order matters and you really need to go through a list backwards, you'll need to use the Enum.reverse function and then walk through the reversed list.

Processing List Content

The head-and-tail notation was built for recursive processing. Actually making that work typically follows a pattern in which a list arrives as an argument and is then passed to another (usually private) function with an accumulator argument. A simple case might perform a calculation on the contents of the list. Example 6-1, in *ch06/ex1-product*, shows this pattern in use, multiplying the values of a list together.

Example 6-1. Calculating the product of values in a list

```
defmodule Overall do
  def product([]) do
    0
  end

  def product(list) do
    product(list, 1)
  end

  def product([], accumulated_product) do
    accumulated_product
  end

  def product([head | tail], accumulated_product) do
    product(tail, head * accumulated_product)
  end
end
```

In this module, the product/1 function is the gateway, passing the list (if the list has content) plus an accumulator to product/2, which does the real work. If you wanted to test the arriving list to make sure it meets your expectations, it would probably make the most sense to do that work in product/1, and let product/2 focus on recursive processing.

> Is the product of an empty list really zero? It might make more sense for an empty list to fail and produce a crash. Elixir's "let it crash" philosophy is, as you'll see later, pretty calm about such things. In the long run, you'll have to decide which cases are better left to crash and which shouldn't.

The product/2 function has two clauses. The first matches the empty list and will get called at the end of the recursive process when there are no more entries to process, or if the list arrives empty. It returns its second argument, the accumulator.

The second clause does more work if the arriving list is not empty. First, the pattern match ([head|tail]) splits off the first value the list from the rest of the list. Next, it calls product/2 again, with the remaining (if any) portion of the list and a new accumulator that is multiplied by the value of the first entry in the list. The result will be the product of the values included in the list:

```
iex(1)> c("overall.ex")
[Overall]
iex(2)> Overall.product([1,2,3,5])
30
```

That went smoothly, but what happened? After product/1 called product/2, it made five iterations over the list, concluding with an empty list, as shown in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1. Recursive processing of a simple list in product/2

Arriving list	Arriving product	Head	Tail
[1,2,3,5]	1	1	[2,3,5]
[2,3,5]	1 (1*1)	2	[3,5]
[3,5]	2 (1*2)	3	[5]
[5]	6 (2*3)	5	[]
[]	30 (6*5)	None	None

The last arriving accumulated_product, 30, will be handled by the clause for the empty list and reported as the return value for product/2. When product/1 receives that value, it will also report 30 as its return value and exit.

Because strings in single quotes are lists, you can do strange things like enter `Overall.product('funny')`. `product/1` will interpret the character values as numbers and return `17472569400`.

Creating Lists with Heads and Tails

While there are times you want to calculate a single value from a list, much list processing involves modifying lists or converting a list into another list. Because you can't actually change a list, modifying or converting a list means creating a new list. To do that, you use the same vertical bar head/tail syntax, but on the right side of the pattern match instead of the left. You can try this out in the console, though it's more useful in a module:

```
iex(5)> x = [1|[2,3]]
[1,2,3]
```

Elixir interprets `[1|[2,3]]` as creating a list. If the value to the right of the vertical bar is a list, it gets appended to the head as a list. In this case, the result is a neat list of numbers. There are a few other forms you should be aware of:

```
iex(2)> y = [1,2 | [3]]
[1,2,3]
iex(3)> z = [1,2 | 3]
[1,2|3]
```

In line 2, there isn't a list wrapped around the now two items in the head, but the constructor still blends the head and the tail together seamlessly. (If you do wrap them in square brackets, the list constructor assumes that you want a list as the first item in the list, so `[[1,2] | [3]]` will produce `[[1,2],3]`.)

However, line 3 demonstrates what happens if you don't wrap the tail in square brackets —you get a list, called an *improper list*, that still contains a constructor, with a strange tail. Until you've learned your way quite thoroughly around Elixir, you definitely should avoid this, as it will create runtime errors if you try to process it as a normal list. Eventually you may find rare reasons to do this or encounter code that uses it.

More typically, you'll use list constructors to build lists inside recursive functions. Example 6-2, which you can find in *ch06/ex2-drop*, starts from a set of tuples representing planemos and distances. With the help of the `drop` module from Example 3-8, it creates a list of velocities for the corresponding falls.

Example 6-2. Calculating a series of drop velocities, with an error

```
defmodule ListDrop do
  def falls(list) do
    falls(list, [])
  end
```

```
  def falls([], results) do
    results
  end

  def falls([head|tail], results) do
    falls(tail, [Drop.fall_velocity(head) | results])
  end
end
```

Much of this is familiar from Example 6-1, except that the `results` variable gets a list instead of a number, and the last line of `falls/2` creates a list instead of a single value. If you run it, however, you'll see one minor problem:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> c("listdrop.ex")
[ListDrop]
iex(3)> ListDrop.falls([{:earth, 20}, {:moon, 20}, {:mars, 20}])
[12.181953866272849,8.0,19.79898987322333]
```

The resulting velocities are reversed: the Earth has more gravity than Mars, and objects should fall faster on Earth. What happened? That last key line in `falls/2` is reading a list from the beginning to the end and creating a list from the end to the beginning. That puts the values in the wrong order. Fortunately, as Example 6-3 (in *ch06/ex3-drop*) demonstrates, this is easy to fix. You need to call `Enum.reverse/1` in the clause of the `falls/2` function that handles the empty list.

Example 6-3. Calculating a series of drop velocities, with the error fixed

```
defmodule ListDrop do
  def falls(list) do
    falls(list, [])
  end

  def falls([], results) do
    Enum.reverse(results)
  end

  def falls([head|tail], results) do
    falls(tail, [Drop.fall_velocity(head) | results])
  end
end
```

Now it works:

```
iex(4)> c("listdrop.ex")
listdrop.ex:1: redefining module ListDrop
[ListDrop]
iex(5)> ListDrop.falls([{:earth, 20}, {:moon, 20}, {:mars, 20}])
[19.79898987322333,8.0,12.181953866272849]
```

You could instead have put the `Enum.reverse/1` call in the `falls/1` gateway function. Either way is fine, though I prefer to have `falls/2` return a finished result.

Mixing Lists and Tuples

As you get deeper into Elixir and pass around more complex data structures, you may find that you're processing lists full of tuples, or that it would be more convenient to rearrange two lists into a single list of tuples or vice versa. The `List` module includes easy solutions to these kinds of transformations and searches.

The simplest tools are the `List.zip/1` and `List.unzip/1` functions. They can turn two lists of the same size into a list of tuples or a list of tuples into a list of two lists:

```
iex(1)> list1 = [:earth, :moon, :mars]
[:earth,:moon,:mars]
iex(2)> list2 = [9.8, 1.6, 3.71]
[9.8,1.6,3.71]
iex(3)> planemos = List.zip([list1, list2])
[earth: 9.8, moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71]
iex(4)> separate_lists = List.unzip(planemos)
[[:earth,:moon,:mars],[9.8,1.6,3.71]]
```

The two lists, `list1` and `list2`, have different contents but the same number of items. The `List.zip/1` function returns a list containing a tuple for each of the items in the original lists. The `List.unzip/1` function takes that list of two-component tuples and splits it out into a list containing two lists.

Building a List of Lists

While simple recursion isn't too complicated, list processing has a way of turning into lists of lists in various stages. Pascal's triangle, a classic mathematical tool, is relatively simple to create but demonstrates more intricate work with lists. It starts with a 1 at the top, and then each new row is composed of the sum of the two numbers above it:

```
        1
      1   1
    1   2   1
  1   3   3   1
1   4   6   4   1
...
```

If those numbers seem familiar, it's probably because they're the binomial coefficents that appear when you put $(x+y)$ to a power. That's just the beginning of this mathematical marvel!

Pascal's triangle is easily calculated with Elixir in a number of ways. You can apply the list techniques already discussed in this chapter by treating each row as a list, and the triangle as a list of lists. The code will be seeded with the first row—the top 1—represented as [0,1,0]. The extra zeros make the addition much simpler.

> This is not intended to be an efficient, elegant, or maximally compact implementation. At this point, a naive implementation likely explains more about lists.

For a first step, Example 6-4, found in *ch06/ex4-pascal*, calculates rows individually. This is a simple recursive process, walking over the old list and adding its contents to create a new list.

Example 6-4. Calculating a row

```
defmodule Pascal do
  def add_row(initial) do
    add_row(initial, 0, [])
  end

  def add_row([], 0, final) do
    [0 | final]
  end

  def add_row([h | t], last, new) do
    add_row(t, h, [last + h | new])
  end
end
```

The add_row/1 function sets things up, sending the current row a 0 to get the math started and an empty list you can think of as "where the results go," though it is really an accumulator. The add_row/3 function has two clauses. The first checks to see if the list being added is empty. If it is, then the function reports back the final row, adding a 0 at the front.

Most of the work gets done in the second clause of add_row/3. When it receives its arguments, the [h | t] pattern match splits the head of the list into the h value (a number) and the tail into t (a list, which may be empty if that was the last number). It also gets values for the last number processed and the current new list being built.

It then makes a recursive call to add_row/3. In that new call, the tail of the old list, t, is the new list to process, the h value becomes the last number processed, and the third argument, the list, opens with the actual addition being performed, which is then combined with the rest of the new list being built.

> Because the lists in the triangle are symmetrical, there is no need to use Enum.reverse/1 to flip them. You can, of course, if you want to.

You can test this easily from the console, but remember that your test lists need to be wrapped in zeros:

```
iex(1)> c("pascal.ex")
[Pascal]
iex(2)> Pascal.add_row([0,1,0])
[0,1,1,0]
iex(3)> Pascal.add_row([0, 1, 1, 0])
[0,1,2,1,0]
iex(4)> Pascal.add_row([0, 1, 2, 1, 0])
[0,1,3,3,1,0]
```

Now that you can create a new row from an old one, you need to be able to create a set of rows from the top of the triangle, as shown in Example 6-5, which you can find in *ch06/ex4-pascal*. The add_row/3 function effectively counted down to the end of the list, but triangle/3 will need to count up to a given number of rows. The triangle/1 function sets things up, defining the initial row, setting the counter to 1 (because that initial row *is* the first row), and passing on the number of rows to be created.

The triangle/3 function has two clauses. The first, the stop clause, halts the recursion when enough rows have been created and reverses the list. (The individual rows may be symmetrical, but the triangle itself is not.) The second clause does the actual work of generating new rows. It gets the previous row generated from the list, and then it passes that to the add_row/1 function, which will return a new row. Then it calls itself with the new list, an incremented count, and the rows value the stop clause needs.

Example 6-5. Calculating the whole triangle with both functions

```
defmodule Pascal do
  def triangle(rows) do
    triangle([[0,1,0]], 1, rows)
  end

  def triangle(list, count, rows) when count >= rows do
    Enum.reverse(list)
  end
```

```
  def triangle(list, count, rows) do
    [previous | _] = list
    triangle([add_row(previous) | list], count + 1, rows)
  end

  def add_row(initial) do
    add_row(initial, 0, [])
  end

  def add_row([], 0, final) do
    [0 | final]
  end

  def add_row([h | t], last, new) do
    add_row(t, h, [last + h | new])
  end
end
```

Happily, this works:

```
iex(5)> c("pascal.ex")
pascal.ex:1: redefining module Pascal
[Pascal]
iex(6)> Pascal.triangle(4)
[[0,1,0],[0,1,1,0],[0,1,2,1,0],[0,1,3,3,1,0]]
iex(7)> Pascal.triangle(6)
[[0,1,0],[0,1,1,0],[0,1,2,1,0],[0,1,3,3,1,0],[0,1,4,6,4,1,0],[0,1,5,10,10,5,1,0]]
```

Pascal's triangle may be a slightly neater set of lists than most you will process, but this kind of layered list processing is a very common tactic for processing and generating lists of data.

Name-Value Pairs

Tuples and lists are powerful tools for creating complex data structures, but there are two key pieces missing from the story so far. Tuples are relatively anonymous structures. Relying on a specific order and number of components in tuples can create major maintenance headaches. Lists have similar problems: the usual approaches to list processing in Elixir assume that lists are just a sequence of (often) similar parts.

Sometimes you want to call things out by name instead of number, or pattern match to a specific location. Elixir has many different options for doing just that.

> Maps and structs appeared late in Elixir's development. They layer directly on features Erlang introduced in R17. In the long run, maps and structs will probably become the key pieces to know, but you may need the rest for compatibility with older Erlang code.

Keyword Lists

Sometimes you need to process lists of tuples containing two elements that can be considered as a "key and value" pair, where the key is an atom. Elixir displays them in *keyword list* format, and you may enter them in that format as well:

```
iex(1)> planemo_list = [{:earth, 9.8}, {:moon, 1.6}, {:mars, 3.71}]
[earth: 9.8, moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71]
iex(2)> atomic_weights = [hydrogen: 1.008, carbon: 12.011, sodium: 22.99]
[hydrogen: 1.008, carbon: 12.011, sodium: 22.99]
iex(3)> ages = [david: 59, simon: 40, cathy: 28, simon: 30]
[david: 59, simon: 40, cathy: 28, simon: 30]
```

A keyword list is always sequential and can have duplicate keys. Elixir's `Keyword` module lets you access, delete, and insert values via their keys.

Use `Keyword.get/3` to retrieve the first value in the list with a given key. The optional third argument to `Keyword.get` provides a default value to return in case the key is not in the list. `Keyword.fetch!/2` will raise an error if the key is not found. The `Keyword.get_values/2` will return all the values for a given key:

```
iex(5)> Keyword.get(atomic_weights, :hydrogen)
1.008
iex(6)> Keyword.get(atomic_weights, :neon)
nil
iex(7)> Keyword.get(atomic_weights, :carbon, 0)
12.011
iex(8)> Keyword.get(atomic_weights, :neon, 0)
0
iex(9)> Keyword.fetch!(atomic_weights, :neon)
** (KeyError) key not found: :neon
    (elixir) lib/keyword.ex:164: Keyword.fetch!/2
iex(10)> Keyword.get_values(ages, :simon)
[40,30]
```

You can use `Keyword.has_key?/2` to see if a key exists in the list:

```
iex(11)> Keyword.has_key?(atomic_weights, :carbon)
true
iex(12)> Keyword.has_key?(atomic_weights, :neon)
false
```

To add a new value, use `Keyword.put_new/3`. If the key already exists, its value remains unchanged:

```
iex(13)> weights2 = Keyword.put_new(atomic_weights, :helium, 4.0026)
[helium: 4.0026, hydrogen: 1.008, carbon: 12.011, sodium: 15.999]
iex(14)> weights3 = Keyword.put_new(weights2, :helium, -1)
[helium: 4.0026, hydrogen: 1.008, carbon: 12.011, sodium: 22.99]
```

To replace a value, use `Keyword.put/3` If the key doesn't exist, it will be created. If it does exist, *all* entries for that key will be removed and the new entry added:

```
iex(15)> ages2 = Keyword.put(ages, :chung, 19)
[chung: 19, david: 59, simon: 40, cathy: 28, simon: 30]
iex(16)> ages3 = Keyword.put(ages2, :simon, 22)
[simon: 22, chung: 19, david: 59, cathy: 28]
```


All of these functions are copying lists or creating new modified versions of a list. As you'd expect in Elixir, the original list remains untouched.

If you want to delete all entries for a key, use `Keyword.delete/2`; to delete only the first entry for a key, use `Keyword.delete_first/2`:

```
iex(17)> ages2
[chung: 19, david: 59, simon: 40, cathy: 28, simon: 30]
iex(18)> ages4 = Keyword.delete(ages2, :simon)
[chung: 19, david: 59, cathy: 28]
```

Lists of Tuples with Multiple Keys

If you had created the list of atomic weights with tuples that included both the element name and its chemical symbol, you could use either the first or second element in the tuple as a key:

```
iex(1)> atomic_info = [{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011},
...(1)> {:sodium, Na, 22.99}]
[{:hydrogen,:H,1.008},{:carbon,:C,12.011},{:sodium,:Na,22.99}]
```

If you have data structured this way, you can use the `List.keyfind/4`, `List.keymember?/3`, `List.keyreplace/4`, `List.keystore/4`, and `List.keydelete/3` functions to manipulate the list. Each of these functions takes the list as its first argument. The second argument is the key you want to find, and the third argument is the position within the tuple that should be used as the key, with 0 as the first element:

```
iex(1)> atomic_info = [{:hydrogen, :H, 1.008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011},
...(1)> {:sodium, Na, 22.99}]
[{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011}, {:sodium, Na, 22.99}]
iex(2)> List.keyfind(atomic_info, :H, 1)
{:hydrogen, :H, 1.008}
iex(3)> List.keyfind(atomic_info, :carbon, 0)
{:carbon, :C, 12.011}
iex(4)> List.keyfind(atomic_info, :F, 1)
nil
iex(5)> List.keyfind(atomic_info, :fluorine, 0, {})
{}
iex(6)> List.keymember?(atomic_info, :Na, 1)
true
iex(7)> List.keymember?(atomic_info, :boron, 0)
false
iex(8)> atomic_info2 = List.keystore(atomic_info, :boron, 0,
...(8)> {:boron, :B, 10.081})
[{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011}, {:sodium, Na, 22.99},
 {:boron, :B, 10.081}]
iex(9)> atomic_info3 = List.keyreplace(atomic_info2, :B, 1,
...(9)> {:boron, :B, 10.81})
[{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011}, {:sodium, Na, 22.99},
 {:boron, :B, 10.81}]
iex(10)> atomic_info4 = List.keydelete(atomic_info3, :fluorine, 0)
[{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:carbon, :C, 12.011}, {:sodium, Na, 22.99},
 {:boron, :B, 10.81}]
iex(11)> atomic_info5 = List.keydelete(atomic_info3, :carbon, 0)
[{:hydrogen, :H, 1008}, {:sodium, Na, 22.99}, {:boron, :B, 10.81}]
```

Lines 2 and 3 show that you can search the list by chemical name (position 0) or symbol (position 1). By default, trying to find a key that doesn't exist returns `nil` (line 4), but you may return any value you choose (line 5). Lines 6 and 7 show the use of `List.key member?`.

To add new values, you must give a complete tuple as the last argument, as shown in line 8. The value for the atomic weight of boron was deliberately entered incorrectly. Line 9 uses `List.keyreplace` to correct the error.

> You can also use `List.keyreplace` to replace the entire tuple. If you wanted to replace boron with zinc, you would have typed:
>
> ```
> iex(9)> atomic_info3 = List.keyreplace(atomic_info2, :B, 1, {:zinc, :Zn,
> 65.38})
> ```

Lines 10 and 11 show what happens when you use `List.keydelete` on an entry that is not in the list and on one that is in the list.

Hash Dictionaries

If you know that your keys will be unique, you can create a *hash dictionary* (`HashDict`), which is an associative array. Hash dictionaries aren't really lists, but I am including them in this chapter because all of the functions that you have used with a `Keyword` list will work equally well with a `HashDict`. The advantage of a `HashDict` over a `Keyword` list is that it works well for large amounts of data. In order to use a hash dictionary, you must explicitly create it with the `HashDict.new` function:

```
iex(1)>planemo_hash = Enum.into([earth: 9.8, moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71],
HashDict.new())
#HashDict<[earth: 9.8, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6]>
iex(2)> HashDict.has_key?(planemo_hash, :moon)
true
iex(3)> HashDict.has_key?(planemo_hash, :jupiter)
false
iex(4)> HashDict.get(planemo_hash, :jupiter)
nil
iex(5)> HashDict.get(planemo_hash, :jupiter, 0)
0
iex(6)> planemo_hash2 = HashDict.put_new(planemo_hash, :jupiter, 99.9)
#HashDict<[moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71, jupiter: 99.9, earth: 9.8]>
iex(7)> planemo_hash3 = HashDict.put_new(planemo_hash2, :jupiter, 23.1)
#HashDict<[moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71, jupiter: 99.9, earth: 9.8]>
iex(8)> planemo_hash4 = HashDict.put(planemo_hash3, :jupiter, 23.1)
#HashDict<[moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71, jupiter: 23.1, earth: 9.8]>
iex(9)> planemo_hash5 = HashDict.delete(planemo_hash4,:saturn)
#HashDict<[moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71, jupiter: 23.1, earth: 9.8]>
```

```
iex(10)> planemo_hash6 = HashDict.delete(planemo_hash4, :jupiter)
#HashDict<[moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71, earth: 9.8]>
```

Line 6 deliberately sets Jupiter's gravity to an incorrect value. Line 7 shows that `Hash Dict.put_new/2` will not update an existing value; line 8 shows that `HashDict.put` will update existing values. Line 9 shows that attempting to delete a nonexistent key from a hash dictionary leaves it unchanged.

From Lists to Maps

Keyword lists are a convenient way to address content stored in lists by key, but underneath, Elixir is still walking through the list. That might be OK if you have other plans for that list requiring walking through all of it, but it can be unnecessary overhead if you're planning to use keys as your only approach to the data.

The Erlang community, after dealing with these issues for years, added a new set of tools, maps, to R17. (The initial implementation is partial but will get you started.) Elixir simultaneously added support for the new feature, with, of course, a distinctive Elixir syntax.

Creating Maps

The simplest way to create a map is to use `%{}` to create an empty map:

```
iex(1)> new_map = %{}
%{}
```

Frequently, you'll want to create maps with at least some initial values. Elixir offers two ways to do this. You use the same `%{}` syntax, but put some extra declarations inside:

```
iex(2)> planemo_map = %{:earth => 9.8, :moon => 1.6, :mars => 3.71}
%{earth: 9.8, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
```

The map now has keys that are the atoms `:earth`, `:moon`, and `:mars`, pointing to the values 9.8, 1.6, and 3.71, respectively. The nice thing about this syntax is that you can use any kind of value as the key. It's perfectly fine, for example, to use numbers for keys:

```
iex(3)> number_map=%{2 => "two", 3 => "three"}
%{2 => "two", 3 => "three"}
```

However, atoms are probably the most common keys, and Elixir offers a more concise syntax for creating maps that use atoms as keys:

```
iex(4)> planemo_map_alt = %{earth: 9.8, moon: 1.6, mars: 3.71}
%{earth: 9.8, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
```

The responses created by IEx in response to lines 2 and 4 are identical, and Elixir itself will use the more concise syntax if appropriate.

Updating Maps

If the strength of a planemo's gravitational field changes, you can easily fix that with:

```
iex(7)> altered_planemo_map = %{planemo_map | earth: 12}
%{earth: 12, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
```

or:

```
iex(8)> altered_planemo_map = %{planemo_map | :earth => 12}
%{earth: 12, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
```

You can update multiple key-value pairs if you want, with syntax like `%{planemo_map | earth: 12, mars:3}` or `%{planemo_map | :earth ⇒ 12, :mars ⇒ 3}`.

You may also want to add another key-value pair to a map. You can't, of course, change the map itself, but the `Dict.put_new` library function can easily create a new map that includes the original plus an extra value:

```
iex(7)> extended_planemo_map = Dict.put_new( planemo_map, :jupiter, 23.1)
%{earth: 9.8, jupiter: 23.1, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
```

The `Dict` library lets you treat maps much like `HashDict` if you want that style of access, too.

Reading Maps

Elixir lets you extract information from maps through pattern matching. The same syntax works whether you're matching in a variable line or in a function clause. Need the gravity for earth?

```
iex(12)> %{earth: earth_gravity} = planemo_map
%{earth: 9.8, mars: 3.71, moon: 1.6}
iex(13)> earth_gravity
9.8
```

If you ask for a value from a key that doesn't exist, you'll get an error. (If you need to pattern match "any map," just use the empty map, `%{}`.)

From Maps to Structs

One shortcoming of tuples, keyword lists, and maps is that they are fairly unstructured. When you use tuples, you are responsible for remembering the order in which the data items occur in the tuple. With keyword lists and maps, you can add a new key at any time or misspell a key name, and Elixir will not complain. Elixir *structs* overcome these problems. They are based on maps, so the order of key-value pairs doesn't matter, but a struct also keeps track of the key names and makes sure you don't use invalid keys.

Setting Up Structs

Using structs requires telling Elixir about them with a special declaration. You use a `defstruct` declaration (actually a macro, as you'll see later) inside of a `defmodule` declaration:

```
defmodule Planemo do
  defstruct name: :nil, gravity: 0, diameter: 0, distance_from_sun: 0
end
```

That defines a struct named `Planemo`, containing fields named `name`, `gravity`, `diameter`, and `distance_from_sun` with their default values. This declaration creates structs for different towers for dropping objects:

```
defmodule Tower do
  defstruct location: "", height: 20, planemo: :earth, name: ""
end
```

Creating and Reading Structs

Find these in _ch07/ex1-struct, compile them in IEx, and you can start using the structs to store data. As you can see on line 3, creating a new struct with empty {} applies the default values, while specifying values as shown on line 4 overrides the defaults:

```
iex(1)> c("planemo.ex")
[Planemo]
iex(2)> c("tower.ex")
[Tower]
iex(3)> tower1 = %Tower{}
%Tower{height: 20, location: "", name: "", planemo: :earth}
iex(4)> tower2 = %Tower{location: "Grand Canyon"}
%Tower{height: 20, location: "Grand Canyon", name: "", planemo: :earth}
iex(5)> tower3 = %Tower{location: "NYC", height: 241, name: "Woolworth Building"}
%Tower{height: 241, location: "NYC", name: "Woolworth Building",
 planemo: :earth}
iex(6)> tower4 = %Tower{location: "Rupes Altat 241", height: 500,
...(6)> planemo: :moon, name: "Piccolini View"}
%Tower{height: 500, location: "Rupes Altat 241", name: "Piccolini View",
 planemo: :moon}
iex(7)> tower5 = %Tower{planemo: :mars, height: 500,
...(7)> name: "Daga Vallis", location: "Valles Marineris"}
%Tower{height: 500, location: "Valles Marineris", name: "Daga Vallis",
 planemo: :mars}
iex(8)> tower5.name
"Daga Vallis"
```

These towers (or at least drop sites) demonstrate a variety of ways to use the record syntax to create variables as well as interactions with the default values:

- Line 3 just creates `tower1` with the default values. You can add real values later.

- Line 4 creates a `tower2` with a `location`, but otherwise relies on the default values.
- Line 5 overrides the default values for `location`, `height`, and `name`, but leaves the `planemo` alone.
- Line 6 overrides all of the default values.
- Line 7 replaces all of the default values, and also demonstrates that *it doesn't matter in what order you list the name/value pairs*. Elixir will sort it out.

Once you have values in your structs, you can extract the values using the dot notation shown on line 8, which may be familiar from other programming languages.

Pattern Matching Against Structs

Since structures are maps, pattern matches against structures work in exactly the same way as they do for maps.

```
iex(9)> %Tower{planemo: p, location: where} = tower5
%Tower{height: 500, location: "Valles Marineris", name: "Daga Vallis",
 planemo: :mars}
iex(10)> p
:mars
iex(11)> where
"Valles Marineris"
```

Using Structs in Functions

You can pattern match against structures submitted as arguments. The simplest way to do this is to just match against the record, as shown in Example 7-1, which is in *ch07/ex2-struct-match*.

Example 7-1. A method that pattern matches a complete record

```
defmodule StructDrop do

  def fall_velocity(t) do
    fall_velocity(t.planemo, t.height)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
```

```
end
```

This uses a pattern match that will match only `Tower` records, and puts the record into a variable `t`. Then, like its predecessor in Example 3-8, it passes the individual arguments to `fall_velocity/2` for calculations, this time using the record syntax:

```
iex(13)> c("struct_drop.ex")
[StructDrop]
iex(14)> StructDrop.fall_velocity(tower5)
60.909769331364245
iex(15)> StructDrop.fall_velocity(tower1)
19.79898987322333
```

The `StructDrop.fall_velocity/1` function shown in Example 7-2 pulls out the `pla nemo` field and binds it to the variable `planemo`. It pulls out the `height` field and binds it to `distance`. Then it returns the velocity of an object dropped from that `distance` just like earlier examples throughout this book.

You can also extract the specific fields from the structure in the pattern match, as shown in Example 7-2, which is in *ch07/ex3-struct-components*.

Example 7-2. A method that pattern matches components of a structure

```
defmodule StructDrop do
  def fall_velocity(%Tower{planemo: planemo, height: distance}) do
    fall_velocity(planemo, distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
end
```

You can take the `Tower` structures you have created and feed them into this function, and it will tell you the velocity resulting from a drop from the top of that tower to the bottom.

Finally, you can pattern match against both the fields and the structure as a whole. Example 7-3, in *ch07/ex4-struct-multi*, demonstrates using this mixed approach to create a more detailed response than just the fall velocity.

Example 7-3. A method that pattern matches the whole record as well as components of a record

```elixir
defmodule StructDrop do
  def fall_velocity(t = %Tower{planemo: planemo, height: distance}) do
    IO.puts("From #{t.name}'s elevation of #{distance} meters on #{planemo},")
    IO.puts("the object will reach #{fall_velocity(planemo, distance)} m/s")
    IO.puts("before crashing in #{t.location}")
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
end
```

> It is possible to have a variable whose name is the same as a field name; in the previous example, the `planemo` field was assigned to a variable also named `planemo`.

If you pass a `Tower` structure to `StructDrop.fall_velocity/1`, it will match against individual fields it needs to do the calculation and match the whole record into `t` so that it can produce a more interesting if not necessarily grammatically correct report:

```
iex(16)> StructDrop.fall_velocity(tower5)
From Daga Vallis's elevation of 500 meters on mars,
the object will reach 60.90976933136424520399 m/s
before crashing in Valles Marineris
:ok
iex(17)> StructDrop.fall_velocity(tower3)
From Woolworth Building's elevation of 241 meters on earth,
the object will reach 68.72845116834803036454 m/s
before crashing in NYC
:ok
```

Adding Behavior to Structs

Elixir lets you attach behavior to structures (and, in fact, any type of data) with *protocols*. For example, you may want to test to see if a structure is valid or not. Clearly, the test for what is a valid structure varies from one type of structure to another. For example, you may consider a `Planemo` valid if its gravity, diameter, and distance from the sun are

nonnegative. A `Tower` is valid if its height is nonnegative and it has a non-`nil` value for a `planemo`.

Example 7-4 shows the definition of a protocol for testing validity. The files for this example are in *ch07/ex5-protocol*.

Example 7-4. Defining a protocol for valid structures

```
defprotocol Valid do
  @doc "Returns true if data is considered nominally valid"
  def valid?(data)
end
```

The interesting line here is the `def valid?(data)`; it is, in essence, an incomplete function definition. Every data type whose validity you want to test will have to provide a complete function with the name `valid?`, so let's add some code to the definition of the `Planemo` structure:

```
defmodule Planemo do
  defstruct name: :nil, gravity: 0, diameter: 0, distance_from_sun: 0
end

defimpl Valid, for: Planemo do
  def valid?(p) do
    p.gravity >= 0 && p.diameter >= 0 &&
    p.distance_from_sun >= 0
  end
end
```

Let's test that out right now. Some of the output lines have been split for ease of reading:

```
iex(1)> c("valid_protocol.ex")
[Valid]
iex(2)> c("planemo.ex")
[Valid.Planemo, Planemo]
iex(3)> p = %Planemo{}
%Planemo{diameter: 0, distance_from_sun: 0, gravity: 0, name: nil}
iex(4)> Valid.valid?(p)
true
iex(5)> p2 = %Planemo{name: :weirdworld, gravity: -2.3}
%Planemo{diameter: 0, distance_from_sun: 0, gravity: -2.3, name: :weirdworld}
iex(6)> Valid.valid?(p2)
false
iex(7)> c("tower.ex")
[Tower]
iex(8)> t = %Tower{}
%Tower{height: 20, location: "", name: "", planemo: :earth}
iex(9)> c("valid_protocol.ex")
** (Protocol.UndefinedError) protocol Valid not implemented for
    %Tower{height: 20, location: "", name: "", planemo: :earth}
    valid_protocol.ex:1: Valid.impl_for!/1
    valid_protocol.ex:3: Valid.valid?/1
```

Lines 3 and 4 show the creation and testing of a valid `Planemo`; lines 5 and 6 show the results for an invalid one. Line 9 shows that you cannot test a `Tower` structure for validity yet, as the `valid?` function has not yet been implemented. Here is the updated code for the `Tower`, which you can find in *ch07/ex6-protocol*:

```
defmodule Tower do
  defstruct location: "", height: 20, planemo: :earth, name: ""
end

defimpl Valid, for: Tower do
  def valid?(%Tower{height: h, planemo: p}) do
    h >= 0 && p != nil
  end
end
```

Here is the test:

```
iex(10)> c("tower.ex")
tower.ex:1: warning: redefining module Tower
[Valid.Tower, Tower]
iex(11)> Valid.valid?(t)
true
iex(12)> t2 = %Tower{height: -2, location: "underground"}
%Tower{height: -2, location: "underground", name: "", planemo: :earth}
iex(13)> Valid.valid?(t2)
false
```

Adding to Existing Protocols

When you `inspect` a `Tower`, you get rather generic output:

```
iex(1)> t3 = %Tower{location: "NYC", height: 241, name: "Woolworth Building"}
%Tower{height: 241, location: "NYC", name: "Woolworth Building",
 planemo: :earth}
iex(2)> inspect t3
"%Tower{height: 241, location: \"NYC\", name: \"Woolworth Building\", planemo: :earth}"
```

Wouldn't it be nice to have better-looking output? You can do this by implementing the `Inspect` protocol for `Tower` structures. Example 7-5 shows the code to add to *tower.ex*; you will find the source in *ch07/ex7-inspect*:

Example 7-5. Implementing the inspect protocol for the Tower structure

```
defimpl Inspect, for: Tower do
  import Inspect.Algebra
  def inspect(item, _options) do
    metres = concat(to_string(item.height), "m:")
    msg = concat([metres, break, item.name, ",", break,
      item.location, ",", break,
      to_string(item.planemo)])
  end
end
```

The `Inspect.Algebra` module implements "pretty printing" using an algebraic approach (hence the name). In the simplest form, it puts together *documents* that may be separated by optional line breaks (`break`) and connected with `concat`. Every place that you put a `break` in a document is replaced by a space, or, if there is not enough space on the line, a line break.

The `inspect/2` function takes the item you want to inspect as its first argument. The second argument is a structure that lets you specify options that give you greater control on how `inspect/2` produces its output.

The first `concat` puts the height and abbreviation for metres together without any intervening space. The second `concat` connects all the items in the list, so the function returns a string containing the pretty-printed document:

```
iex(3)> inspect t3
"241m: Woolworth Building, NYC, earth"
```

Higher-Order Functions and List Comprehensions

Higher-order functions, functions that accept other functions as arguments, are a key place where Elixir's power really starts to shine. It's not that you can't do higher-order functions in other languages—you can in many—but rather that Elixir treats higher-order functions as a native and natural part of the language rather than an oddity.

Simple Higher-Order Functions

Way back in Chapter 2, you saw how to use a `fn` to create a function:

```
iex(1)> fall_velocity = fn(distance) -> :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance) end
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(2)> fall_velocity.(20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> fall_velocity.(200)
62.609903369994115
```

Elixir not only lets you put functions into variables, it lets you pass functions as arguments. This means that you can create functions whose behavior you modify at the time you call them, in much more intricate ways than is normally possible with parameters. A very simple function that takes another function as an argument might look like Example 8-1, which you can find in *ch08/ex1-hof*.

Example 8-1. An extremely simple higher-order function

```elixir
defmodule Hof do
  def tripler(value, function) do
    3 * function.(value)
  end
end
```

The argument names are generic, but fit. `tripler/2` will take a value and a function as arguments. It runs the value through the function and multiplies that result by three. In the shell, this might look like the following:

```
iex(1)> c("hof.ex")
[Hof]
iex(2)> my_function = fn(value) -> 20 * value end
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(3)> Hof.tripler(6, my_function)
360
```

Line 2 defines another simple function taking one argument (and returning that number multiplied by 20) and stores it in the variable `my_function`. Then line 3 calls the `Hof.tripler/2` function with a value of 6 and the `MyFunction` function. In the `Hof.tripler/2` function, it feeds the `value` to the `function`, getting back 120. Then it triples that, returning 360.

You can skip assigning the function to a variable if you want, and just include the `fn` declaration inside the `Hof.tripler/2` function call:

```
iex(4)> Hof.tripler(6, fn(value) -> 20 * value end)
360
```

That may or may not be easier to read, depending on the functions and your expectations. This case is trivially simple, but demonstrates that it works.

Elixir gives you another way to specify the function: you can use `&` as the capture operator, `&1` to stand for the first argument, and so on. Using this notation, you can make the previous examples even simpler:

```
iex(5)> ampersand_function = &(20 * &1)
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(6)> Hof.tripler(6, ampersand_function)
360
iex(7)> Hof.tripler(6, &(20 * &1))
360
```


While this is a powerful technique, you can outsmart yourself with it easily. (I do!) Just as with normal code, you need to make sure the number and sometimes the type of your arguments line up. The extra flexibility and power can create new problems if you aren't careful.

`fn` has a few other tricks up its sleeve that you should know. You can use a `fn` to preserve context, even context that has since changed or vanished:

```
iex(8)> x = 20
20
iex(9)> my_function2 = fn(value) -> x * value end
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
```

```
iex(10)> x = 0
0
iex(11)> my_function2.(6)
120
```

Line 8 assigns a variable named x a value, and line 9 uses that variable in a fn. Line 10 changes the x variable, but line 11 shows that my_function2 still remembers that x was 20. Even though the value of x has been changed, the fn preserves the value and can act upon it. (This is called a *closure*.)

Again, you can use the ampersand notation:

```
iex(12)> x = 20
20
iex(13)> my_function3 = &(x * &1)
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(14)> x = 0
0
iex(15)> Hof.tripler(6, my_function3)
360
```

You may also want to pass a function from a module, even a built-in module, to your (or any) higher-order function. That's simple, too:

```
iex(16)> Hof.tripler(:math.pi, &:math.cos(&1))
-3.0
```

In this case, the Hof.tripler function receives the value pi and a function, which is the :math.cos/1 function from the built-in math module. Since the function has arity 1, you must indicate this with &1. Since the cosine of pi is -1, the tripler returns -3.0.

Creating New Lists with Higher-Order Functions

Lists are one of the best and easiest places to apply higher-order functions. Applying a function to all the components of a list to create a new list, sort a list, or break a list into smaller pieces is popular work. You don't need to do much difficult work to make this happen, though: Elixir's built-in List and Enum modules offer a variety of higher-order functions, listed in Appendix A, that take a function and list and do something with them. You can also use *list comprehensions* to do much of the same work. The List and Enum modules may seem easier at first, but as you'll see, list comprehensions are powerful and concise.

> The functions in the Enum module will work on any *collection* of data (for example, the individual lines in a file); the functions in List make sense only for lists.

Reporting on a List

The simplest of these functions is `Enum.each/2`, which always returns the atom `:ok`. That may sound strange, but `Enum.each/2` is a function you'll call if and only if you want to do something to the list with side effects—like present the contents of a list to the console. To do that, define a list and a simple function that applies `IO.puts/1`, here stored in the variable `print`, and then pass them both to `Enum.each/2`:

```
iex(1)> print = fn(value) -> IO.puts(" #{value}") end
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(2)> list = [1,2,4,8,16,32]
iex(3)> Enum.each(list, print)
 1
 2
 4
 8
 16
 32
:ok
```

The `Enum.each/2` function walked through the list, in order, and called the function in `print` with each item of the list as a `value`. The `IO.puts` function inside of `print` presented the list item, slightly indented. When it reached the end of the list, `Enum.each/2` returned the value `:ok`, which the console also displayed.

> Most of the demonstrations in this chapter will be operating on that same `list` variable containing `[1,2,4,8,16,32]`.

Running List Values Through a Function

You might also want to create a new list based on what a function does with all of the values in the original list. You can square all of the values in a list by creating a function that returns the square of its argument and passing that to `Enum.map/2`. Instead of returning `:ok`, it returns a new list reflecting the work of the function it was given:

```
ex(4)> square = &(&1 * &1)
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(5)> Enum.map(list, square)
[1, 4, 16, 64, 256, 1024]
```

If you want to generate a list of sequential integers (or characters), you can use the notation *start..end*. You normally use this notation with integers, but you can also use it with characters, with rather unusual results:

```
iex(6)> Enum.map(1..3, square)
[1, 4, 9]
iex(7)> Enum.map(-2..2, square)
[4, 1, 0, 1, 4]
iex(8)> Enum.map(?a..?d, square)
[9409, 9604, 9801, 10000]
```

There's another way to accomplish the same thing that `Enum.map/2` does, with what Elixir calls a *list comprehension*:

```
iex(9)> for value <- list, do: value * value
[1, 4, 16, 64, 256, 1024]
```

That produces the same resulting list, with different (and more flexible) syntax.

You can read this list comprehension as "For each `value` in list `list`, create an entry `value * value` in a new list." You can also use ranges in a list comprehension: `for value ← 1..3, do: value * value`.

Filtering List Values

The `Enum` module offers a few different functions for filtering the content of a list based on a function you provide as a parameter. The most obvious, `Enum.filter/2`, returns a list composed of the members of the original list for which the function returned `true`. For example, if you wanted to filter a list of integers down to values that could be represented as four binary digits, so numbers 0 or greater but less than 16, you could define a function and store it in `four_bits`:

```
iex(10)> four_bits = fn(value) -> (value >= 0) and (value < 16) end
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
```

Then, if you apply it to the previously defined `list` of `[1,2,4,8,16,32]`, you'd get just the first four values:

```
iex(11)> Enum.filter(list, four_bits)
[1, 2, 4, 8]
```

Once again, you can create the same effect with a list comprehension. This time, you don't actually need to create a function, but instead use a guard-like construct (written *without* the `when`) on the right side of the comprehension:

```
iex(12)> for value <- list, value >= 0, value < 16, do: value
[1, 2, 4, 8]
```

> If you also want a list of values that didn't match, use `Enum.partition/2`, which returns both the matched and unmatched values as separate lists.

Beyond List Comprehensions

List comprehensions are concise and powerful, but they lack a few key features available in other recursive processing. The only type of result they can return is a list, but there will be many times when you want to process a list and return something else, like a boolean, a tuple, or a number. List comprehensions also lack support for accumulators and don't let you suspend processing completely when certain conditions are met.

You could write your own recursive functions to process lists, but much of the time, you'll find that the `Enum` and `List` modules already offer a function that takes a function you define and a list and returns what you need.

Testing Lists

Sometimes you just want to know if all the values—or any of the values—in a list meet specific criteria. Are they all of a specific type, or do they have a value that meets certain criteria?

The `Enum.all?/2` and `Enum.any?/2` functions let you test a list against rules you specify in a function. If your function returns `true` for all of the list values, both of these functions will return `true`. `Enum.any?/2` will also return `true` if one or more values in the list results in your function returning `true`. Both will return `false` if your function consistently returns `false`.

> `Enum.all?/2` and `Enum.any?/2` don't necessarily evaluate the entire list; as soon as they hit a value that provides a definitive answer, they'll stop and return that answer.

```
iex(13)> is_int = fn(value) -> is_integer(value) end
#Function<erl_eval.6.17052888>
iex(14)> Enum.all?(list, is_int)
true
iex(15)> Enum.any?(list, is_int)
true
iex(16)> compare = &(&1 > 10)
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(17)> Enum.all?(list, compare)
false
```

```
iex(18)> Enum.any?(list, compare)
true
```

You can think of `Enum.all?/2` as an `and` function applied to lists because it stops processing as soon as it encounters a `false` result. Similarly, `Enum.any?/2` is like `or`, in this case stopping as soon as it finds a `true` result. As long as you need only to test individual values within lists, these two higher order functions can save you writing a lot of recursive code.

Splitting Lists

Filtering lists is useful, but sometimes you want to know what didn't go through the filter, and sometimes you just want to separate items.

The `Enum.partition/2` function returns a tuple containing two lists. The first list contains the items from the original list that met the conditions specified in the function you provided, while the second list contains the items that didn't. If the `compare` variable is defined as shown in line 14 of the previous demonstration, returning `true` when a list value is greater than 10, then you can split a list into a list of items greater than 10 and a list of items less than or equal to 10 easily:

```
iex(19)> Enum.partition(list, compare)
{[16,32],[1,2,4,8]}
```

Sometimes you'll want to split a list by starting from the beginning—the head—and stopping when a list value no longer meets a condition. The `Enum.take_while/2` and `Enum.drop_while/2` functions create a new list that contains the parts of an old list before or after encountering a boundary condition. These functions aren't filters, and to make that clear, the examples use a different list than the rest in this chapter:

```
iex(20)> test = &(&1 < 4)
#Function<6.106461118/1 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(21)> Enum.drop_while([1,2,4,8,4,2,1], test)
[4, 8, 4, 2, 1]
iex(22)> Enum.take_while([1,2,4,8,4,2,1], test)
[1, 2]
```

Both functions run through a list from head to tail and stop when they reach a value for which the function you provide as the first argument returns `false`. The `Enum.drop_while/2` function returns what's left of the list, including the value that flunked the test. It does not, however, filter out later list entries that it might have dropped if they had appeared earlier in the list. The `Enum.take_while/2` function returns what was already processed, *not* including the value that flunked the test.

Folding Lists

Adding an accumulator to list processing lets you turn lists into much more than other lists and opens the door to much more sophisticated processing. Elixir's `List.foldl/3` and `List.foldr/3` functions let you specify a function, an initial value for an accumulator, and a list. Instead of the one-argument functions you've seen so far, you need to create a two-argument function. The first argument is the current value in the list traversal, and the second argument is an accumulator. The result of that function will become the new value of the accumulator.

Defining a function that works within the folding functions looks a little different, because of the two arguments:

```
iex(23)> divide = fn(value, accumulator) -> value / accumulator end
#Function<12.106461118/2 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
```

This function divides its first argument—to be the `value` coming from the list—by the second, the `accumulator` passed to it by the function doing folding.

Folding has one other key twist. You can choose whether you want the function to traverse the list from head to tail, with `List.foldl/3`, or from tail to head, with `List.foldr/3`. If order doesn't change the result, you should go with `List.foldl/3`, as its implementation is tail-recursive and more efficient in most situations.

The `divide` function is one of those cases that will produce very different results depending on the direction in which you process the list (and the initial accumulator value). In this case, folding also produces different results than you might expect in a simple division. Given the usual `list` of `[1,2,4,8,16,32]`, it seems like going from left to right will produce `1/2/4/8/16/32`, and going from right to left will produce `32/16/8/4/2/1`, at least if you use an initial accumulator of 1. They don't produce those results, however:

```
iex(24)> divide = fn(value, accumulator) -> value / accumulator end
#Function<12.106461118/2 in :erl_eval.expr/5>
iex(25)> 1/2/4/8/16/32
3.0517578125e-5
iex(26)> List.foldl(list, 1, divide)
8.0
iex(24)> 32/16/8/4/2/1
0.03125
iex(25)> List.foldr(list, 1, divide)
0.125
```

This code seems too simple to have a bug, so what's going on? Table 8-1 walks through the calculations for `List.foldl(list, 1, divide)`, and Table 8-2 walks through `List.foldr(list, 1, divide)` step by step.

Table 8-1. Recursive division of a list forward with List.foldl/3

Value from list	Accumulator	Result of division
1	1	1
2	1 (1/1)	2
4	2 (2/1)	2
8	2 (4/2)	4
16	4 (8/2)	4
32	4	8

Table 8-2. Recursive division of a list backward with List.foldr/3

Value from list	Accumulator	Result of division
32	1	32
16	32 (32/1)	0.5
8	0.5 (32/16)	16
4	16 (8/0.5)	0.25
2	0.25 (4/16)	8
1	8	0.125

Moving through a list step by step produces very different values. In this case, the simple `divide` function's behavior changes drastically above and below the value 1, and combining that with walking through a list item by item yields results that might not be precisely what you expected.

> The result of the `List.foldl` is the same as `32/(16/(8/(4/(2/(1/1)))))`, while the result of the `List.foldr` is the same as `1/(2/(4/(8/(16/(32/1)))))`. The parentheses in those perform the same restructuring as the fold, and the concluding 1 in each is where the initial accumulator value fits in.

Folding is an incredibly powerful operation. This simple if slightly weird example just used a single value, a number, as an accumulator. If you use a tuple as the accumulator, you can store all kinds of information about a list as it passes by and even perform multiple operations. You probably won't want to try to define the functions you use for that as one-liners, but the possibilities are endless.

Playing with Processes

Elixir is a functional language, but Elixir programs are rarely structured around simple functions. Instead, Elixir's key organizational concept is the *process*, an independent component (built from functions) that sends and receives messages. Programs are deployed as sets of processes that communicate with each other. This approach makes it much easier to distribute work across multiple processors or computers, and also makes it possible to do things like upgrade programs in place without shutting down the whole system.

Taking advantage of those features, though, means learning how to create (and end) processes, how to send messages among them, and how to apply the power of pattern matching to incoming messages.

The Shell Is a Process

You've been working within a single process throughout this book so far, the Elixir shell. None of the previous examples sent or received messages, of course, but the shell is an easy place to send and (for test purposes, at least) receive messages.

The first thing to explore is the *process identifier*, often called a *pid*. The easiest pid to get is your own, so in the shell you can just try the `self()` function:

```
iex(1)> self()
#PID<0.26.0>
```

`<0.26.0>`, is the shell's representation of a *triple*, a set of three integers that provide the unique identifier for this process. You may get a different set of numbers when you try it. This group of numbers is guaranteed to be unique within this run of Elixir, not permanently the same in future use. Elixir uses pids internally, but while you can read them in the shell, you can't type pids directly into the shell or into functions. Elixir much prefers that you treat pids as abstractions.

Pids can even identify processes running on multiple computers within a cluster. You'll need to do more work to set up a cluster, but you won't have to throw away code you wrote with pids and processes built on them when you get there.

Every process gets its own pid, and those pids function like addresses for mailboxes. Your programs will send messages from one process to another by sending them to a pid. When that process gets time to check its mailbox, it will be able to retrieve and process the messages there.

Elixir, however, will *never* report that a message send failed, even if the pid doesn't point to a real process. It also won't report that a message was ignored by a process. You need to make sure your processes are assembled correctly.

The syntax for sending a message is pretty simple: use the `send/2` function with two arguments: a function or variable containing the pid and the message.

```
iex(2)> send(self(), :test1)
:test1
iex(3)> pid = self()
#PID<0.26.0>
iex(4)> send(pid, :test2)
:test2
```

Line 2 sent a message to the shell containing the atom `:test1`. Line 3 assigned the pid for the shell, retrieved with the `self()` function, to a variable named `pid`, and then line 4 used that `pid` variable to send a message containing the atom `:test2`. (The `send/2` function always returns the message, which is why it appears right after the sends in lines 2 and 4.)

Where did those messages go? What happened to them? Right now, they're just waiting in the shell's mailbox, doing nothing.

There's a shell function—`flush()`—that you can use to see what's in the mailbox, though it also removes those messages from the mailbox. The first time you use it, you'll get a report of what's in the mailbox, but the second time, the messages are gone, already read.

```
iex(5)> flush()
:test1
:test2
:ok
iex(6)> flush()
:ok
```

The proper way to read the mailbox, which gives you a chance to do something with the messages, is the `receive…end` construct. You can test this out in the shell. The first

of the following tests just reports what the message was, whereas the second expects a number and doubles it.

```
iex(7)> send(self(), :test1)
:test1
iex(8)> receive do
...(8)>   x -> x
...(8)> end
:test1
iex(9)> send(self(), 23)
23
iex(10)> receive do
...(10)>   y -> 2 * y
...(10)> end
46
```

So far, so good. However, if you screw up—if there isn't a message waiting, or if you provide a pattern match that doesn't work—the shell will just sit there, hung. Actually, it's waiting for something to arrive in the mailbox, but you'll be stuck. The easiest way out of that is to hit Ctrl-G, and then type q. You'll have to restart IEx. (x and y become bound variables, and even though they are not immutable, it is considered in the spirit of functional programming to not reuse them.)

Spawning Processes from Modules

While sending messages to the shell is an easy way to see what's happening, it's not especially useful. Processes at their heart are just functions, and you know how to build functions in modules. The `receive…end` statement is structured like a `case…end` statement, so it's easy to get started.

Example 9-1, which is in *ch09/ex1-simple*, shows a simple—excessively simple—module containing a function that reports messages it receives.

Example 9-1. An overly simple process definition

```elixir
defmodule Bounce do
  def report do
    receive do
      msg -> IO.puts("Received #{msg}")
    end
  end
end
```

When the `report/0` function receives a message, it will report that it received it. Setting this up means compiling the module and then using the `spawn/3` function, which turns the function into a free-standing process. The arguments are the module name, the function name (as an atom), and a list of arguments for the function. Even if you don't

have any arguments, you need to include an empty list in square brackets. The spawn/3 function will return the pid, which you should capture in a variable, here pid:

```
iex(1)> c("bounce.ex")
[Bounce]
iex(2)> pid = spawn(Bounce, :report, [])
#PID<0.43.0>
```

Once you have the process spawned, you can send a message to that pid, and the process will report that it received the message:

```
iex(3)> send(pid, 23)
Received 23
23
```

However, there's one small problem. The report process exited—it went through the receive clause only once, and when it was done, it was done. If you try to send the process another message, you'll get back the message, and nothing will report an error, but you also won't get any notification that the message was received because nothing is listening any longer.

```
iex(4)> send(pid, 23)
23
```

To create a process that keeps processing messages, you need to add a recursive call, as shown in the receive statement in Example 9-2, in *ch09/ex2-recursion*.

Example 9-2. A function that creates a stable process

```
defmodule Bounce do
  def report do
    receive do
      msg -> IO.puts("Received #{msg}")
      report()
    end
  end
end
```

That extra call to report() means that after the function shows the message that arrived, it will run again, ready for the next message. If you recompile the bounce module and spawn it to a new pid2 variable, you can send multiple messages to the process, as shown here.

```
iex(5)> c("bounce.ex")
bounce.ex:1: warning: redefining module Bounce
[Bounce]
iex(6)> pid2 = spawn(Bounce, :report, [])
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(7)> send(pid2, 23)
Received 23
23
iex(8)> send(pid2, :message)
```

```
Received message
:message
```

Because processes are asynchronous, the output from `send/2` may
appear before the output from `report/0`.

You can also pass an accumulator from call to call if you want, for a simple example, to
keep track of how many messages have been received by this process. Example 9-3 shows
the addition of an argument, in this case just an integer that gets incremented with each
call. You can find it in *ch09/ex3-counter*.

Example 9-3. A function that adds a counter to its message reporting

```
defmodule Bounce do
  def report(count) do
    receive do
      msg -> IO.puts("Received #{count}: #{msg}")
      report(count + 1)
    end
  end
end
```

The results are pretty predictable, but remember that you need to include an initial value
in the arguments list in the `spawn/3` call.

```
iex(1)> c("bounce.ex")
[Bounce]
iex(2)> pid2 = spawn(Bounce, :report, [1])
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(3)> send(pid2, :test)
:test
Received 1: test
iex(4)> send(pid2, :test2)
:test2
Received 2: test2
iex(5)> send(pid2, :another)
:another
Received 3: another
```

Whatever you do in your recursive call, keeping it simple (and preferably tail-recursive)
is best, as these can get called many, many times in the life of a process.

If you want to create impatient processes that stop after waiting a given
amount of time for a message, you should investigate the `after` con-
struct of the `receive` clause.

You can write this function in a slightly different way that may make what's happening clearer and easier to generalize. Example 9-4, in *ch09/ex4-state*, shows how to use the return value of the `receive` clause, here the `count` plus one, to pass state from one iteration to the next.

Example 9-4. Using the return value of the receive clause as state for the next iteration

```
defmodule Bounce do
  def report(count) do
    new_count = receive do
      msg -> IO.puts("Received #{count}: #{msg}")
      count + 1
    end
    report(new_count)
  end
end
```

In this model, all (though just one here) of the `receive` clauses return a value that gets passed to the next iteration of the function. If you use this approach, you can think of the return value of the `receive` clause as the state to be preserved between function calls. That state can be much more intricate than a counter—it might be a tuple, for instance, that includes references to important resources or work in progress.

Lightweight Processes

If you've worked in other programming languages, you may be getting worried. Threads and process spawning are notoriously complex and often slow in other contexts, but Elixir expects applications to be a group of easily spawned processes? That run recursively?

Yes, absolutely. Elixir was written specifically to support that model, and its processes are more lightweight than pretty much any of its competitors. The Erlang scheduler that Elixir uses gets processes started and distributes processing time among them, as well as splitting them out across multiple processors.

It is certainly possible to write processes that perform badly and to structure applications so that they wait a long time before doing anything. You don't, though, have to worry about those problems happening just because you're using multiple processes.

Registering a Process

Much of the time, pids are all you need to find and contact a process. However, you will likely create some processes that need to be more findable. Elixir provides a process registration system that is extremely simple: you specify an atom and a pid, and then any process that wants to reach that registered process can just use the atom to find it.

This makes it easier, for example, to add a new process to a system and have it connect with previously existing processes.

To register a process, just use the `Process.register/2` built-in function. The first argument is the pid of the process, and the second argument is an atom, effectively the name you're assigning the process. Once you have it registered, you can just send it messages, using the atom instead of a pid:

```
iex(1)> pid1 = spawn(Bounce, :report, [1])
#PID<0.39.0>
iex(2)> Process.register(pid1, :bounce)
true
iex(3)> send(:bounce, :hello)
:hello
Received 1: hello
iex(4)> send(:bounce, "Really?")
Received 2: Really?
"Really?"
```

If you attempt to call a process that doesn't exist (or one that has crashed), you'll get a bad arguments error:

```
iex(5)> send(:zingo, :test)
** (ArgumentError) argument error
    :erlang.send(:zingo, :test)
```

If you attempt to register a process to a name that is already in use, you'll also get an error, but if a process has exited (or crashed), the name is effectively no longer in use and you can re-register it.

You can also use `Process.whereis/1` to retrieve the pid for a registered process (or `nil`, if there is no process registered with that atom), and `unregister/1` to take a process out of the registration list without killing it. Remember that you must use an atom for the process name.

```
iex(5)> get_bounce = Process.whereis(:bounce)
#PID<0.39.0>
iex(6)> Process.unregister(:bounce)
true
iex(7)> test_bounce = Process.whereis(:bounce)
nil
iex(8)> send(get_bounce, "Still there?")
Received 3: Still there?
"Still there?"
```


If you want to see which processes are registered, you can use the `Process.registered/0` function.

If you've worked in other programming languages and learned the gospel of "no global variables," you may be wondering why Elixir permits a systemwide list of processes like this. Most of the rest of this book, after all, has been about isolating change and minimizing shared context.

If you think of registered processes as more like services than functions, however, it may make more sense. A registered process is effectively a service published to the entire system, something usable from multiple contexts. Used sparingly, registered processes create reliable entry points for your programs, something that can be very valuable as your code grows in size and complexity.

When Processes Break

Processes are fragile. If there's an error, the function stops and the process goes away. Example 9-5, in *ch09/ex5-division*, shows a `report/0` function that can break if it gets input that isn't a number.

Example 9-5. A fragile function

```
defmodule Bounce do
  def report do
    receive do
      x -> IO.puts("Divided to #{x / 2}")
      report()
    end
  end
end
```

If you compile and run this (deliberately) error-inviting code, you'll find that it works well so long as you only send it numbers. Send anything else, and you'll see an error report in the shell (reformatted to fit on the page), and no more responses from that pid. It died.

```
iex(1)> c("bounce.ex")
bounce.ex:1: warning: redefining module Bounce
[Bounce]
iex(2)> pid3 = spawn(Bounce, :report, [])
#PID<0.50.0>
iex(3)> send(pid3, 38)
38
Divided to 19.0
iex(4)> send(pid3, 27.56)
Divided to 13.78
27.56
iex(5)> send(pid3, :seven)
:seven
iex(6)>
18:30:46.791 [error] Error in process <0.50.0> with exit value:
{badarith,[{'Elixir.Bounce',report,0,[{file,"bounce.ex"},{line,4}]}]}
```

```
iex(7)> send(pid3, 14)
14
```

As you get deeper into Elixir's process model, you'll find that "let it crash" is not an unusual design decision in Elixir, though being able to tolerate such things and continue requires some extra work. Chapter 10 will also show you how to find and deal with errors of various kinds.

Processes Talking Amongst Themselves

Sending messages to Elixir processes is easy, but it's hard for them to report back responses if you don't leave information about where they can find you again. Sending a message without including the sender's pid is kind of like leaving a phone message without including your own number: it might trigger action, but the recipient might not get back to you.

To establish process to process communications without registering lots of processes, you need to include pids in the messages. Passing the pid requires adding an argument to the message. It's easy to get started with a test that calls back the shell. Example 9-6, in *ch09/ex6-talking*, builds on the drop module from Example 3-2, adding a drop/0 function that receives messages and making the fall_velocity/2 function private.

Example 9-6. A process that sends a message back to the process that called it

```
defmodule Drop do
  def drop do
    receive do
      {from, planemo, distance} ->
        send(from, {planemo, distance, fall_velocity(planemo, distance)})
      drop()
    end
  end

  defp fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  defp fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  defp fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
end
```

To get started, it's easy to test this from the shell:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> pid1 = spawn(Drop, :drop, [])
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(3)> send(pid1, {self(), :moon, 20})
{#PID<0.26.0>,:moon,20}
iex(4)> flush()
{:moon,20,8.0}
:ok
```

Example 9-7, which you'll find in *ch09/ex7-talkingProcs*, shows a process that calls that process, just to demonstrate that this can work with more than just the shell. We use IO.write/1 so that the code listing doesn't stretch off the page, but the output will all appear on one line.

Example 9-7. Calling a process from a process, and reporting the results

```
defmodule MphDrop do
  def mph_drop do
    drop_pid = spawn(Drop, :drop, [])
    convert(drop_pid)
  end

  def convert(drop_pid) do
    receive do
      {planemo, distance} ->
        send(drop_pid, {self(), planemo, distance})
        convert(drop_pid)
      {planemo, distance, velocity} ->
        mph_velocity = 2.23693629 * velocity
        IO.write("On #{planemo}, a fall of #{distance} meters ")
        IO.puts("yields a velocity of #{mph_velocity} mph.")
        convert(drop_pid)
    end
  end
end
```

The mph_drop/1 function spawns a Drop.drop/0 process when it is first set up, using the same module you saw in Example 9-6, and stores the pid in drop_pid. Then it calls convert/1, which will listen for messages recursively.

> If you don't separate the initialization from the recursive listener, your code will work, but will spawn new Drop.drop/0 processes every time it processes a message instead of using the same one repeatedly.

The receive clause relies on the call from the shell (or another process) including only two arguments, while the Drop.drop/0 process sends back a result with three. (As your

code grows more complex, you will likely want to use more explicit flags about the kind of information contained in a message.) When the `receive` clause gets a message with two arguments, it sends a message to `drop_pid`, identifying itself as the sender and passing on the arguments. When the `drop_pid` process returns a message with the result, the `receive` clause reports on the result, converting the velocity to miles per hour. (Yes, it leaves the distance metric, but makes the velocity more intelligible to Americans.)

Using this from the shell looks like the following:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> c("mph_drop.ex")
[MphDrop]
iex(3)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.47.0>
iex(4)> send(pid1, {:earth, 20})
On earth, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 44.28907895275576578342 mph.
{:earth,20}
iex(5)> send(pid1, {:mars, 20})
On mars, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 27.25025468657154448238 mph.
{:mars,20}
```

This simple example might look like it behaves as a more complex version of a function call, but there is a critical difference. In the shell, with nothing else running, the result will come back quickly—so quickly that it reports before the shell puts up the message —but this was a series of asynchronous calls. Nothing held and waited specifically for a returned message.

The shell sent a message to `pid1`, the process identifier for `MphDrop.convert/1`. That process sent a message to `drop_pid`, the process identifier for `Drop.drop/0`, which `MphDrop.mph_drop/0` set up when it was spawned. That process returned another message to `MphDrop.convert/1`, which reported to standard output, in this case the shell. Those messages passed and were processed rapidly, but in a system with thousands or millions of messages in motion, those passages might have been separated by many messages, and come in later.

Watching Your Processes

Erlang provides a simple but powerful tool for keeping track of your processes and seeing what's happening. Observer, the process manager, offers a GUI that lets you look into the current state of your processes and see what's happening. Depending on how you installed Erlang when you installed Elixir, you may be able to start it from a toolbar, but you can always start it from the shell:

```
iex(6)> :observer.start
#PID<0.49.0>
```

When you click the Processes tab you'll see something like Figure 9-1 appear. It's a long list of processes, more than you probably wanted to know about. If you click the `Current Function` column header twice to sort the list in reverse order, you will see the Elixir processes at the top, similar to Figure 9-2.

Figure 9-1. *The Process Manager at startup*

Figure 9-2. *The Process Manager after sorting by Current Function*

The Observer will update the process list every ten seconds. If you would prefer to control the refresh yourself, choose `Refresh Interval` from the `View` menu, and uncheck `Periodical Refresh`.

Watching Messages Among Processes

The list of processes is useful, but Observer also lets you look inside of process activity. This is a little bit more complex a process, so take a deep breath!

- Find the Elixir.MphDrop:mph_drop/0 process and right-click it.
- Choose Trace named processes (all nodes) and select all items in the left of the dialog, as shown in Figure 9-3.
- Click the Trace Overview tab.
- Click Start Trace, and you will get a warning message as shown in Figure 9-4. You may safely ignore that message.

Figure 9-3. Options in Trace Processes

Figure 9-4. Starting a trace

This will open up a new window, which may display a message like `Dropped 10 mes` `sages`. Now make the process do something:

```
iex(7)> send(pid1, {:mars, 20})
On mars, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 27.25025468657154448238 mph.
{:mars,20}
```

The Observer window for that process will update to show messages and calls, as shown in Figure 9-5. `<<` means a message was received, whereas `!` indicates a message sent.

Observer is generally the easiest place to turn when you're having difficulty figuring out what is happening among your processes.

Breaking Things and Linking Processes

When you send a message, you'll always get back the message as the return value. This doesn't mean that everything went well and the message was received and processed correctly, however. If you send a message that doesn't match a pattern at the receiving process, nothing will happen (for now at least), with the message landing in the mailbox but not triggering activity. Sending a message that gets through the pattern matching

```
*** Dropped 10 messages.
22:48:57:994079 (<0.44.0>) << {mars,20}
22:48:57:994385 (<0.44.0>) <0.45.0> ! {<0.44.0>,mars,20}
22:48:57:994584 (<0.44.0>) << {mars,20,12.181953866272849}
22:48:57:995171 (<0.44.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Atom'}}
22:48:58:022627 (<0.44.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Integer'}}
22:48:58:050000 (<0.44.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Float'}}
22:48:58:058595 (<0.44.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.BitString'}}
22:48:58:058726 (<0.44.0>) <0.26.0> ! {io_request,<0.44.0>,<0.26.0>,
                                         {put_chars,unicode,
                                           <<"On mars, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocit
22:48:58:058783 (<0.44.0>) << {io_reply,<0.26.0>,ok}
```

Figure 9-5. Tracing calls when you send mph_drop a message

but creates an error will halt the process where the error occurred, possibly even a few messages and processes down the line.

> Messages that don't match a pattern in the receive clause don't vanish; they just linger in the mailbox without being processed. It is possible to update a process with a new version of the code that retrieves those messages.

Because processes are fragile, you often want your code to know when another process has failed. In this case, if bad inputs halt Drop.drop/0, it doesn't make much sense to leave the MphDrop.convert/1 process hanging around. You can see how this works through the shell and Observer. First, start up Observer, go to the process window, and then, from the command line, spawn MphDrop.mph_drop/0.

```
iex(1)> :observer.start()
#PID<0.39.0>
iex(2)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.45.0>
```

You'll see something like Figure 9-6 in Observer. Then, feed your process some bad data, an atom (:zoids) instead of a number for the Distance, and Observer will look more like Figure 9-7. (The following output has been broken into multiple lines to make it easier to read.)

```
iex(3)> send(pid1, {:moon, :zoids})

9:17:04.084 [error] Error in process <0.69.0> with exit value:
{badarith,[{'Elixir.Drop',fall_velocity,2,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,15}]]},
{'Elixir.Drop',drop,0,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,5}]}]]}

{:moon,:zoids}
```

Figure 9-6. A healthy set of processes

Figure 9-7. Only the drop:drop/0 process is gone

Since the remaining `MphDrop.convert/1` process is now useless, it would be better for it to halt when `Drop.drop/0` fails. Elixir lets you specify that dependency with a link. The easy way to do that while avoiding potential race conditions is to use `spawn_link/3` instead of just `spawn/3`. Everything else in the module remains the same. This is shown in Example 9-8, which you can find in *ch09/ex8-linking*.

Example 9-8. Calling a linked process from a process so failures propagate

```elixir
defmodule MphDrop do
  def mph_drop do
    drop_pid = spawn_link(Drop, :drop, [])
    convert(drop_pid)
  end

  def convert(drop_pid) do
    receive do
      {planemo, distance} ->
        send(drop_pid, {self(), planemo, distance})
        convert(drop_pid)
      {planemo, distance, velocity} ->
        mph_velocity = 2.23693629 * velocity
        IO.write("On #{planemo}, a fall of #{distance} meters ")
        IO.puts("yields a velocity of #{mph_velocity} mph.")
        convert(drop_pid)
    end
```

```
    end
end
```

Now, if you recompile and test this out with Observer, you'll see that both processes vanish when `drop:drop/0` fails, as shown in Figure 9-8. (Output has been split into separate lines for ease of reading.)

```
iex(1)> c("mph_drop.ex")
mph_drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module MphDrop
[MphDrop]
iex(2)> :observer.start()
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(3)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.52.0>
iex(4)> send(pid1, {:moon, :zoids})
{:moon,:zoids}
iex(5)>

19:20:33.546 [error] Error in process <0.1150.0> with exit value:
{badarith,[{'Elixir.Drop',fall_velocity,2,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,15}]},
{'Elixir.Drop',drop,0,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,5}]}]}
```


Figure 9-8. Both processes now depart when there is an error

> Links are bidirectional. If you kill the the `MphDrop.mph_drop/0` process—with, for example, `exit(pid1,:kill).`—the `Drop.drop/1` process will also vanish. (`:kill` is the harshest reason for an exit, and isn't trappable because sometimes you really need to halt a process.)

That kind of failure may not be what you have in mind when you think of linking processes. It's the default behavior for linked Elixir processes, and makes sense in many contexts, but you can also have a process trap exits. When an Elixir process fails, it sends an explanation, in the form of a tuple, to other processes that are linked to it. The tuple contains the atom `:EXIT`, the pid of the failed process, and the error as a complex tuple. If your process is set to trap exits, through a call to `Process.flag(:trap_exit, true)`, these errors reports arrive as messages, rather than just killing your process.

Example 9-9, in *ch09/ex9-trapping*, shows how the initial `mph_drop/0` method changes to include this call to set the process flag, and adds another entry to the `receive` clause which will listen for exits and report them more neatly.

Example 9-9. Trapping a failure, reporting an error, and exiting

```
defmodule MphDrop do
  def mph_drop do
    Process.flag(:trap_exit, true)
    drop_pid = spawn_link(Drop, :drop, [])
    convert(drop_pid)
  end

  def convert(drop_pid) do
    receive do
      {planemo, distance} ->
        send(drop_pid, {self(), planemo, distance})
        convert(drop_pid)
      {:EXIT, pid, reason} ->
        IO.puts("Failure: #{inspect(pid)} #{inspect(reason)}")
      {planemo, distance, velocity} ->
        mph_velocity = 2.23693629 * velocity
        IO.write("On #{planemo}, a fall of #{distance} meters ")
        IO.puts("yields a velocity of #{mph_velocity} mph.")
        convert(drop_pid)
    end
  end
end
```

If you run this and feed it bad data, the `convert/1` method will report an error message (mostly duplicating the shell) before exiting neatly. (Again, output has been split into separate lines for ease of reading.)

```
iex(1)> c("mph_drop.ex")
mph_drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module MphDrop
[MphDrop]
iex(2)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(3)> send(pid1, {:moon, 20})
On moon, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 17.89549032 mph.
{:moon,20}
iex(4)> send(pid1, {:moon, :zoids})
{:moon,:zoids}
iex(5)>

19:23:07.016 [error] Error in process <0.680.0> with exit value:
{badarith,[{'Elixir.Drop',fall_velocity,2,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,15}]},
{'Elixir.Drop',drop,0,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,5}]}]}


FAILURE. #PID<0.680.0> {:badarith, [{Drop, :fall_velocity, 2,
```

```
      [file: 'drop.ex', line: 15]},
      {Drop, :drop, 0, [file: 'drop.ex', line: 5]}]}]
```

A more robust alternative would set up a new `drop_pid` variable, spawning a new process. That version, shown in Example 9-10, which you can find at *ch09/ex10-resilient*, is much hardier. Its `receive` clause sweeps away failure, soldiering on with a new copy (`new_drop_pid`) of the drop calculator if needed.

Example 9-10. Trapping a failure, reporting an error, and setting up a new process

```
defmodule MphDrop do
  def mph_drop do
    Process.flag(:trap_exit, true)
    drop_pid = spawn_link(Drop, :drop, [])
    convert(drop_pid)
  end

  def convert(drop_pid) do
    receive do
      {planemo, distance} ->
        send(drop_pid, {self(), planemo, distance})
        convert(drop_pid)
      {:EXIT, _pid, _reason} ->
        new_drop_pid = spawn_link(Drop, :drop, [])
        convert(new_drop_pid)
      {planemo, distance, velocity} ->
        mph_velocity = 2.23693629 * velocity
        IO.write("On #{planemo}, a fall of #{distance} meters ")
        IO.puts("yields a velocity of #{mph_velocity} mph.")
        convert(drop_pid)
    end
  end
end
```

If you compile and run Example 9-10, you'll see Figure 9-9 when you first start Observer (and check the Hide System Processes box). If you feed it bad data, as shown on line 6 in the following code sample, you'll still get the error message from the shell, but the process will work just fine. As you'll see in Observer, as shown in Figure 9-10, it started up a new process to handle the `drop:drop/0` calculations, and as line 8 shows, it works like its predecessor.

```
iex(1)> c("mph_drop.ex")
mph_drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module MphDrop
[MphDrop]
iex(2)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.43.0>
iex(3)> :observer.start()
#PID<0.45.0>
iex(4)> send(pid1, {:moon, 20})
On moon, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 17.89549032 mph.
```

```
{:moon,20}
iex(5)> send(pid1, {:mars, 20})
On mars, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 27.250254686571544 mph.
{:mars, 20}
iex(6)> send(pid1, {:mars, :zoids})
{:mars,:zoids}
iex(7)>

19:26:07.329 [error] Error in process <0.1045.0> with exit value:
{badarith,[{'Elixir.Drop',fall_velocity,2,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,19}]},
{'Elixir.Drop',drop,0,[{file,"drop.ex"},{line,5}]}]}

iex(8)> send(pid1, {:moon, 20})
On moon, a fall of 20 meters
yields a velocity of 17.89549032 mph.
{:moon,20}
```


Figure 9-9. Processes before an error. Note the Pid on the top line.

Figure 9-10. Processes after an error. Note the top line Pid change.

Elixir offers many more process management options. You can remove a link with `Process.unlink/1`, or establish a connection for just watching a process with `Process.monitor/1`. If you want to terminate a process, use `Process.exit/2` to specify a process and reason. You may specify another process's pid or `self()`.

Building applications that can tolerate failure and restore their functionality is at the core of robust Elixir programming. Developing in that style is probably a larger leap for

most programmers than Elixir's shift to functional programming, but it's where the true power of Elixir becomes obvious.

Exceptions, Errors, and Debugging

"Let it crash" is a brilliant insight, but one whose application you probably want to control. While it's possible to write code that constantly breaks and recovers, it can be easier to write and maintain code that explicitly handles failure where it happens. However you choose to deal with errors, you'll definitely want to be able to track them down in your application.

Flavors of Errors

As you've already seen, some kinds of errors will keep Elixir from compiling your code, and the compiler will also give you warnings about potential issues, like variables that are declared but never used. Two other kinds of errors are common: runtime errors, which turn up when code is operating and can actually halt a function or process, and logic errors, which may not kill your program but can cause deeper headaches.

Logic errors are often the trickiest to diagnose, requiring careful thought and perhaps some time with the debugger, log files, or a test suite. Simple mathematical errors can take a lot of work to untangle. Sometimes issues are related to timing, when the sequence of operations isn't what you expect. In severe cases, race conditions can create deadlocks and halting, but more mild cases can produce bad results and confusion.

Runtime errors can also be annoying, but they are much more manageable. In some ways you can see handling runtime errors as part of the logic of your program, though you don't want to get carried away. In Elixir, unlike many other programs, handling errors as errors may offer only minor advantages over letting an error kill a process and then dealing with the problem at the process level, as Example 9-10 showed.

Rescuing Code from Runtime Errors as They Happen

If you want to catch runtime errors close to where they took place, the `try…rescue` construct lets you wrap suspect code and handle problems (if any) that code creates. It makes it clear to both the compiler and the programmer that something unusual is happening, and lets you deal with any unfortunate consequences of that work.

For a simple example, look back to Example 3-1, which calculated fall velocity without considering the possibility that it would be handed a negative distance. The `:math.sqrt/1` function will produce a `badarith` error if it has a negative argument. Example 4-2 kept that problem from occurring by applying guards, but if you want to do more than block, you can take a more direct approach with `try` and `rescue`, as shown in Example 10-1. (You can find this and the following two variations in *ch10/ex1-tryCatch*.)

Example 10-1. Using try and catch to handle a possible error

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) do
    gravity = case planemo do
      :earth -> 9.8
      :moon -> 1.6
      :mars -> 3.71
    end
    try do
      :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
    rescue
      _error -> _error
    end
  end
end
```

The calculation itself is now wrapped in a `try`. If the calculation succeeds, the statement following the `do` will be used, and the return value will become the result of the calculation.

If the calculation fails, in this case because of a negative argument, the pattern match in the `rescue` clause comes into play. In this case, the variable `_error` will contain the exception type and message, and return that as its value.

You can try the following on the command line:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, 20)
19.79898987322333
iex(3)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, -20)
%ArithmeticError{}
```

When the calculation is successful, you'll just get the result. When it fails, you see the exception. It's not a complete solution, but it's a foundation on which you can build.

You can have multiple statements in the `try` (much as you can in a `case`). At least when you're getting started, it's easiest to keep the code you are trying simple so you can see where failures happened. However, if you wanted to watch for requests that provided an atom that didn't match the planemos in the `case`, you could put it all into the `try`:

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) do
    try do
      gravity = case planemo do
        :earth -> 9.8
        :moon -> 1.6
        :mars -> 3.71
      end
      :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
    rescue
      _error -> _error
    end
  end
end
```

If you try an unsupported planemo, you'll now see the code catch the problem, at least once you recompile the code to use the new version:

```
iex(4)> Drop.fall_velocity(:jupiter, 20)
** (CaseClauseError) no case clause matching: :jupiter
    drop.ex:3: Drop.fall_velocity/2
iex(5)> c("drop.ex")
drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module Drop
[Drop]
iex(6)> Drop.fall_velocity(:jupiter, 20)
%CaseClauseError{term: :jupiter}
```

The `CaseClauseError` indicates that a case failed to match and tells you the actual item that didn't match.

You can also have multiple pattern matches in the `rescue`. If the error doesn't match any of the patterns in the `rescue` clause, it gets reported as a runtime error, as if the `try` hadn't wrapped it. The following example will provide different messages for each type of error. The code doesn't store the exception in a variable since it doesn't use information stored in the exception:

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) do
    try do
      gravity = case planemo do
        :earth -> 9.8
        :moon -> 1.6
        :mars -> 3.71
```

```
      end
      :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
    rescue
      ArithmeticError -> {:error, "Distance must be non-negative"}
      CaseClauseError -> {:error, "Unknown planemo #{planemo}"}
    end
  end
end
```

And here is what it looks like in action:

```
iex(7)> c("drop.ex")
drop3.ex:1: warning: redefining module Drop
[Drop]
iex(8)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, -20)
{:error,"Distance must be non-negative"}
iex(9)> Drop.fall_velocity(:jupiter, 20)
{:error,"Unknown planemo jupiter"}
```

> If you want to do the same actions for multiple exceptions, you can
> write code like this:
>
> ```
> [ArithmeticError, CaseClauseError] -> "Generic Error"
> err in [ErlangError, RuntimeError] -> {:error, err}
> ```

If the code that might fail can create a mess, you may want to include an `after` clause after the `rescue` clause and before the closing `end`. The code in an `after` clause is guaranteed to run whether the attempted code succeeds or fails and can be a good place to address any side effects of the code. It doesn't affect the return value of the clause.

Logging Progress and Failure

The `IO:puts` function is useful for simple communications with the shell, but as your programs grow (and especially as they become distributed processes), hurling text toward standard output is less likely to get you the information you need. Elixir offers a set of functions for more formal logging. They *can* hook into more sophisticated logging systems, but it's easy to get started with them as a way to structure messages from your application.

These functions in Elixir's Logger module give you four levels of reporting:

`:info`
   For information of any kind.

`:debug`
   For debug-related messages.

`:warn`
> For news that's worse. Someone should do something eventually.

`:error`
> Something just plain broke and needs to be looked at.

As you can see, these calls produce reports that are visually distinctive. If you run IEx and enter these statements, you will see that the messages also appear in different colors:

```
iex(1)> require Logger
nil
iex(2)> counter=255
255
iex(3)> Logger.info("About to begin test")
18:57:36.846 [info]  About to begin test
:ok
iex(4)> Logger.debug("Current value of counter is #{counter}")
18:58:06.526 [debug] Current value of counter is 255
:ok
iex(5)> Logger.warn("Connection lost; will retry.")
18:58:21.759 [warn]  Connection lost; will retry.
:ok
iex(6)> Logger.error("Unable to read database.")
18:58:37.008 [error] Unable to read database.
:ok
```

These functions produce only a mild improvement over `IO.puts`, so why would you use them? Because there is much much more lurking under the surface. By default, when Elixir starts up, it sets up the `Logger` module to report to the shell. However, you can design a custom backend to log information to any destination you please.

While logging information is useful, it's not unusual to write code with subtle errors where you're not positive what to log where. You could litter the code with reporting, or you could use the Erlang debugging tools that are also available in Elixir.

Tracing Messages

Elixir offers a wide variety of tools for tracing code, both with other code (using Erlang's `trace` and `trace_pattern` built-in functions) and with a text-based debugger/reporter. The `dbg` module is the easiest place to start into this toolset, letting you specify what you want traced and showing you the results in the shell.

> The `:dbg` module is an Erlang module, but if you are getting tired of typing the leading `:` every time you use an Erlang function, you can make things feel more Elixir-like by typing this command:
>
> ```
> iex(8)> alias :dbg, as: Dbg
> []
> ```
>
> For now, we will continue to use `:dbg`.

An easy place to get started is tracing messages sent between processes. You can use `:dbg.p` to trace the messages sent between the `mph_drop` process defined in Example 9-8 and the `drop` process from Example 9-6. After compiling the modules, you call `:dbg.tracer()` to start reporting trace information to the shell. Then you `spawn` the `mph_drop` process as usual and pass that pid to the `:dbg.p/2` process. The second argument here will be `:m`, meaning that the trace should report the messages. The code from Chapter 8 is duplicated in *ch10/ex2-debug*:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> c("mph_drop.ex")
[MphDrop]
iex(3)> :dbg.tracer()
{:ok,#PID<0.47.0>}
iex(4)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.49.0>
iex(5)> :dbg.p(pid1, :m)
{:ok,[{:matched,:"nonode@nohost",1}]}
```

Now when you send a message to the `mph_drop` process, you'll get a set of reports on the resulting flow of messages. (`<0.49.0>` is the `mph_drop` process, and `<0.50.0>` is the `drop` process.)

```
iex(6)> send(pid1, {:moon, 20})
(<0.49.0>) << {moon,20}
(<0.49.0>) <0.50.0> ! {<0.49.0>,moon,20}
(<0.49.0>) << {moon,20,8.0}
(<0.49.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Atom'}}
(<0.49.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Integer'}}
(<0.49.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.Float'}}
(<0.49.0>) << {code_server,{module,'Elixir.String.Chars.BitString'}}
On moon, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 17.89549032 mph.
(<0.49.0>) <0.26.0> ! {io_request,<0.49.0>,<0.26.0>,
                      {put_chars,unicode,
                         <<"On moon, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity
                         of 17.89549032 mph.\n">>}}
(<0.49.0>) << {io_reply,<0.26.0>,ok}
{:moon, 20}
```

The `<<` pointing to a pid indicates that that process received a message. Sends are indicated with the pid followed by `!` followed by the message. This is much like what you

saw when using the observer to view process messages in "Watching Your Processes" on page 107. In this case:

- On this run, the report from `mph_drop` that `On moon, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 17.89549032 mph.` comes through in the middle of the tracing information. The rest of the trace indicates how that report got there.

- `mph_drop` (`<0.49.0>`) receives the message tuple `{moon,20}`.

- It sends a further message, the tuple `{<0.49.0>,moon,20}`, to the `drop` process at pid `<0.50.0>`.

- `mph_drop` receives a tuple `{moon,20,8.0}` (from `drop`).

- Then it calls `io:request/2`, which triggers another set of process messages to make the report.

The trace reports come through interleaved with the actual execution of the code, but they make the flow of messages clear. You'll want to learn to use `:dbg` in its many variations to trace your code and may eventually want to use match patterns and the `trace` functions themselves to create more elegant systems for watching specific code.

Watching Function Calls

If you just want to keep track of arguments moving between function calls, you can use the tracer to report on the sequence of calls. Chapter 4 demonstrated recursion and reported results along the way through `IO.puts`. There's another way to see that work, again using the `:dbg` module.

Example 4-11, the upward factorial calculator, started with a call to `Fact.factorial/1`, which then called `Fact.factorial/3` recursively. `:dbg` will let you see the actual function calls and their arguments, mixed with the `IO.puts` reporting. (You can find it in *ch10/ex3-debug*.)

Tracing functions is a little trickier than tracing messages because you can't just pass `:dbg.p/2` a pid. As shown on line 3 in the following code sample, you need to tell it you want it to report on all processes (`:all`) and their calls (`:c`). Once you've done that, you have to specify which calls you want it to report, using `:dbg.tpl` as shown on line 4. It takes a module name (`Fact`), function name as an atom (`:factorial`), and optionally a match specification that lets you specify arguments more precisely. Variations on this function also let you specify arity.

So turn on the tracer, tell it you want to follow function calls, and specify a function (or functions, through multiple calls to `:dbg.tpl`) to watch. Then call the function, and you'll see a list of the calls:

```
iex(1)> c("fact.ex")
[Fact]
iex(2)> :dbg.tracer()
{:ok,#PID<0.43.0>}
iex(3)> :dbg.p(:all, :c)
{:ok,[{:matched,:"nonode@nohost",29}]}
iex(4)> :dbg.tpl(Fact, :factorial, [])
{:ok,[{:matched,:"nonode@nohost",2}]}
iex(5)> Fact.factorial(4)
1 yields 1!
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(4)
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(1,4,1)
2 yields 2!
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(2,4,1)
3 yields 6!
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(3,4,2)
4 yields 24!
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(4,4,6)
Finished.
(<0.26.0>) call 'Elixir-Fact':factorial(5,4,24)
24
```

You can see that the sequence is a bit messy here, with the trace reporting coming a little bit after the IO.puts results from the function being traced. Because the trace is running in a separate process (at pid <0.43.0>) from the function (at pid <0.26.0>), its reporting may not line up smoothly (or at all, though it usually does).

When you're done tracing, call :dbg.stop/0 (if you might want to restart tracing with the same setup) or :dbg.stop_clear/0 (if you know that when you start again you'll want to set things up again).

The :dbg module and the trace functions on which it builds are incredibly powerful tools.

Writing Unit Tests

You can avoid some debugging by adequately testing your code beforehand, and Elixir has a unit-testing module named ExUnit to make this easy for you.

To demonstrate ExUnit, the following is a Drop module with an error in it. The gravity constant for Mars has been accidentally mistyped as 3.41 instead of 3.71 (someone's finger slipped on the numeric keypad). Save this as file *drop_bad.ex*:

```
defmodule Drop do
  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) do
    gravity = case planemo do
      :earth -> 9.8
      :moon -> 1.6
      :mars -> 3.41
    end
```

```
      :math.sqrt(2 * gravity * distance)
    end
  end
```

You write your tests in an Elixir script file (with an extension of *.exs*) so that it doesn't need to be compiled. You then define tests using the `test` macro. Here are two tests. The first tests that a distance of zero returns a velocity of zero, and the second tests that a fall of 10 meters on Mars produces the correct answer. Save this in a file named *drop_test.exs*:

```elixir
ExUnit.start

defmodule DropTest do
  use ExUnit.Case, async: true

  test "Zero distance gives zero velocity" do
    assert Drop.fall_velocity(:earth,0) == 0
  end

  test "Mars calculation correct" do
    assert Drop.fall_velocity(:mars, 10) == :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * 10)
  end
end
```

The first line of the script sets up `ExUnit` to run automatically, and the `use` line allows Elixir to run the test cases in parallel.

A test begins with the macro `test` and a string that describes the test. The content of the `test` consists of executing some code and then `asserting` some condition. If the result of executing the code is `true`, then the test passes; if the result is `false`, the test fails.

To run the tests, you need to compile the `Drop` module (which you can do from the command line) and then type `elixir drop_test.exs`:

```
$ elixirc drop_bad.ex
$ elixir drop_test.exs
.

  1) test Mars calculation correct (DropTest)
     drop_test.exs:10
     Assertion with == failed
     code: Drop.fall_velocity(:mars, 10) == :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * 10)
     lhs:  8.258329128825032
     rhs:  8.613942186943213
     stacktrace:
       drop_test.exs:11

Finished in 0.3 seconds (0.2s on load, 0.07s on tests)
2 tests, 1 failures
```

```
Randomized with seed 210488
```

The line starting . indicates the status of each test; a . means that the test succeeded.

Fix the error by going into the `Drop` module and changing Mars's gravity constant to the correct value of 3.71. Save it with the name *drop.ex* and recompile. Then run the test again, and you will see what a successful test looks like:

```
$ elixirc drop.ex
drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module Drop
$ elixir drop_test.exs
..

Finished in 0.1 seconds (0.1s on load, 0.00s on tests)
2 tests, 0 failures

Randomized with seed 878156
```

In addition to `assert/1`, you may also use `refute/1`, which expects the condition you are testing to be `false` in order for a test to pass. Both `assert/1` and `refute/1` automatically generate an appropriate message. There is also a two-argument version of each function that lets you specify the message to produce if the assertion or refutation fails.

If you are using floating-point operations, you may not be able to count on an exact result. In that case, you can use the `assert_in_delta/4` function. Its four arguments are the expected value, the value you actually received, the delta, and a message. If the expected and received values are within *delta* of each other, the test passes. Otherwise, the test fails and `ExUnit` prints your message. Here is a test to see if a fall velocity from a distance of one meter on Earth is close to 4.4 meters per second. Add this to your *drop_test.exs* file and run the tests again. (The revised version is in file *ch10/ex4-testing/ drop_test2.exs*.)

```
test "Earth calculation correct" do
  calculated = Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, 1)
  assert_in_delta calculated, 4.4, 0.05,
    "Result of #{calculated} is not within 0.05 of 4.4"
end
```

If you want to see the failure message, change the test to require the calculation to be more precise, and save it. (This version is in file *ch10/ex4-testing/drop_test3.exs*.)

```
test "Earth calculation correct" do
  calculated = Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, 1)
  assert_in_delta calculated, 4.4, 0.0001,
    "Result of #{calculated} is not within 0.0001 of 4.4"
end
```

This is the result:

```
$ elixirc drop_test3.exs
```

```
  1) test Earth calculation correct (DropTest)
     drop_test3.exs:14
     Result of 4.427188724235731 is not within 0.0001 of 4.4
     stacktrace:
       drop_test3.exs:16

..

Finished in 0.1 seconds (0.1s on load, 0.01s on tests)
3 tests, 1 failures

Randomized with seed 336034
```

You can also test that parts of your code will correctly raise exceptions. These following two tests will check that an incorrect planemo and a negative distance actually cause errors. In each test, you wrap the code you want to test in an anonymous function. You can find these additional tests in file *ch10/ex4-testing/drop_test4.exs*:

```elixir
test "Unknown planemo causes error" do
  assert_raise CaseClauseError, fn ->
    Drop.fall_velocity(:planetX, 10)
  end
end

test "Negative distance causes error" do
  assert_raise ArithmeticError, fn ->
    Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, -10)
  end
end
```

Elixir's testing facilities also allow you to test whether messages have been received or not, specify code to be run before and after each test, write functions to be shared among tests, and much more. The full details are available in the Elixir documentation.

Storing Structured Data

Despite Elixir's general preference for avoiding side effects, storing and sharing data is a fundamental side effect needed for a wide variety of projects.

Because Elixir works well with Erlang, you can use Erlang Term Storage (ETS) to help you store and manipulate those sets, and the Mnesia database provides additional features for reliable distributed storage.

Records: Structured Data Before structs

As you saw in "From Maps to Structs" on page 78, Elixir's structs allow you to use names to connect with data rather than order (as with tuples). Structs, however, are based on maps, which are new to Erlang and Elixir. Before maps existed, Erlang had to solve the problem of keeping structured data, and that solution was the concept of records. As with structs, you can read, write, and pattern match data in a record without having to worry about the details of where in a tuple a field lurks or whether someone's added a new field.

Records are not especially loved in Erlang, and are supported but not encouraged in Elixir. The record definition requirement creates headaches. However, records are common in Erlang APIs and run efficiently, so they are still worth understanding. At the very least you'll have a better sense of what people are arguing about in discussions of Elixir and Erlang data structures.

There are still tuples underneath records, and occasionally Elixir will expose them to you. Do not attempt to use the tuple representation directly, or you will add all the potential problems of using tuples to the slight extra syntax of using records.

Setting Up Records

Using records requires telling Elixir about them with a special declaration. Instead of saying `defmodule`, you use a `defrecord` declaration:

```
defrecord Planemo, name: :nil, gravity: 0, diameter: 0, distance_from_sun: 0
```

That defines a record type named `Planemo`, containing fields named `name`, `gravity`, `diameter`, and `distance_from_sun` with their default values. This declaration creates records for different towers for dropping objects:

```
defrecord Tower, location: "", height: 20, planemo: :earth, name: ""
```

Unlike `defmodule` declarations, you'll often want to share record declarations across multiple modules and (for the examples in this chapter at least) even use them in the shell. To share record declarations reliably, just put the record declarations in their own file, ending with the extension *.ex*. You may want to put each record declaration in a separate file or all of them in a single file, depending on your needs. To get started, to see how these behave, you can put both of the declarations into a single file, *records.ex*, shown in Example 11-1. (You can find it in *ch11/ex1-records*.)

Example 11-1. A records.ex file containing two rather unrelated record declarations

```
defmodule Planemo do
  require Record
  Record.defrecord :planemo, [name: :nil, gravity: 0, diameter: 0,
  distance_from_sun: 0]
end

defmodule Tower do
  require Record
  Record.defrecord :tower, Tower,
    [location: "", height: 20, planemo: :earth, name: ""]
end
```

`Record.defrecord` constructs a set of macros to create and access a record. The first item after `Record.defrecord` is the record name. The second item is optional; it is the *tag*. If you don't provide a tag, Elixir uses the record name. In this case, we have provided a tag for `Tower` records, but not for `Planemo` records. The name and optional tag are followed by a list that gives pairs of key names and default values. Elixir automatically builds functions into the module that let you create new records, access record values, and update record values. Because records are modules, all you need to do to make a record available to your program is to be sure that it has been compiled and in the same directory as your other modules. You can use the `elixirc` program from the command line to compile `defrecord` declarations, or you can compile from the shell:

```
iex(1)> c("records.ex")
[Tower,Planemo]
```

The shell now understands records with the names `Planemo` and `Tower`, but you must `require` them in order to use them in a program or in the shell.

> You can also declare records directly in the shell by typing the `defre cord` declaration, but if you're doing anything more than just poking around, it's easier to have them in an external file.

Creating and Reading Records

You can now create variables that contain new records. You create a new record by using the record name function

```
iex(2)> require Tower
nil
iex(3)> tower1 = Tower.tower()
{Tower, "", 20, :earth, ""}
iex(4)> tower2 = Tower.tower(location: "Grand Canyon")
{Tower, "Grand Canyon", 20, :earth, ""}
iex(5)> tower3 = Tower.tower(location: "NYC", height: 241,
...(5)> name: "Woolworth Building")
{Tower, "NYC", 241, :earth, "Woolworth Building"}
iex(6)> tower4 = Tower.tower location: "Rupes Altat 241", height: 500,
...(6)> planemo: :moon, name: "Piccolini View"
{Tower, "Rupes Altat 241", 500, :moon, "Piccolini View"}
iex(7)> tower5 = Tower.tower planemo: :mars, height: 500,
...(7)> name: "Daga Vallis", location: "Valles Marineris"
{Tower, "Valles Marineris", 500, :mars, "Daga Vallis"}
```

These towers (or at least drop sites) demonstrate a variety of ways to use the record syntax to create variables as well as interactions with the default values:

- Line 3 just creates `tower1` with the default values. You can add real values later.
- Line 4 creates a `tower` with a `location`, but otherwise relies on the default values.
- Line 5 overrides the default values for `location`, `height`, and `name`, but leaves the `planemo` alone.
- Line 6 replaces all of the default values with new values. Also, as is usual with Elixir, you do not need to put the arguments to `new` inside parentheses.
- Line 7 replaces all of the default values, and also demonstrates that *it doesn't matter in what order you list the name/value pairs*. Elixir will sort it out.

You can read record entries with two different approaches. To extract a single value, you can use a dot (`.`) syntax that may look familiar from other languages. For example, to find out which `planemo` `tower5` is on, you could write:

```
iex(8)> Tower.tower(tower5, :planemo)
:mars
iex(9)> import Tower
nil
iex(10)> tower(tower5, :height)
500
```

Line 9 did an import to make life easier, so line 10 no longer requires Tower.

If you want to change a value in a record, you can do so. In the following example, the right-hand side actually returns an entirely new record and re-binds that new record to tower5, overwriting its old value.

```
iex(11)> tower5
{Tower, "Valles Marineris", 500, :mars, "Daga Vallis"}
iex(12)> tower5 = tower(tower5, height: 512)
{Tower, "Valles Marineris", 512, :mars, "Daga Vallis"}
```

Using Records in Functions

You can pattern match against records submitted as arguments. The simplest way to do this is to just match against the type of the record, as shown in Example 11-2, which is in *ch11/ex2-records*.

Example 11-2. A method that pattern matches a complete record

```
defmodule RecordDrop do
  require Planemo
  require Tower

  def fall_velocity(t = Tower.tower()) do
    fall_velocity(Tower.tower(t, :planemo), Tower.tower(t, :height))
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end

end
```

This uses a pattern match that will match only Tower records, and puts the record into a variable t. Then, like its predecessor in Example 3-8, it passes the individual arguments to fall_velocity/2 for calculations, this time using the record syntax.

```
iex(13)> c("record_drop.ex")
[RecordDrop]
iex(14)> RecordDrop.fall_velocity(tower5)
60.909769331364245
iex(15)> RecordDrop.fall_velocity(tower1)
19.79898987322333
```

The `record_drop:fall_velocity/1` function shown in Example 11-3 pulls out the `planemo` field and binds it to the variable `planemo`. It pulls out the `height` field and binds it to `distance`. Then it returns the velocity of an object dropped from that `distance` just like earlier examples throughout this book.

You can also extract the specific fields from the record in the pattern match, as shown in Example 11-3, which is in *ch11/ex3-records*.

Example 11-3. A method that pattern matches components of a record

```
defmodule RecordDrop do
  require Tower
  def fall_velocity(Tower.tower(planemo: planemo, height: distance)) do
    fall_velocity(planemo, distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
end
```

You can take the records created and feed them into this function, and it will tell you the velocity resulting from a drop from the top of that tower to the bottom.

Finally, you can pattern match against both the fields and the records as a whole. Example 11-4, in *ch11/ex4-records*, demonstrates using this mixed approach to create a more detailed response than just the fall velocity.

Example 11-4. A method that pattern matches the whole record as well as components of a record

```
defmodule RecordDrop do
  require Tower
  import Tower
  def fall_velocity(t = tower(planemo: planemo, height: distance)) do
    IO.puts("From #{tower(t, :name)}'s elevation of #{distance} meters on #{planemo},")
    IO.puts("the object will reach #{fall_velocity(planemo, distance)} m/s")
```

```
    IO.puts("before crashing in #{tower(t, :location)}")
  end

  def fall_velocity(:earth, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:moon, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 1.6 * distance)
  end

  def fall_velocity(:mars, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 3.71 * distance)
  end
end
```

> It is possible to have a variable whose name is the same as a field name; in the previous example, the `planemo` field was assigned to a variable also named `planemo`.

If you pass a `Tower` record to `RecordDrop.fall_velocity/1`, it will match against individual fields it needs to do the calculation, and match the whole record into `t` so that it can produce a more interesting if not necessarily grammatically correct report.

```
iex(16)> RecordDrop.fall_velocity(tower5)
From Daga Vallis's elevation of 500 meters on mars,
the object will reach 60.90976933136424520399 m/s
before crashing in Valles Marineris
:ok
iex(17)> RecordDrop.fall_velocity(tower3)
From Woolworth Building's elevation of 241 meters on earth,
the object will reach 68.72845116834803036454 m/s
before crashing in NYC
:ok
```

Storing Data in Erlang Term Storage

Erlang Term Storage (ETS) is a simple but powerful in-memory collection store. It holds tuples, and since records are tuples underneath, they're a natural fit. ETS and its disk-based cousin DETS provide a (perhaps too) simple solution for many data management problems. ETS is not exactly a database, but it does similar work and is useful by itself as well as underneath the Mnesia database, which you'll see in the next section.

Every entry in an ETS tables is a tuple (or corresponding record), and one piece of the tuple is designated the key. ETS offers a few different structural choices depending on how you want to handle that key. ETS can hold four kinds of collections:

Sets (`:set`)

> Can contain only one entry with a given key. This is the default.

Ordered sets (`:ordered_set`)

> Same as a set, but also maintains a traversal order based on the keys. Great for anything you want to keep in alphabetic or numeric order.

Bags (`:bag`)

> Lets you store more than one entry with a given key. However, if you have multiple entries that have completely identical values, they get combined into a single entry.

Duplicate bags (`:duplicate_bag`)

> Not only lets you store more than one entry with a given key, but also lets you store multiple entries with completely identical values.

By default, ETS tables are sets, but you can specify one of the other options when you create a table. The examples here will be sets because they are simpler to figure out, but the same techniques apply to all four table varieties.

> There is no requirement in ETS that all of your entries look at all similar. When you're starting out, however, it's much simpler to use the same kind of record, or at least tuples with the same structure. You can also use any kind of value for the key, including complex tuple structures and lists, but again, it's best not to get too fancy at the beginning.

All of the examples in the following section will use the `planemo` record type defined in , and the data in Table 11-1.

Table 11-1. Planemos for gravitational exploration

Planemo	Gravity (m/s^2)	Diameter (km)	Distance from Sun (10^6 km)
mercury	3.7	4878	57.9
venus	8.9	12104	108.2
earth	9.8	12756	149.6
moon	1.6	3475	149.6
mars	3.7	6787	227.9
ceres	0.27	950	413.7
jupiter	23.1	142796	778.3
saturn	9.0	120660	1427.0
uranus	8.7	51118	2871.0
neptune	11.0	30200	4497.1
pluto	0.6	2300	5913.0

Planemo	Gravity (m/s^2)	Diameter (km)	Distance from Sun (10^6 km)
haumea	0.44	1150	6484.0
makemake	0.5	1500	6850.0
eris	0.8	2400	10210.0

> Although the name is *Erlang* Term Storage, you can still use ETS from Elixir. Just as you can use Erlang's `math` module to calculate square roots by saying `:math.sqrt(3)`, you can use ETS functions by preceding them with `:ets`.

Creating and Populating a Table

The `:ets.new/2` function lets you create a table. The first argument is a name for the table, and the second argument is a list of options. There are lots and lots of options, including the identifiers for the table types described in the previous section, but the two most important for getting started are `:named_table` and the tuple starting with `:keypos`.

Every table has a name, but only some can be reached using that name. If you don't specify `:named_table`, the name is there but visible only inside the database. You'll have to use the value returned by `:ets.new/2` to reference the table. If you do specify `:named_table`, processes can reach the table as long as they know the name, without needing access to that return value.

> Even with a named table, you still have some control over which processes can read and write the table through the `:private`, `:protected`, and `:public` options.

The other important option, especially for ETS tables containing records, is the `:keypos` tuple. By default, ETS treats the first value in a tuple as the key. The tuple representation underneath records (which you shouldn't really touch) always uses the first value in a tuple to identify the kind of record, so that approach works very badly as a key for records. Using the `:keypos` tuple lets you specify which record value should be the key.

Remember, the record format for a `Planemo` looks like the following:

```
defmodule Planemo do
  require Record
  Record.defrecord :planemo, [name: :nil, gravity: 0, diameter: 0,
  distance_from_sun: 0]
end
```

Because this table is mostly used for calculations based on a given `planemo`, it makes sense to use the `:name` as a key. An appropriate declaration for setting up the ETS table might look like the following:

```
planemo_table = :ets.new(:planemos,[ :named_table, {:keypos,
  Planemo.planemo(:name) + 1} ])
```

That gives the table the name `:planemos` and uses the `:named_table` option to make that table visible to other processes that know the name. Because of the default access level of `:protected`, this process can write to that table but other processes can only read it. It also tells ETS to use the `:name` field as the key.

> ETS expects the `:keypos` to be a number that gives the position of the key field in the underlying tuple, with the first entry numbered as one. The call to the `planemo` function returns the index of the field in the underlying Elixir tuple, with the first entry numbered as zero. That's why the preceding code had to add one.

Because it doesn't specify otherwise, the table will be treated as a `set`, where each key maps to only one instance of record, and ETS doesn't keep the list sorted by key.

Once you have the table set up, as shown in Example 11-5, you use the `:ets.info/1` function to check out its details. (You can find this in *ch11/ex5-ets*.)

Example 11-5. Setting up a simple ETS table and reporting on what's there

```
defmodule PlanemoStorage do
  require Planemo

  def setup do
    planemo_table = :ets.new(:planemos,[:named_table,
      {:keypos, Planemo.planemo(:name) + 1}])
    :ets.info planemo_table
  end
end
```

If you compile and run this, you'll get a report of an empty ETS table with more properties than you probably want to know about at the moment.

```
iex(1)> c("records.ex")
[Tower,Planemo]
iex(2)> c("planemo_storage.ex")
[PlanemoStorage]
iex(3)> PlanemoStorage.setup
[compressed: false, memory: 307, owner: #PID<0.26.0>, heir: :none,
name: :planemos, size: 0, node: :"nonode@nohost", named_table: true,
type: :set, keypos: 2, protection: :protected]
```

Most of this is either more information than you need or unsurprising, but it is good to see the name(:planemos), size (0—empty!), and keypos (not 1, the default, but 2, the location of the name in the tuple underneath the record). It is, as the defaults specify, set up as a :protected :set.

You can set up only one ETS table with the same name. If you call PlanemoStorage.set up/0 twice, you'll get an error:

```
iex(3)> PlanemoStorage.setup
** (ArgumentError) argument error
    (stdlib) :ets.new(:planemos, [:named_table, {:keypos, 2}])
             planemo_storage.ex:6: PlanemoStorage.setup/0
```

To avoid this, at least in these early tests, you'll want to use the :ets.delete/1 command to delete the table. Give the table name—in this case, :planemos--as the argument. If you think you're likely to call your initialization code repeatedly after you figure the basics out, you can also test the :ets.info/1 for :undefined to make sure the table doesn't already exist, or put a try…catch construct around the :ets.new/2 call.

A more exciting ETS table, of course, will include content. The next step is to use :ets.insert/2 to add content to the table. The first argument is the table, referenced either by its name (if you set the named_table option), or by the variable that captured the return value of :ets.new/2. In Example 11-6, which is in *ch11/ex6-ets*, the first call uses the name, to show that it works, and the rest use the variable. The second argument is a record representing one of the rows from Table 11-1.

Example 11-6. Populating a simple ETS table and reporting on what's there

```
defmodule PlanemoStorage do
  require Planemo

  def setup do
    planemo_table = :ets.new(:planemos,[:named_table,
      {:keypos, Planemo.__record__(:index, :name) + 1}])
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :mercury, gravity: 3.7,
      diameter: 4878, distance_from_sun: 57.9)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :venus, gravity: 8.9,
      diameter: 12104, distance_from_sun: 108.2)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :earth, gravity: 9.8,
      diameter: 12756, distance_from_sun: 149.6)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :moon, gravity: 1.6,
      diameter: 3475, distance_from_sun: 149.6)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :mars, gravity: 3.7,
      diameter: 6787, distance_from_sun: 227.9)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :ceres, gravity: 0.27,
      diameter: 950, distance_from_sun: 413.7)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :jupiter, gravity: 23.1,
      diameter: 142796, distance_from_sun: 778.3)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :saturn, gravity: 9.0,
      diameter: 120660, distance_from_sun: 1427.0)
```

```
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :uranus, gravity: 8.7,
      diameter: 51118, distance_from_sun: 2871.0)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :neptune, gravity: 11.0,
      diameter: 30200, distance_from_sun: 4497.1)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :pluto, gravity: 0.6,
      diameter: 2300, distance_from_sun: 5913.0)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :haumea, gravity: 0.44,
      diameter: 1150, distance_from_sun: 6484.0)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :makemake, gravity: 0.5,
      diameter: 1500, distance_from_sun: 6850.0)
    :ets.insert :planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :eris, gravity: 0.8,
      diameter: 2400, distance_from_sun: 10210.0)

    :ets.info planemo_table
  end
end
```

Again, the last call is to `:ets.info/1`, which now reports that the table has 14 items.

```
iex(4)> c("PlanemoStorage.ex")
[PlanemoStorage]
iex(5)> :ets.delete(:planemos)
true
iex(6)> PlanemoStorage.setup
[compressed: false, memory: 531, owner: #PID<0.26.0>, heir: :none, name:
:planemos, size: 14, node: :"nonode@nohost", named_table: true, type: :set,
keypos: 2, protection: :protected]
```

If you want to see what's in that table, you can do it from the shell by using the `:ets.tab2list/1` function, which will return a list of records, broken into separate lines for ease of reading:

```
iex(25)> :ets.tab2list :planemos
[{:planemo, :neptune, 11.0, 30200, 4497.1},
 {:planemo, :jupiter, 23.1, 142796, 778.3},
 {:planemo, :haumea, 0.44, 1150, 6484.0}, {:planemo, :pluto, 0.6, 2300, 5913.0},
 {:planemo, :mercury, 3.7, 4878, 57.9}, {:planemo, :earth, 9.8, 12756, 149.6},
 {:planemo, :makemake, 0.5, 1500, 6850.0}, {:planemo, :moon, 1.6, 3475, 149.6},
 {:planemo, :mars, 3.7, 6787, 227.9}, {:planemo, :saturn, 9.0, 120660, 1427.0},
 {:planemo, :uranus, 8.7, 51118, 2871.0}, {:planemo, :ceres, 0.27, 950, 413.7},
 {:planemo, :venus, 8.9, 12104, 108.2}, {:planemo, :eris, 0.8, 2400, 10210.0}]
```

If you'd rather keep track of the table in a separate window, Erlang's Observer table visualizer shows the same information in a slightly more readable form. You can start it from the shell with `:observer.start()`, and click the Table Viewer tab. You will see something that looks like Figure 11-1. Double-click on the planemos table, and you'll see a more detailed report on its contents like the one shown in Figure 11-2.

Figure 11-1. Opening the table visualizer

Figure 11-2. Reviewing the planemos table in the visualizer

The visualizer doesn't know about your record declarations; it only knows the field numbers. The Edit menu lets you poll the table to be sure you have its latest contents, and set a polling interval if you want it to refresh automatically. If you declare tables public, you can even edit their contents in the table viewer.

> If you want to see a table of all the current ETS tables, try issuing `:ets.i()` in the shell. You'll see the tables you've created (probably) near the bottom.

Simple Queries

The easiest way to look up records in your ETS table is with the `:ets.lookup/2` function and the key. You can test this easily from the shell:

```
iex(9)> :ets.lookup(:planemos, :eris)
[{:planemo, :eris, 0.8, 2400, 10210.0}]
```

The return value is always a list. This is true despite Elixir's knowing that this ETS table has the :set type, so only one value can match the key, and despite there being only one value. In situations like this where you know that there will only be one returned value, the hd/1 function will get you the head of a list quickly. Since there is only one item, the head is just that item.

```
iex(10)> hd(:ets.lookup(:planemos, :eris))
{:planemo, :eris, 0.8, 2400, 10210.0}
```

The square brackets are gone, which means that you can now extract, say, the gravity of a planemo:

```
iex(11)> result = hd(:ets.lookup(:planemos, :eris))
{:planemo, :eris, 0.8, 2400, 10210.0}
iex(12)> Planemo.planemo(result, :gravity)
0.8
```

Overwriting Values

Although you can re-assign values to Elixir variables, it's better if you don't overwrite the value of a variable or change the value of an item in a list. Keeping variables "single-assignment" makes it easier to more write reliable programs that involve communication among many processes (you saw this in Chapter 9 and will see more about communicating processes in Chapter 12). However, ETS is meant for storing values that might need to be re-assigned. If you want to change the value of gravity on :mercury, you can. (I pressed ENTER on line 13 so that the listing does not go off the edge of the page.)

```
iex(13)> :ets.insert(:planemos, Planemo.planemo(name: :mercury,
...(13)> gravity: 3.9, diameter: 4878, distance_from_sun: 57.9))
true
iex(14)> :ets.lookup(:planemos, :mercury)
[{:planemo, :mercury, 3.9, 4878, 57.9}]
```

Just because you *can* change values in an ETS table, however, doesn't mean that you should rewrite your code to replace variables with flexible ETS table contents. Nor should you make all your tables public so that various processes can read and write whatever they like to the ETS table, making it a different form of shared memory.

Ask yourself when making changes is going to be useful, and when it might introduce tricky bugs. You probably won't have to change the gravity of Mercury, but it certainly could make sense to change a shipping address. If you have doubts, lean toward caution.

ETS Tables and Processes

Now that you can extract gravitational constants for planemos, you can expand the drop module to calculate drops in many more locations. Example 11-7 combines the drop module from Example 9-6 with the ETS table built in Example 11-6 to create a more powerful drop calculator. (You can find this in *ch11/ex7-ets-calculator*.)

Example 11-7. Calculating drop velocities using an ETS table of planemo properties

```elixir
defmodule Drop do
  require Planemo
  def drop do
    setup
    handle_drops
  end

  def handle_drops do
    receive do
      {from, planemo, distance} ->
        send(from, {planemo, distance, fall_velocity(planemo, distance)})
        handle_drops
    end
  end

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    p = hd(:ets.lookup(:planemos, planemo))
    :math.sqrt(2 * Planemo.planemo(p, :gravity) * distance)
  end

  def setup do
    :ets.new(:planemos, [:named_table,
      {:keypos, Planemo.__record__(:index, :name) + 1}])
    info = [
      {:mercury, 3.7, 4878, 57.9},
      {:venus, 8.9, 12104, 108.2},
      {:earth, 9.8, 12756, 149.6},
      {:moon, 1.6, 3475, 149.6},
      {:mars, 3.7, 6787, 227.9},
      {:ceres, 0.27, 950, 413.7},
      {:jupiter, 23.1, 142796, 778.3},
      {:saturn, 9.0, 120660, 1427.0},
      {:uranus, 8.7, 51118, 2871.0},
      {:neptune, 11.0, 30200, 4497.1},
      {:pluto, 0.6, 2300, 5913.0},
      {:haumea, 0.44, 1150, 6484.0},
      {:makemake, 0.5, 1500, 6850.0},
      {:eris, 0.8, 2400, 10210.0}]
    insert_into_table(info)
  end

  def insert_into_table([]) do  # stop recursion
    :undefined
```

```
    end

    def insert_into_table([{name, gravity, diameter, distance} | tail]) do
      :ets.insert(:planemos, Planemo.new(name: name, gravity: gravity,
        diameter: diameter, distance_from_sun: distance))
      insert_into_table(tail)
    end
end
```

The drop/0 function changes a little to call the initialization separately and avoid setting up the table on every call. This moves the message handling to a separate function, handle_drop/0. The fall_velocity/2 function also changes, as it now looks up planemo names in the ETS table and gets their gravitational constant from that table rather than hardcoding those contents into the function. (While it would certainly be possible to pass the planemo_table variable from the previous example as an argument to the recursive message handler, it's simpler to just use it as a named table.)

The setup function has also changed dramatically. Rather than doing a series of :ets.insert calls, it creates a list of tuples with the planemo information and then calls the insert_into_table/1 recursively to insert each entry.

> If this process crashes and needs to be restarted, restarting it will trigger the setup/0 function, which currently doesn't check to see if the ETS table exists. That could cause an error, except that ETS tables vanish when the processes that created them die. ETS offers an heir option and an :ets.give_away/3 function if you want to avoid that behavior, but for now it works well.

If you combine this module with the mph_drop module from Example 9-7, you'll be able to calculate drop velocities on all of these planemos:

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> c("mph_drop.ex")
[MphDrop]
iex(3)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.47.0>
iex(4)> send(pid1, {:earth, 20})
On earth, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 44.289078952755766 mph.
{:earth,20}
iex(5)> send(pid1, {:eris, 20})
On eris, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 12.65402255793022 mph.
{:eris,20}
iex(6)> send(pid1, {:makemake, 20})
On makemake, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 10.003883211552367 mph.
{:makemake,20}
```

That's a lot more variety than its :earth, :moon, and :mars predecessors!

Next Steps

While many applications just need a fast key/value store, ETS tables are far more flexible than the examples so far demonstrate. You can use Erlang's match specifications and `:ets.fun2ms` to create more complex queries with `:ets.match` and `:ets.select`. You can delete rows (as well as tables) with `:ets.delete`. The `:ets.first`, `:ets.next`, and `:ets.last` functions let you traverse tables recursively.

Perhaps most important, you can also explore DETS, the Disk-Based Term Storage, which offers similar features but with tables stored on disk. It's slower, with a 2 GB limit, but the data doesn't vanish when the controlling process stops.

You can dig deeper into ETS and DETS, but if your needs are more complex, and especially if you need to split data across multiple nodes, you should probably explore the Mnesia database.

Storing Records in Mnesia

Mnesia is a database management system (DBMS) that comes with Erlang, and, by extension, one that you can use with Elixir. It uses ETS and DETS underneath, but provides many more features than those components.

You should consider shifting from ETS (and DETS) tables to the Mnesia database if:

- You need to store and access data across a set of nodes, not just a single node.
- You don't want to have to think about whether you're going to store data in memory or on a disk or both.
- You need to be able to roll back transactions if something goes wrong.
- You'd like a more approachable syntax for finding and joining data.
- Management prefers the sound of "database" to the sound of "tables."

You may even find that you use ETS for some aspects of a project and Mnesia for others.

That isn't "amnesia," the forgetting, but "mnesia," the Greek word for memory.

Starting up Mnesia

If you want to store data on disk, you need to give Mnesia some information. Before you turn Mnesia on, you need to create a database, using the `:mnesia.create_schema/`

1 function. For now, because you'll be getting started using only the local node, that will look like the following:

```
iex(1)> :mnesia.create_schema([node()])
:ok
```

By default, when you call `:mnesia.create_schema/1`, Mnesia will store schema data in the directory where you are when you start it. If you look in the directory where you started Elixir, you'll see a new directory with a name like *Mnesia.nonode@nohost*. Initially, it holds a *FALLBACK.BUP* and *schema.DAT* file. The `node/0` function just returns the identifier of the node you're on, which is fine when you're getting started.

> If you start Mnesia without calling `:mnesia.create_schema/1`, Mnesia will keep its schema in memory, and it will vanish if and when Mnesia stops.

Unlike ETS and DETS, which are always available, you need to turn Mnesia on:

```
iex(2)> :mnesia.start()
:ok
```

There's also a `:mnesia.stop/0` function if you want to stop it.

> If you run Mnesia on a computer that goes to sleep, you may get odd messages like `Mnesia(nonode@nohost): ** WARNING ** Mnesia is overloaded: {dump_log, time_threshold}` when it wakes up. Don't worry, it's a side effect of waking up, and your data should still be safe. You probably shouldn't run production systems on devices that go to sleep, of course.

Creating Tables

Like ETS, Mnesia's basic concept of a table is a collection of records. It also offers `:set`, `:orderered_set`, and `:bag` options, just like those in ETS, but doesn't offer `:duplicate_bag`.

Mnesia wants to know more about your data than ETS, too. ETS pretty much takes data in tuples of any shape, counting only on there being a key it can use. The rest is up to you to interpret. Mnesia wants to know more about what you store, and takes a list of field names. The easy way to handle this is to define records and consistently use the field names from the records as Mnesia field names. There's even an easy way to pass the record names to Mnesia, using `record_info/2`.

The planemos table can work just as easily in Mnesia as in ETS, and some aspects of dealing with it will be easier. Example 11-8, which is in *ch11/ex7-mnesia*, shows how to set up the `planemo` table in Mnesia. The `setup/0` method creates a schema, then starts Mnesia, and then creates a table based on the `planemo` record type. Once the table is created, it writes the values from Table 11-1 to it.

Example 11-8. Setting up an Mnesia table of planemo properties

```
defmodule Drop do

  def drop do
    setup
    handle_drops
  end

  def handle_drops do
    receive do
      {from, planemo, distance} ->
        send(from, {planemo, distance, fall_velocity(planemo, distance)})
        handle_drops
    end
  end

  def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
    {:atomic, [p | _]} = :mnesia.transaction(fn() ->
      :mnesia.read(Planemo, planemo) end)
    :math.sqrt(2 * p.gravity * distance)
  end

  def setup do
    :mnesia.create_schema([node()])
    :mnesia.start()
    :mnesia.create_table(PlanemoTable, [{:attributes,
      [:name, :gravity, :diameter, :distance_from_sun]},
      {:record_name, :planemo}])

    f = fn ->
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :mercury, gravity: 3.7,
      diameter: 4878, distance_from_sun: 57.9), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :venus, gravity: 8.9,
      diameter: 12104, distance_from_sun: 108.2), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :earth, gravity: 9.8,
      diameter: 12756, distance_from_sun: 149.6), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :moon, gravity: 1.6,
      diameter: 3475, distance_from_sun: 149.6), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :mars, gravity: 3.7,
      diameter: 6787, distance_from_sun: 227.9), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :ceres, gravity: 0.27,
      diameter: 950, distance_from_sun: 413.7), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :jupiter, gravity: 23.1,
      diameter: 142796, distance_from_sun: 778.3), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :saturn, gravity: 9.0,
```

```
        diameter: 120660, distance_from_sun: 1427.0), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :uranus, gravity: 8.7,
      diameter: 51118, distance_from_sun: 2871.0), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :neptune, gravity: 11.0,
      diameter: 30200, distance_from_sun: 4497.1), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :pluto, gravity: 0.6,
      diameter: 2300, distance_from_sun: 5913.0), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :haumea, gravity: 0.44,
      diameter: 1150, distance_from_sun: 6484.0), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :makemake, gravity: 0.5,
      diameter: 1500, distance_from_sun: 6850.0), :write)
    :mnesia.write(PlanemoTable, Planemo.planemo(name: :eris, gravity: 0.8,
      diameter: 2400, distance_from_sun: 10210.0), :write)
    end

    :mnesia.transaction(f)
  end
end
```

In the setup, the `:mnesia.create_table` call gives the attributes for the table explicitly because, as of this writing, there is no easy way to extract all the field names for a record. Ordinarily, Mnesia presumes that the table name is the same as the first field of the record, but in this case, the table is `PlanemoTable` and the record starts with `:planemo`. You give the record name explicitly with this code: `{:record_name, :planemo}`

The `:mnesia_write` calls take three parameters: the table name, the record, and the type of lock to use on the database (in this case, `:write`).

Apart from the setup, the key thing to note is that all of the writes are contained in a `fn` that is then passed to `:mnesia.transaction` to be executed as a transaction. Mnesia will restart the transaction if there is other activity blocking it, so the code may get executed repeatedly before the transaction happens. Because of this, do not include any calls that create side effects in the function you'll be passing to `:mnesia.transaction`, and don't try to catch exceptions on Mnesia functions within a transaction. If your function calls `:mnesia.abort/1` (probably because some condition for executing it wasn't met), the transaction will be rolled back, returning a tuple beginning with `abor ted` instead of `atomic`.

You may also want to explore the more flexible `:mnesia.activity/2` when you need to mix more kinds of tasks in a transaction.

Your interactions with Mnesia should be contained in transactions, especially when your database is shared across multiple nodes. The main `:mnesia.write`, `:mne sia.read`, and `:mnesia.delete` methods work only within transactions, period. There

are `dirty_` methods, but every time you use them, especially to write data to the database, you're taking a risk.

> Just as in ETS, you can overwrite values by writing a new value with the same key as a previous entry.

If you want to check on how this function worked out, try the `:mnesia.table_info` function, which can tell you more than you want to know. The following listing is abbreviated to focus on key results.

```
iex(1)> c("drop.ex")
[Drop]
iex(2)> Drop.setup
{:atomic,:ok}
iex(3)> :mnesia.table_info(PlanemoTable, :all)
[access_mode: :read_write,
 active_replicas: [:"nonode@nohost"],
 all_nodes: [:"nonode@nohost"],
 arity: 5,
 attributes: [:name,:gravity,:diameter,:distance_from_sun],
 ...
 ram_copies: [:"nonode@nohost"],
 record_name: :planemo,
 record_validation: {:planemo,5,:set},
 type: :set,
 size: 14,
 ...]
```

You can see which nodes are involved in the table (`nonode@nohost` is the default for the current node). `arity` in this case is the count of fields in the record, and `attributes` tells you what their names are. `ram_copies` plus the name of the current node tells you that this table is stored in memory locally. It is, as in the ETS example, of type `set`, and there are 14 records.

> By default, Mnesia will store your table in RAM only (`ram_copies`) on the current node. This is speedy, but it means the data vanishes if the node crashes. If you specify `disc_copies` (note the spelling), Mnesia will keep a copy of the database on disk, but still use RAM for speed. You can also specify `disc_only_copies`, which will be slow. Unlike ETS, the table you create will still be around if the *process* that created it crashes, and will likely survive even a node crash so long as it wasn't only in RAM on a single node. By combining these options and (eventually) multiple nodes, you should be able to create fast and resilient systems.

The table is now set up, and you can start to use it. If you're running the observer, you can take a look at the contents of your Mnesia tables as well as your ETS tables. Choose the Table Viewer tab, then, in the View menu, choose Mnesia Tables. The interface is similar to that for ETS tables.

Reading Data

Just like writes, you should wrap `:mnesia.read` calls in a `fn`, which you then pass to `:mnesia.transaction`. You can do that in the shell if you want to explore:

```
iex(5)> :mnesia.transaction(fn()->:mnesia.read(PlanemoTable, :neptune) end)
{:atomic, [{:planemo, :neptune, 11.0, 30200, 4497.1}]}
```

The result arrives as a tuple, which when successful contains `atomic` plus a list with the data from the table. The table data is packaged as a record, and you can get to its fields easily.

You can rewrite the `fall_velocity/2` function from Example 11-8 to use an Mnesia transaction instead of an ETS call. The ETS version looked like the following:

```
def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
  p = hd(:ets.lookup(:planemos, planemo))
  :math.sqrt(2 * Planemo.planemo(p, :gravity) * distance)
end
```

Line 2 of the Mnesia version is a bit different.

```
def fall_velocity(planemo, distance) when distance >= 0 do
  {:atomic, [p | _]} = :mnesia.transaction(fn() ->
    :mnesia.read(PlanemoTable, planemo) end)
  :math.sqrt(2 * Planemo.planemo(p, :gravity) * distance)
end
```

Because Mnesia returns a tuple rather than a list, this uses pattern matching to extract the first item in the list contained in the second item of the tuple (and throws away the tail of that list with _). This table is a set, so there will always be only one item there. Then the data, contained in p, can be used for the same calculation as before.

If you compile and run this, you'll see a familiar result:

```
iex(6)> c("drop.ex")
drop.ex:1: warning: redefining module Drop
[Drop]
iex(7)> Drop.fall_velocity(:earth, 20)
19.79898987322333
iex(8)> pid1 = spawn(MphDrop, :mph_drop, [])
#PID<0.115.0>
iex(9)> send(pid1, {:earth, 20})
On earth, a fall of 20 meters yields a velocity of 44.289078952755766 mph.
{:earth, 20}
```

For these purposes, the simple `:mnesia.read` is enough. You can tell Mnesia to build indexes for fields other than the key, and query those with `:mnesia.index_read` as well.

> If you want to delete records, you can run `:mnesia.delete/2`, also inside of a transaction.

Getting Started with OTP

At this point, it might seem like you have all you need to create process-oriented projects with Elixir. You know how to create useful functions, can work with recursion, know the data structures Elixir offers, and probably most important, know how to create and manage processes. What more could you need?

Process-oriented programming is great, but the details matter. The basic Elixir tools are powerful but can also bring you to frustrating mazes debugging race conditions that happen only once in a while. Mixing different programming styles can lead to incompatible expectations, and code that worked well in one environment may prove harder to integrate in another.

Ericsson encountered these problems early when developing Erlang (remember, Elixir runs on Erlang's virtual machine), and created a set of libraries that eases them. OTP, the Open Telecom Platform, is useful for pretty much any large-scale project you want to do with Elixir and Erlang, not just telecom work. It's included with Erlang, and though it isn't precisely part of the language, it is definitely part of Erlang culture. The boundaries of where Elixir and Erlang end and OTP begins aren't always clear, but the entry-point is definitely behaviors. You'll combine processes built with behaviors and managed by supervisors into an OTP application.

So far, the lifecycle of the processes shown in the previous chapters has been pretty simple. If needed, they set up other resources or processes to get started. Once running, they listen for messages and process them, collapsing if they fail. Some of them might restart a failed process if needed.

OTP formalizes those activities, and a few more, into a set of behaviors (or behaviours —this was originally created with British spelling). The most common behaviors are `GenServer` (generic server) and `Supervisor`. Through Erlang, you can use the `gen_fsm` (finite state machine) and `gen_event` behaviors. Elixir provides the Mix build tool for

creating applications so that you can package your OTP code into a single runnable (and updatable) system.

The behaviors pre-define the mechanisms you'll use to create and interact with processes, and the compiler will warn you if you're missing some of them. Your code will handle the callbacks, specifying how to respond to particular kinds of events, and you will need to decide upon a structure for your application.

> If you'd like a free one-hour video introduction to OTP, though it is Erlang-centric, see Steve Vinoski's "Erlang's Open Telecom Platform (OTP) Framework" at *http://www.infoq.com/presentations/Erlang-OTP-Behaviors*. You probably already know the first half hour or so of it, but the review is excellent. In a very different style, if you'd like an explanation of why it's worth learning OTP and process-oriented development in general, Francesco Cesarini's slides at *https://www.erlang-factory.com/upload/presentations/719/francesco-otp.pdf* work even without narration, especially the second half.

Creating Services with gen_server

Much of the work you think of as the core of a program—calculating results, storing information, and preparing replies—will fit neatly into the `GenServer` behavior. It provides a core set of methods that let you set up a process, respond to requests, end the process gracefully, and even pass state to a new process if this one needs to be upgraded in place.

Table 12-1 shows the methods you need to implement in a service that uses `GenServer`. For a simple service, the first two or three are the most important, and you may just use placeholder code for the rest.

Table 12-1. What calls and gets called in GenServer

Method	Triggered by	Does
init/1	GenServer.start_link	Sets up the process
handle_call/3	GenServer.call	Handles synchronous calls
handle_cast/2	GenServer.cast	Handles asynchronous calls
handle_info/2	random messages	Deals with non-OTP messages
terminate/2	failure or shutdown signal from supervisor	Cleans up the process
code_change/3	system libraries for code upgrades	Lets you switch out code without losing state

Example 12-1, which you can find in *ch12/ex1-drop*, shows an example that you can use to get started. It mixes a simple calculation from way back in Example 2-1 with a counter like that in Example 9-4.

Example 12-1. A simple gen_server example

```elixir
defmodule DropServer do
  use GenServer

  defModule State do
    defstruct count: 0
  end

  # This is a convenience method for startup
  def start_link do
    GenServer.start_link(__MODULE__, [], [{:name, __MODULE__}])
  end

  # These are the callbacks that GenServer.Behaviour will use
  def init([]) do
    {:ok, %State{}}
  end

  def handle_call(request, _from, state) do
    distance = request
    reply = {:ok, fall_velocity(distance)}
    new_state = %State{count: state.count + 1}
    {:reply, reply, new_state}
  end

  def handle_cast(_msg, state) do
    IO.puts("So far, calculated #{state.count} velocities.")
    {:noreply, state}
  end

  def handle_info(_info, state) do
    {:noreply, state}
  end

  def terminate(_reason, _state) do
    {:ok}
  end

  def code_change(_old_version, state, _extra) do
    {:ok, state}
  end

  # internal function
  def fall_velocity(distance) do
    :math.sqrt(2 * 9.8 * distance)
  end

end
```

The module name (DropServer) should be familiar from past examples. The second line specifies that the module is going to be using the GenServer module.

The nested `defModule` declaration should be familiar; it creates a structure that contains only one field, to keep a count of the number of calls made. Many services will have more fields, including things like database connections, references to other processes, perhaps network information, and metadata specific to this particular service. It is also possible to have services with no state, which would be represented by an empty tuple here. As you'll see further down, every single `GenServer` function will reference the state.

> The `State` structure declaration is a good example of a declaration you should make inside of a module and not declare in a separate file. It is possible that you'll want to share state models across different processes that use `GenServer`, but it's easier to see what `State` should contain if the information is right there.

The first function in the sample, `start_link/0`, is *not* one of the required `GenServer` functions. Instead, it calls Elixir's `GenServer.start_link` function to start up the process. When you're just getting started, this is useful for testing. As you move toward production code, you may find it easier to leave these out and use other mechanisms.

The `start_link/0` function uses the built-in *MODULE* declaration, which returns the name of the current module..

```
# This is a convenience method for startup
def start_link do
    GenServer.start_link(__MODULE__, [], [{:name, __MODULE__}])
end
```

The first argument is an atom (*MODULE*) that will be expanded to the name of the current module, and that will be used as the name for this process. This is followed by a list of arguments to be passed to the module's initialization procedure and a list of options. Options can specify things like debugging, timeouts, and options for spawning the process. By default, the name of the process is registered with just the local Elixir instance. Because we want it registered with all associated nodes, we have put the tuple `{:name, MODULE}` in the options list.

> You may also see a form of `GenServer.start_link` with `:via` as an atom in an option tuple. This lets you set up custom process registries, of which `gproc` is the best known. For more on that, see *https://github.com/uwiger/gproc*.

All of the remaining functions are part of `GenServer`'s behavior. `init/1` creates a new state structure instance whose `count` field is zero—no velocities have yet been calculated. The two functions that do most of the work here are `handle_call/3` and `handle_cast/`

2. For this demonstration, `handle_call/3` expects to receive a distance in meters and returns a velocity for a fall from that height on earth, while `handle_cast/2` is a trigger to report the number of velocities calculated.

`handle_call/3` makes synchronous communications between Erlang processes simple.

```
def handle_call(request, _from, state) do
  distance = request
  reply = {:ok, fall_velocity(distance)}
  new_state = %State{count: state.count + 1}
  {:reply, reply, new_state}
end
```

This extracts the `distance` from the `request`, which isn't necessary except that I wanted to leave the variable names for the function almost the same as they were in the template. (`handle_call(distance, _from, state)` would have been fine.) Your `request` is more likely to be a tuple or a list rather than a bare value, but this works for simple calls.

The function then creates a reply based on sending that `distance` to the simple `fall_velocity/1` function at the end of the module. It then creates a `new_state` containing an incremented count. Then the atom `:reply`, the `reply` tuple containing the velocity, and the `new_state` containing the updated count get passed back.

Because the calculation is really simple, treating the drop as a simple synchronous call is perfectly acceptable. For more complex situations where you can't predict how long a response might take, you may want to considering responding with a `:noreply` response and using the `_from` argument to send a response later. (There is also a `:stop` response available that will trigger the `:terminate/2` method and halt the process.)

> By default, OTP will time out any synchronous calls that take longer than five seconds to calculate. You can override this by making your call using `GenServer.call/3` to specify a timeout (in milliseconds) explicitly, or by using the atom `:infinity`.

The `handle_cast/2` function supports asynchronous communications. It isn't supposed to return anything directly, though it does report `:noreply` (or `:stop`) and updated state. In this case, it takes a very weak approach, but one that does well for a demonstration, calling `IO:puts/1` to report on the number of calls:

```
def handle_cast(_msg, state) do
  IO.puts("So far, calculated #{state.count} velocities.")
  {:noreply, state}
end
```

The state doesn't change, because asking for the number of times the process has calculated a fall velocity is not the same thing as actually calculating a fall velocity.

Until you have good reason to change them, you can leave `handle_info/2`, `terminate/2`, and `code_change/3` alone.

Making a `GenServer` process run and calling it looks a little different than starting the processes you saw in Chapter 9.

```
iex(1)> c("drop_server.ex")
[DropServer,DropServer.State]
iex(2)> DropServer.start_link()
{:ok,#PID<0.46.0>}
iex(3)> GenServer.call(DropServer, 20)
{:ok,19.79898987322333}
iex(4)> GenServer.call(DropServer, 40)
{:ok,28.0}
iex(5)> GenServer.call(DropServer, 60)
{:ok,34.292856398964496}
iex(6)> GenServer.cast(DropServer, {})
So far, calculated 3 velocities.
:ok
```

The call to `DropServer.start_link()` sets up the process and makes it available. Then, you're free to use `GenServer.call` or `GenServer.cast` to send it messages and get responses.

> While you can capture the pid, you don't have to keep it around to use the process. Because `start_link` returns a tuple, if you want to capture the pid you can do something like `{:ok, pid} = Drop.start_link()`.

Because of the way OTP calls `GenServer` functions, there's an additional bonus—or perhaps a hazard—in that you can update code on the fly. For example, I tweaked the `fall_velocity/1` function to lighten Earth's gravity a little, using 9.1 as a constant instead of 9.8. Recompiling the code and asking for a velocity returns a different answer:

```
iex(7)> c("drop_server.ex")
drop_server.ex:1: redefining module DropServer
drop_server.ex:4: redefining module DropServer.State
[DropServer,DropServer.State]
iex(8)> GenServer.call(DropServer, 60)
{:ok,33.04542328371661}
```

This can be very convenient during the development phase, but be careful doing anything like this on a production machine. OTP has other mechanisms for updating code on the fly. There is also a built-in limitation to this approach: `init` gets called only when `start_link` sets up the service. It does not get called if you recompiled the code. If your new code requires any changes to the structure of its state, your code will break the next time it's called.

A Simple Supervisor

When you started the `DropServer` module from the shell, you effectively made the shell the supervisor for the module—though the shell doesn't really do any supervision. You can break the module easily:

```
iex(9)> GenServer.call(DropServer, -60)

=ERROR REPORT==== 28-Jun-2014::08:17:52 ===
** (EXIT from #PID<0.42.0>) an exception was raised:
    ** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
        (stdlib) :math.sqrt(-1176.0)
        drop_server.ex:44: DropServer.fall_velocity/1
        drop_server.ex:20: DropServer.handle_call/3
        (stdlib) gen_server.erl:580: :gen_server.handle_msg/5
        (stdlib) proc_lib.erl:239: :proc_lib.init_p_do_apply/3

Interactive Elixir (1.0.0-rc2) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)

08:52:00.459 [error] GenServer DropServer terminating
Last message: -60
State: %DropServer.State{count: 3}
** (exit) an exception was raised:
    ** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
        (stdlib) :math.sqrt(-1176.0)
        drop_server.ex:44: DropServer.fall_velocity/1
        drop_server.ex:20: DropServer.handle_call/3
        (stdlib) gen_server.erl:580: :gen_server.handle_msg/5
        (stdlib) proc_lib.erl:239: :proc_lib.init_p_do_apply/3
```

The error message is nicely complete, even telling you the last message and the state, but when you go to call the service again, you can't, because the `IEx` shell has restarted. You can restart it with `DropServer.start_link/0` again, but you're not always going to be watching your processes personally.

Instead, you want something that can watch over your processes and make sure they restart (or not) as appropriate. OTP formalizes the process management you saw in Example 9-10 with its supervisor behavior.

A basic supervisor needs to support only one callback function, `init/1`, and can also have a `start_link` function to fire it up. The return value of that `init/1` function tells OTP which child processes your supervisor manages and how how you want to handle their failures. A supervisor for the `drop` module might look like Example 12-2, which is in *ch12/ex2-drop-sup*.

Example 12-2. A simple supervisor

```elixir
defmodule DropSup do
  use Supervisor
```

```
# convenience method for startup

def start_link do
  Supervisor.start_link(__MODULE__, [], [{:name, __MODULE__}])
end

# supervisor callback

def init([]) do
  child = [worker(DropServer, [], [])]
  supervise(child, [{:strategy, :one_for_one}, {:max_restarts, 1},
    {:max_seconds, 5}])
end

# Internal functions (none here)
end
```

The `init/1` function's job is to specify the process or processes that the supervisor is to keep track of, and specify how it should handle failure.

The `worker/3` function specifies a module that the supervisor should start, its argument list, and any options to be given to the worker's `start_link` function. In this example, there is only one child process to supervise, and the options are given as list of key/value tuples.

> You can also specify the options as a keyword list, which you would write this way:
>
> ```
> supervise(child, strategy: :one_for_one, max_restarts: 1, max_seconds: 5)
> ```

The `supervise/2` function takes the list of child processes as its first argument and a list of options as its second argument.

The `:strategy` of `:one_for_one` tells OTP that it should create a new child process every time a process that is supposed to be `:permanent` (the default) fails. You can also go with `:one_for_all`, which terminates and restarts all of the processes the supervisor oversees when one fails, or `:rest_for_one`, which restarts the process and any processes that began after the failed process had started.

> When you're ready to take more direct control of how your processes respond to their environment, you might explore working with the dynamic functions `Supervisor.start/2`, `Supervisor.termi nate_child/2`, `Supervisor.restart_child/2`, and `Supervisor.de lete_child/2`, as well as the restart strategy `:simple_one_for_one`.

The next two values define how often the worker processes can crash before terminating the supervisor itself. In this case, it's one restart every five seconds. Customizing these values lets you handle a variety of conditions but probably won't affect you much initially. (Setting :max_restarts to zero means that the supervisor will just terminate if a worker has an error.)

The supervise function takes those arguments and creates a data structure that OTP will use. By default, this is a :permanent service, so the supervisor should always restart a child when it fails. You can specify a :restart option when defining the worker if you want to change this to a different value. The supervisor can wait five seconds before shutting down the worker completely; you can change this with the :shutdown option when defining the worker. More complex OTP applications can contain trees of supervisors managing other supervisors, which themselves manage other supervisors or workers. To create a child process that is a supervisor, you use the supervisor/3 function, whose arguments are the same as those of worker/3.

OTP wants to know the dependencies so that it can help you upgrade software in place. It's all part of the magic of keeping systems running without ever bringing them to a full stop.

Now that you have a supervisor process, you can set up the drop function by just calling the supervisor. However, running a supervisor from the shell using the start_link/0 function call creates its own set of problems; the shell is itself a supervisor, and will terminate processes that report errors. After a long error report, you'll find that both your worker and the supervisor have vanished.

In practice this means that you need a way to test supervised OTP processes (that aren't yet part of an application) directly from the shell. This method explicitly breaks the bond between the shell and the supervisor process by catching the pid of the supervisor (line 2) and then using the Process.unlink/1 function to remove the link (line 3). Then you can call the process as usual with GenServer.call/2 and get answers. If you get an error (line 6), it'll be okay. The supervisor will restart the worker, and you can make new calls successfully. The calls to Process.whereis(DropServer) on lines 4 and 7 demonstrate that the supervisor has restarted DropServer with a new pid.

```
iex(1)> c("drop_sup.ex")
[DropSup]
iex(2)> {:ok, pid} = DropSup.start_link()
{:ok,#PID<0.44.0>}
iex(3)> Process.unlink(pid)
true
iex(4)> Process.whereis(DropServer)
#PID<0.45.0>
```

```
iex(5)> GenServer.call(DropServer,60)
{:ok,34.292856398964496}
iex(6)> GenServer.call(DropServer, -60)

** (exit) exited in: GenServer.call(DropServer, -60, 5000)
    ** (EXIT) an exception was raised:
        ** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
            (stdlib) :math.sqrt(-1176.0)
            drop_server.ex:44: DropServer.fall_velocity/1
            drop_server.ex:20: DropServer.handle_call/3
            (stdlib) gen_server.erl:580: :gen_server.handle_msg/5
            (stdlib) proc_lib.erl:239: :proc_lib.init_p_do_apply/3

08:59:56.886 [error] GenServer DropServer terminating
Last message: -60
State: %DropServer.State{count: 1}
** (exit) an exception was raised:
    ** (ArithmeticError) bad argument in arithmetic expression
        (stdlib) :math.sqrt(-1176.0)
        drop_server.ex:44: DropServer.fall_velocity/1
        drop_server.ex:20: DropServer.handle_call/3
        (stdlib) gen_server.erl:580: :gen_server.handle_msg/5
        (stdlib) proc_lib.erl:239: :proc_lib.init_p_do_apply/3
    (elixir) lib/gen_server.ex:356: GenServer.call/3

iex(6)> GenServer.call(DropServer, 60)
{:ok,34.292856398964496}
iex(7)> Process.whereis(DropServer)
#PID<0.46.0>
```

You can also open the Process Manager in Observer and whack away at worker processes through the Kill option on the Trace menu and watch them reappear.

This works, but it is only the tiniest taste of what supervisors can do. They can create child processes dynamically and manage their lifecycle in greater detail.

Packaging an Application with Mix

Elixir's Mix tool "provides tasks for creating, compiling, testing (and soon deploying) Elixir projects." In this section, you will use Mix to create an application for the drop supervisor and server that you have written.

Create a directory to hold your application, type mix new *name*, as in the following example:

```
$ mix new drop_app
* creating README.md
* creating .gitignore
* creating mix.exs
* creating config
* creating config/config.exs
* creating lib
* creating lib/drop_app.ex
* creating test
* creating test/test_helper.exs
* creating test/drop_app_test.exs

Your mix project was created successfully.
You can use mix to compile it, test it, and more:

    cd drop_app
    mix test

Run `mix help` for more commands.
```

> Make sure that the Elixir executable is in your $PATH variable so that Mix can find it.

Mix creates a set of files and directories for you. Change directory to the *drop_app* directory that Mix created. Then open up the `mix.exs` file in your favorite text editor.

```elixir
defmodule DropApp.Mixfile do
  use Mix.Project

  def project do
    [app: :drop_app,
     version: "0.0.1",
     elixir: "~> 1.0.0-rc2",
     deps: deps]
  end

  # Configuration for the OTP application
  #
  # Type `mix help compile.app` for more information
  def application do
    [applications: [:logger]]
  end

  # Dependencies can be Hex packages:
  #
  #   {:mydep, "~> 0.3.0"}
  #
  # Or git/path repositories:
```

```
#
#   {:mydep, git: "https://github.com/elixir-lang/mydep.git", tag: "0.1.0"}
#
# Type `mix help deps` for more examples and options
defp deps do
  []
end
end
```

The `project/0` function lets you name your application, give it a version number, and specify the dependencies for building the project.

The dependencies are returned by the `deps/0` function. The commented example says that you need to have the `mydep` project version 0.3.0 or higher, and it is available via `git` at the specified URL. In addition to `git:`, you may specify the location of a dependency as a local file (`path:`)

In this example, the application doesn't have any dependencies, so you may leave everything exactly as it is.

If you type the command `mix compile`, Mix will compile your empty project. If you look in your directory, you will see that Mix has created an `_build` directory for the compiled code.

```
$ mix compile
Compiled lib/drop_app.ex
Generated drop_app.app
$ ls
_build  config  lib  mix.exs  README.md  test
```

An empty application isn't very exciting, so copy the `drop_server.ex` and `drop_sup.ex` files that you wrote into the `lib` folder. Then start `iex -S mix`. Mix will compile the new files, and you can start using the server straightaway.

```
$ iex -S mix
Erlang/OTP 17 [erts-6.0] [source] [64-bit] [smp:2:2] [async-threads:10] [hipe] [kernel-poll:false]

Compiled lib/drop_sup.ex
Compiled lib/drop_server.ex
Generated drop_app.app
Interactive Elixir (1.0.0-rc2) - press Ctrl+C to exit (type h() ENTER for help)
iex(1)> {:ok, pid} = DropServer.start_link()
{:ok,#PID<0.60.0>}
```

The last steps you need to do are to write the application code itself and then tell Mix where everything is.

Inside `mix.exs`, change the `application/0` function to look like this:

```
def application do
  [ applications: [:logger],
    registered: [:drop_app],
```

```
        mod: {DropApp, []} ]
    end
```

The `:registered` key is a list of all the names that your application registers (in this case, just `:drop_app`), and `:mod` is a tuple that gives the name of the module to be run when the application starts up and a list of any arguments to be passed to that module. `:applications` lists any applications that your application depends on at runtime.

Here is the code that we have added to the `DropApp` Module, which is in a file named `drop_app.ex` in the *ch12/ex3-drop-app/drop_app/lib* directory.

```
defmodule DropApp do
  use Application

  def start(_type, _args) do
    IO.puts("Starting the app...") # show that app is really starting.
    DropSup.start_link()
  end
end
```

The `start/2` function is required. The first argument tells how you want the virtual machine that Elixir runs on to handle application crashes. The second argument gives the arguments that you defined in the `:mod` key. The `start/2` function should return a tuple of the form `{:ok, pid}`, which is exactly what `DropSup.start_link/0` does.

If you type `mix compile` at the command prompt, Mix will generate a file *_build/dev/lib/drop_app/ebin/drop_app.app*. (If you look at that file, you will see an Erlang tuple that contains much of the information gleaned from the files you have already created.) You may then run the application from the command line.

```
$ elixir -pa _build/dev/lib/drop_app/ebin --app drop_app
Starting the app...
```

There is much, much more to learn. OTP deserves a book or several all on its own. Hopefully this chapter provides you with enough information to try some things out and understand those books. However, the gap between what this chapter can reasonably present and what you need to know to write solid OTP-based programs is, to say the least, vast.

Using Macros to Extend Elixir

You have now learned enough Elixir to write interesting and fairly powerful programs. Sometimes, though, you need to extend the language itself in order to make your code easier to read or to implement some new functionality. Elixir's macro feature lets you do this.

Functions versus Macros

On the surface, macros look a lot like functions, except that they begin with `defmacro` instead of `def`. However, macros work very differently than functions. The best way to explain the difference is to show you Example 13-1, which is in directory *ch13/ex1-difference*.

Example 13-1. Showing the difference between function and macro calls

```elixir
defmodule Difference do

  defmacro m_test(x) do
    IO.puts("#{inspect(x)}")
    x
  end

  def f_test(x) do
    IO.puts("#{inspect(x)}")
    x
  end

end
```

In order to use a macro, you must `require` the module that it's in. Type the following in the shell:

```
iex(1)> c("difference.ex")
[Difference]
```

```
iex(2)> require Difference
[]
iex(3)> Difference.f_test(1 + 3)
4
4
iex(4)> Difference.m_test(1 + 3)
{:+,[line: 4],[1,3]}
4
```

Line 3 gives you exactly what you'd expect—Elixir evaluates 1 + 3 and passes it on to the `f_test` function, which prints the number 4 and returns the number 4 as its result.

Line 4 may be something of a surprise. Instead of an evaluated expression, the argument is a tuple that is the internal representation of the code before it is executed. The macro returns the tuple (in Elixir terms, the macro has been *expanded*) and then that tuple is passed on to Elixir to be evaluated.

> The first item in the tuple is the operator, the second item is a list of metadata about the operation, and the third item is a list of the operands.

A Simple Macro

Because `defmacro` gets the code before Elixir has had a chance to evaluate it, a macro has the power to transform the code before sending it on to Elixir for evaluation. Example 13-2 is a macro that creates code to double whatever its argument is. (This is something that could much more easily be accomplished with a function, but I need to start with something easy.) It works by manually creating the tuple that Elixir will recognize as a multiplication operation. You can find it in *ch13/ex2-double*.

Example 13-2. A manually-created macro

```
defmodule Double do

  defmacro double x do
    {:*, [], [2, x]}
  end

end
```

```
iex(1)> c("double.ex")
[Double]
iex(2)> require Double
[]
iex(3)> Double.double(3)
6
```

```
iex(4)> Double.double(3 * 7)
42
```

That works, but there must be an easier way. It would be nice if you could say, "turn this Elixir code into internal format" so that Elixir creates the tuples for you. In fact, you *can* do this by using `quote`, which takes any Elixir expression and converts it to internal format:

```
iex(5)> quote do: 1 + 3
{:+,[import: Kernel],[1,3]}
iex(6)> x = 20
20
iex(7)> quote do: 3 * x + 20
{:+,[import: Kernel],[{:*,[import: Kernel],[3,{:x,[],Elixir}]},20]}
```

As you see, `quote` takes normal Elixir code and converts it to the internal format that macros accept as input and return as their expanded result. You might be tempted to rewrite the macro from the previous example as follows:

```
defmodule Double do

  defmacro double(x) do
    quote do: 2 * x
  end

end
```

If you try this code, it won't work. The reason is that you are saying, "turn 2 * x into its tuple form," but x already *is* in tuple form, and you need a way to tell Elixir to leave it alone. Example 13-3 uses the `unquote/1` function do exactly that. (You may find this example in *ch13/ex3-double*.)

Example 13-3. Using `quote` to create a macro

```
defmodule Double do

  defmacro double(x) do
    quote do
      2 * unquote(x)
    end
  end

end
```

This says, "turn 2 * x into internal form, but don't bother converting x; it doesn't need quoting":

```
iex(8)> c("double.ex")
double.ex:1: redefining module Double
[Double]
iex(9)> require Double
[]
```

```
iex(10)> Double.double(3 * 5)
30
```

To summarize: `quote` means "Turn everything in the `do` block into internal tuple format"; `unquote` means "Do not turn this into internal format." (The terms `quote` and `unquote` come from the Lisp programming language.)

> If you `quote` an atom, number, list, string, or a tuple with two elements, you will get back the same item and not an internal format tuple.

> The most common mistake people make when writing macros is to forget to `unquote` arguments. Remember that all of a macro's arguments are already in internal format.

Creating New Logic

Macros also let you add new commands to the language. For example, if Elixir didn't already have an `unless` construct (which works as the opposite of `if`), you could add it to the language by writing the macro shown in Example 13-4, which is in *ch13/ex4-unless*.

Example 13-4. Creating a macro to implement the `unless` construct

```
defmodule Logic do

  defmacro unless(condition, options) do
    quote do
      if(!unquote(condition), unquote(options))
    end
  end

end
```

This macro takes a `condition` and `options` (in their internal form) and expands them to the internal code for an equivalent `if` statement with a reversed test for the condition. As in the previous example, the `condition` and `options` must remain in an `unquote` state, as they are already in internal form. You can test it in the shell:

```
iex(4)> c("logic.ex")
[Logic]
iex(6)> require(Logic)
nil
iex(7)> Logic.unless (4 == 5) do
```

```
...(7)>   IO.puts("arithmetic still works")
...(7)> end
arithmetic still works
:ok
```

Creating Functions Programatically

Everything in Elixir has an internal representation, even functions. This means that a macro can take data as input and output a customized function as its result.

Example 13-5 is a simple macro `create_multiplier` that takes an atom and a multiplication factor as its input. It produces a function whose name is the atom you gave, and that function will multiply *its* input by the factor.

Example 13-5. Using a macro to programmatically create a function

```
defmodule FunctionMaker do
  defmacro create_multiplier(function_name, factor) do
    quote do
      def unquote(function_name)(value) do
        unquote(factor) * value
      end
    end
  end
end
```

You now need another module to invoke the macro.

```
defmodule Multiply do
  require FunctionMaker

  FunctionMaker.create_multiplier(:double, 2)
  FunctionMaker.create_multiplier(:triple, 3)

  def example do
    x = triple(12)
    IO.puts("Twelve times 3 is #{x}")
  end

end
```

Once this is done, you can use the programmatically created functions:

```
iex(1)> c("function_maker.ex")
[FunctionMaker]
iex(2)> c("multiply.ex")
[Multiply]
iex(3)> Multiply.double(21)
42
iex(4)> Multiply.triple(54)
162
iex(5)> Multiply.example()
```

```
    Twelve times 3 is 36
    :ok
```

The entire example is in *ch13/ex5-programmatic*.

You can't define a function programmatically outside of a module or inside of a function.

You can even write a single macro that creates many different functions.If, for example, you wanted to have a separate `drop/1` function for each planemo, you could have a macro that takes a list of planemos with their gravity constants and creates those functions. Example 13-6 will create functions `mercury_drop/1`, `venus_drop/1`, etc. from a keyword list. The entire example is in *ch13/ex7-multidrop*.

Example 13-6. Creating multiple functions with a macro

```
defmodule Functionmaker do
  defmacro create_functions(planemo_list) do
    Enum.map planemo_list, fn {name, gravity} ->
      quote do
        def unquote(:"#{name}_drop")(distance) do
          :math.sqrt(2 * unquote(gravity) * distance)
        end
      end
    end
  end
end
```

Again, you need another module to invoke the macro:

```
    defmodule Drop do
      require FunctionMaker

      FunctionMaker.create_functions([{:mercury, 3.7}, {:venus, 8.9},
        {:earth, 9.8}, {:moon, 1.6}, {:mars, 3.7},
        {:jupiter, 23.1}, {:saturn, 9.0}, {:uranus, 8.7},
        {:neptune, 11.0}, {:pluto, 0.6}])

    end
```

Once compiled, the 10 new functions are available to you:

```
    iex(1)> c("function_maker.ex")
    [FunctionMaker]
    iex(2)> c("drop.ex")
    [Drop]
    iex(3)> Drop.earth_drop(20)
    19.79898987322333
```

```
iex(4)> Drop.moon_drop(20)
8.0
```

The entire example is in *ch13/ex6-multidrop*.

When (Not) to Use Macros

What you have seen so far are good examples of sample programs. Everything in this chapter could have been done more easily with simple Elixir functions. While you're learning about Elixir, go wild and experiment with macros as much as you like. When you start writing programs for general use and are tempted to write a macro, first ask, "Could I do this with a function?" If the answer is "Yes" (and it will be, most of the time), then stick with functions. Use macros only when it will make the lives of people who use your code easier.

Why, then, has this chapter made such a big tzimmes about macros, if you aren't encouraged to use them? First, Elixir itself uses macros extensively. For example, when you define a record, Elixir programatically generates the functions that let you access that record's fields. Even `def` and `defmodule` are macros!

More important, when you read other people's code, you may find that they have used macros, and the information from this chapter will help you understand what they've written. (It's sort of like learning a foreign language; there are phrases you may never have to say yourself, but you want to be able to understand them when someone says them to you.)

Sharing the Gospel of Elixir

While this concludes your introduction to Elixir, be aware that Elixir is a young language with a growing ecosystem, and there are many more features available for you to learn.

It may seem easy to argue for Elixir. The broad shift from single computers to networked and distributed systems of multiprocessor-based computing gives the Elixir/Erlang environment a tremendous advantage over practically every other environment out there. More and more of the computing world is starting to face exactly the challenges that Elixir and Erlang were built to address. Veterans of those challenges may find themselves breathing a sigh of relief because they can stop pondering toolsets that tried too hard to carry single-system approaches into a multisystem world.

At the same time, though, I'd encourage you to consider a bit of wisdom from Joe Armstrong: "New technologies have their best chance a) immediately after a disaster or b) at the start of a new project."

While it is possible you're reading this because a project you're working on has had a disaster (or you suspect it will have one soon), it's easiest to apply Elixir to new projects, preferably projects where the inevitable beginner's mistakes won't create new disasters.

Find projects that look like fun to you and that you can share within your organization or with the world. There's no better way to show off the power of a programming language and environment than to build great things with it!

An Elixir Parts Catalog

Like every language, Elixir has drawers full of parts that are fun to peruse, and there are many more available through Erlang.

These are a few of the more common ones, all represented using Elixir calling conventions. If you want much much more, see the erlang documents.

Shell Commands

You can use most Elixir functions from the shell, but the commands shown in Table A-1 are ones that are exclusive to the shell.

Table A-1. Elixir shell commands

Command	Action
`c(file)`	Compiles the specified Erlang file.
`c(file,path)`	Compiles the specified file and puts object code in the directory specified by `path`.
`ls()`	Lists files at the current location.
`ls(path)`	Lists files at the specified `path`.
`cd(Directory)`	Changes to the specified `Directory`.
`pwd()`	Gets the present working directory.
`clear()`	Clears the screen.
`h()`	Prints list of available helpers.
`h(item)`	Prints help for the specified `item`.
`l(Module)`	Loads given `Module`'s code, purging the current version.
`m()`	Lists all loaded modules.
`r(Module)`	Recompiles and reloads the given `Module`'s source file.
`v()`	Prints a list of all commands and returned values for this session.

Command	Action
v(n)	Retrieves +n+th output value from shell session.
flush()	Flushes all messages sent to the shell.

Reserved Words

There are a few Elixir terms you can't use outside of their intended context.

The Elixir compiler will wonder what you're trying to do if you use certain keywords as function names (see a list of these words in Table A-2. It will try to treat your functions as if they were code, and you can get very strange errors. After all, you should be able to have something called inlist, right?

Table A-2. Reserved words that require careful use

after	and	catch	do	else	end	false	fn	in
inbits	inlist	nil	not	or	rescue	true	when	xor

The answer is simple: use something else.

While the following aren't reserved words, there are also a few atoms commonly used in return values. It's probably best to use them only in the circumstances where they're normally expected.

Table A-3. Commonly used return-value atoms

Atom	Means
:ok	Normal exit to a method. (Does *not* mean that whatever you asked for succeeded.)
:error	Something went wrong. Typically accompanied by a larger explanation.
:undefined	A value hasn't been assigned yet. Common in record instances.
:reply	A reply is included with some kind of return value.
:noreply	No return value is included. A response of some sort may come, however, from other communication.
:stop	Used in OTP to signal that a server should stop, and triggers the terminate function.
:ignore	Returned by OTP supervisor process that can't start a child.

Operators

Table A-4. Logical (Boolean) operators

Operator	Operator	Description
and	&&	Logical and
or	||	Logical or
not	!	Unary logical not
xor	(No equivalent)	Logical xor

The logical `not` operator has the highest precedence. `and`, `&&`, `or`, and `||` are short-circuit operators. If they don't need to process all the possibilities in their arguments, they stop at the first one that gives them a definite answer.

Operators in the first column require their argument(s) to be Boolean. Operators in the second column will accept any expression, with any value that is not `false` or `nil` treated as `true`. Because of short-circuiting, the `&&` and `||` operators will return whichever value "decided" the ultimate true or false value. For example, `nil || 5` returns `5`, and `nil && 5` returns `nil`.

Table A-5. Term-comparison operators

Operator	Description
`==`	Equal to
`!=`	Not equal to
`<=`	Less than or equal to
`<`	Less than
`>=`	Greater than or equal to
`>`	Greater than
`===`	Exactly equal to
`!==`	Exactly not equal to

You can compare elements of different types in Elixir. The relationship of types from "least" to "greatest" is:

```
number < atom < reference < fn < port < pid < tuple < list < bit string
```

Within `number`, you can compare integers and floats except with the more specific `===` and `!==` operators, both of which will return `false` when you compare numbers of different types.

You can also compare tuples even when they contain different numbers of values. Elixir will go through the tuples from left to right and evaluate on the first value that returns a clear answer.

Table A-6. Arithmetic operators

Operator	Description
`+`	Unary + (positive)
`-`	Unary - (negative)
`+`	Addition
`-`	Subtraction
`*`	Multiplication
`/`	Floating-point division

To calculate integer division and integer remainder, use the `div` and `rem` functions. Thus, `div(17, 3)` yields 5, and `rem(17, 3)` yields 2.

Table A-7. Binary operators

Function	Operator	Description
bnot	~	Unary bitwise not
band	&&&	Bitwise and
bor	|||	Bitwise or
bxor	^	Arithmetic bitwise xor
bsl	<<<	Arithmetic bitshift left
bsr	>>>	Bitshift right

If you wish to use these operators and functions, your code must `use Bitwise`.

Table A-8. Operator precedence, from highest to lowest

Operator	Associativity
Unary + - ! ^ not ~~~	Not associative
=~ |> />	Right
++ -- **	Right
<>	Right
* /	Left
+ -	Left
&&& |||	Left
..	Left
in	Left
< > <= >= == === != !==	Left
and	Left
or	Left
&&	Left
||	Left
<-	Right
=	Right
inlist inbits	Left
|	Right
//	Right
when	Right
::	Right
,	Left

Operator	Associativity
`->`	Right
`do`	Left
`@`	Not associative

The highest priority operator in an expression is evaluated first. Elixir evaluates operators with the same priority by following associative paths. (Left associative operators go left to right, and right associative operators go right to left.)

Guard Components

Elixir allows only a limited subset of functions and other features in guard expressions, going well beyond a "no side effects" rule to keep a simple subset of possibilities. The list of allowed components includes the following:

- `true`
- Other constants (regarded as `false`)
- Term comparisons (Table A-5)
- Arithmetic expressions (Table A-6 and Table A-7)
- Boolean expressions and these logical operators: `and` and `or`
- The following functions: `hd/1`, `is_atom/1`, `is_binary/1`, `is_bitstring/1`, `is_boolean/1`, `is_float/1`, `is_function/1`, `is_function/2`, `is_integer/1`, `is_list/1`, `is_number/1`, `is_pid/1`, `is_port/1`, `is_record/1`, `is_record/2`, `is_reference/1`, `is_term/2`, `is_tuple/1`

Common Functions

Table A-9. Mathematical functions

Function	Use
`:math.pi/0`	The constant pi
`:math.sin/1`	Sine
`:math.cos/1`	Cosine
`:math.tan/1`	Tangent
`:math.asin/1`	Inverse sine (arcsine)
`:math.acos/1`	Inverse cosine (arcosine)
`:math.atan/1`	Inverse tangent (arctangent)
`:math.atan2/2`	Arctangent that understands quadrants

Function	Use
`:math.sinh/1`	Hyperbolic sine
`:math.cosh/1`	Hyperbolic cosine
`:math.tanh/1`	Hyperbolic tangent
`:math.asinh/1`	Hyperbolic arcsine
`:math.acosh/1`	Hyperbolic arccosine
`:math.atanh/1`	Hyperbolic arctangent
`:math.exp/1`	Exponential function
`:math.log/1`	Natural logarithm (base e)
`:math.log10/1`	Logarithm (base 10)
`:math.pow/2`	First argument to the second argument power
`:math.sqrt/1`	Square root
`:math.erf/1`	Error function
`:math.erfc/1`	Complementary error function

Arguments for all trigonometric functions are expressed in radians. To convert degrees to radians, divide by 180 and multiply by pi.

> The `erf/1` and `erfc/1` functions may not be implemented in Windows. The Erlang documentation also warns more broadly that "Not all functions are implemented on all platforms," but these come directly from the C-language libraries.

Table A-10. Approachable higher-order functions for processing collections and lists

Function	Returns	Use
`Enum.each/2`	ok	Side effects specified in function
`Enum.map/2`	New list	Apply function to list values
`Enum.filter/2`	Subset	Creating list where function returns `true`
`Enum:all?/2`	Boolean	Returns `true` if function `true` for all values, otherwise `false`
`Enum.any?/2`	Boolean	Returns `true` if function true for any values, otherwise `false`
`Enum.take_while/2`	Subset	Collects the head of the list until the function is `true`
`Enum.drop_while/2`	Subset	Deletes the head of the list until the function is `true`
`List.foldl/3`	Accumulator	Passes function list value and accumulator, forward through list
`List.foldr/3`	Accumulator	Passes function list value and accumulator, backward through list
`Enum.partition/2`	Tuple of two lists	Split list based on function

Chapter 8 describes these in greater detail.

Table A-11. Escape sequences for strings

Sequence	Produces
\"	Double quote
\'	Single quote
\\	Backslash
\b	Backspace
\d	Delete
\e	Escape
\f	Form feed
\n	Newline
\r	Carriage return
\s	Space
\t	Tab
\v	Vertical tab
\xXY	Character in hex
\x{X…}	Characters in hex, where X… is one or more hexadecimal characters
^a…^z or ^A…\^Z	Control-A to control-Z

Table A-12. String sigils

Sigil		Meaning
%c	%C	Returns a list of characters
%r	%R	Returns a regular expression
%s	%S	Returns a binary string
%w	%W	Returns a list of words

Sigils created with lowercase letters will use escaping and interpolation as usual; those created with uppercase letters will be created exactly as written, with no escaping or interpolation.

Datatypes for Documentation and Analysis

Table A-13. Basic datatypes for @spec and ExDoc

atom()	binary()	float()	fun()	integer()	list()	tuple()
union()	node()	number()	String.t()	char()	byte()	[] (nil)
any()	none()	pid()	port()	reference()		

The type `String.t()` is used for Elixir binaries; the type `string()` is used for Erlang's strings stored as a list of characters. For more, see the user manual.

Generating Documentation with ExDoc

In Chapter 2, you learned how to add documentation to your programs. The ExDoc tool takes that documentation and produces nicely formatted reference documentation in web page format. ExDoc works in conjunction with Mix, a tool for creating, compiling, and testing projects. You can find out more about Mix in Chapter 12.

Using ExDoc with mix

The easiest way to create documentation is to create a project using the `mix` tool, using a command of the form:

```
mix new _project_name_
```

Here is what it looks like when creating the documentation for the code in Example 2-4.

```
$ mix new combined
* creating README.md
* creating .gitignore
* creating mix.exs
* creating lib
* creating lib/combined.ex
* creating test
* creating test/test_helper.exs
* creating test/combined_test.exs

Your mix project was created successfully.
You can use mix to compile it, test it, and more:

    cd combined
    mix test

Run `mix help` for more commands.
```

Change to the *combined* directory and put all of your source files (for this example, *combined.ex*, *drop.ex*, and *convert.ex*) into the *lib* directory. The *combined.ex* file you have written before will replace the one in that mix created for you in the *lib* directory.

Now edit the file *mix.exs* so that the deps function reads as follows:

```
def deps do
  [{:ex_doc, github: "elixir-lang/ex_doc}]
end
```

Typing `mix deps.get` will install ExDoc in a directory named deps. You can now compile all the Elixir files at one go using `mix`:

```
$ mix compile
==> ex_doc
Compiled lib/ex_doc/cli.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/markdown.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/markdown/hoedown.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/markdown/pandoc.ex
Compiled lib/mix/tasks/docs.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/retriever.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/formatter/html/autolink.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/formatter/html.ex
Compiled lib/ex_doc/formatter/html/templates.ex
Generated ex_doc.app
==> combined
Compiled lib/convert.ex
Compiled lib/drop.ex
Compiled lib/combined.ex
Generated combined.app
```

You can then generate the documentation with `mix docs`. If you have a markdown processor installed, it should all be smooth sailing. If you don't have markdown installed (I didn't have it on my Linux system) you might get an error message like this:

```
** (RuntimeError) Could not find a markdown processor to be used on ex_doc.
You can either:

1. Add {:markdown, github: "devinus/markdown"} to your mix.exs deps
2. Ensure pandoc (http://johnmacfarlane.net/pandoc) is available in your system
```

In my case, the first option seemed simpler, so I changed function deps in *mix.exs* to:

```
defp deps do
  [ {:ex_doc, github: "elixir-lang/ex_doc"},
    {:markdown, github: "devinus/markdown"}]
end
```

I then did another `mix deps.get`:

```
* Getting markdown (git://github.com/devinus/markdown.git)
Cloning into '/Users/code/ex6-docs/combined/deps/markdown'...
```

```
remote: Reusing existing pack: 83, done.
remote: Total 83 (delta 0), reused 0 (delta 0)
Receiving objects: 100% (83/83), 12.52 KiB | 0 bytes/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (34/34), done.
Checking connectivity... done.
* Getting hoedown (git://github.com/hoedown/hoedown.git)
Cloning into '/Users/code/ex6-docs/combined/deps/hoedown'...
remote: Counting objects: 1869, done.
remote: Compressing objects: 100% (805/805), done.
remote: Total 1869 (delta 1050), reused 1869 (delta 1050)
Receiving objects: 100% (1869/1869), 504.60 KiB | 481.00 KiB/s, done.
Resolving deltas: 100% (1050/1050), done.
Checking connectivity... done.
```

Then I re-did the `mix docs`, which caused the markdown processor to be compiled with
the C compiler, recompiled the Elixir files, and finally created the documents. The fol-
lowing is the output without the compiler messages:

```
Compiled lib/markdown.ex
Generated markdown.app
==> combined
Compiled lib/convert.ex
Compiled lib/combined.ex
Compiled lib/drop.ex
Generated combined.app
%{green}Docs successfully generated.
%{green}View them at "docs/index.html".
```

Sure enough, listing the directory will now show a *docs* directory that contains an
index.html file. The result will look like .

Figure B-1. Example of web page produced by ExDoc

Index

Symbols

#{} interpolation, 52
& argument notation, 88
& capture operator, 13
++ list concatentation operator, 62
. record value extraction, 133
.exs, 15
<> operator, 52
== equality operator, 53
=== strict equality operator, 53
@doc, 22
@moduledoc, 23
@spec, 23
| list constructor operator, 63, 66

A

after clause in rescue, 122
ampersand notation, 88
arguments, 11
    ampersand notation, 88
    default values, 20
    non-essential, 31
arithmetic operators, 4, 177
arity, 16
assert, 127
assert_in_delta, 128
assert_raise, 129

B

atoms, 25
    boolean, 27
    pattern matching with, 26

bag collection (ETS), 137
BEAM (Bogdan's Erlang Abstract Machine), 16
behaviours, 153
    GenServer, 153
    state, 156
    Supervisor, 153, 159
binary operators, 178
binding to variables
    case, cond, if constructs, with, 42
binding variables, 8
Bogdan's Erlang Abstract Machine (see BEAM)
boolean operators, 177
booleans, 27

C

c character list sigil, 55
call (GenServer), 157
callbacks in GenServer, 156
capture operator, 13, 16
caret, 27
case construct, 37
    with guards, 39
    return value, 38

We'd like to hear your suggestions for improving our indexes. Send email to index@oreilly.com.

About the Authors

Simon St. Laurent is a web developer, network administrator, computer book author, and XML troublemaker living in Ithaca, NY. His books include *XML: A Primer*, *XML Elements of Style*, *Building XML Applications*, *Cookies*, and *Sharing Bandwidth*. He is a contributing editor to *XMLhack.com* and an occasional contributor to *XML.com*.

J. David Eisenberg is a programmer and instructor living in San Jose, California. David has a talent for teaching and explaining. He has developed courses for HTML and CSS, JavaScript, XML, and Perl. He also teaches computer and information technology courses at Evergreen Valley College in San Jose. He has also developed online courses providing introductory tutorials for Korean, Modern Greek, and Russian. David has been developing education software since 1975, when he worked with the Modern Foreign Language project at the University of Illinois to developer computer-assisted instruction on the PLATO system. He is co-author of *SVG Essentials* (O'Reilly). When not programming, David enjoys digital photography, caring for a feral cat colony at work, and riding his bicycle.

Colophon

The animal on the cover of *Introducing Elixir* is a four-horned antelope (*Tetracerus quadricornis*) found in India and Nepal. Also called *chousingha*, these antelope are the smallest of Asian bovids, standing at 22 to 25 inches at the shoulder and weighing from 37 to 49 pounds. They have a slender build with thin legs and short tails, and a yellow-brown or reddish coat that fades to white on its underbelly and inner legs. They have a black stripe of hair that runs down each leg as well. The antelope's most distinctive features are the four horns seen on males: two between the ears, which grow in at just a few months' age, and two on the forehead, which grow in after 10 to 14 months. The front pair can reach up to 1.97 inches whereas the hind pair can grow to 3.9 inches in length.

Four-horned antelope tend to live near a water supply and in areas with significant vegetation cover, such as from tall grass or heavy undergrowth. They are generally solitary animals, occasionally found in groups of up to four, and they tend to avoid human-inhabited areas. During mating season—May to July—males can become aggressive toward other males. Gestation lasts around eight months, and usually results in one or two young, which remain with their mothers for about a year, reaching sexual maturity at two years.

The antelope communicate through alarm calls, which sound like a husky "phronk," and through scent marking (leaving piles of droppings to mark their territory or using large scent glands in front of their eyes to mark vegetation).

Because they live in such a densely populated area of the world, the four-horned antelope's natural habitat is threatened by agricultural development. This species is listed as

Vulnerable by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) because of habitat loss. They have also become a target for trophy hunters who seek their unusual horned skull. There are estimated to be around only 10,000 individuals of this species left in the wild; many are being protected in animal conservatories. The four-horned antelope is protected under the Indian Wildlife Protection Act.

The cover image is from *Wood's Animate Creation*. The cover fonts are URW Typewriter and Guardian Sans. The text font is Adobe Minion Pro; the heading font is Adobe Myriad Condensed; and the code font is Dalton Maag's Ubuntu Mono.