

Measure Theory Notes

Contents

Chapter 2E: Convergence of Measurable Functions	1
(2.82) Definition: Pointwise and Uniform Convergence	1
(2.83) Example: Pointwise but Not Uniform	1
(2.84) Result: Uniform Limit of Continuous Functions is Continuous	1
(2.85) Egorov's Theorem	2
(2.88) Definition: Simple Functions	2
(2.89) Result: Approximation by Simple Functions	2
(2.91) Lusin's Theorem	2
(2.92) Result: Continuous Extension of Continuous Functions	2
(2.93) Lusin's Theorem: Second Version (on a Set E)	2
(2.94) Definition: Lebesgue Measurable Function	3
(2.95) Result: Lebesgue Measurable \Rightarrow Almost Borel Measurable	3
Exercises 2E (selected)	3
Exercises 2E (continued)	5
Chapter 3A: Integration with Respect to a Measure	7
Definition: \mathcal{S} -partition	7
Definition: Lower Lebesgue sum	7
Definition: Integral of a nonnegative measurable function	7
Interpretation via simple-function approximation	7
(3.4) Definition/Fact: Integral of a characteristic function	8
Example: Counting measure gives summation	8
(3.7) Result: Integral of a simple function	8
(3.8) Result: Integration is order-preserving	8
(3.9) Restatement: Supremum over simple functions dominated by f	8
(3.11) Result: Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)	8
(3.13) Result: “Integral-type” sums for simple functions	9
(3.15) Result: Integral of a linear combination of characteristic functions	9
(3.16) Result: Additivity of integration (nonnegative functions)	9
(3.17) Definition: Positive and negative parts	10
(3.18) Definition: Integral of a real-valued (extended) measurable function	10
(3.20) Result: Integration is homogeneous	10
(3.21) Result: Additivity for integrable functions	10
(3.22) Result: Integration is order-preserving	10
(3.23) Result: Absolute value inequality	10
Exercises 3A (selected)	11
Exercises 3A (continued)	12

Chapter 3B: Limits of Integrals and Integrals of Limits	14
Section 3B: Almost everywhere and dominated convergence	15
Lebesgue vs. Riemann; notation	17
L^1 and approximation	17
Exercises 3B (continued)	19
Chapter 4: Differentiation	21
Section 4A: Hardy–Littlewood Maximal Function	21
Section 4B: Derivatives of Integrals	23
Exercises 4B (continued)	25
Chapter 5: Product Measure	26
Section 5A: Products of Measure Spaces	26
Section 5A (continued)	27
Chapter 5, Section 5B: Iterated Integrals	31
Exercises 5B (selected)	32
Section 5C (continued): Lebesgue Integration on \mathbb{R}^n	33
Section 5C (continued)	34
Exercises 5C (continued)	35
 Chapter 6: Banach Spaces	 36
(6.2) Definition: Metric space	36
(6.3) Definition: Open ball (and closed ball)	37
(6.6)–(6.9) Definitions: Closed set, closure, limit	37
(6.9) Result: Properties/characterizations of closure	37
Section 6A (continued): Continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness	38
(6.10) Definition: Continuity	38
(6.11) Equivalent conditions for continuity	38
(6.12) Definition: Cauchy sequence	38
(6.13) Result: Every convergent sequence is Cauchy	38
(6.14) Definition: Complete metric space	38
(6.16) Result: Connection between “complete” and “closed”	38
Section 6B: Vector Spaces (continued)	39
Section 6C: Normed Vector Spaces	40
Section 6D: Linear Functionals	43
Section 6E: Consequences of Baire’s Theorem	46
Chapter 7: ℓ^p -spaces	47
Section 7A: $L^p(\mu)$	47
Section 7B: $L^p(\mu)$	51
Chapter 8: Hilbert Spaces	55
Section 8A: Inner Product Spaces	55
Section 8B: Orthogonality	60
Section 8C: Orthonormal Bases	64
Exercises 8C	67
Continued Exercises 8C	68
(24) The Dirichlet space on \mathbb{D}	70
Chapter 9: Real and Complex Measures	72

Chapter 10: Linear Maps on Hilbert Spaces	80
10A: Adjoints and Invertibility	80
Continued – 10A	82
Exercises – 10A	84
Section 10B – Spectrum	85
Continued – 10B	86
Exercises 10C	93
Section 10D: Spectral Theorem for Compact Operators	96
Continued 10D	97
Exercises 10D	98
11A. Fourier Series and Poisson Integral	102
11B. Fourier Series and L^p on the Unit Circle	112
Chapter IIC: Fourier Transform	116
Conventions	116
(II.47) Definition: Fourier transform	116
(II.48) Result: Riemann–Lebesgue lemma	116
(II.50) Result: Derivative of a Fourier transform	116
(II.54) Result: Fourier transform of a derivative	117
(II.56) Result: Translations, modulations, and dilations	117
Example (Fourier transform of a “rotated” exponential)	117
(II.58) Result: Integral of a function times a Fourier transform	117
(II.63) Definition: Convolution on \mathbb{R}	117
(II.64) Result: L^p -convolution estimate (Young’s inequality, special case)	118
(II.65) Result: Commutativity	118
(II.66) Result: Fourier transform of a convolution	118
(II.67) Definition: Upper half-plane	118
(II.68) Definition: Poisson kernel	118
(II.70) Definition: Poisson integral $P_y f$	118
(II.71) Result: Uniform approximation (bounded uniformly continuous case)	118
(II.72) Result: Poisson integral is harmonic	119
(II.73) Result: Dirichlet problem on the upper half-plane	119
(II.74) Result: L^p convergence	119
(II.76) Result: Fourier inversion formula	119
(II.80) Result: Functions are determined by their Fourier transforms	119
(II.81) Result: Convolution is associative	120
(II.82) Result: Plancherel theorem	120
(II.85) Definition: Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$	120
(II.87) Properties of \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$	120
Exercises IIC	120
Chapter 12: Probability Measures	121
(12.1) Definition: Probability measure	121
(12.3) Definition: Indicator function	121
(12.4) Definition: Almost surely	121
(12.6) Result: Borel–Cantelli Lemma (I)	121
(12.7) Definition: Independent events	122

(12.9) Example: Independence in a product space	122
(12.10) Result: Borel–Cantelli Lemma (II) (Reverse, under independence)	122
(12.13) Definition: Random variable; expectation	122
(12.14) Definition: Independent random variables	123
(12.16) Result: Functions of independent r.v.’s are independent	123
(12.17) Result: Expectation of the product of independent r.v.’s	123
(12.19) Definition: Variance and standard deviation	123
(12.20) Result: Variance formula	123
(12.21) Result: Chebyshev’s inequality	123
(12.22) Result: Variance of a sum of independent r.v.’s	124
(12.23) Definition: Conditional probability	124
(12.24) Result: Bayes’ theorem (V1)	124
(12.25) Result: Bayes’ theorem (V2)	124
(12.27) Definition: Distribution and distribution function	124
(12.29) Result: Characterization of distribution functions	124
(12.32) Definition: Density function	125
(12.33) Result: Mean and variance from a density	125
(12.35) Definition: Identically distributed; i.i.d.	125
(12.38) Result: Weak Law of Large Numbers	125

Chapter 2E: Convergence of Measurable Functions

(2.82) Definition: Pointwise and Uniform Convergence

Let X be a set, and let f_1, f_2, \dots be a sequence of functions $f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1 (Pointwise convergence). We say $f_k \rightarrow f$ pointwise on X if for every $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = f(x).$$

Definition 2 (Uniform convergence). We say $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|f_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } k \geq n \text{ and all } x \in X.$$

(2.83) Example: Pointwise but Not Uniform

Define $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \neq 0, \\ 2, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define $f_k : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \geq \frac{1}{k}, \\ 2 - k|x|, & |x| < \frac{1}{k}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ pointwise on $[-1, 1]$:

- If $x \neq 0$, choose K so that $1/K < |x|$. For $k \geq K$, we have $|x| \geq 1/k$, hence $f_k(x) = 1 = f(x)$.

- If $x = 0$, then $f_k(0) = 2 = f(0)$ for all k .

But the convergence is *not* uniform. Intuitively, each f_k has a narrow “spike” near 0 that never disappears uniformly; more formally, for any k and any very small $x \neq 0$ with $|x| < 1/k$, we have $f(x) = 1$ while $f_k(x)$ can be arbitrarily close to 2, so $\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |f_k(x) - f(x)|$ does not go to 0.

(2.84) Result: Uniform Limit of Continuous Functions is Continuous

Proposition 1. Suppose $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of functions $f_k : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that converges uniformly on B to a function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Fix $b \in B$. If each f_k is continuous at b , then f is continuous at b .

(2.85) Egorov's Theorem

Theorem 1 (Egorov). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$. Suppose f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $f_k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that converges pointwise on X to a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a set $E \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mu(X \setminus E) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad f_k \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on } E.$$

(2.88) Definition: Simple Functions

Definition 3. A function is called *simple* if it takes on only finitely many values.

(2.89) Result: Approximation by Simple Functions

Proposition 2 (Approximation by simple functions). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measure space and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable. Then there exists a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that:

1. Each f_k is a simple, \mathcal{S} -measurable function.
2. $f_k(x) \leq f_{k+1}(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in X$.
3. $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in X$.
4. $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X iff f is bounded.

(2.91) Lusin's Theorem

Theorem 2 (Lusin). Suppose $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel measurable function. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a closed set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m(\mathbb{R} \setminus F) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad g|_F \text{ is continuous on } F,$$

where m denotes Lebesgue measure.

(2.92) Result: Continuous Extension of Continuous Functions

Proposition 3 (Continuous extension from a closed set). Every continuous function on a closed subset of \mathbb{R} can be extended to a continuous function on all of \mathbb{R} .

More precisely: if $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ is closed and $g : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then there exists a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h|_F = g$.

(2.93) Lusin's Theorem: Second Version (on a Set E)

Theorem 3 (Lusin, restricted to a measurable set). *Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel measurable function. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist*

- a closed set $F \subset E$, and
- a continuous function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

such that

$$m(E \setminus F) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad h|_F = g|_F,$$

where m denotes Lebesgue measure.

Interpretation: a Borel measurable function can be modified on a set of arbitrarily small Lebesgue measure to agree with a continuous function (on a large closed subset of its domain).

(2.94) Definition: Lebesgue Measurable Function

Definition 4 (Lebesgue measurability). Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *Lebesgue measurable* if $f^{-1}(B)$ is a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} for every Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}$.

- If $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable, then A is Lebesgue measurable since $A = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.
- If A is Lebesgue measurable and \mathcal{S} denotes the σ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of A , then the definition above is the standard definition of \mathcal{S} -measurability.

(2.95) Result: Lebesgue Measurable \Rightarrow Almost Borel Measurable

Proposition 4 (Equal a.e. to a Borel measurable function). *Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable. Then there exists a Borel measurable function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$m(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \neq f(x)\}) = 0.$$

Exercises 2E (selected)

(2) If X is finite, pointwise convergence implies uniform convergence.

Suppose X is finite and $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x \in X$, choose $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq N_x.$$

Since X is finite, $N := \max_{x \in X} N_x$ exists. Then for all $n \geq N$ and all $x \in X$,

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

so $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on X .

(3) Continuous $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converging pointwise to an unbounded function.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}}, & |x - \frac{1}{2}| \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\ n^2(x - \frac{1}{2}), & |x - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

At the junction points $x = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{n}$, both formulas give $\pm n$, so f_n is continuous on $[0, 1]$. For $x \neq \frac{1}{2}$, eventually $|x - \frac{1}{2}| \geq 1/n$, hence $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}}$ for all large n . Also $f_n(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ for all n . Therefore $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x - \frac{1}{2}}, & x \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0, & x = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and f is not bounded on $[0, 1]$ (it blows up near $x = \frac{1}{2}$).

(5) **Egorov can fail if $\mu(X) = \infty$.**

On $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}, m)$ with Lebesgue measure $m(\mathbb{R}) = \infty$, let

$$f_n = \mathbf{1}_{(-n, n)}.$$

Then $f_n(x) \rightarrow 1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so the pointwise (hence a.e.) limit is $f \equiv 1$.

Take any measurable $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $m(\mathbb{R} \setminus E) < \varepsilon$. Then E must be unbounded, so for each n there exists $x \in E$ with $|x| \geq n$, hence $f_n(x) = 0$ while $f(x) = 1$. Therefore

$$\sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 1 \quad \text{for all } n,$$

so $f_n \not\rightarrow f$ uniformly on E . Thus the ‘‘almost uniform’’ conclusion of Egorov’s theorem can fail without the hypothesis $m(X) < \infty$.

(6) **If $f_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$ pointwise and $\mu(X) < \infty$, then $f_n \rightarrow \infty$ almost uniformly.**

Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) satisfy $\mu(X) < \infty$, and let f_1, f_2, \dots be \mathcal{S} -measurable with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in X$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the truncations

$$f_n^{(m)}(x) := \min\{f_n(x), m\}.$$

Each $f_n^{(m)}$ is measurable and bounded, and since $f_n(x) \rightarrow \infty$, we have $f_n^{(m)}(x) \rightarrow m$ pointwise.

Apply Egorov’s theorem (using $\mu(X) < \infty$) to the sequence $\{f_n^{(m)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each fixed m : there exists $E_m \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mu(X \setminus E_m) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n^{(m)} \rightarrow m \text{ uniformly on } E_m.$$

Hence there exists N_m such that for all $n \geq N_m$,

$$\sup_{x \in E_m} |f_n^{(m)}(x) - m| < \frac{1}{2},$$

so for all $n \geq N_m$ and $x \in E_m$, we have $f_n^{(m)}(x) > m - \frac{1}{2}$, which implies $f_n(x) > m - \frac{1}{2}$.

Now set

$$E := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m.$$

Then

$$\mu(X \setminus E) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(X \setminus E_m) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m} = \varepsilon.$$

Finally, to see uniform divergence on E : given $T > 0$, choose m with $m - \frac{1}{2} \geq T$ and let $N := N_m$. For all $n \geq N$ and all $x \in E \subset E_m$, we get

$$f_n(x) > m - \frac{1}{2} \geq T.$$

Thus for every $T > 0$ there exists N such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n(x) \geq T$ for all $x \in E$, i.e. $f_n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on E .

Exercises 2E (continued)

- (8) **An “Egorov”-type statement on $(\mathbb{Z}^+, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+), \mu)$ with $\mu(E) = \sum_{n \in E} 2^{-n}$.**

Let μ be the measure on $(\mathbb{Z}^+, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+))$ defined by

$$\mu(E) := \sum_{n \in E} 2^{-n}.$$

Claim: for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a set $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $\mu(\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus E) \leq \varepsilon$ such that *every* pointwise convergent sequence $f_1, f_2, \dots : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ converges uniformly on E .

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$, and set

$$E := \{1, 2, \dots, N\}.$$

Then

$$\mu(\mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus E) = \sum_{n > N} 2^{-n} = 2^{-N} < \varepsilon.$$

Now let $f_k : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any sequence with $f_k \rightarrow f$ pointwise on \mathbb{Z}^+ . Since E is finite, given $\delta > 0$ and each $m \in E$ there exists K_m such that

$$|f_k(m) - f(m)| < \delta \quad \text{for all } k \geq K_m.$$

Let $K := \max_{m \in E} K_m$. Then for all $k \geq K$ and all $m \in E$,

$$|f_k(m) - f(m)| < \delta,$$

i.e. $\sup_{m \in E} |f_k(m) - f(m)| < \delta$, so $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E . \square

- (9) **Continuity on a finite union of disjoint closed sets.**

Let F_1, \dots, F_n be pairwise disjoint closed subsets of \mathbb{R} , and set $U := \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$. Suppose $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies that $g|_{F_k}$ is continuous on F_k for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then g is continuous on U (with the subspace topology).

Proof. Fix $x \in U$, and choose m such that $x \in F_m$. For each $k \neq m$, since F_k is closed and $x \notin F_k$, we have $\text{dist}(x, F_k) > 0$. Because there are only finitely many such k , the minimum

$$\delta_0 := \min_{k \neq m} \text{dist}(x, F_k) > 0$$

is positive. Hence

$$(x - \delta_0/2, x + \delta_0/2) \cap U \subset F_m.$$

Now use continuity of $g|_{F_m}$ at x : given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$y \in F_m, |y - x| < \delta_1 \implies |g(y) - g(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta := \min\{\delta_0/2, \delta_1\}$. If $y \in U$ and $|y - x| < \delta$, then $y \in F_m$ and therefore $|g(y) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$. Thus g is continuous at x , and since x was arbitrary, g is continuous on U . \square

(14) A function finite on a set of infinite measure.

Suppose b_1, b_2, \dots is a sequence of real numbers. Define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k |x - b_k|}, & x \notin \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}, \\ \infty, & x \in \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq 1\}$ has infinite Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Fix a constant $c > 1$ (e.g. $c = 2$) and set $r_k := c 2^{-k}$. Let

$$U := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k - r_k, b_k + r_k).$$

Then

$$|U| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(b_k - r_k, b_k + r_k)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2r_k = 2c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 2c < \infty,$$

so $|\mathbb{R} \setminus U| = \infty$.

If $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus U$, then $|x - b_k| \geq r_k$ for every k , hence

$$f(x) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k r_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k \cdot c 2^{-k}} = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = \frac{1}{c} \leq 1.$$

Therefore $\mathbb{R} \setminus U \subset \{x : f(x) \leq 1\}$, and since $|\mathbb{R} \setminus U| = \infty$, we conclude

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq 1\}| = \infty.$$

\square

(15) Lebesgue measurable on a Borel set is a.e. equal to a Borel measurable function.

Suppose B is a Borel set and $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable. Show there exists a Borel measurable function $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$m(\{x \in B : g(x) \neq f(x)\}) = 0.$$

Proof sketch (regularity construction). For each $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, set $A_q := \{x \in B : f(x) < q\}$. Each A_q is Lebesgue measurable (as a subset of \mathbb{R}). By regularity of Lebesgue measure, choose a Borel set $E_q \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$A_q \subset E_q \quad \text{and} \quad m(E_q \setminus A_q) = 0.$$

Replace E_q by

$$\tilde{E}_q := \bigcap_{\substack{r \in \mathbb{Q} \\ r > q}} E_r$$

to ensure monotonicity ($q < r \Rightarrow \tilde{E}_q \subset \tilde{E}_r$); still $m(\tilde{E}_q \Delta A_q) = 0$.

Define $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) := \inf\{q \in \mathbb{Q} : x \in \tilde{E}_q \cap B\}.$$

Then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{x \in B : g(x) < \alpha\} = \bigcup_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{Q} \\ q < \alpha}} (\tilde{E}_q \cap B),$$

which is a Borel subset of B , so g is Borel measurable.

Let $N := \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} (\tilde{E}_q \Delta A_q)$. Then $m(N) = 0$. If $x \in B \setminus N$, then for every $q \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$x \in \tilde{E}_q \iff x \in A_q \iff f(x) < q,$$

hence $g(x) = \inf\{q \in \mathbb{Q} : f(x) < q\} = f(x)$. Therefore $g = f$ a.e. on B . \square

Chapter 3A: Integration with Respect to a Measure

Definition: \mathcal{S} -partition

Let \mathcal{S} be a σ -algebra on a set X . An \mathcal{S} -partition of X is a finite collection $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{S}$ of pairwise disjoint sets such that

$$A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m = X.$$

Definition: Lower Lebesgue sum

Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, and $P = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ is an \mathcal{S} -partition of X . The *lower Lebesgue sum* is

$$L(f, P) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j) \inf_{x \in A_j} f(x).$$

Definition: Integral of a nonnegative measurable function

If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, define

$$\int f d\mu := \sup\{L(f, P) : P \text{ is an } \mathcal{S}\text{-partition of } X\}.$$

Interpretation via simple-function approximation

For a partition $P = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$, define the (simple) step function

$$s_P(x) := \sum_{j=1}^m (\inf_{A_j} f) \chi_{A_j}(x).$$

Then s_P is \mathcal{S} -measurable, $0 \leq s_P \leq f$, and

$$\int s_P d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j) \inf_{A_j} f = L(f, P).$$

Taking the supremum over partitions corresponds to taking the best approximation from below.

(3.4) Definition/Fact: Integral of a characteristic function

If $E \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$\int \chi_E d\mu = \mu(E).$$

Example: Counting measure gives summation

If μ is counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ and $b_1, b_2, \dots \geq 0$, view b as a function $b : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $b(k) = b_k$. Then

$$\int b d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k.$$

(3.7) Result: Integral of a simple function

If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{S}$ are disjoint, and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\int \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k} \right) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu(E_k).$$

(Proof idea: one direction uses the partition $\{E_1, \dots, E_n, X \setminus \cup_k E_k\}$; the other direction compares $L(\cdot, P)$ for an arbitrary partition P to the weighted sum $\sum_k c_k \mu(E_k)$.)

(3.8) Result: Integration is order-preserving

If $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ are \mathcal{S} -measurable and $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in X$, then

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu.$$

(3.9) Restatement: Supremum over simple functions dominated by f

If $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, then

$$\int f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu(A_j) : \begin{array}{l} A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{S} \text{ disjoint, } c_1, \dots, c_m \in [0, \infty), \\ \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j}(x) \leq f(x) \text{ for all } x \in X \end{array} \right\}.$$

(Used later for the Monotone Convergence Theorem.)

(3.11) Result: Monotone Convergence Theorem (MCT)

Theorem 4 (Monotone Convergence). *Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$ is an increasing sequence of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions. Define $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by*

$$f(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x).$$

Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

Proof (standard). Since $f_k \leq f$, we have $\int f_k d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$ for all k , hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \leq \int f d\mu$.

For the reverse inequality, let $s = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \chi_{A_j}$ be any nonnegative simple function with $s \leq f$. Fix $t \in (0, 1)$ and define

$$E_k := \{x \in X : f_k(x) \geq t s(x)\}.$$

Then $E_k \in \mathcal{S}$, $E_k \uparrow X$, and for each k we have $f_k \geq t s \chi_{E_k}$. Therefore,

$$\int f_k d\mu \geq t \int s \chi_{E_k} d\mu = t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu(A_j \cap E_k).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\mu(A_j \cap E_k) \uparrow \mu(A_j)$ gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \geq t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mu(A_j) = t \int s d\mu.$$

Now let $t \uparrow 1$ to obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \geq \int s d\mu$. Finally, take the supremum over all such simple $s \leq f$ (by the definition/restatement of $\int f d\mu$) to get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \geq \int f d\mu$. \square

(3.13) Result: “Integral-type” sums for simple functions

Proposition 5 (Consistency across representations). *Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space. If*

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \chi_{A_j} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \chi_{B_k} \quad (\text{pointwise on } X),$$

where $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a_j, b_k \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mu(A_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu(B_k).$$

Remark. A representation of a simple function $h : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k}$ is not unique. Imposing that the coefficients c_k are distinct and that the sets E_k are nonempty, pairwise disjoint, and satisfy $E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_n = X$ yields the *standard representation*. The proposition says every representation yields the same “integral-type” sum, so the integral of a simple function is well-defined.

(3.15) Result: Integral of a linear combination of characteristic functions

If $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathcal{S}$ are pairwise disjoint and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\int \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k \chi_{E_k} \right) d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \mu(E_k).$$

(If the E_k are not disjoint, first refine to a disjoint partition by taking intersections of E_k and complements.)

(3.16) Result: Additivity of integration (nonnegative functions)

Proposition 6 (Additivity for $f, g \geq 0$). *Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be \mathcal{S} -measurable. Then*

$$\int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu.$$

(3.17) Definition: Positive and negative parts

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is a function. Define $f^+, f^- : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$f^+(x) := \max\{f(x), 0\}, \quad f^-(x) := \max\{-f(x), 0\}.$$

Then

$$f = f^+ - f^-, \quad |f| = f^+ + f^-.$$

(3.18) Definition: Integral of a real-valued (extended) measurable function

Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be \mathcal{S} -measurable. Assume at least one of $\int f^+ d\mu$ or $\int f^- d\mu$ is finite (equivalently, not both are $+\infty$). Define

$$\int f d\mu := \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu.$$

Notes:

- If $f \geq 0$, then $f^- = 0$ and this reduces to the nonnegative definition.
- $\int |f| d\mu < \infty \iff \int f^+ d\mu < \infty$ and $\int f^- d\mu < \infty$.

(3.20) Result: Integration is homogeneous

If $\int f d\mu$ is defined and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then (when the RHS is defined)

$$\int (cf) d\mu = c \int f d\mu.$$

(For $c \geq 0$ this is immediate from $(cf)^\pm = cf^\pm$; extend to $c < 0$ using $(cf)^+ = (-c)f^-$ and $(cf)^- = (-c)f^+$.)

(3.21) Result: Additivity for integrable functions

If f, g are \mathcal{S} -measurable and $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$, $\int |g| d\mu < \infty$, then

$$\int (f + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu.$$

(3.22) Result: Integration is order-preserving

If f, g are \mathcal{S} -measurable, $f \leq g$ pointwise, and $\int f d\mu, \int g d\mu$ are defined (e.g. both integrable), then

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu.$$

(3.23) Result: Absolute value inequality

If $\int f d\mu$ is defined, then

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu.$$

(Proof idea: $\int f = \int f^+ - \int f^-$ and $\int |f| = \int f^+ + \int f^-$.)

Exercises 3A (selected)

- (2) **Dirac measure.** Suppose X is a set, \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra on X , and $c \in X$. Define the Dirac measure δ_c on (X, \mathcal{S}) by

$$\delta_c(E) := \begin{cases} 1, & c \in E, \\ 0, & c \notin E, \end{cases} \quad E \in \mathcal{S}$$

(note: $\{c\}$ need not be in \mathcal{S}). Prove that if $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, then

$$\int f d\delta_c = f(c).$$

Solution. First, for an indicator χ_E we have

$$\int \chi_E d\delta_c = \delta_c(E) = \chi_E(c).$$

Next, for a simple function $s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}$ (with $a_k \geq 0$) we get

$$\int s d\delta_c = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \delta_c(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}(c) = s(c).$$

For general measurable $f \geq 0$, choose simple $s_n \uparrow f$ pointwise. Then by MCT,

$$\int f d\delta_c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int s_n d\delta_c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(c) = f(c).$$

□

- (5) **Counting measure gives summation.** Let (X, \mathcal{A}, ν) be a measure space where ν is counting measure:

$$\nu(E) = \#E \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty\}.$$

Verify that integration w.r.t. ν equals summation.

Solution. (a) If $E \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\int \chi_E d\nu = \nu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} \chi_E(x).$$

If $s = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{E_k}$ is nonnegative simple with disjoint E_k , then

$$\int s d\nu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \nu(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{x \in X} \chi_{E_k}(x) = \sum_{x \in X} s(x).$$

(b) For $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ measurable, for each finite $F \subset X$ define $s_F := f \chi_F$. Then s_F is simple, $0 \leq s_F \leq f$, and as F increases over finite subsets, $s_F \uparrow f$ pointwise. Hence by MCT,

$$\int f d\nu = \sup_{F \subset X, F \text{ finite}} \int s_F d\nu = \sup_{F \subset X, F \text{ finite}} \sum_{x \in F} f(x) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x),$$

where the infinite sum is defined as the supremum over finite partial sums.

(c) If f is signed with $\int |f| d\nu < \infty$, then write $f = f^+ - f^-$ and apply the nonnegative case to get

$$\int f d\nu = \sum_{x \in X} f(x),$$

with absolute convergence. \square

(7) **Weighted counting measure.** Suppose $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(X)$ (all subsets), and $w : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Define μ on (X, \mathcal{S}) by

$$\mu(E) := \sum_{x \in E} w(x),$$

where the infinite sum is defined as $\sup\{\sum_{x \in F} w(x) : F \subset E, F \text{ finite}\}$. Prove that for any $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$,

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{x \in X} w(x)f(x),$$

with the RHS again understood as a supremum over finite partial sums.

Solution. (a) For $E \subset X$,

$$\int \chi_E d\mu = \mu(E) = \sum_{x \in E} w(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x)\chi_E(x).$$

(b) If $s = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \chi_{E_j}$ is simple with disjoint E_j , then using the simple-function formula,

$$\int s d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \mu(E_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \sum_{x \in E_j} w(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x)s(x).$$

(c) For general $f \geq 0$, choose simple $s_n \uparrow f$. Then by MCT,

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int s_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x \in X} w(x)s_n(x).$$

Since $w(x)s_n(x) \uparrow w(x)f(x)$ pointwise in n , the RHS equals $\sum_{x \in X} w(x)f(x)$ (as a supremum over finite partial sums). \square

Exercises 3A (continued)

10. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of nonnegative \mathcal{S} -measurable functions. Define $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x).$$

Prove that

$$\int f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int f_k d\mu.$$

Proof. Let the partial sums be

$$s_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then each s_n is nonnegative and measurable, and $s_n(x) \uparrow f(x)$ pointwise. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int s_n d\mu.$$

Since each s_n is a *finite* sum of nonnegative measurable functions,

$$\int s_n d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n \int f_k d\mu.$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int f_k d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int f_k d\mu.$$

□

11. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and f_1, f_2, \dots are \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int |f_k| d\mu < \infty.$$

Prove there exists $E \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = 0$ for every $x \in E$.

Proof. Define the nonnegative measurable partial sums

$$g_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n |f_k(x)|, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and set

$$g(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(x)| = \sup_n g_n(x).$$

Then $g_n \uparrow g$ pointwise, so by the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\int g d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int g_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int |f_k| d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int |f_k| d\mu < \infty.$$

Hence $g(x) < \infty$ for μ -a.e. x (otherwise $\mu(\{g = \infty\}) > 0$ would force $\int g d\mu = \infty$). Let

$$E := \{x \in X : g(x) < \infty\} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$. For each $x \in E$ we have $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_k(x)| < \infty$, so in particular $|f_k(x)| \rightarrow 0$, hence $f_k(x) \rightarrow 0$. \square

20. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, and f_1, f_2, \dots is a monotone sequence of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions. Define $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x).$$

Prove that if $\int |f_1| d\mu < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu,$$

(with integrals understood in the extended-real sense).

Proof. First note that $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ implies f_1 is finite a.e. and $\int f_1 d\mu$ is finite. Also, if $h \in L^1(\mu)$ and $p \geq 0$ is measurable, then (in extended-real arithmetic)

$$\int (h + p) d\mu = \int h d\mu + \int p d\mu,$$

and similarly $\int (h - p) d\mu = \int h d\mu - \int p d\mu$ (possibly $-\infty$), since $\int h$ is finite.

Case 1: $f_n \uparrow$. Then $f_n \geq f_1$ pointwise, so define

$$g_n := f_n - f_1 \geq 0, \quad g := f - f_1 \geq 0.$$

We have $g_n \uparrow g$ pointwise, hence by MCT,

$$\int g_n d\mu \rightarrow \int g d\mu.$$

Using additivity with $h = f_1$ and $p = g_n$,

$$\int f_n d\mu = \int (f_1 + g_n) d\mu = \int f_1 d\mu + \int g_n d\mu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_1 d\mu + \int g d\mu = \int (f_1 + g) d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

Case 2: $f_n \downarrow$. Then $f_n \leq f_1$ pointwise, so define

$$g_n := f_1 - f_n \geq 0, \quad g := f_1 - f \geq 0.$$

Again $g_n \uparrow g$, so by MCT, $\int g_n d\mu \rightarrow \int g d\mu$. Using additivity with $h = f_1$ and $p = g_n$,

$$\int f_n d\mu = \int (f_1 - g_n) d\mu = \int f_1 d\mu - \int g_n d\mu \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_1 d\mu - \int g d\mu = \int (f_1 - g) d\mu = \int f d\mu.$$

In either monotone case, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int f d\mu$. \square

Chapter 3B: Limits of Integrals and Integrals of Limits

(3.24) Definition (Integration on a subset). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $E \in \mathcal{S}$. If $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, define

$$\int_E f d\mu := \int_X \mathbf{1}_E f d\mu$$

provided the right-hand side is defined (otherwise $\int_E f d\mu$ is undefined).

(3.25) Result (Bounding an integral). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space, $E \in \mathcal{S}$, and $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ a function such that $\int_E f d\mu$ is defined. Then

$$\left| \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq \mu(E) \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|.$$

(Interpret $\sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|$ as $+\infty$ if f is unbounded on E .)

Proof. Let $c := \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|$. Then $|\mathbf{1}_E f| \leq c \mathbf{1}_E$, so

$$\left| \int_E f d\mu \right| = \left| \int_X \mathbf{1}_E f d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |\mathbf{1}_E f| d\mu \leq \int_X c \mathbf{1}_E d\mu = c \mu(E).$$

□

(3.26) Result (Bounded Convergence Theorem). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$. Suppose f_1, f_2, \dots are \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ pointwise on X . If there exists $c \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|f_k(x)| \leq c \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and all } x \in X,$$

then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_k d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof (via Egorov). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Egorov's theorem, there exists $E \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mu(X \setminus E) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad f_k \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly on } E,$$

where $\delta > 0$ will be chosen momentarily. Then

$$\left| \int_X f_k d\mu - \int_X f d\mu \right| \leq \int_{X \setminus E} |f_k| d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} |f| d\mu + \int_E |f_k - f| d\mu.$$

Since $|f_k| \leq c$ and also $|f| \leq c$ pointwise (limit of uniformly bounded functions),

$$\int_{X \setminus E} |f_k| d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} |f| d\mu \leq 2c \mu(X \setminus E).$$

Choose δ so that $2c\delta < \varepsilon/2$. Uniform convergence on E implies $\sup_{x \in E} |f_k(x) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$, hence for k large,

$$\int_E |f_k - f| d\mu \leq \mu(E) \sup_{x \in E} |f_k - f| < \varepsilon/2$$

(using $\mu(E) \leq \mu(X) < \infty$). Therefore the total difference is $< \varepsilon$ for k large. □

Result (Sets of measure 0 in integration). If $f, g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ are \mathcal{S} -measurable and

$$\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0,$$

then

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_X g d\mu,$$

and likewise $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu$ for every $E \in \mathcal{S}$.

Section 3B: Almost everywhere and dominated convergence

(3.27) Definition (Almost every). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space. A set $E \in \mathcal{S}$ is said to contain μ -almost every element of X if $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$.

(Equivalently: a property holds *a.e.* if it fails only on a set of μ -measure 0.)

Example. Almost every real number is irrational (with respect to Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}) since $\lambda(\mathbb{Q}) = 0$.

(3.28) Result (Integrals on small sets are small). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be \mathcal{S} -measurable with

$$\int_X g d\mu < \infty.$$

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $B \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\mu(B) < \delta$,

$$\int_B g d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

(In words: if a nonnegative function has finite integral, then its integral over *all* sufficiently small-measure sets is small.)

(3.29) Result (Integrable functions live mostly on sets of finite measure). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be \mathcal{S} -measurable with $\int_X g d\mu < \infty$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $E \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\mu(E) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

(In words: up to ε loss in the integral, you can restrict g to a finite-measure set.)

(3.31) Dominated Convergence Theorem (DCT). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable and f_1, f_2, \dots are \mathcal{S} -measurable functions such that

$$f_k(x) \rightarrow f(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in X.$$

If there exists an \mathcal{S} -measurable function $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that

$$\int_X g d\mu < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |f_k(x)| \leq g(x) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ and a.e. } x \in X,$$

then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_k d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof (sketch in two cases). Fix $E \in \mathcal{S}$. By triangle inequality and domination,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X f_k d\mu - \int_X f d\mu \right| &\leq \left| \int_{X \setminus E} f_k d\mu \right| + \left| \int_{X \setminus E} f d\mu \right| + \left| \int_E f_k d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus E} |f_k| d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} |f| d\mu + \int_E |f_k - f| d\mu \\ &\leq 2 \int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu + \int_E |f_k - f| d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{*}$$

Case 1: $\mu(X) < \infty$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, use (3.28) to choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\mu(B) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_B g d\mu < \varepsilon/4$. By Egorov, choose $E \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\mu(X \setminus E) < \delta$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E . Then the first term in (*) is $\leq 2(\varepsilon/4) = \varepsilon/2$. Also $\mu(E) \leq \mu(X) < \infty$ and uniform convergence gives $\int_E |f_k - f| d\mu \leq \mu(E) \sup_E |f_k - f| \rightarrow 0$, so for k large the second term is $< \varepsilon/2$. Hence the total is $< \varepsilon$.

Case 2: $\mu(X) = \infty$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, use (3.29) to choose $E \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\mu(E) < \infty$ and $\int_{X \setminus E} g d\mu < \varepsilon/4$. Then (*) implies

$$\left| \int_X f_k d\mu - \int_X f d\mu \right| \leq \varepsilon/2 + \int_E |f_k - f| d\mu.$$

Now apply Case 1 on the finite-measure space $(E, \mathcal{S} \cap E, \mu)$ (still dominated by $g \mathbf{1}_E$) to conclude $\int_E |f_k - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$, so the RHS is $< \varepsilon$ for k large. \square

Remark. DCT generalizes earlier limit-interchange results: instead of assuming nonnegativity (MCT) or finite measure + uniform boundedness (BCT), it assumes pointwise domination by an integrable function.

Lebesgue vs. Riemann; notation

(3.34) Result (Riemann integrable \Leftrightarrow continuous a.e.). Let $a < b$ and let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Then f is Riemann integrable if and only if

$$\lambda(\{x \in [a, b] : f \text{ is not continuous at } x\}) = 0,$$

and in that case the Riemann integral equals the Lebesgue integral:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_{[a, b]} f d\lambda.$$

(3.39) Definition/Convention (“ \int_a^b ” denotes Lebesgue integral). Let $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ and let $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable. Then:

- $\int_a^b f$ and $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ mean $\int_{(a, b)} f d\lambda$.
- $\int_b^a f := - \int_a^b f$ (so, e.g., $\int_a^b f = \int_a^c f + \int_c^b f$ when all terms are defined).

L^1 and approximation

(3.40) Definition ($\|f\|_1$, $L^1(\mu)$). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ be \mathcal{S} -measurable. The L^1 -norm is

$$\|f\|_1 := \int_X |f| d\mu.$$

The Lebesgue space $L^1(\mu)$ is

$$L^1(\mu) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable and } \|f\|_1 < \infty\}.$$

Example (3.42): ℓ^1 . If μ is counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is a sequence of real numbers (viewed as a function on \mathbb{Z}^+), then

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|.$$

In this case $L^1(\mu)$ is typically denoted ℓ^1 , the set of sequences with $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty$.

(3.43) Result (Properties of the L^1 -norm). If $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then:

1. $\|f\|_1 \geq 0$.
2. $\|f\|_1 = 0 \iff f = 0$ a.e.
3. $\|cf\|_1 = |c| \|f\|_1$.
4. $\|f + g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1$.

(3.44) Result (Approximation by simple functions). If $f \in L^1(\mu)$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a simple function $g \in L^1(\mu)$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

(In words: every L^1 function can be approximated in L^1 -norm by functions taking only finitely many values.)

(3.45) Notation ($L^1(\mathbb{R})$). $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ denotes $L^1(\lambda)$ where λ is Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} (either on Borel sets or Lebesgue measurable sets, as appropriate). When working in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\|f\|_1$ means $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| d\lambda$.

(3.46) Definition (Step function). A *step function* is a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$g = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mathbf{1}_{I_j},$$

where I_1, \dots, I_n are intervals in \mathbb{R} and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. If the intervals are disjoint, then

$$\|g\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| |I_j|,$$

where $|I_j|$ denotes the length of I_j . In particular, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if all I_j are bounded. (Endpoints do not matter for L^1 since changing inclusion/exclusion changes the set only by measure 0.)

(3.47) Result (Approximation by step functions). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a step function $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

Proof (outline). By (3.44), choose sets $A_1, \dots, A_n \subset \mathbb{R}$ (Borel/Lebesgue measurable) with $|A_k| < \infty$ and scalars a_1, \dots, a_n such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \right\|_1 < \varepsilon/2.$$

For each k , choose an open set $G_k \supset A_k$ with $|G_k \setminus A_k|$ arbitrarily small (outer regularity). Write G_k as a countable union of disjoint open intervals and choose a finite union E_k of bounded open intervals with

$$|G_k \setminus E_k| \text{ small, hence } \|\mathbf{1}_{A_k} - \mathbf{1}_{E_k}\|_1 = |A_k \Delta E_k| \text{ small.}$$

Choosing these errors so that $\sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \|\mathbf{1}_{A_k} - \mathbf{1}_{E_k}\|_1 < \varepsilon/2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k} \right\|_1 &\leq \left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k (\mathbf{1}_{A_k} - \mathbf{1}_{E_k}) \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \|\mathbf{1}_{A_k} - \mathbf{1}_{E_k}\|_1 < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since each E_k is a finite union of bounded intervals, $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$ is a step function. \square

(3.48) Result (Approximation by continuous functions). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a continuous function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is bounded.}$$

(So g can be taken continuous with bounded support.)

Proof (outline). Start with a step-function approximation $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{I_k}$ from (3.47), with I_k bounded intervals. For each bounded interval $I_k = [b_k, c_k]$ (or similar), choose a continuous function g_k supported in a slightly larger bounded interval such that $\|\mathbf{1}_{I_k} - g_k\|_1$ is as small as desired (e.g., smooth/continuous cutoff ramps near the endpoints). Then, using the triangle inequality,

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k \right\|_1 \leq \left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{I_k} \right\|_1 + \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \|\mathbf{1}_{I_k} - g_k\|_1,$$

and we choose the step approximation and the g_k so the RHS is $< \varepsilon$. Finally set $g := \sum_{k=1}^n a_k g_k$; it is continuous and nonzero only on a bounded set. \square

Exercises 3B (continued)

2. Give an example of a sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of functions $f_k : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(m) = 0 \quad \text{for each } m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad \text{but} \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = 1,$$

where μ is counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ .

Example. Define

$$f_k(n) := \begin{cases} 1, & n = k, \\ 0, & n \neq k. \end{cases}$$

Fix m . Then $f_k(m) = 1$ only when $k = m$, and $f_k(m) = 0$ for all $k > m$, hence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(m) = 0$. But

$$\int f_k d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_k(n) = 1 \quad \text{for every } k,$$

so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = 1$.

- 4(a). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is bounded and \mathcal{S} -measurable. Prove that

$$\int_X f d\mu = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j) \sup_{x \in A_j} f(x) : A_1, \dots, A_m \text{ is an } \mathcal{S}\text{-partition of } X \right\}.$$

Proof. For a partition $P = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$ of X , define the *upper sum*

$$U(f, P) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_j) \sup_{A_j} f, \quad \text{where } \sup_{A_j} f := \sup\{f(x) : x \in A_j\}.$$

Also define the *upper simple function*

$$s_P(x) := \sum_{j=1}^m (\sup_{A_j} f) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x).$$

Then $s_P \geq f$ pointwise, hence

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int s_P d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^m (\sup_{A_j} f) \mu(A_j) = U(f, P).$$

Therefore $\int f d\mu \leq \inf_P U(f, P)$.

For the reverse inequality, let $M := \sup_X f < \infty$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ partition $[0, M]$ into n subintervals and set

$$A_k^{(n)} := \left\{ x \in X : \frac{(k-1)M}{n} < f(x) \leq \frac{kM}{n} \right\}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then $\{A_1^{(n)}, \dots, A_n^{(n)}\}$ is an \mathcal{S} -partition of X . Define

$$\psi_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{kM}{n} \mathbf{1}_{A_k^{(n)}}(x).$$

Then ψ_n is simple, $\psi_n \geq f$, and $0 \leq \psi_n(x) - f(x) \leq M/n$ for all x . Hence

$$0 \leq \int \psi_n d\mu - \int f d\mu \leq \int \frac{M}{n} d\mu = \frac{M}{n} \mu(X) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Moreover, on each $A_k^{(n)}$ we have $\sup_{A_k^{(n)}} f \leq kM/n$, so

$$U(f, P_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(A_k^{(n)}) \sup_{A_k^{(n)}} f \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(A_k^{(n)}) \frac{kM}{n} = \int \psi_n d\mu.$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$, for n large we have

$$U(f, P_n) \leq \int \psi_n d\mu \leq \int f d\mu + \varepsilon,$$

so $\inf_P U(f, P) \leq \int f d\mu$. Combine with the first inequality to get equality.

10(a). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space with $\mu(X) < \infty$, $0 < p < r$, and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable. Prove that if

$$\int_X f^r d\mu < \infty,$$

then $\int_X f^p d\mu < \infty$.

Proof. Split X into

$$A := \{x : f(x) < 1\}, \quad B := \{x : f(x) \geq 1\}.$$

On A , $f^p \leq 1$, and on B , since $f \geq 1$ and $p < r$, we have $f^p \leq f^r$. Hence

$$\int_X f^p d\mu = \int_A f^p d\mu + \int_B f^p d\mu \leq \mu(A) + \int_B f^r d\mu \leq \mu(X) + \int_X f^r d\mu < \infty.$$

10(b). Give an example showing (a) can fail if $\mu(X) = \infty$.

Example. Let $X = \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ and μ = counting measure, and choose β such that

$$\beta r > 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \beta p \leq 1 \quad (\text{e.g. } \beta = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p})).$$

Define $f(n) = n^{-\beta}$. Then

$$\int f^r d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta r} < \infty \quad (\beta r > 1), \quad \text{but} \quad \int f^p d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta p} = \infty \quad (\beta p \leq 1).$$

Chapter 4: Differentiation

Section 4A: Hardy–Littlewood Maximal Function

Result (Markov's inequality). If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $h \in L^1(\mu)$, then for every $c > 0$,

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |h(x)| \geq c\}) \leq \frac{1}{c} \|h\|_1.$$

Proof. On the set $\{|h| \geq c\}$ we have $c \leq |h|$, so

$$c \mu(\{|h| \geq c\}) = \int_{\{|h| \geq c\}} c d\mu \leq \int_{\{|h| \geq c\}} |h| d\mu \leq \int_X |h| d\mu = \|h\|_1.$$

Definition (3I). If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded, nonempty open interval, then $3I$ denotes the open interval with the same center as I and three times the length of I .

Lemma (Vitali covering lemma, finite version). If I_1, \dots, I_n is a finite list of bounded, nonempty open intervals in \mathbb{R} , then there exists a *disjoint* sublist I_{k_1}, \dots, I_{k_m} such that

$$I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n \subset (3I_{k_1}) \cup \dots \cup (3I_{k_m}).$$

Definition (Hardy–Littlewood maximal function, centered). If $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable, define $h^* : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$h^*(b) := \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |h(x)| dx.$$

Equivalently, $h^*(b)$ is the supremum over all bounded intervals centered at b of the average of $|h|$ on the interval.

Result (Hardy–Littlewood maximal inequality). If $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for every $c > 0$,

$$|\{b \in \mathbb{R} : h^*(b) > c\}| \leq \frac{3}{c} \|h\|_1,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes Lebesgue measure.

Proof (outline). Let F be a closed, bounded subset of $\{h^* > c\}$. For each $b \in F$ choose $t_b > 0$ so that

$$\frac{1}{2t_b} \int_{b-t_b}^{b+t_b} |h| > c.$$

Then $\{(b-t_b, b+t_b)\}_{b \in F}$ is an open cover of F , hence has a finite subcover I_1, \dots, I_n by Heine–Borel. Apply Vitali’s lemma to obtain disjoint intervals I_{k_1}, \dots, I_{k_m} with

$$F \subset I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n \subset (3I_{k_1}) \cup \dots \cup (3I_{k_m}).$$

Therefore,

$$|F| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |3I_{k_j}| = 3 \sum_{j=1}^m |I_{k_j}|.$$

But each chosen interval satisfies $\int_{I_{k_j}} |h| > c |I_{k_j}|$, hence $|I_{k_j}| \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{I_{k_j}} |h|$. Summing and using disjointness,

$$|F| \leq \frac{3}{c} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{I_{k_j}} |h| \leq \frac{3}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h| = \frac{3}{c} \|h\|_1.$$

Taking the supremum over closed bounded $F \subset \{h^* > c\}$ yields the claim for the whole set.

Exercises 4A (notes).

2. (Chebyshev / variance form). Assume (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space with $\mu(X) = 1$ and $h \in L^2(\mu)$. Show that for all $c > 0$,

$$\mu\left(\{x : |h(x) - \int h d\mu| \geq c\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\|h\|_2^2 - \left(\int h d\mu\right)^2 \right).$$

Proof. Let $m := \int h d\mu$ and $A := \{x : |h - m| \geq c\}$. On A , $(h - m)^2 \geq c^2$, hence

$$c^2 \mu(A) \leq \int_A (h - m)^2 d\mu \leq \int_X (h - m)^2 d\mu.$$

Expand:

$$\int (h - m)^2 = \int h^2 - 2m \int h + m^2 \int 1 = \int h^2 - 2m^2 + m^2 \mu(X) = \int h^2 - m^2,$$

since $\mu(X) = 1$. Divide by c^2 .

9. (Openness of the superlevel set; non-centered variant). Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable and define the *non-centered* maximal function

$$h^*(x) := \sup_{I \ni x} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |h|,$$

where the supremum is over bounded intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ containing x . Then for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{b \in \mathbb{R} : h^*(b) > c\} \text{ is open.}$$

Proof. Let $E_c := \{x : h^*(x) > c\}$ and take $b \in E_c$. By definition of supremum, there exists an interval I with $b \in I$ and $\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |h| > c$. For any $x \in I$, the same interval I contains x , so $h^*(x) \geq \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |h| > c$. Hence $I \subset E_c$, so every $b \in E_c$ has a neighborhood contained in E_c and E_c is open.

Section 4B: Derivatives of Integrals

(V1) Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x) - f(b)| dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note (continuous-point special case). For $t > 0$, by the integral bound (cf. $\int_E \leq \mu(E) \sup_E$),

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x) - f(b)| dx \leq \sup\{|f(x) - f(b)| : |x - b| \leq t\}.$$

If f is continuous at b , the RHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, proving the theorem at such b .

Definition (Derivative). Let $g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in I$. The derivative of g at b is

$$g'(b) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(b+t) - g(b)}{t},$$

if the limit exists; in that case g is differentiable at b .

Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (continuous point). Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x f.$$

If f is continuous at b , then $g'(b) = f(b)$.

Proof. For $t \neq 0$,

$$\frac{g(b+t) - g(b)}{t} - f(b) = \frac{1}{t} \int_b^{b+t} (f(x) - f(b)) dx,$$

so

$$\left| \frac{g(b+t) - g(b)}{t} - f(b) \right| \leq \sup\{|f(x) - f(b)| : |x - b| \leq |t|\} \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} 0.$$

(V2) Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem (derivative form). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f$, then

$$g'(b) = f(b) \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Result (“No set is exactly half of every initial interval”). There does not exist a Lebesgue measurable set $E \subset [0, 1]$ such that

$$|E \cap [0, b]| = \frac{b}{2} \quad \text{for all } b \in [0, 1].$$

Result (L^1 function equals its local average a.e.). If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$f(b) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f(x) dx \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(In words: f agrees a.e. with the limit of its symmetric local averages.)

Definition (Density). For $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, the *density of E at b* is

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{|E \cap (b-t, b+t)|}{2t},$$

if the limit exists (otherwise the density at b is undefined).

Example (density of $[0, 1]$).

$$\text{dens}_{[0,1]}(b) = \begin{cases} 1, & b \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{2}, & b = 0 \text{ or } b = 1, \\ 0, & b \notin [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Lebesgue Density Theorem. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable, then the density of E equals 1 at a.e. point of E and equals 0 at a.e. point of $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$.

Result (“Bad” Borel set). There exists a Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for every nonempty bounded open interval I ,

$$0 < |E \cap I| < |I|.$$

(*Remark.* This does not contradict the density theorem: density statements are a.e., while the condition above is pointwise for *every* interval.)

Exercises 4B (notes). For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < |I| < \infty$, let

$$f_I := \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f \quad (\text{the average of } f \text{ on } I).$$

1. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, prove that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x) - f_{[b-t, b+t]}| dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. For any interval I with $0 < |I| < \infty$ and any constant c ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |f - f_I| &\leq \int_I (|f - c| + |f_I - c|) \leq \int_I |f - c| + |I| \cdot \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - c| \\ &= 2 \int_I |f - c|. \end{aligned}$$

Take $I = [b - t, b + t]$ and $c = f(b)$ to get

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f_I| \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)|.$$

The RHS $\rightarrow 0$ for a.e. b by (V1), hence so does the LHS.

2. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, prove that for a.e. $b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I| : I \text{ is an interval of length } t \text{ containing } b \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. Fix b in the full-measure set where (V1) holds. For any interval I of length t containing b , using the inequality from (1) with $c = f(b)$,

$$\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |f - f_I| \leq \frac{2}{|I|} \int_I |f - f(b)| \leq \frac{2}{t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)| = 4 \cdot \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f - f(b)|.$$

The RHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$, so the supremum (bounded by the same RHS) also tends to 0.

3. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable and $f^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x) - f(b)|^2 dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof (outline). Use the elementary inequality $u^2 + 1 \geq 2|u|$, i.e.

$$|u| \leq \frac{u^2 + 1}{2}.$$

On any bounded interval I this gives

$$\int_I |f| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_I f^2 + \frac{1}{2} |I| < \infty,$$

so $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and the Lebesgue differentiation theorem applies to both f and f^2 . Hence there is a full-measure set E such that for every $b \in E$,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f(x) dx = f(b), \quad \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f(x)^2 dx = f(b)^2.$$

Fix $b \in E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} (f(x) - f(b))^2 dx &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} (f(x)^2 - 2f(b)f(x) + f(b)^2) dx \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f(x)^2 dx}_{A_t} - 2f(b) \underbrace{\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} f(x) dx}_{B_t} + f(b)^2. \end{aligned}$$

As $t \downarrow 0$, $A_t \rightarrow f(b)^2$ and $B_t \rightarrow f(b)$, so the expression tends to $f(b)^2 - 2f(b) \cdot f(b) + f(b)^2 = 0$.

Exercises 4B (continued)

4. (LDT under local integrability). Show that the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem still holds if the hypothesis $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is weakened to

$$f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (\text{equivalently: } \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x)| dx < \infty \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0).$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define $f_n := f \mathbf{1}_{[-n,n]}$. Then $f_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, so by the (global) Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem,

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f_n(x) - f_n(b)| dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let E_n be the full-measure set where this holds, and set $E := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ (still full measure). Fix $b \in E$ and choose $n > |b| + 1$. Then for all sufficiently small $t > 0$ we have $[b-t, b+t] \subset (-n, n)$, so $f_n(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in [b-t, b+t]$ and $f_n(b) = f(b)$. Hence for such t ,

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x) - f(b)| dx = \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f_n(x) - f_n(b)| dx \xrightarrow[t \downarrow 0]{} 0.$$

Thus the LDT conclusion holds for f at every $b \in E$, i.e. for a.e. b .

5. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable. Prove that

$$|f(b)| \leq f^*(b) \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f^*(b) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x)| dx$ is the (centered) Hardy–Littlewood maximal function.

Proof. Apply the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem to $|f|$ (note $|f| \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ whenever the averages are finite; otherwise $f^*(b) = \infty$ and the inequality is trivial). For a.e. b ,

$$|f(b)| = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |f(x)| dx.$$

Since $f^*(b)$ is the supremum over all $t > 0$ of these averages, we have $f^*(b) \geq$ (the limit of the averages), hence $|f(b)| \leq f^*(b)$ for a.e. b .

6. Prove that if $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^x h(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then $h(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Fix $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t > 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} h(x) dx = \frac{1}{2t} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{b+t} h - \int_{-\infty}^{b-t} h \right) = 0$$

by the assumption. By the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem applied to $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$h(b) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} h(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Chapter 5: Product Measure

Section 5A: Products of Measure Spaces

(5.1) Definition (Rectangle). Let X, Y be sets. A *rectangle* in $X \times Y$ is a set of the form $A \times B$ where $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$. (Note: A and B need not be intervals.)

(5.2) Definition (Product σ -algebra $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}) are measurable spaces. Then:

- (i) $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is the *smallest* σ -algebra on $X \times Y$ that contains all measurable rectangles:

$$\{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{S}, B \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

- (ii) A *measurable rectangle* (with respect to $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$) is any set $A \times B$ with $A \in \mathcal{S}, B \in \mathcal{T}$.

(5.3) Definition (Cross sections of sets). Let X, Y be sets and $E \subset X \times Y$. For $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$ define the cross sections

$$[E]_a := \{y \in Y : (a, y) \in E\}, \quad [E]^b := \{x \in X : (x, b) \in E\}.$$

Example. If $A \subset X$ and $B \subset Y$, then for $a \in X, b \in Y$,

$$[A \times B]_a = \begin{cases} B, & a \in A, \\ \emptyset, & a \notin A, \end{cases} \quad [A \times B]^b = \begin{cases} A, & b \in B, \\ \emptyset, & b \notin B. \end{cases}$$

(5.6) Result (Cross sections preserve measurability for sets). If \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra on X , \mathcal{T} is a σ -algebra on Y , and $E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, then

$$[E]_a \in \mathcal{T} \quad \forall a \in X, \quad \text{and} \quad [E]^b \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall b \in Y.$$

(5.7) Definition (Cross sections of functions). Let X, Y be sets and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function. For $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$, define the cross-section functions

$$[f]_a : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad [f]_a(y) := f(a, y), \quad [f]^b : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad [f]^b(x) := f(x, b).$$

Examples.

- (i) If $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f(x, y) = 5x^2 + y^3$, then

$$[f]_2(y) = f(2, y) = 20 + y^3, \quad [f]^3(x) = f(x, 3) = 5x^2 + 27.$$

- (ii) If $A \subset X, B \subset Y$, and $f = \mathbf{1}_{A \times B}$, then

$$[f]_a(y) = \mathbf{1}_{A \times B}(a, y) = \mathbf{1}_A(a)\mathbf{1}_B(y) = \mathbf{1}_A(a)\mathbf{1}_B(y),$$

so $[f]_a = \mathbf{1}_A(a)\mathbf{1}_B$, and similarly $[f]^b = \mathbf{1}_B(b)\mathbf{1}_A$.

(5.8) Result (Cross sections preserve measurability for functions). If \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra on X , \mathcal{T} is a σ -algebra on Y , and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})$ -measurable, then:

- (i) For every $a \in X$, $[f]_a$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable on Y .
- (ii) For every $b \in Y$, $[f]^b$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X .

Section 5A (continued)

Standard 2-step proof technique (for properties of σ -algebras). To show that *every* set in $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ has some property P :

1. Show every set in the generating class \mathcal{G} has property P .
2. Show the collection $\mathcal{C} := \{E \in \sigma(\mathcal{G}) : E \text{ has } P\}$ is a σ -algebra.

Then $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra, so $\sigma(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$.

(5.10) Definition (Algebra). Let W be a set and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$. We say \mathcal{A} is an *algebra* on W if:

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) if $E \in \mathcal{A}$, then $W \setminus E \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (iii) if $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$, then $E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$.

Equivalently: an algebra is closed under complements (relative to W) and *finite* unions. A σ -algebra is closed under complements and *countable* unions.

Examples.

- The collection of all finite unions of intervals in \mathbb{R} is an algebra (but not a σ -algebra).
- The collection of all countable unions of intervals in \mathbb{R} is closed under countable unions, but (in general) not under complements, so it need not be a σ -algebra.

(5.13) Result (Finite unions of measurable rectangles form an algebra). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}) are measurable spaces.

- (a) The set of all finite unions of measurable rectangles in $X \times Y$

$$\left\{ \bigcup_{j=1}^n (A_j \times B_j) : n \in \mathbb{N}, A_j \in \mathcal{S}, B_j \in \mathcal{T} \right\}$$

is an algebra on $X \times Y$.

- (b) Every finite union of measurable rectangles can be written as a *finite union of disjoint* measurable rectangles.

(5.15) Definition (Monotone class). Let W be a set and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$. We say \mathcal{M} is a *monotone class* on W if:

- (i) If $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ with each $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{M}$.
- (ii) If $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ with each $E_n \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{M}$.

Notes:

- Every σ -algebra is a monotone class.

- Some monotone classes are *not* closed under finite unions or complements.
- If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(W)$, then the intersection of all monotone classes containing \mathcal{A} is the *smallest* monotone class containing \mathcal{A} .

(5.17) Result (Monotone Class Theorem). If \mathcal{A} is an algebra on W , then the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} equals the smallest monotone class containing \mathcal{A} . (In particular, this is useful for proving properties for all sets in $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$.)

(5.18) Definition (Finite and σ -finite measures). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space.

- μ is *finite* if $\mu(X) < \infty$.
- μ is σ -*finite* if there exist $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(X_k) < \infty \quad \forall k.$$

Examples:

- Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ is finite.
- Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} is not finite, but is σ -finite.
- Counting measure on \mathbb{R} is not σ -finite (a countable union of finite sets is countable).

(5.20) Result (Measure of cross-sections is measurable). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces. If $E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, then

- (a) $x \mapsto \nu([E]_x)$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X ,
- (b) $y \mapsto \mu([E]^y)$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable on Y .

(5.21) Definition (Integration notation). If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $g : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$, then

$$\int g(x) d\mu(x)$$

means $\int g d\mu$; the $d\mu(x)$ notation emphasizes that variables other than x are treated as constants. *Example (Lebesgue λ)*. For fixed x ,

$$\int_{[0,4]} (x^2 + y) d\lambda(y) = \int_0^4 x^2 dy + \int_0^4 y dy = 4x^2 + 8.$$

For fixed y ,

$$\int_{[0,4]} (x^2 + y) d\lambda(x) = \int_0^4 x^2 dx + \int_0^4 y dx = \frac{64}{3} + 4y.$$

(5.23) Definition (Iterated integrals). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are measure spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x)$$

is often written as

$$\int_X \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x).$$

In words: first fix x and integrate $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ against ν , producing a function of x ; then integrate that function against μ .

Example. Over $[0, 4] \times [0, 4]$ (Lebesgue),

$$\int_0^4 \int_0^4 (x^2 + y) dy dx = \int_0^4 (4x^2 + 8) dx = \frac{352}{3}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_0^4 \int_0^4 (x^2 + y) dx dy = \int_0^4 \left(\frac{64}{3} + 4y \right) dy = \frac{352}{3}.$$

(5.25) Definition (Product of two measures). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces. For $E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, define

$$(\mu \times \nu)(E) := \int_X \int_Y \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Example (measurable rectangle). If $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B \in \mathcal{T}$, then

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A \times B) = \int_X \int_Y \mathbf{1}_A(x) \mathbf{1}_B(y) d\nu(y) d\mu(x) = \mu(A)\nu(B).$$

(5.27) Result (Product of two measures is a measure). If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces, then $\mu \times \nu$ is a measure on $(X \times Y, \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})$.

Proof sketch. Trivially $(\mu \times \nu)(\emptyset) = 0$. If E_1, E_2, \dots are disjoint in $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, then $\mathbf{1}_{\cup_k E_k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$. By monotone convergence,

$$(\mu \times \nu)\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \int_X \int_Y \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{E_k} d\nu d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_X \int_Y \mathbf{1}_{E_k} d\nu d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu \times \nu)(E_k).$$

Hence $\mu \times \nu$ is countably additive.

Exercise 5A.2. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) and (Y, \mathcal{T}) are measurable spaces. Prove that if $A \neq \emptyset$, $A \subseteq X$ and $B \neq \emptyset$, $B \subseteq Y$ satisfy $A \times B \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T}$, then $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. For $E \subseteq X \times Y$ and $x \in X$ write $E_x := [E]_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}$. Fix $x \in X$ and define

$$\mathcal{C}_x := \{E \subseteq X \times Y : E_x \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}_x is a σ -algebra on $X \times Y$ since

$$(E^c)_x = (E_x)^c, \quad \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right)_x = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_n)_x.$$

Moreover, for any measurable rectangle $S \times T$ with $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $T \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$(S \times T)_x = \begin{cases} T, & x \in S, \\ \emptyset, & x \notin S, \end{cases} \in \mathcal{T},$$

so every measurable rectangle lies in \mathcal{C}_x . Hence $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_x$, and therefore:

$$E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \implies E_x \in \mathcal{T} \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Applying this to $E = A \times B$, pick $x_0 \in A$ (since $A \neq \emptyset$). Then

$$(A \times B)_{x_0} = B \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Similarly, using $E^y := [E]^y$ and the symmetric argument (fix y and consider $\{E : E^y \in \mathcal{S}\}$), we get

$$E \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T} \implies E^y \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Pick $y_0 \in B$. Then $(A \times B)^{y_0} = A \in \mathcal{S}$. □

Chapter 5, Section 5B: Iterated Integrals

(5.28) Result (Tonelli's Theorem). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})$ -measurable. Then:

- (a) The function $x \mapsto \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y)$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable on X .
- (b) The function $y \mapsto \int_X f(x, y) d\mu(x)$ is \mathcal{T} -measurable on Y .
- (c) The integrals satisfy

$$\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \left(\int_X f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y),$$

allowing the order of integration to be switched.

Interpretation. If $f \geq 0$ and the measures are σ -finite, then iterated integrals always exist (possibly $+\infty$) and can be interchanged.

Example (Tonelli can fail without σ -finiteness). Let \mathcal{B} be the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, 1]$, let λ be Lebesgue measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B})$, and let μ be counting measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B})$ (not σ -finite). Let $D = \{(x, x) : x \in [0, 1]\}$ be the diagonal and $f = \mathbf{1}_D$. Then

$$\int_{[0,1]} \left(\int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_D(x, y) d\mu(y) \right) d\lambda(x) = \int_{[0,1]} 1 d\lambda(x) = 1,$$

but for each fixed y , the set $\{x : (x, y) \in D\} = \{y\}$ has λ -measure 0, so

$$\int_{[0,1]} \left(\int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_D(x, y) d\lambda(x) \right) d\mu(y) = \int_{[0,1]} 0 d\mu(y) = 0.$$

(5.30) Result (Double sums of nonnegative numbers). If $\{x_{j,k} : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is a doubly indexed collection of nonnegative numbers, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{j,k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{j,k} \quad (\text{possibly } + \infty).$$

(Think: Tonelli for counting measure.)

(5.32) Result (Fubini's Theorem). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is $(\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{T})$ -measurable with

$$\int_{X \times Y} |f| d(\mu \times \nu) < \infty.$$

Then:

(a) For a.e. $x \in X$, $\int_Y |f(x, y)| d\nu(y) < \infty$.

(b) For a.e. $y \in Y$, $\int_X |f(x, y)| d\mu(x) < \infty$.

(c) The functions $x \mapsto \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y)$ and $y \mapsto \int_X f(x, y) d\mu(x)$ are measurable (a.e. defined), and

$$\int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_X \left(\int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \left(\int_X f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right) d\nu(y).$$

Rule of thumb. Tonelli: $f \geq 0$. Fubini: $\int |f| < \infty$. In practice, prove $\int |f| < \infty$ by applying Tonelli to $|f|$.

(5.34) Definition (Region under a graph). Let X be a set and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a function. The *region under the graph* of f is

$$U_f := \{(x, t) \in X \times [0, \infty) : 0 \leq t < f(x)\}.$$

(5.35) Result (Area under the graph equals the integral). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a σ -finite measure space and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable. Let \mathcal{B} be the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, \infty)$ and let λ be Lebesgue measure on $([0, \infty), \mathcal{B})$. Then $U_f \in \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ and

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(U_f) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Equivalently,

$$\int_X f d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > t\}) dt.$$

Exercises 5B (selected)

1. (Setup: λ Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$.) Compute the indicated iterated integrals for a nonnegative f on $[0, 1]^2$ and verify they agree (Tonelli/Fubini when applicable). (*Some of the integrand details were unclear in the photo; keep the computation pattern: integrate inner variable first, then outer.*)

2. Give an example of a doubly indexed collection $\{x_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ of nonnegative numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{m,n} = 0 \text{ for every fixed } m, \quad \text{but} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_{m,n} = +\infty.$$

One standard example: define

$$x_{m,n} := \begin{cases} 1, & n = m, \\ 0, & n \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Then for each fixed m , $\sum_n x_{m,n} = 1$ (so not 0), but $\sum_m \sum_n x_{m,n} = +\infty$. If you really want each fixed-row sum to be 0, then necessarily all $x_{m,n} = 0$ (since $x_{m,n} \geq 0$), forcing the double sum to be 0. So the statement “row sums = 0” cannot coexist with “double sum = ∞ ” for nonnegative arrays.

Section 5C (continued): Lebesgue Integration on \mathbb{R}^n

Review: notation and topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

- $\mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- The ℓ^∞ -norm is $\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\|_\infty := \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$.
- For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$, the open cube (ball for $\|\cdot\|_\infty$) is

$$B(x, \delta) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|y - x\|_\infty < \delta\},$$

which has side length 2δ .

- A set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open iff for every $x \in G$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta) \subseteq G$.
- A set is closed iff its complement is open.
- (Dimension bookkeeping) $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ via concatenation of coordinates.

(5.36) Result (Product of open sets is open). If $G_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are open, then $G_1 \times G_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ is open.

(5.37) Definition (Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_n). A *Borel subset* of \mathbb{R}^n is an element of the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n containing all open sets. This σ -algebra is denoted \mathcal{B}_n .

(5.38) Result (Open sets are countable unions of open cubes).

- (a) A set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open iff it is a countable union of open cubes in \mathbb{R}^n (e.g. cubes with rational centers and rational radii in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$).
- (b) \mathcal{B}_n is the smallest σ -algebra on \mathbb{R}^n containing all open cubes.

(5.39) Result (Product of Borel σ -algebras).

$$\mathcal{B}_m \otimes \mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{B}_{m+n}.$$

(5.40) Definition (Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n). Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n , denoted λ_n , is defined inductively by

$$\lambda_n := \lambda_{n-1} \times \lambda_1,$$

where λ_1 is Lebesgue measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_1)$.

Iterated-integral viewpoint. Thinking of $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, for $E \in \mathcal{B}_n$ one can write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\lambda_n(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_E(x, y) d\lambda_1(y) \right) d\lambda_{n-1}(x),$$

and similarly for nonnegative (or absolutely integrable) functions f on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\lambda_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_n \cdots dx_1,$$

with the order of integration interchangeable (Tonelli/Fubini hypotheses).

Remark. One may also define λ_n as $\lambda_j \times \lambda_k$ for any $j + k = n$; this leads to the same σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_n and the same measure.

Section 5C (continued)

(5.41) Result (Measure of a dilation). Let $t > 0$. If $E \in \mathcal{B}_n$ (Borel in \mathbb{R}^n), then $tE \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and

$$\lambda_n(tE) = t^n \lambda_n(E), \quad tE := \{tx : x \in E\}.$$

Proof sketch.

- *Borelness of tE .* Let

$$\mathcal{E} := \{E \in \mathcal{B}_n : tE \in \mathcal{B}_n\}.$$

Since $x \mapsto tx$ is a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n , it maps open sets to open sets; hence all open sets lie in \mathcal{E} . Also $(t(E^c)) = (tE)^c$ and $t(\bigcup_k E_k) = \bigcup_k (tE_k)$, so \mathcal{E} is a σ -algebra. Thus $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}_n$.

- *Scaling of measure.* First verify in \mathbb{R} that $\lambda_1(tI) = t\lambda_1(I)$ for intervals I , and extend to all Borel sets by standard λ -regularity/approximation (or via a monotone-class argument starting from intervals).
- *Induction on dimension.* Assuming $\lambda_{n-1}(tA) = t^{n-1}\lambda_{n-1}(A)$, check scaling on rectangles: for $A \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}_1$,

$$\lambda_n(t(A \times B)) = \lambda_n((tA) \times (tB)) = \lambda_{n-1}(tA) \lambda_1(tB) = t^{n-1} \lambda_{n-1}(A) \cdot t\lambda_1(B) = t^n \lambda_n(A \times B).$$

Then extend from rectangles to all Borel sets (e.g. using the monotone class theorem inside a fixed open cube and then approximating general Borel sets by intersections with an increasing sequence of cubes).

(5.43) Definition (Open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n). The (Euclidean) open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted B_n and defined by

$$B_n := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

It is open, hence $B_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$.

(5.44) Result (Volume of the unit ball).

$$\lambda_n(B_n) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}.$$

Equivalently:

$$\lambda_{2m}(B_{2m}) = \frac{\pi^m}{m!}, \quad \lambda_{2m+1}(B_{2m+1}) = \frac{2^{m+1}\pi^m}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m+1)}.$$

Values for small n .

n	$\lambda_n(B_n)$	\approx
1	2	2
2	π	3.14...
3	$\frac{4\pi}{3}$	4.19...
4	$\frac{\pi^2}{2}$	4.93...
5	$\frac{8\pi^2}{15}$	5.26...

From the table, $\lambda_n(B_n)$ is (at least for small n) nondecreasing in n . Also note: the smallest axis-aligned cube containing B_n is $[-1, 1]^n$, so it has λ_n -measure 2^n .

(5.46) Definition (Partial derivatives). Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $(x, y) \in G$, define (when the limits exist)

$$(D_1 F)(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x + t, y) - F(x, y)}{t}, \quad (D_2 F)(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x, y + t) - F(x, y)}{t}.$$

These are the partial derivatives of F with respect to the first and second coordinates, respectively.

(5.48) Result (Equality of mixed partial derivatives / Clairaut–Schwarz). Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and $F : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $D_1 F$, $D_2 F$, $D_1(D_2 F)$, and $D_2(D_1 F)$ exist on G and the mixed partials are continuous on G , then

$$D_1 D_2 F = D_2 D_1 F \quad \text{on } G.$$

Example. Let $F(x, y) = x^y$ on $G = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$D_1 F(x, y) = y x^{y-1}, \quad D_2 F(x, y) = x^y \ln x,$$

and

$$D_2 D_1 F(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y x^{y-1}) = x^{y-1} + y x^{y-1} \ln x,$$

$$D_1 D_2 F(x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x^y \ln x) = y x^{y-1} \ln x + x^{y-1}.$$

Hence $D_1 D_2 F = D_2 D_1 F$ on G .

Exercises 5C (continued)

2. Euclidean balls characterize openness. Show that a set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open iff for every $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in G$ there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$B(b, r) := \left\{ a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : (a_1 - b_1)^2 + \cdots + (a_n - b_n)^2 < r^2 \right\} \subseteq G.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume G is open and fix $b \in G$. If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, any $r > 0$ works. Otherwise let

$$F := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G,$$

so F is closed and $b \notin F$. Define the distance from b to F by

$$\delta := d(b, F) := \inf_{y \in F} \|b - y\|_2.$$

Then $\delta > 0$ (if $\delta = 0$ we could find $y_k \in F$ with $y_k \rightarrow b$, and since F is closed this would force $b \in F$, a contradiction). Take $r := \delta/2$. If $a \in B(b, r)$ and $a \notin G$, then $a \in F$ and hence

$$\|a - b\|_2 \geq d(b, F) = \delta,$$

contradicting $\|a - b\|_2 < r = \delta/2$. Therefore $B(b, r) \subseteq G$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume every $b \in G$ contains some Euclidean ball $B(b, r) \subseteq G$. To show G is open, it suffices to show $F = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus G$ is closed. Let $(x_k) \subseteq F$ with $x_k \rightarrow x$. If $x \in G$, choose $r > 0$ with $B(x, r) \subseteq G$. Then for all sufficiently large k , $x_k \in B(x, r) \subseteq G$, contradicting $x_k \in F$. Hence $x \in F$, so F is closed and G is open. \square

5. Dilation and change of variables for Lebesgue measure. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is \mathcal{B}_n -measurable and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Define $f_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f_t(x) := f(tx).$$

(a) Prove that f_t is \mathcal{B}_n -measurable.

(b) Prove that if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\lambda_n$ is defined, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_t d\lambda_n = \frac{1}{|t|^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\lambda_n.$$

Proof. (a) Let $S_t : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be $S_t(x) = tx$. Then S_t is continuous and bijective with continuous inverse $S_{1/t}$, hence a homeomorphism and in particular Borel-measurable. Since $f_t = f \circ S_t$, and compositions of Borel maps are Borel, f_t is \mathcal{B}_n -measurable.

(b) First handle indicators. For $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_A(tx) d\lambda_n(x) = \lambda_n(\{x : tx \in A\}) = \lambda_n(S_t^{-1}(A)) = \lambda_n((1/t)A) = \frac{1}{|t|^n} \lambda_n(A) = \frac{1}{|t|^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{1}_A d\lambda_n,$$

using the dilation formula $\lambda_n((1/t)A) = |t|^{-n} \lambda_n(A)$.

By linearity, the same holds for nonnegative simple functions $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$:

$$\int \phi(tx) d\lambda_n(x) = \frac{1}{|t|^n} \int \phi d\lambda_n.$$

For $f \geq 0$ measurable, pick simple $\phi_k \uparrow f$. Then $\phi_k \circ S_t \uparrow f \circ S_t = f_t$, so by MCT,

$$\int f_t d\lambda_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int (\phi_k \circ S_t) d\lambda_n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|t|^n} \int \phi_k d\lambda_n = \frac{1}{|t|^n} \int f d\lambda_n.$$

For general (signed) f with $\int f$ defined, apply the preceding argument to f^+ and f^- and subtract: $f = f^+ - f^-$. \square

Chapter 6: Banach Spaces

(6.2) Definition: Metric space

Let V be a nonempty set. A *metric* on V is a function

$$d : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

such that for all $f, g, h \in V$:

- (i) $d(f, f) = 0$.
- (ii) If $d(f, g) = 0$, then $f = g$.
- (iii) $d(f, g) = d(g, f)$.
- (iv) (*Triangle inequality*) $d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$.

A *metric space* is a pair (V, d) where V is a nonempty set and d is a metric on V .

Examples.

- (*Discrete metric*) On any set V ,

$$d(f, g) := \begin{cases} 0, & f = g, \\ 1, & f \neq g, \end{cases}$$

is a metric.

- On \mathbb{R}^n (with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), the sup metric (a.k.a. ℓ^∞ metric):

$$d((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) := \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|\}$$

is a metric.

(6.3) Definition: Open ball (and closed ball)

Let (V, d) be a metric space, $f \in V$, and $r > 0$.

- The *open ball* centered at f with radius r is

$$B(f, r) := \{g \in V : d(f, g) < r\}.$$

- The *closed ball* centered at f with radius r is

$$\overline{B}(f, r) := \{g \in V : d(f, g) \leq r\}.$$

(6.6)–(6.9) Definitions: Closed set, closure, limit

- (i) (*Closed subset*) A subset $E \subseteq V$ is *closed* if its complement $V \setminus E$ is open.
- (ii) (*Closure*) For $E \subseteq V$, the *closure* of E , denoted \overline{E} , is

$$\overline{E} := \left\{ g \in V : B(g, \varepsilon) \cap E \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0 \right\}.$$

- (iii) (*Limit in V*) If (f_k) is a sequence in V and $f \in V$, we say $f_k \rightarrow f$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(f_k, f) = 0.$$

(6.9) Result: Properties/characterizations of closure

Let (V, d) be a metric space and $E \subseteq V$. Then:

- (a) $\overline{E} = \{g \in V : \exists (f_k) \subseteq E \text{ with } f_k \rightarrow g\}$.
- (b) \overline{E} is the intersection of all closed subsets of V that contain E .
- (c) \overline{E} is closed.
- (d) E is closed $\iff \overline{E} = E$.
- (e) E is closed $\iff E$ contains the limit of every convergent sequence of elements of E .

In words: the closure of E is the collection of all limits of sequences from E , and E is closed iff it equals its closure.

Section 6A (continued): Continuity, Cauchy sequences, completeness

(6.10) Definition: Continuity

Let (V, d_V) and (W, d_W) be metric spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$.

- T is *continuous at $f \in V$* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d_V(f, g) < \delta \implies d_W(T(f), T(g)) < \varepsilon.$$

- T is *continuous* if it is continuous at every $f \in V$.

(6.11) Equivalent conditions for continuity

For a function $T : V \rightarrow W$ between metric spaces, the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is continuous.
- (b) (*Sequential characterization*) If $f_k \rightarrow f$ in V , then $T(f_k) \rightarrow T(f)$ in W .
- (c) For every open set $G \subseteq W$, the preimage $T^{-1}(G)$ is open in V .
- (d) For every closed set $F \subseteq W$, the preimage $T^{-1}(F)$ is closed in V .

(6.12) Definition: Cauchy sequence

A sequence (f_k) in a metric space (V, d) is *Cauchy* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$d(f_j, f_k) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } j, k \geq N.$$

(Interpretation: the terms eventually get arbitrarily close to each other, not necessarily to a limit that lies in V .)

(6.13) Result: Every convergent sequence is Cauchy

If $f_k \rightarrow f$ in (V, d) , then (f_k) is Cauchy.

(6.14) Definition: Complete metric space

A metric space (V, d) is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in V converges to some element of V .

Example (not complete). $(\mathbb{Q}, |\cdot|)$ is not complete: one can choose a rational Cauchy sequence (q_k) that converges in \mathbb{R} to an irrational number (e.g. $\sqrt{2}$, or a non-terminating non-repeating decimal), hence it has no limit in \mathbb{Q} .

(6.16) Result: Connection between “complete” and “closed”

- (a) A complete subset of a metric space is closed (with the subspace metric).
- (b) A closed subset of a complete metric space is complete (with the subspace metric).

Remark. Every nonempty subset $E \subseteq V$ becomes a metric space with the restricted metric $d|_{E \times E}$.

Section 6B: Vector Spaces (continued)

(6.17) Recall/Definition — Complex numbers \mathbb{C} .

- A *complex number* is an ordered pair (a, b) with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, written as $a + bi$.
- The set of all complex numbers is

$$\mathbb{C} := \{a + bi : (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2\}.$$

- Addition and multiplication on \mathbb{C} :

$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i,$$

$$(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i.$$

- If $a \in \mathbb{R}$, then $a + 0i = a$, so $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Also $0 + 1i = i$.

(6.18) Definition — $\text{Re}(z)$, $\text{Im}(z)$, $|z|$, limits in \mathbb{C} . Let $z = a + bi$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- The real part of z is $\text{Re}(z) := a$.
- The imaginary part of z is $\text{Im}(z) := b$.
- The absolute value of z is

$$|z| := \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

- If $z_1, z_2, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$ and $L \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_k = L \implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k - L| = 0.$$

(6.19) Definition — Measurable complex-valued function. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measurable space. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called \mathcal{S} -measurable if $\text{Re}(f)$ and $\text{Im}(f)$ are both \mathcal{S} -measurable (real-valued) functions.

(6.20) Result — $|f|^p$ is measurable. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measurable space, $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable, and $0 < p < \infty$. Then $|f|^p$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable.

(6.21) Definition — Integral of a complex-valued function. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable with

$$\int |f| d\mu < \infty \quad (f \in L^1(\mu)).$$

Then define

$$\int f d\mu := \int \text{Re}(f) d\mu + i \int \text{Im}(f) d\mu.$$

(6.22) Result — Bound on the absolute value of an integral. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable with $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$. Then

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu.$$

Proof (as in notes). If $\int f d\mu = 0$ the claim is immediate. Otherwise set

$$\alpha := \frac{\overline{\int f d\mu}}{\left| \int f d\mu \right|}, \quad \text{so that } |\alpha| = 1.$$

Then

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| = \alpha \int f d\mu = \int \alpha f d\mu = \int \text{Re}(\alpha f) d\mu + i \int \text{Im}(\alpha f) d\mu.$$

Taking real parts gives

$$\left| \int f d\mu \right| = \int \text{Re}(\alpha f) d\mu \leq \int |\text{Re}(\alpha f)| d\mu \leq \int |\alpha f| d\mu = \int |f| d\mu.$$

□

(6.23) Definition — Complex conjugate. Suppose $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The *complex conjugate* of z , denoted \bar{z} , is defined by

$$\bar{z} := \operatorname{Re}(z) - i \operatorname{Im}(z).$$

Properties of complex conjugation (for $w, z \in \mathbb{C}$).

1. $z\bar{z} = |z|^2$.
2. $z + \bar{z} = 2\operatorname{Re}(z)$, $z - \bar{z} = 2i\operatorname{Im}(z)$.
3. $\overline{w+z} = \bar{w} + \bar{z}$, $\overline{wz} = \bar{w}\bar{z}$.
4. $\bar{\bar{z}} = z$.
5. $|\bar{z}| = |z|$.
6. If $f \in L^1(\mu)$, then

$$\overline{\int f d\mu} = \int \bar{f} d\mu.$$

From now on, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Section 6C: Normed Vector Spaces

(6.33) Definition — Norm; normed vector space. A *norm* on a vector space V over \mathbb{F} is a function $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that, for all $f, g \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$,

1. $\|f\| = 0 \iff f = 0$ (positive definite)
2. $\|\alpha f\| = |\alpha| \|f\|$ (homogeneity)
3. $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ (triangle inequality)

A *normed vector space* is a pair $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ where V is a vector space and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on V .

Examples.

1. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, on \mathbb{F}^n define

$$\|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_1 := |a_1| + \dots + |a_n|, \quad \|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\|_\infty := \max\{|a_1|, \dots, |a_n|\}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are norms on \mathbb{F}^n .

2. On ℓ^2 , define

$$\|(a_1, a_2, \dots)\|_2 := \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|_2$ is a norm on ℓ^2 .

(6.36) Result — Normed vector spaces are metric spaces. Suppose $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space. Define $d : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$d(f, g) := \|f - g\|.$$

Then d is a metric on V .

(6.37) Definition — Banach space. A *complete* normed vector space is called a *Banach space*. Equivalently, a normed vector space V is Banach iff every Cauchy sequence in V converges to an element of V .

(6.40) Definition — Infinite sum in a normed vector space. Suppose g_1, g_2, \dots is a sequence in a normed vector space. Define

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n g_k$$

if this limit exists. If it exists, the infinite series is said to *converge*.

(6.41) Result — Absolute convergence of series \Leftrightarrow Banach. Suppose V is a normed vector space. Then V is a Banach space iff for every sequence $(g_k)_{k \geq 1} \subset V$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|g_k\| < \infty \implies \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k \text{ converges in } V.$$

(6.43) Definition — Bounded linear map; operator norm; $B(V, W)$. Suppose V, W are normed vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear.

1. The *norm* of T is

$$\|T\| := \sup\{\|Tf\| : f \in V, \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

2. T is called *bounded* if $\|T\| < \infty$.
3. The set of bounded linear maps from V to W is denoted $B(V, W)$.

(6.44) Result — $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $B(V, W)$. Suppose V, W are normed vector spaces. Then for all $S, T \in B(V, W)$ and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$\|S + T\| \leq \|S\| + \|T\|, \quad \|\alpha T\| = |\alpha| \|T\|.$$

Moreover, $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $B(V, W)$ (so $B(V, W)$ is a normed vector space).

Proof (sketch as in notes). For $\|S + T\|$:

$$\|S + T\| = \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|(S + T)f\| \leq \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} (\|Sf\| + \|Tf\|) \leq \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|Sf\| + \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|Tf\| = \|S\| + \|T\|.$$

The homogeneity is immediate from linearity. □

(6.47) Result — $B(V, W)$ is Banach if W is Banach. If V is a normed vector space and W is a Banach space, then $B(V, W)$ is a Banach space.

(6.48) Result — Linear map is continuous iff bounded. A linear map between normed vector spaces is continuous iff it is bounded. (So for linear maps: continuity \iff boundedness.)

Proof (as in notes). Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be linear.

- If T is not bounded, then there exist $f_1, f_2, \dots \in V$ with $\|f_n\| \leq 1$ and $\|Tf_n\| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\left\| \frac{f_n}{\|Tf_n\|} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ but}$$

$$T\left(\frac{f_n}{\|Tf_n\|}\right) = \frac{Tf_n}{\|Tf_n\|} \not\rightarrow 0,$$

so T is not continuous at 0, hence not continuous.

- If T is bounded and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in V , then

$$\|Tf_n - Tf\| = \|T(f_n - f)\| \leq \|T\| \|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0,$$

so $Tf_n \rightarrow Tf$ and T is continuous.

□

Exercises 6C.

(4) Every Cauchy sequence in a normed vector space is bounded. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be normed and $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be Cauchy. Take $\varepsilon = 1$. Then $\exists N$ such that $m, n \geq N \Rightarrow \|x_n - x_m\| < 1$. Setting $m = N$ gives $\|x_n - x_N\| < 1$ for all $n \geq N$, and thus

$$\|x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_N\| + \|x_N\| < 1 + \|x_N\| \quad (n \geq N).$$

Let

$$M := \max\{\|x_1\|, \dots, \|x_{N-1}\|, \|x_N\| + 1\}.$$

Then $\|x_n\| \leq M$ for all n , so (x_n) is bounded.

□

(7) $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not Banach. Consider ℓ^1 equipped with the norm $\|(a_1, a_2, \dots)\|_\infty := \sup_k |a_k|$. Let

$$x^{(n)} = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, 0, \dots) \in \ell^1.$$

Then $(x^{(n)})$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and converges (in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$) to

$$x = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots),$$

but $x \notin \ell^1$ since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$ diverges. Hence $(\ell^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not complete, so it is not a Banach space.

(8) $(\ell^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is Banach. Let $(x^{(n)}) \subset \ell^2$ be Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_2$.

- (Boundedness) $\exists M$ such that $\|x^{(n)}\|_2 \leq M$ for all n .
- For each coordinate k ,

$$|x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}| \leq \|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\|_2,$$

so $(x_k^{(n)})_n$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} and $x_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(n)}$ exists.

Define $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Then, by Fatou,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_k^{(n)}|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k^{(n)}|^2 \leq M^2 < \infty,$$

so $x \in \ell^2$. Also, for fixed n ,

$$\|x^{(n)} - x\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}|^2 \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k^{(n)} - x_k^{(m)}|^2 = \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(n)} - x^{(m)}\|_2^2.$$

Since $(x^{(n)})$ is Cauchy, the right-hand side $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\|x^{(n)} - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. Therefore ℓ^2 is complete, i.e. a Banach space. \square

Section 6D: Linear Functionals

(6.49) Definition — Linear functional. A *linear functional* on a vector space V is a linear map $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Viewing \mathbb{F} as a normed vector space with norm $\|z\| := |z|$, the field \mathbb{F} is a Banach space.

Example. Let V be the vector space of sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots) in \mathbb{F} such that $a_k = 0$ for all but finitely many $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Define

$$\varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k,$$

(which is a finite sum on V). Then φ is a linear functional on V .

(6.51) Definition — Null space. Suppose V, W are vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear. The *null space* of T is

$$\text{null}(T) := \{f \in V : Tf = 0\}.$$

If T is continuous between normed vector spaces, then $\text{null}(T)$ is a closed subspace of V since

$$\text{null}(T) = T^{-1}(\{0\}),$$

and $\{0\}$ is closed.

(6.52) Result — Bounded linear functionals. Suppose V is a normed vector space and $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a linear functional that is not identically 0. Then the following are equivalent:

1. φ is a bounded linear functional.
2. φ is a continuous linear functional.
3. $\text{null}(\varphi)$ is a closed subspace of V .
4. $\text{null}(\varphi) \neq V$.

(6.53) Definition — Family. A *family* $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ in a set V is a function $e : \Gamma \rightarrow V$, with $e(k)$ denoted by e_k .

(6.54) Definition — Linearly independent; span; basis. Suppose $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is a family in a vector space V .

- The family is *linearly independent* if there do not exist a finite nonempty subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ and scalars $\{a_j\}_{j \in \Omega} \subset \mathbb{F}$, not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j = 0.$$

- The *span* of $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is

$$\text{span}\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} := \left\{ \sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j : \Omega \subset \Gamma \text{ finite}, a_j \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

- V is *finite-dimensional* if \exists a finite set Γ and a family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} \subset V$ such that $\text{span}\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} = V$. Otherwise V is *infinite-dimensional*.
- A family in V is a *basis* of V if it is linearly independent and its span equals V .

(6.56) Definition — Maximal element. Suppose \mathcal{A} is a collection of subsets of a set V . A set $T \in \mathcal{A}$ is called a *maximal element* of \mathcal{A} if there does not exist $T' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $T \subsetneq T'$.

(6.57) Result — Bases as maximal elements. Suppose V is a vector space. Then a subset of V is a basis iff it is a maximal element of the collection of linearly independent subsets of V .

(6.58) Definition — Chain. A collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of a set V is called a *chain* if for $\Omega, \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ one has

$$\Omega \subset \Gamma \quad \text{or} \quad \Gamma \subset \Omega.$$

(6.60) Result — Zorn's Lemma. Suppose V is a set and \mathcal{A} is a collection of subsets of V with the property that for every chain $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}$, the union $\bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{C}} S$ belongs to \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A} contains a maximal element.

(6.61) Result — Every vector space has a basis.

Every vector space has a basis.

(6.62) Result — Discontinuous linear functionals. Every infinite-dimensional normed vector space has a discontinuous linear functional.

Proof (construction as in notes). Let V be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space. Then V has a (Hamel) basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$, and since $\dim V = \infty$, the index set Γ is infinite. Choose an injection $\mathbb{Z}^+ \subset \Gamma$ (i.e. identify a countably infinite subset of basis vectors). Define $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by setting

$$\varphi(e_j) := j \|e_j\| \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}^+), \quad \varphi(e_k) := 0 \quad (k \in \Gamma \setminus \mathbb{Z}^+),$$

and extending linearly. More precisely, for any finite $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ and scalars $(a_j)_{j \in \Omega}$,

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j\right) := \sum_{j \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{Z}^+} a_j j \|e_j\|.$$

Then for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left\| \frac{e_j}{\|e_j\|} \right\| = 1, \quad \varphi\left(\frac{e_j}{\|e_j\|}\right) = j \rightarrow \infty,$$

so φ is not bounded, hence not continuous. \square

(6.63) Result — Extension lemma (one-step Hahn–Banach). Suppose V is a *real* normed vector space, U is a subspace of V , and $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded linear functional. If $h \in V \setminus U$, then ψ can be extended to a bounded linear functional $\tilde{\psi}$ on $U + \mathbb{R}h$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}\| = \|\psi\|.$$

Here

$$U + \mathbb{R}h := \{f + \alpha h : f \in U, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

(6.67) Definition — Graph. Suppose $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a function (from a set V to a set W). The *graph* of T is the subset of $V \times W$ defined by

$$\text{graph}(T) := \{(f, T(f)) \in V \times W : f \in V\}.$$

(6.68) Result — Function properties in terms of graphs. Suppose V, W are normed vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a function.

1. T is linear \iff $\text{graph}(T)$ is a subspace of $V \times W$.
2. If $U \subset V$ and $S : U \rightarrow W$ is a function, then T is an extension of S

$$\iff \text{graph}(S) \subset \text{graph}(T).$$

3. If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is linear and $c \in [0, \infty)$, then

$$\|T\| \leq c \iff \|g\| \leq c\|f\| \quad \forall (f, g) \in \text{graph}(T).$$

(6.69) Result — Hahn–Banach theorem. Suppose V is a normed vector space, U is a subspace of V , and $\psi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a bounded linear functional. Then ψ can be extended to a bounded linear functional on V whose norm equals $\|\psi\|$.

(6.71) Result — Dual space. Suppose V is a normed vector space. The *dual space* of V , denoted V' , is the normed vector space consisting of all bounded linear functionals on V :

$$V' := B(V, \mathbb{F}) \quad (\text{in particular } V' = B(V, \mathbb{R}) \text{ if } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}).$$

By (6.47), the dual space of every normed vector space is a Banach space.

(6.72) Result — Norming functional (Hahn–Banach corollary). For $f \in V$,

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|\varphi(f)| : \varphi \in V', \|\varphi\| \leq 1\}.$$

Moreover, if $f \neq 0$, then $\exists \varphi \in V'$ such that $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and $|\varphi(f)| = \|f\|$ (and in the real case one may take $\varphi(f) = \|f\|$).

(6.73) Result — Condition to be in the closure of a subspace. Suppose U is a subspace of a normed vector space V and $h \in V$. Then

$$h \in \overline{U} \iff \varphi(h) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in V' \text{ such that } \varphi|_U = 0.$$

Section 6E: Consequences of Baire's Theorem

(6.74) Definition — Interior. Suppose U is a subset of a metric space V . The *interior* of U , denoted $\text{int}(U)$, is the set of all $f \in U$ such that there exists an open ball in V centered at f with positive radius that is contained in U .

- The interior of an open subset of a metric space is open.
- $\text{int}(U)$ is the largest open subset of V contained in U .

(6.75) Definition — Dense. A subset U of a metric space V is called *dense* in V if $\overline{U} = V$.

- Example: \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ are both dense in \mathbb{R} (with the standard metric $d(x, y) = |x - y|$).
- U is dense in $V \iff$ every nonempty open subset of V contains at least one element of U .
- U has empty interior $\iff V \setminus U$ is dense in V .

(6.76) Result — Baire's theorem. Let V be a complete metric space.

1. V is not the countable union of closed subsets of V with empty interior.
2. The countable intersection of dense open subsets of V is nonempty.

(6.80) Result. There does not exist a countable collection of closed subsets of \mathbb{R} whose union equals $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. (In particular, the set of irrational numbers is not a countable union of closed sets.)

Open Mapping Theorem. Suppose V, W are Banach spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a bounded linear map *onto* W . Then $T(G)$ is an open subset of W for every open subset $G \subset V$.

(6.83) Result — Bounded Inverse Theorem. Suppose V, W are Banach spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a one-to-one (injective) bounded linear map *from* V *onto* W . Then $T^{-1} : W \rightarrow V$ is a bounded linear map.

(In other words: if a bounded linear map between Banach spaces has an algebraic inverse, then the inverse is automatically bounded.)

(6.84) Result — Product of Banach Spaces. Suppose V, W are Banach spaces. Then $V \times W$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|(f, g)\| := \max\{\|f\|, \|g\|\} \quad (f \in V, g \in W).$$

With this norm, a sequence $(f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2), \dots \in V \times W$ converges to (f, g) iff

$$\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|g_n - g\| \rightarrow 0.$$

(6.85) Result — Closed Graph Theorem. Suppose V, W are Banach spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a function. Then

$$T \text{ is a bounded linear map} \iff \text{graph}(T) \text{ is a closed subspace of } V \times W.$$

(6.86) Result — Principle of Uniform Boundedness (Banach–Steinhaus). Suppose V is a Banach space, W is a normed vector space, and \mathcal{A} is a family of bounded linear maps $T : V \rightarrow W$ such that for every $f \in V$,

$$\sup\{\|Tf\| : T \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty.$$

Then

$$\sup\{\|T\| : T \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty.$$

(In words: pointwise bounded \Rightarrow uniformly bounded on the unit ball.)

Proof (outline as in notes). For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ define

$$V_n := \{f \in V : \|Tf\| \leq n \text{ for all } T \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

The hypothesis implies $V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$. Each V_n is closed (each T is continuous, and V_n is an intersection of closed sets). By Baire's theorem, $\exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists h \in V, \exists r > 0$ such that $B(h, r) \subset V_n$.

Now let $g \in V$ with $\|g\| \leq 1$. Then $h \in V_n$ and $h + rg \in B(h, r) \subset V_n$, hence for all $T \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\|T(h + rg)\| \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \|Th\| \leq n.$$

Therefore

$$\|Tg\| = \frac{1}{r} \|T(h + rg) - Th\| \leq \frac{1}{r} (\|T(h + rg)\| + \|Th\|) \leq \frac{2n}{r}.$$

Taking sup over $T \in \mathcal{A}$ and then over $\|g\| \leq 1$ gives $\sup_{T \in \mathcal{A}} \|T\| \leq 2n/r < \infty$. □

Chapter 7: ℓ^p -spaces

Section 7A: $L^p(\mu)$

(7.1) Definition — p -norm $\|f\|_p$; $\|f\|_\infty$. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $0 < p < \infty$, and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is \mathcal{S} -measurable. Define the p -norm of f by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

Also, $\|f\|_\infty$ (the *essential supremum* of f) is defined by

$$\|f\|_\infty := \inf \{t > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > t\}) = 0\}.$$

Example (counting measure). Suppose μ is counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ . If $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ is a sequence in \mathbb{F} and $0 < p < \infty$, then

$$\|a\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|a\|_\infty = \sup\{|a_k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}.$$

(7.3) Definition — $L^p(\mu)$ (Lebesgue space). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $0 < p < \infty$. The Lebesgue space $L^p(\mu)$ (or $L^p(X, \mathcal{S}, \mu)$) is defined by

$$L^p(\mu) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable} : \|f\|_p < \infty\}.$$

Example: ℓ^p . When μ is counting measure on \mathbb{Z}^+ , $L^p(\mu)$ is often denoted ℓ^p . Thus for $0 < p < \infty$,

$$\ell^p = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots) : a_k \in \mathbb{F}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p < \infty \right\},$$

and

$$\ell^\infty = \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \dots) : a_k \in \mathbb{F}, \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} |a_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

(7.5) Result — $L^p(\mu)$ is a vector space. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $0 < p < \infty$. Then:

1. If $f, g \in L^p(\mu)$, then $f + g \in L^p(\mu)$ (in particular one can bound $\|f + g\|_p$ by a constant multiple of $\|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$).
2. $\|\alpha f\|_p = |\alpha| \|f\|_p$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and $f \in L^p(\mu)$.

Hence, with the usual pointwise addition and scalar multiplication of functions, $L^p(\mu)$ is a vector space.

(7.6) Definition — Dual (conjugate) exponent p' . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the *dual exponent* (a.k.a. conjugate exponent/index) p' is the element of $[1, \infty]$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

Examples:

$$1' = \infty, \quad \infty' = 1, \quad 2' = 2, \quad 4' = \frac{4}{3}, \quad \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)' = 4.$$

(7.8) Result — Young's inequality. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then for all $a, b \geq 0$,

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^{p'}}{p'}.$$

(7.9) Result — Hölder's inequality. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $f, h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ are \mathcal{S} -measurable. Then

$$\|fh\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|h\|_{p'}.$$

(7.10) Result — $L^q(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu)$ if $p \leq q$ and $\mu(X) < \infty$. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a finite measure space and $0 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then for every $f \in L^q(\mu)$,

$$\|f\|_p \leq \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_q.$$

In particular, $L^q(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu)$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^q(\mu)$ and set $r := \frac{q}{p} \geq 1$, so $r' = \frac{q}{q-p}$ (when $p < q$; the case $p = q$ is trivial). Apply Hölder to $|f|^p = (|f|^q)^{p/q} \cdot 1$ with exponents r, r' :

$$\int |f|^p d\mu \leq \left(\int ((|f|^q)^{p/q})^r d\mu \right)^{1/r} \left(\int 1^{r'} d\mu \right)^{1/r'} = \left(\int |f|^q d\mu \right)^{p/q} \mu(X)^{1-p/q}.$$

Taking p th roots gives $\|f\|_p \leq \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_q$. □

(7.12) Result — Dual formula for $\|f\|_p$. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $f \in L^p(\mu)$. Then

$$\|f\|_p = \sup \left\{ \left| \int f h d\mu \right| : h \in L^{p'}(\mu), \|h\|_{p'} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. If $\|f\|_p = 0$ this is trivial. For $\|f\|_p \neq 0$, Hölder gives for any $h \in L^{p'}$ with $\|h\|_{p'} \leq 1$,

$$\left| \int f h d\mu \right| \leq \int |fh| d\mu \leq \|f\|_p \|h\|_{p'} \leq \|f\|_p,$$

so the supremum is $\leq \|f\|_p$.

For the reverse inequality (when $1 < p < \infty$), define

$$h(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{f(x)} |f(x)|^{p-2}}{\|f\|_p^{p-1}}, & f(x) \neq 0, \\ 0, & f(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\|h\|_{p'} = 1$ and

$$\int f h d\mu = \frac{1}{\|f\|_p^{p-1}} \int |f|^p d\mu = \|f\|_p.$$

Hence the supremum is $\geq \|f\|_p$. □

(7.14) Result — Minkowski's inequality. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $f, g \in L^p(\mu)$. Then

$$\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

Proof (for $1 \leq p < \infty$). Let $h \in L^{p'}(\mu)$ with $\|h\|_{p'} \leq 1$. Then

$$\left| \int (f + g) h d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int f h d\mu \right| + \left| \int g h d\mu \right| \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

Taking the supremum over such h and using (7.12) yields $\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$. (The case $p = \infty$ is handled separately via essential sup.) □

Exercises 7A

(2) $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ properties and $L^\infty(\mu)$ is a vector space. Prove that

$$\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty, \quad \|\alpha f\|_\infty = |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty \quad (f, g \in L^\infty(\mu), \alpha \in \mathbb{F}),$$

and conclude that $L^\infty(\mu)$ is a vector space.

Proof (as in notes). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of essential supremum, there exist null sets N_f, N_g such that on $(N_f \cup N_g)^c$,

$$|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon, \quad |g| \leq \|g\|_\infty + \varepsilon.$$

Hence on $(N_f \cup N_g)^c$,

$$|f + g| \leq |f| + |g| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty + 2\varepsilon,$$

so $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty + 2\varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ gives $\|f + g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$.

For homogeneity: if $\alpha = 0$ it is trivial. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $|f| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon$ a.e. implies $|\alpha f| \leq |\alpha|(\|f\|_\infty + \varepsilon)$ a.e., so $\|\alpha f\|_\infty \leq |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty$. Apply this with $f = (1/|\alpha|)(\alpha f)$ to get $\|f\|_\infty \leq (1/|\alpha|)\|\alpha f\|_\infty$, hence equality.

Closure under addition/scalar multiplication follows, so $L^\infty(\mu)$ is a vector space. □

(6) Characterizing equality in $\|fh\|_p \leq \|f\|_p\|h\|_\infty$. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L^p(\mu)$, and $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Prove that

$$\|fh\|_p = \|f\|_p\|h\|_\infty \iff |h(x)| = \|h\|_\infty \text{ for a.e. } x \in \{f \neq 0\}.$$

Proof (as in notes). We always have

$$\|fh\|_p^p = \int |f|^p|h|^p d\mu \leq \|h\|_\infty^p \int |f|^p d\mu = \|h\|_\infty^p\|f\|_p^p.$$

Assume equality holds. Then

$$0 = \|h\|_\infty^p\|f\|_p^p - \|fh\|_p^p = \int |f|^p(\|h\|_\infty^p - |h|^p) d\mu.$$

The integrand is nonnegative, hence it must vanish a.e. Thus for a.e. x with $|f(x)| > 0$ we have $\|h\|_\infty^p - |h(x)|^p = 0$, i.e. $|h(x)| = \|h\|_\infty$.

Conversely, if $|h| = \|h\|_\infty$ a.e. on $\{f \neq 0\}$, then $|f|^p|h|^p = \|h\|_\infty^p|f|^p$ a.e., so $\|fh\|_p^p = \|h\|_\infty^p\|f\|_p^p$ and hence $\|fh\|_p = \|f\|_p\|h\|_\infty$. \square

(22) Cross-sections of an L^p function on a product space. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) are σ -finite measure spaces and $0 < p < \infty$. If $F \in L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$, prove that:

$$F_x(\cdot) := F(x, \cdot) \in L^p(\nu) \text{ for a.e. } x \in X, \quad F^y(\cdot) := F(\cdot, y) \in L^p(\mu) \text{ for a.e. } y \in Y.$$

Proof (Tonelli/Fubini argument). Since $F \in L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$, we have $|F|^p \in L^1(\mu \otimes \nu)$. Define

$$g(x) := \int_Y |F(x, y)|^p d\nu(y).$$

By Tonelli, g is measurable and

$$\int_X g(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{X \times Y} |F|^p d(\mu \otimes \nu) < \infty.$$

Hence $g(x) < \infty$ for a.e. x , which is exactly $F_x \in L^p(\nu)$ a.e.

Similarly define

$$h(y) := \int_X |F(x, y)|^p d\mu(x),$$

and Tonelli gives $\int_Y h d\nu = \int_{X \times Y} |F|^p d(\mu \otimes \nu) < \infty$, so $h(y) < \infty$ for a.e. y , i.e. $F^y \in L^p(\mu)$ a.e. \square

(24) $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ functions have p -Lebesgue points. Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} |F(x) - F(b)|^p dx = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof (Lebesgue differentiation as used in notes). Since $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, we have $|F|^p \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, so the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem applies. For a.e. b , apply the differentiation theorem to the locally integrable function

$$g_b(x) := |F(x) - F(b)|^p,$$

to get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{b-t}^{b+t} g_b(x) dx = g_b(b) = |F(b) - F(b)|^p = 0.$$

Thus the desired limit holds for almost every $b \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

Section 7B: $L^p(\mu)$

(7.15) Definition — $\mathcal{Z}(\mu); \tilde{\mathcal{f}}$. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $0 < p \leq \infty$.

- $\mathcal{Z}(\mu)$ denotes the set of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $f = 0$ a.e.
- For $f \in L^p(\mu)$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{f}}$ be the subset of $L^p(\mu)$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{f}} := \{ f + z : z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mu) \}.$$

(7.16) Definition — $L^p(\mu)$ (as equivalence classes). Suppose μ is a measure and $0 < p \leq \infty$. Let $L^p(\mu)$ denote the collection of equivalence classes of L^p -functions defined by

$$L^p(\mu) := \{ \tilde{f} : f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu) \},$$

where $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ denotes the set of \mathcal{S} -measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ with $\|f\|_p < \infty$.

For $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in L^p(\mu)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, define

$$\tilde{f} + \tilde{g} := (\widetilde{f+g}), \quad \alpha \tilde{f} := (\widetilde{\alpha f}).$$

Remark. One can think of elements of $L^p(\mu)$ as equivalence classes of functions in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$, where two functions are equivalent iff they agree a.e. An element of $\mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ is a function; an element of $L^p(\mu)$ is a set of functions, any two of which agree a.e.

(7.17) Definition — $\|\cdot\|_p$ on $L^p(\mu)$. Suppose μ is a measure and $0 < p \leq \infty$. Define $\|\cdot\|_p$ on $L^p(\mu)$ by

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_p := \|f\|_p \quad (f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)).$$

This is well-defined: if $\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}$, then $f = g$ a.e., hence $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$.

(7.18) Result — $L^p(\mu)$ is a normed vector space. Suppose μ is a measure and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $L^p(\mu)$ is a vector space and $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm on $L^p(\mu)$. Moreover, $L^p(\mu)$ is a quotient space:

$$L^p(\mu) \cong \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)/\mathcal{Z}(\mu).$$

(7.19) Definition — $L^p(E)$ for $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. If E is a Borel (equivalently: Lebesgue measurable) subset of \mathbb{R} and $0 < p \leq \infty$, then

$$L^p(E) \text{ means } L^p(E, \lambda_E),$$

where λ_E denotes Lebesgue measure restricted to the Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} that are contained in E .

(7.20) Result — Cauchy sequences in $L^p(\mu)$ converge. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. If (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mu)$, then there exists $f \in L^p(\mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0.$$

Proof (as in notes, for $1 \leq p < \infty$). It suffices to find a subsequence (f_{n_k}) and $f \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$ such that $\|f_{n_k} - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$; then the full sequence converges as well.

Since (f_n) is Cauchy, choose a subsequence (f_{n_k}) such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}\|_p < \infty, \quad (f_{n_0} := 0 \text{ or any fixed start}).$$

Define for each m ,

$$g_m(x) := \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_{k-1}}(x)|, \quad g(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_{k-1}}(x)|.$$

By Minkowski,

$$\|g_m\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}\|_p,$$

so $\sup_m \|g_m\|_p < \infty$. Also $g_m \uparrow g$ pointwise, so by monotone convergence,

$$\int g^p d\mu = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int g_m^p d\mu \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_{n_k} - f_{n_{k-1}}\|_p \right)^p < \infty,$$

hence $g(x) < \infty$ for a.e. x .

For those x with $g(x) < \infty$, the real series $\sum_{k \geq 1} (f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_{k-1}}(x))$ converges absolutely, so define

$$f(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{n_k}(x) - f_{n_{k-1}}(x)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_m}(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x,$$

and set $f(x) = 0$ on the null set where the limit is not defined.

Then $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and one can show $\|f_{n_k} - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$ (using Fatou and the fact that $|f_{n_k} - f| \leq \sum_{j>k} |f_{n_j} - f_{n_{j-1}}|$ a.e.). Thus the subsequence converges in L^p , and hence (f_n) converges in L^p . \square

(7.23) Result — L^p convergence implies a.e. convergence along a subsequence. Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^p(\mu)$, and $(f_n) \subset L^p(\mu)$ satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0.$$

Then there exists a subsequence (f_{n_k}) such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in X.$$

(7.24) Result — $L^p(\mu)$ is Banach. Suppose μ is a measure and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $L^p(\mu)$ is a Banach space.

(7.25) Result — Natural map $L^{p'}(\mu) \rightarrow (L^p(\mu))'$ preserves norms. Suppose μ is a measure and $1 \leq p < \infty$. For $h \in L^{p'}(\mu)$ define $\varphi_h : (L^p(\mu))' \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\varphi_h(\tilde{f}) := \int f h d\mu.$$

Then $h \mapsto \varphi_h$ is an injective linear map from $L^{p'}(\mu)$ into $(L^p(\mu))'$, and

$$\|\varphi_h\| = \|h\|_{p'} \quad \forall h \in L^{p'}(\mu).$$

(7.26) Result — Dual space of ℓ^p identified with $\ell^{p'}$. Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$. For $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in \ell^{p'}$ define $\varphi_b : \ell^p \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\varphi_b(a) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k, \quad a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \ell^p.$$

Then $b \mapsto \varphi_b$ is an injective linear map from $\ell^{p'}$ onto $(\ell^p)'$, and

$$\|\varphi_b\| = \|b\|_{p'} \quad \forall b \in \ell^{p'}.$$

Exercises 7B

(2) If $0 < p < 1$, then $\|\cdot\|$ is not a norm on \mathbb{F}^n . Suppose $n \geq 1$ and $0 < p < 1$. Define $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{F}^n by

$$\|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| := (|a_1|^p + \dots + |a_n|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|$ is *not* a norm.

Proof. Positive definiteness and homogeneity hold:

$$\|a\| = 0 \iff a = 0, \quad \|\alpha a\| = |\alpha| \|a\|.$$

The triangle inequality fails. Let $u = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $v = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$\|u\| = \|v\| = 1, \quad \|u + v\| = (1^p + 1^p)^{1/p} = 2^{1/p}.$$

Since $0 < p < 1$, we have $1/p > 1$, so $2^{1/p} > 2$. Hence

$$\|u + v\| > \|u\| + \|v\|,$$

contradicting the triangle inequality. □

(3a) $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is separable for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Prove there is a countable subset of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ whose closure equals $L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Sketch (as in notes). Let \mathcal{I} be the family of all finite unions of half-open intervals $(a, b]$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a < b$; then \mathcal{I} is countable. Let \mathcal{D} be the set of simple functions of the form

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^m q_j \mathbf{1}_{A_j},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$, and $A_j \in \mathcal{I}$ are pairwise disjoint. Then \mathcal{D} is countable. Using (i) density of simple functions in L^p for $p < \infty$, (ii) approximation of measurable sets by finite unions of rational half-open intervals (regularity of Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}), and (iii) approximation of coefficients by rationals, one shows: for every $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s \in \mathcal{D}$ with $\|f - s\|_p < \varepsilon$. Thus $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = L^p(\mathbb{R})$. □

(3b) $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is not separable. Prove there is no countable subset of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ whose closure equals $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof (as in notes). For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ let

$$I_k := (2^{-(k+1)}, 2^{-k}) \subset (0, 1),$$

so the sets I_k are pairwise disjoint and have positive measure. For each subset $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ define

$$f_S := \sum_{k \in S} \mathbf{1}_{I_k} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

If $S \neq T$, pick $k \in S \Delta T$. Then on I_k we have $|f_S - f_T| = 1$, hence

$$\|f_S - f_T\|_\infty = 1.$$

So $\{f_S : S \subset \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is an uncountable 1-separated subset of $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. But in a separable metric space, every ε -separated set is at most countable. Contradiction. \square

(8) Cauchy sequences in $L^\infty(\mu)$ converge. Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space. If $(f_n) \subset L^\infty(\mu)$ is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$, then there exists $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.

Proof (subsequence argument as in notes). Since (f_n) is Cauchy, choose a subsequence (f_{n_m}) such that

$$\|f_{n_{m+1}} - f_{n_m}\|_\infty \leq 2^{-m} \quad (m \geq 1).$$

Define

$$E_m := \{x \in X : |f_{n_{m+1}}(x) - f_{n_m}(x)| > 2^{-m}\}.$$

Because $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is an essential supremum, $\mu(E_m) = 0$ for each m . Let $E := \bigcup_{m \geq 1} E_m$, so $\mu(E) = 0$.

On $X \setminus E$, we have $|f_{n_{m+1}}(x) - f_{n_m}(x)| \leq 2^{-m}$ for all m , hence $(f_{n_m}(x))$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} and converges pointwise. Define

$$f(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_m}(x) \quad (x \in X \setminus E), \quad f(x) := 0 \quad (x \in E).$$

Then f is measurable, and on $X \setminus E$,

$$|f(x) - f_{n_m}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} |f_{n_{j+1}}(x) - f_{n_j}(x)| \leq \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} 2^{-j} = 2^{-m+1}.$$

Thus $\|f - f_{n_m}\|_\infty \leq 2^{-m+1} \rightarrow 0$. Finally, since (f_n) is Cauchy, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ choose m with $2^{-m+1} < \varepsilon/2$, and then choose N such that $\|f_n - f_{n_m}\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$ for $n \geq N$. Then for $n \geq N$,

$$\|f_n - f\|_\infty \leq \|f_n - f_{n_m}\|_\infty + \|f_{n_m} - f\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^\infty(\mu)$. \square

(15) The space c_0 and its dual. Let

$$c_0 := \{a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \ell^\infty : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = 0\},$$

with the norm inherited from ℓ^∞ : $\|a\|_\infty = \sup_k |a_k|$.

(15a) c_0 is a Banach space. *Proof (closed subspace argument).* Let $a^{(n)} \in c_0$ and suppose $a^{(n)} \rightarrow a$ in ℓ^∞ , i.e. $\|a^{(n)} - a\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose n so that $\|a - a^{(n)}\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$. Since $a^{(n)} \in c_0$, choose K so that $|a_k^{(n)}| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $k \geq K$. Then for $k \geq K$,

$$|a_k| \leq |a_k - a_k^{(n)}| + |a_k^{(n)}| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon,$$

so $a_k \rightarrow 0$ and $a \in c_0$. Thus c_0 is closed in ℓ^∞ . Since ℓ^∞ is Banach, c_0 is Banach. \square

(15b) $(c_0)' \cong \ell^1$ isometrically. For $y = (y_k) \in \ell^1$, define $\Phi_y : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\Phi_y(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k.$$

Then Φ_y is bounded and $\|\Phi_y\| = \|y\|_1$.

Conversely, if $\Lambda \in (c_0)'$, define $y_k := \Lambda(e^{(k)})$ where $e^{(k)}$ is the k th standard basis vector. For each N , let $x^{(N)} := \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{sgn}(y_k) e^{(k)} \in c_0$ (with $\|x^{(N)}\|_\infty = 1$). Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |y_k| = \Lambda(x^{(N)}) \leq \|\Lambda\| \|x^{(N)}\|_\infty = \|\Lambda\|.$$

So $(\sum_{k=1}^N |y_k|)$ is bounded, hence $y \in \ell^1$ and $\|y\|_1 \leq \|\Lambda\|$. For $x \in c_{00}$ (finite support), $\Lambda(x) = \sum_k x_k y_k$ by linearity; density of c_{00} in c_0 then yields $\Lambda = \Phi_y$ on all of c_0 . Thus $y \mapsto \Phi_y$ is a surjective linear isometry $\ell^1 \rightarrow (c_0)'$. \square

Chapter 8: Hilbert Spaces

Section 8A: Inner Product Spaces

(8.1) Definition — Inner product; inner product space. An *inner product* on a vector space V over \mathbb{F} (where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}) is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that for all $f, g, h \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$:

1. (Positivity) $\langle f, f \rangle \in [0, \infty)$.
2. (Definiteness) $\langle f, f \rangle = 0 \iff f = 0$.
3. (Linearity in first slot) $\langle f + g, h \rangle = \langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, h \rangle$ and $\langle \alpha f, g \rangle = \alpha \langle f, g \rangle$.
4. (Conjugate symmetry) $\langle f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle g, f \rangle}$.

A vector space equipped with an inner product is called an *inner product space*. (If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the conjugate can be ignored, so $\langle f, g \rangle = \langle g, f \rangle$.)

Examples.

1. On \mathbb{F}^n :

$$\langle (a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle := a_1 \overline{b_1} + \dots + a_n \overline{b_n}.$$

2. On ℓ^2 :

$$\langle (a_k)_{k \geq 1}, (b_k)_{k \geq 1} \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \overline{b_k}.$$

(8.3) Basic properties of the inner product. If V is an inner product space, then:

1. $\langle 0, g \rangle = \langle g, 0 \rangle = 0$ for all $g \in V$.
2. $\langle f, g + h \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle + \langle f, h \rangle$ for all $f, g, h \in V$.
3. $\langle f, \alpha g \rangle = \bar{\alpha} \langle f, g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

(8.4) Definition — Norm associated with an inner product. If V is an inner product space, define for $f \in V$:

$$\|f\| := \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}.$$

For example, on \mathbb{F}^n this gives $\|(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|^2)^{1/2}$, and on ℓ^2 it gives $\|(a_k)\| = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2)^{1/2}$.

(8.6) Result — Homogeneity of the norm. If V is an inner product space, then $\|\alpha f\| = |\alpha| \|f\|$ for all $f \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$.

(8.7) Definition — Orthogonal. Two elements $f, g \in V$ are *orthogonal*, written $f \perp g$, if $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$.

(8.8) Result — Pythagorean theorem. If $f \perp g$ in an inner product space, then

$$\|f + g\|^2 = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2.$$

Proof. Expand:

$$\|f + g\|^2 = \langle f + g, f + g \rangle = \langle f, f \rangle + \langle f, g \rangle + \langle g, f \rangle + \langle g, g \rangle = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2.$$

□

(8.10) Result — Orthogonal decomposition. Suppose f, g are elements of an inner product space with $g \neq 0$. Then there exists $h \in V$ such that $h \perp g$ and

$$f = \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|g\|^2} g + h.$$

Proof. Let $h := f - \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|g\|^2} g$. Then

$$\langle h, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle - \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|g\|^2} \langle g, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle - \langle f, g \rangle = 0.$$

□

(8.11) Result — Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. For f, g in an inner product space,

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|g\|,$$

with equality iff one of f, g is a scalar multiple of the other.

Proof sketch (as in notes). If $g = 0$ it is trivial. Otherwise write $f = \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|g\|^2} g + h$ with $h \perp g$. Then by Pythagoras,

$$\|f\|^2 = \left\| \frac{\langle f, g \rangle}{\|g\|^2} g \right\|^2 + \|h\|^2 = \frac{|\langle f, g \rangle|^2}{\|g\|^2} + \|h\|^2 \geq \frac{|\langle f, g \rangle|^2}{\|g\|^2}.$$

Hence $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$. Equality holds iff $h = 0$, i.e. f is a scalar multiple of g .

□

Example (C–S in L^2). If (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $f, g \in L^2(\mu)$, then

$$\left| \int f\bar{g} d\mu \right| \leq \left(\int |f|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |g|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

(8.15) Result — Triangle inequality (for the induced norm). If f, g are elements of an inner product space, then

$$\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|.$$

Moreover, equality holds iff one of f, g is a nonnegative real multiple of the other.

Proof. Compute

$$\|f+g\|^2 = \langle f+g, f+g \rangle = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2\Re\langle f, g \rangle \leq \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + 2\|f\|\|g\| = (\|f\| + \|g\|)^2,$$

using $\Re z \leq |z|$ and Cauchy–Schwarz. Taking square roots gives the inequality. Equality forces $\Re\langle f, g \rangle = |\langle f, g \rangle| = \|f\|\|g\|$, i.e. $\langle f, g \rangle$ is a nonnegative real number and f, g are linearly dependent, hence one is a nonnegative real multiple of the other. \square

(8.14) Result — The induced function $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm. If V is an inner product space and $\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$, then $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on V .

(8.20) Result — Parallelogram identity. For f, g in an inner product space,

$$\|f + g\|^2 + \|f - g\|^2 = 2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2.$$

Proof. Expand both sides:

$$\|f + g\|^2 = \langle f + g, f + g \rangle = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 + \langle f, g \rangle + \langle g, f \rangle,$$

$$\|f - g\|^2 = \langle f - g, f - g \rangle = \|f\|^2 + \|g\|^2 - \langle f, g \rangle - \langle g, f \rangle.$$

Adding gives $2\|f\|^2 + 2\|g\|^2$. \square

Exercises 8A

(2) An inner product on $C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{F})$ using rational evaluations. Let V be the vector space of bounded continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. Let r_1, r_2, \dots be an enumeration of \mathbb{Q} . For $f, g \in V$ define

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(r_k)\overline{g(r_k)}}{2^k}.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V .

Proof. Let $\|f\|_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| < \infty$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f(r_k)\overline{g(r_k)}}{2^k} \right| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} \|g\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = \|f\|_{\infty} \|g\|_{\infty} < \infty,$$

so the series converges absolutely.

Linearity in the first slot is termwise:

$$\langle af_1 + bf_2, g \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(af_1(r_k) + bf_2(r_k))\overline{g(r_k)}}{2^k} = a\langle f_1, g \rangle + b\langle f_2, g \rangle.$$

Conjugate symmetry holds since

$$\overline{\langle g, f \rangle} = \overline{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(r_k) \overline{f(r_k)}}{2^k}} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{f(r_k)} \overline{g(r_k)}}{2^k} = \langle f, g \rangle.$$

Positivity:

$$\langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(r_k)|^2}{2^k} \geq 0.$$

Definiteness: if $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$, then every summand is 0, hence $f(r_k) = 0$ for all k , i.e. $f = 0$ on \mathbb{Q} . Since \mathbb{Q} is dense and f is continuous, $f \equiv 0$ on \mathbb{R} . Conversely, if $f \not\equiv 0$, choose x_0 with $|f(x_0)| > 0$; by continuity, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $|f(x)| > 0$ on $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, and picking $r_k \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ yields $\langle f, f \rangle > 0$. \square

(2) Identity for the Cauchy–Schwarz deficit in $L^2(\mu)$. If $f, g \in L^2(\mu)$, then

$$\|f\|_2^2 \|g\|_2^2 - |\langle f, g \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{X \times X} |f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y)|^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x),$$

where $\langle f, g \rangle := \int f \bar{g} d\mu$.

Proof. Let

$$I := \frac{1}{2} \iint |f(x)g(y) - g(x)f(y)|^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Expand $|a - b|^2 = |a|^2 + |b|^2 - 2\Re(a\bar{b})$ with $a = f(x)g(y)$ and $b = g(x)f(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2I &= \iint |f(x)|^2 |g(y)|^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x) + \iint |g(x)|^2 |f(y)|^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\quad - 2\Re \iint f(x)g(y) \overline{g(x)f(y)} d\mu(y) d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Tonelli/Fubini,

$$\iint |f(x)|^2 |g(y)|^2 d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = \left(\int |f|^2 d\mu \right) \left(\int |g|^2 d\mu \right) = \|f\|_2^2 \|g\|_2^2,$$

and the second term is the same. For the cross term,

$$\iint f(x)g(y) \overline{g(x)f(y)} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = \left(\int f(x) \overline{g(x)} d\mu(x) \right) \left(\int g(y) \overline{f(y)} d\mu(y) \right) = \langle f, g \rangle \overline{\langle f, g \rangle} = |\langle f, g \rangle|^2.$$

Hence $2I = 2\|f\|_2^2 \|g\|_2^2 - 2|\langle f, g \rangle|^2$, so $I = \|f\|_2^2 \|g\|_2^2 - |\langle f, g \rangle|^2$. \square

(17) A weighted Cauchy–Schwarz inequality on $[1, \infty)$. Let λ be Lebesgue measure on $[1, \infty)$.

(a) If $f : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Borel measurable, then

$$\left(\int_1^\infty f(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \int_1^\infty x^2 f(x)^2 dx.$$

Proof. Set $u(x) := xf(x)$ and $v(x) := \frac{1}{x}$. Then $v \in L^2([1, \infty))$ and

$$\int_1^\infty v(x)^2 dx = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} dx = 1.$$

If $\int_1^\infty x^2 f(x)^2 dx = \infty$ the inequality is trivial. Otherwise $f \in L^2$ and by Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\left(\int_1^\infty f(x) dx \right)^2 = \left(\int_1^\infty u(x)v(x) dx \right)^2 \leq \left(\int_1^\infty u(x)^2 dx \right) \left(\int_1^\infty v(x)^2 dx \right) = \int_1^\infty x^2 f(x)^2 dx.$$

□

- (b) Equality holds iff $f(x) = \frac{c}{x^2}$ a.e. on $[1, \infty)$ for some constant $c \geq 0$.

Reason. Equality in Cauchy–Schwarz holds iff u and v are linearly dependent a.e., i.e. $u(x) = cv(x)$ a.e. Thus $xf(x) = c \cdot \frac{1}{x}$ a.e., so $f(x) = c/x^2$ a.e. □

(19) Product of inner product spaces. Suppose V_1, \dots, V_m are inner product spaces. Define an inner product on $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$ by

$$\langle (f_1, \dots, f_m), (g_1, \dots, g_m) \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^m \langle f_k, g_k \rangle_{V_k}.$$

Then this defines an inner product on $V_1 \times \dots \times V_m$.

(20) Continuity of the inner product map. Let V be an inner product space. The map

$$H : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad H(f, g) = \langle f, g \rangle$$

is continuous (in fact, jointly continuous). More quantitatively, for any $f, g, f_0, g_0 \in V$,

$$|H(f, g) - H(f_0, g_0)| = |\langle f - f_0, g \rangle + \langle f_0, g - g_0 \rangle| \leq \|f - f_0\| \|g\| + \|f_0\| \|g - g_0\|.$$

In particular, if $(f, g) \rightarrow (f_0, g_0)$, then $H(f, g) \rightarrow H(f_0, g_0)$.

Section 8B: Orthogonality

(8.21) Definition — Hilbert space. A *Hilbert space* is an inner product space that is a Banach space with respect to the norm induced by the inner product,

$$\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}.$$

Example. If μ is a measure, then $L^2(\mu)$ with its usual inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f\bar{g} d\mu$ is a Hilbert space.

(*Common non-example.*) The space c_{00} of finitely supported sequences with inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \bar{b}_k$ is an inner product space but is not complete; its completion is ℓ^2 .

(8.24) Definition — Distance from a point to a set. Suppose U is a nonempty subset of a normed vector space V and $f \in V$. Define

$$\text{dist}(f, U) := \inf\{\|f - g\| : g \in U\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{dist}(f, U) = 0 \iff f \in \overline{U}.$$

(8.25) Definition — Convex set. A subset U of a vector space V is *convex* if it contains the line segment joining any two of its points; i.e., for all $f, g \in U$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$(1-t)f + tg \in U.$$

Every subspace of a vector space is convex.

(8.28) Result — Nearest point in a nonempty closed convex set (Hilbert space). Let V be a Hilbert space, $U \subset V$ be nonempty, closed, and convex, and $f \in V$. Then there exists a *unique* $g \in U$ such that

$$\|f - g\| = \text{dist}(f, U).$$

(8.34) Definition — Orthogonal projection onto a closed convex set. Suppose U is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space V . The *orthogonal projection* (metric projection) onto U is the map $P_U : V \rightarrow V$ defined by letting $P_U(f)$ be the unique element of U closest to f :

$$P_U(f) \in U, \quad \|f - P_U(f)\| = \text{dist}(f, U).$$

(8.37) Result — Orthogonal projection onto a closed subspace. Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space V and $f \in V$. Then:

- (i) $f - P_U(f)$ is orthogonal to U , i.e. $\langle f - P_U(f), g \rangle = 0$ for all $g \in U$.
- (ii) If $h \in U$ and $f - h \perp U$, then $h = P_U(f)$.
- (iii) $P_U : V \rightarrow V$ is linear.
- (iv) $\|P_U(f)\| \leq \|f\|$, with equality iff $f \in U$.

(8.38) Definition — Orthogonal complement U^\perp . Suppose U is a subset of an inner product space V . The *orthogonal complement* of U , denoted U^\perp , is defined by

$$U^\perp := \{h \in V : \langle h, g \rangle = 0 \ \forall g \in U\}.$$

In other words, U^\perp is the set of vectors orthogonal to every element of U .

Example (in ℓ^2). Let

$$U := \{(a_1, 0, a_3, 0, a_5, 0, \dots) : (a_k) \in \ell^2\}.$$

Then

$$U^\perp = \{(0, b_2, 0, b_4, 0, b_6, \dots) : (b_k) \in \ell^2\}.$$

(8.40) Properties of orthogonal complements. If $U \subset V$ is any subset of an inner product space V , then:

- (a) U^\perp is a closed subspace of V .
- (b) $U \cap U^\perp \subset \{0\}$.
- (c) If $W \subset U$, then $U^\perp \subset W^\perp$.
- (d) $\overline{U} \subset (U^\perp)^\perp$.
- (e) If V is a Hilbert space and U is a subspace, then

$$\overline{U} = (U^\perp)^\perp,$$

so in particular U is closed $\iff U = (U^\perp)^\perp$.

(8.42) Result — Condition for a subspace to be dense. Suppose U is a subspace of a Hilbert space V . Then

$$\overline{U} = V \iff U^\perp = \{0\}.$$

(8.43) Result — Orthogonal decomposition. Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space V . Then every $f \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$f = g + h, \quad g \in U, \quad h \in U^\perp.$$

Moreover $g = P_U(f)$ and $h = f - P_U(f)$, and $g \perp h$.

(8.44) Definition — Identity map I . Suppose V is a vector space. The *identity map* $I : V \rightarrow V$ is defined by

$$I(f) = f \quad \forall f \in V.$$

(8.45) Result — Range and null space of orthogonal projections. Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space V . Then:

- (i) $\text{range}(P_U) = U$ and $\text{null}(P_U) = U^\perp$.
- (ii) $\text{range}(P_{U^\perp}) = U^\perp$ and $\text{null}(P_{U^\perp}) = U$.
- (iii) $P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$.

(8.47) Result — Riesz representation theorem. Let V be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{F} .

- If $h \in V$, define $\varphi_h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $\varphi_h(f) := \langle f, h \rangle$. Then φ_h is a bounded linear functional and

$$|\varphi_h(f)| = |\langle f, h \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|h\| \Rightarrow \|\varphi_h\| \leq \|h\|.$$

In fact $\|\varphi_h\| = \|h\|$.

- Conversely, if $\varphi \in V'$ is any bounded linear functional on V , then there exists a *unique* $h \in V$ such that

$$\varphi(f) = \langle f, h \rangle \quad \forall f \in V,$$

and moreover $\|\varphi\| = \|h\|$.

Proof sketch (matching the notes). If $\varphi = 0$, take $h = 0$. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then $\text{null}(\varphi) \neq V$, so $(\text{null}(\varphi))^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Pick $g \in (\text{null}(\varphi))^\perp$ with $\|g\| = 1$. Let $h := \varphi(g)g$ (over \mathbb{R} , just $h = \varphi(g)g$). Then $\|h\| = |\varphi(g)|$, and one checks that for every $f \in V$, writing $f = f_0 + \alpha g$ with $f_0 \in \text{null}(\varphi)$ gives $\varphi(f) = \alpha\varphi(g) = \langle f, h \rangle$. Uniqueness follows since $\langle f, h - \tilde{h} \rangle = 0$ for all f implies $h = \tilde{h}$. Finally, $\|\varphi\| \leq \|h\|$ by Cauchy–Schwarz and $\|\varphi\| \geq |\varphi(g)| = \|h\|$, so $\|\varphi\| = \|h\|$. \square

Exercises 8B

(2) Disprove: the inner product space from Exercise 8A(2) is Hilbert. Let $V = C_b(\mathbb{R})$ with

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(r_k)\overline{g(r_k)}}{2^k}, \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|f(r_k)|^2}{2^k}.$$

Show V is not complete (hence not Hilbert).

Construction (as in notes). Define a sequence (y_k) by

$$y_k := \begin{cases} 1, & r_k \leq 0, \\ 0, & r_k > 0. \end{cases}$$

For each N , choose $F_N \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq F_N \leq 1$ and

$$F_N(r_k) = y_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Then for $M \geq N$,

$$\|F_M - F_N\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{|F_M(r_k) - F_N(r_k)|^2}{2^k} = \sum_{k>N} \frac{|F_M(r_k) - F_N(r_k)|^2}{2^k} \leq \sum_{k>N} \frac{1}{2^k} = 2^{-N}.$$

Hence (F_N) is Cauchy in $\|\cdot\|$.

If $F_N \rightarrow F$ in this norm, then for each fixed k ,

$$|F_N(r_k) - F(r_k)|^2 \leq 2^k \|F_N - F\|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

so $F(r_k) = y_k$ for all k .

Pick rationals $q_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (-\infty, 0)$ with $q_n \rightarrow 0$ and $p_n \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \infty)$ with $p_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $F(q_n) = 1$ and $F(p_n) = 0$ for all n . Continuity at 0 would force both limits to equal $F(0)$, impossible. Thus no such $F \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ exists, so V is not complete. \square

(3) ℓ^2 -direct sums of Hilbert spaces are Hilbert. Suppose V_1, V_2, \dots are Hilbert spaces. Define

$$V := \left\{ (f_1, f_2, \dots) : f_k \in V_k, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|_{V_k}^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

For $f = (f_k)$ and $g = (g_k)$ define

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, g_k \rangle_{V_k}.$$

Then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on V and V is a Hilbert space.

Key points. By Cauchy–Schwarz in each V_k , $|\langle f_k, g_k \rangle| \leq \|f_k\| \|g_k\|$, so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f_k, g_k \rangle| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|g_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

hence the inner product is well-defined and satisfies the axioms termwise.

For completeness: if $(x^{(n)})$ is Cauchy in V , then each coordinate $(x_k^{(n)})$ is Cauchy in V_k , hence converges to some $x_k \in V_k$. Fatou gives $\sum_k \|x_k\|^2 < \infty$, so $x = (x_k) \in V$, and again using Fatou/standard estimates yields $x^{(n)} \rightarrow x$ in V . Thus V is complete. \square

(23a) Example: strict inequality $|\varphi(f)| < \|\varphi\| \|f\|$ for all $f \neq 0$. Let $V = c_0$ with $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\infty$. Define $\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\varphi(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} x_n, \quad x = (x_n) \in c_0.$$

Then φ is bounded and $\|\varphi\| = 1$, but for every $x \neq 0$,

$$|\varphi(x)| < \|x\|_\infty \|\varphi\|.$$

Reason.

$$|\varphi(x)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |x_n| \leq \|x\|_\infty \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} = \|x\|_\infty,$$

so $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. Let $x^{(N)} = (1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots) \in c_0$ (first N entries 1). Then $\|x^{(N)}\|_\infty = 1$ and

$$\varphi(x^{(N)}) = \sum_{n=1}^N 2^{-n} = 1 - 2^{-N} \rightarrow 1,$$

so $\|\varphi\| \geq 1$, hence $\|\varphi\| = 1$.

If equality $|\varphi(x)| = \|x\|_\infty$ held for some nonzero $x \in c_0$, we would need $|x_n| = \|x\|_\infty$ for all n with $2^{-n} > 0$, which is impossible in c_0 unless $x = 0$ (since $x_n \rightarrow 0$). Hence the inequality is strict for all $x \neq 0$. \square

(23b) In a Hilbert space, equality is attained. If V is a Hilbert space and $\varphi \in V'$, then by Riesz there exists $h \in V$ with $\varphi(f) = \langle f, h \rangle$ and $\|\varphi\| = \|h\|$. Taking $f = h \neq 0$ gives

$$|\varphi(h)| = |\langle h, h \rangle| = \|h\|^2 = \|\varphi\| \|h\|,$$

so in Hilbert spaces the operator norm is achieved (unless $\varphi = 0$).

(26) If V is infinite-dimensional Hilbert, then $B(V)$ is nonseparable. Let V be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and $B(V)$ the Banach space of bounded linear operators $V \rightarrow V$ (with operator norm).

Let $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ be an orthonormal basis of V . For each sign choice $s = (s_j)_{j \in J} \in \{\pm 1\}^J$, define $U_s \in B(V)$ by

$$U_s \left(\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j e_j \right) := \sum_{j \in J} s_j \alpha_j e_j.$$

Then $\|U_s\| = 1$ for all s (it is an isometry). If $s \neq t$, pick j with $s_j \neq t_j$. Then

$$(U_s - U_t)e_j = (s_j - t_j)e_j = \pm 2e_j,$$

so $\|U_s - U_t\| \geq 2$. Also $\|U_s - U_t\| \leq \|U_s\| + \|U_t\| = 2$, hence $\|U_s - U_t\| = 2$. Therefore $\{U_s : s \in \{\pm 1\}^J\}$ is a 2-separated set in $B(V)$ of cardinality $2^{|J|}$ (uncountable). A separable metric space cannot contain an uncountable ε -separated set for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $B(V)$ is nonseparable. \square

Section 8C: Orthonormal Bases

Recall (family). A family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ in a set V is a function $e : \Gamma \rightarrow V$, with value at k denoted e_k .

(8.50) Definition — Orthonormal family. A family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ in an inner product space V is *orthonormal* if

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad (i, j \in \Gamma).$$

Equivalently, the vectors e_i, e_j are orthogonal for $i \neq j$, and $\|e_k\| = 1$ for all $k \in \Gamma$.

Example (Fourier system in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$). For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$e_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & k = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos(kx), & k \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(|k|x), & k \leq -1, \end{cases} \quad x \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

Then $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal family in $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$.

(8.52) Result — Finite orthonormal families. Suppose Ω is a finite set and $\{e_j\}_{j \in \Omega}$ is an orthonormal family in an inner product space V . Then for any scalars $\{a_j\}_{j \in \Omega} \subset \mathbb{F}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |a_j|^2.$$

Proof.

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j \right\|^2 = \left\langle \sum_{j \in \Omega} a_j e_j, \sum_{k \in \Omega} a_k e_k \right\rangle = \sum_{j, k \in \Omega} a_j \overline{a_k} \langle e_j, e_k \rangle = \sum_{j \in \Omega} |a_j|^2. \quad \square$$

(8.53) Definition — Unordered sums $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} f_k$. Suppose $\{f_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is a family in a normed vector space V . We say the *unordered sum* $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} f_k$ converges to $f \in V$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite set $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in \Omega'} f_k \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all finite } \Omega' \text{ with } \Omega \subset \Omega' \subset \Gamma.$$

If this happens, we write $f = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} f_k$.

Example (nonnegative reals). If $a_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \Gamma$ (a family in \mathbb{R}), then $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k$ converges iff

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{k \in \Omega} a_k : \Omega \subset \Gamma \text{ finite} \right\} < \infty,$$

and in that case it equals that supremum.

(8.54) Definition/Result — Linear combinations of an orthonormal family. Suppose $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal family in a Hilbert space V , and $\{a_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is a scalar family. Then:

- (i) The unordered sum $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k e_k$ converges in V iff $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |a_k|^2 < \infty$.

(ii) If $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k e_k$ converges, then

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k e_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} |a_k|^2.$$

Proof sketch. If $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k e_k = g$, then (8.52) gives $\left\| \sum_{k \in \Omega} a_k e_k \right\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \Omega} |a_k|^2 \leq (\|g\| + \varepsilon)^2$ for all sufficiently large finite Ω , hence $\sup_{\Omega} \sum_{k \in \Omega} |a_k|^2 < \infty$ and thus $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |a_k|^2 < \infty$.

Conversely, if $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |a_k|^2 < \infty$, choose an increasing sequence of finite sets $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2 \subset \dots$ with $\bigcup_m \Omega_m = \Gamma$ and such that $\sum_{k \in \Gamma \setminus \Omega_m} |a_k|^2 \rightarrow 0$. Let $g_m := \sum_{k \in \Omega_m} a_k e_k$. Then for $n > m$,

$$\|g_n - g_m\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \Omega_n \setminus \Omega_m} |a_k|^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

so (g_m) is Cauchy and converges in V to some g . The unordered convergence definition follows from the same tail estimate, and (ii) follows by passing to the limit in (8.52). \square

(8.57) Definition/Result — Bessel's inequality. Suppose $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal family in an inner product space V and $f \in V$. Then

$$\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \leq \|f\|^2.$$

Proof (finite version \Rightarrow supremum). For any finite $\Omega \subset \Gamma$,

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k \in \Omega} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k \right\|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \sum_{k \in \Omega} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \geq 0,$$

hence $\sum_{k \in \Omega} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \leq \|f\|^2$ for all finite Ω , which implies the claim. \square

(8.58) Result — Closure of the span of an orthonormal family. Suppose $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal family in a Hilbert space V . Then

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{e_k : k \in \Gamma\} = \left\{ \sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k e_k : \sum_{k \in \Gamma} |a_k|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

and for every f in this closed span,

$$f = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k.$$

(8.61) Definition — Orthonormal basis. An orthonormal family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ in a Hilbert space V is an *orthonormal basis* (ONB) if

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{e_k : k \in \Gamma\} = V.$$

(8.63) Result — Parseval's identity. Suppose $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space V and $f, g \in V$. Then:

$$(i) \quad f = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k.$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \langle f, e_k \rangle \langle e_k, g \rangle = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \langle f, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle g, e_k \rangle}.$$

$$(iii) \quad \|f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2.$$

(8.64) Definition — Separable. A normed vector space V is *separable* if it has a countable subset whose closure is V .

Example. ℓ^2 is separable because the set of finitely supported sequences with rational coordinates is countable and dense in ℓ^2 .

(8.67) Result — Every separable Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.

(8.71) Result — Orthogonal projection in terms of an ONB. Suppose U is a closed subspace of a Hilbert space V and $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of U . Then for every $f \in V$,

$$P_U(f) = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k.$$

(8.74) Result — Orthonormal bases as maximal orthonormal sets. Let V be a Hilbert space and let \mathcal{A} be the collection of all orthonormal subsets of V (ordered by inclusion). If $\Gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, then Γ is an orthonormal basis of V iff Γ is a maximal element of \mathcal{A} .

(8.75) Result — Every Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis. (Uses Zorn's lemma applied to \mathcal{A} .)

(8.76) Result — Riesz representation via coordinates in an ONB. Suppose φ is a bounded linear functional on a Hilbert space V , and $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of V . Let

$$c_k := \varphi(e_k) \quad (k \in \Gamma).$$

Then $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |c_k|^2 < \infty$, and if we define

$$h := \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \overline{c_k} e_k \in V,$$

we have

$$\varphi(f) = \langle f, h \rangle \quad \forall f \in V,$$

and

$$\|\varphi\| = \|h\| = \left(\sum_{k \in \Gamma} |c_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Exercises 8C

(2) Let $\{a_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $a_k \geq 0$ for all k . For $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) := \{\Omega \subset \Gamma : |\Omega| < \infty\}$ define

$$s_\Omega := \sum_{k \in \Omega} a_k.$$

Claim: The unordered sum $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k$ converges \iff

$$M := \sup\{s_\Omega : \Omega \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)\} < \infty,$$

and in that case $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} a_k = M$.

Proof. If the unordered sum exists and equals S , then $s_\Omega \leq S$ for all finite Ω , hence $M \leq S < \infty$. Conversely assume $M < \infty$. Since $a_k \geq 0$, the net $\{s_\Omega\}_{\Omega \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}$ is increasing: if $\Omega \subset \Omega'$ then $s_\Omega \leq s_{\Omega'} \leq M$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By definition of supremum, choose Ω_ε with $s_{\Omega_\varepsilon} > M - \varepsilon$. Then for any $\Omega \supset \Omega_\varepsilon$,

$$M - \varepsilon < s_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \leq s_\Omega \leq M \quad \Rightarrow \quad |s_\Omega - M| < \varepsilon,$$

so $s_\Omega \rightarrow M$. \square

(4) Let X be a normed vector space and let $\{f_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}, \{g_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} \subset X$ be families such that the unordered sums $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} f_k$ and $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} g_k$ converge. **Claim:** $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} (f_k + g_k)$ converges unordered and

$$\sum_{k \in \Gamma} (f_k + g_k) = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} f_k + \sum_{k \in \Gamma} g_k.$$

Proof. For $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ set

$$u_\Omega := \sum_{k \in \Omega} f_k, \quad v_\Omega := \sum_{k \in \Omega} g_k, \quad t_\Omega := \sum_{k \in \Omega} (f_k + g_k).$$

Then $t_\Omega = u_\Omega + v_\Omega$ for all Ω . If $u_\Omega \rightarrow F$ and $v_\Omega \rightarrow G$ in X , continuity of addition gives $t_\Omega \rightarrow F + G$, i.e. the unordered sum exists and equals $F + G$. \square

(7) Let V be a normed vector space, $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \subset V$, and $F \in V$. For $\Omega \in \mathcal{F} := \{\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}^+ : |\Omega| < \infty\}$ set $s_\Omega := \sum_{k \in \Omega} f_k$. **Claim:** The unordered sum $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} f_k = F$ iff for every bijection (permutation) $p : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_{p(n)}$ converges to F .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $s_\Omega \rightarrow F$, then for any bijection p the partial sums

$$S_n := \sum_{j=1}^n f_{p(j)} = s_{\Omega_n}, \quad \Omega_n := \{p(1), \dots, p(n)\},$$

satisfy $S_n \rightarrow F$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume every rearrangement converges to F . We first prove the *tail lemma*:

Lemma (tail smallness). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for every finite $B \subset A^c$,

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in B} f_k \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof of lemma. If false, then $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every finite A there exists a finite $B \subset A^c$ with $\left\| \sum_{k \in B} f_k \right\| \geq \varepsilon_0$. Construct disjoint finite blocks B_1, B_2, \dots inductively: pick any finite B_1 with norm $\geq \varepsilon_0$; given B_1, \dots, B_m , take $A = \bigcup_{j \leq m} B_j$ and pick $B_{m+1} \subset A^c$ with norm $\geq \varepsilon_0$. Now define a permutation p by listing elements block-by-block. Then the rearranged partial sums jump by at least ε_0 when a block is completed, so they cannot be Cauchy, contradicting convergence of the rearrangement. \square

Now fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose A as in the lemma with tolerance $\varepsilon/3$. Pick any bijection p and choose n_0 such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n_0} f_{p(j)} - F \right\| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Let $A_0 := \{p(1), \dots, p(n_0)\}$ (finite). Enlarge A if needed so that $A_0 \subset A$. For any finite $\Omega \supset A$, write $\Omega = A \cup B$ with $B \subset A^c$ finite. Then

$$\|s_\Omega - F\| \leq \|s_A - F\| + \left\| \sum_{k \in B} f_k \right\| < \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon,$$

so $s_\Omega \rightarrow F$. □

Continued Exercises 8C

(11) Let μ be a σ -finite measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and ν a σ -finite measure on (Y, \mathcal{T}) . Assume $\{e_j\}_{j \in \Omega}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(X, \mu)$ and $\{f_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(Y, \nu)$, with Ω, Γ countable. For $j \in \Omega, k \in \Gamma$, define

$$g_{j,k}(x, y) := e_j(x)f_k(y).$$

Claim: $\{g_{j,k}\}_{(j,k) \in \Omega \times \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$.

Proof. For (j, k) and (j', k') ,

$$\langle g_{j,k}, g_{j',k'} \rangle = \int_X \int_Y e_j(x) \overline{e_{j'}(x)} f_k(y) \overline{f_{k'}(y)} d\nu(y) d\mu(x) = \langle e_j, e_{j'} \rangle \langle f_k, f_{k'} \rangle = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{kk'}.$$

For completeness, suppose $h \in L^2(\mu \times \nu)$ satisfies $\langle h, g_{j,k} \rangle = 0$ for all j, k . Fix j and define

$$a_j(y) := \int_X h(x, y) \overline{e_j(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Then for every k ,

$$0 = \langle h, g_{j,k} \rangle = \int_Y a_j(y) \overline{f_k(y)} d\nu(y) = \langle a_j, f_k \rangle_{L^2(\nu)}.$$

Since $\{f_k\}$ is an ONB, $a_j = 0$ a.e. for each j . Hence for a.e. y , the function $x \mapsto h(x, y)$ is orthogonal to all e_j , so $h(\cdot, y) = 0$ in $L^2(\mu)$. Thus $h = 0$ in $L^2(\mu \times \nu)$, proving completeness. □

(12) Let $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ be an orthonormal family in a Hilbert space V and assume

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \quad \forall f \in V.$$

Claim: $\{e_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V .

Proof. Let $W := \overline{\text{span}}\{e_k : k \in \Gamma\}$. For $f \in W^\perp$ we have $\langle f, e_k \rangle = 0$ for all k , hence

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_k |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0.$$

Thus $W^\perp = \{0\}$, so $W = V$. □

(16) Find the polynomial g of degree ≤ 4 that minimizes

$$\int_{-1}^1 |x^5 - g(x)|^2 dx.$$

Solution. Let $H := \text{span}\{1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4\} \subset L^2([-1, 1])$. The minimizer is the orthogonal projection of x^5 onto H . By symmetry, x^5 is odd and is orthogonal to the even subspace, so the projection lies in $\text{span}\{x, x^3\}$: write $g(x) = ax + bx^3$. Orthogonality conditions

$$\int_{-1}^1 (x^5 - g(x))x dx = 0, \quad \int_{-1}^1 (x^5 - g(x))x^3 dx = 0$$

give

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^6 dx - a \int_{-1}^1 x^2 dx - b \int_{-1}^1 x^4 dx = 0, \quad \int_{-1}^1 x^8 dx - a \int_{-1}^1 x^4 dx - b \int_{-1}^1 x^6 dx = 0.$$

Using $\int_{-1}^1 x^{2m} dx = \frac{2}{2m+1}$ yields the system

$$\frac{2}{7} - a\frac{2}{3} - b\frac{2}{5} = 0, \quad \frac{2}{9} - a\frac{2}{5} - b\frac{2}{7} = 0,$$

so $a = -\frac{5}{21}$ and $b = \frac{10}{9}$. Therefore

$$g(x) = \frac{10}{9}x^3 - \frac{5}{21}x.$$

□

(20) Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and nonempty. Define

$$L_a^2(G) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(G) : \int_G |f(z)|^2 d\lambda_2(z) < \infty \right\}, \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \int_G f(z)\overline{g(z)} d\lambda_2(z),$$

where λ_2 is planar Lebesgue measure. **Claim:** $L_a^2(G)$ is a Hilbert space.

Proof sketch. It is an inner product space: sesquilinearity is immediate, and if $\langle f, f \rangle = 0$ then $f = 0$ a.e., hence $f \equiv 0$ by analyticity.

To prove completeness, use the *evaluation estimate* from Cauchy + Cauchy–Schwarz: if $\overline{D(a, r)} \subset G$, then

$$|f(a)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{D(a, r)} |f(z)|^2 d\lambda_2(z) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \|f\|_2^2,$$

hence on any compact $K \Subset G$ there exists C_K with $\sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| \leq C_K \|f\|_2$. Thus an L^2 -Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L_a^2(G)$ is locally uniformly bounded, hence a normal family; extract a subsequence converging locally uniformly to some $g \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ (Montel). Since $L^2(G)$ is complete, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(G)$ for some $f \in L^2(G)$, and along a further subsequence $f_{n_m} \rightarrow f$ a.e.; but also $f_{n_m} \rightarrow g$ pointwise, so $f = g$ a.e. Hence $g \in L_a^2(G)$ and $f_n \rightarrow g$ in L^2 . □

(21) Let $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ as above (the *Bergman space*).

(a) **ONB.** For $m, n \geq 0$,

$$\langle z^n, z^m \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} z^n \overline{z^m} dA = \delta_{nm} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2n} dA = \delta_{nm} \frac{\pi}{n+1}.$$

Hence

$$\phi_n(z) := \sqrt{\frac{n+1}{\pi}} z^n \quad (n \geq 0)$$

is orthonormal, and analytic polynomials are dense in $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, so $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an ONB.

(b) **Norm in terms of Taylor coefficients.** If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ in \mathbb{D} , then $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_k \phi_k$ with $c_k = a_k \sqrt{\pi/(k+1)}$, so

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 = \pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_k|^2}{k+1}.$$

(c) **Riesz representer of evaluation.** For $w \in \mathbb{D}$, the evaluation functional $f \mapsto f(w)$ is bounded and

$$f(w) = \langle f, K_w \rangle, \quad K_w(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(z) \overline{\phi_n(w)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{\pi} (z\bar{w})^n = \frac{1}{\pi(1-z\bar{w})^2}.$$

(24) The Dirichlet space on \mathbb{D}

Define the *Dirichlet space*

$$\mathcal{D} := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z) < \infty \right\}, \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} := f(0)\overline{g(0)} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} f'(z)\overline{g'(z)} dA(z).$$

(a) \mathcal{D} is a Hilbert space. Define

$$T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times L_a^2(\mathbb{D}), \quad T(f) := (f(0), f').$$

With the product inner product on $\mathbb{C} \times L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, T is an isometry since

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = \langle T(f), T(g) \rangle_{\mathbb{C} \times L_a^2}.$$

It is surjective: given (a, h) with $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$, define

$$f(z) := a + \int_0^z h(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

which is analytic on \mathbb{D} (path-independence since h is analytic) and satisfies $f(0) = a$, $f' = h$. Thus $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbb{C} \times L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ and is complete.

(c) An orthonormal basis of \mathcal{D} . For $n \geq 1$,

$$\|z^n\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |(z^n)'|^2 dA = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |nz^{n-1}|^2 dA = n^2 \cdot \frac{\pi}{n} = \pi n,$$

and $\|1\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = |1|^2 = 1$. Also $\langle z^n, z^m \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} = 0$ for $n \neq m$. Hence

$$e_0(z) := 1, \quad e_n(z) := \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

is orthonormal, and polynomials are dense in \mathcal{D} , so $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an ONB.

(d) Norm in terms of Taylor coefficients. If $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, then expanding in the ONB gives

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = |a_0|^2 + \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k|^2.$$

(b) Evaluation is bounded. For $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n e_n$,

$$|f(w)| = \left| \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n e_n(w) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} |c_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} |e_n(w)|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} |e_n(w)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

But

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} |e_n(w)|^2 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|w|^{2n}}{\pi n} = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \log(1 - |w|^2),$$

so

$$|f(w)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \log(1 - |w|^2) \right)^{1/2}.$$

(c)(e) Suppose $w \in \mathbb{D}$. Find an explicit formula for $T_w \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$f(w) = \langle f, T_w \rangle \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{D}.$$

By the Riesz representation theorem, for each $w \in \mathbb{D}$ there exists a unique $T_w \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $f(w) = \langle f, T_w \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Write

$$T_w(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k e_k(z).$$

For each basis vector e_n ,

$$e_n(w) = L_w(e_n) = \langle e_n, T_w \rangle = \overline{c_n}$$

(using orthonormality and that the inner product is linear in the first variable), hence $c_n = \overline{e_n(w)}$, and therefore

$$T_w(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{e_k(w)} e_k(z).$$

Substituting the basis functions $e_0(z) = 1$ and $e_k(z) = \frac{z^k}{\sqrt{\pi k}}$ for $k \geq 1$ gives

$$T_w(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{w}^k}{\sqrt{\pi k}} \cdot \frac{z^k}{\sqrt{\pi k}} = 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(z\overline{w})^k}{k}.$$

Recognizing $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k} = -\log(1-x)$ for $|x| < 1$, we obtain (for $|z|, |w| < 1$)

$$T_w(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \log(1 - \overline{w}z) \in \mathcal{D},$$

and it satisfies $f(w) = \langle f, T_w \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{D}$.

Chapter 9: Real and Complex Measures

Section 9A: Total Variation

(9.1) Definition (Real and complex measures). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measurable space.

- A function $\nu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is *countably additive* if

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k)$$

for every disjoint sequence E_1, E_2, \dots of sets in \mathcal{S} .

- A *real measure* on (X, \mathcal{S}) is a countably additive function $\nu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- A *complex measure* on (X, \mathcal{S}) is a countably additive function $\nu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

(9.3) Result (Absolute convergence for a disjoint union). Suppose ν is a complex measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then:

$$(i) \quad \nu(\emptyset) = 0.$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| < \infty \text{ for every disjoint sequence } E_1, E_2, \dots \text{ in } \mathcal{S}.$$

(9.4) Result (Measure determined by an L^1 -function). Suppose μ is a positive measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and $h \in L^1(\mu)$. Define $\nu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\nu(E) = \int_E h d\mu.$$

Then ν is a real measure if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, and a complex measure if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. If E_1, E_2, \dots are disjoint, then

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \int \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_k}\right) h d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_k} h d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k).$$

□

(9.6) Definition ($h d\mu$). Suppose μ is a (positive) measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and $h \in L^1(\mu)$. Then $h d\mu$ is the real or complex measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) defined by

$$(h d\mu)(E) = \int_E h d\mu.$$

(9.7) Result (Properties of complex measures). Suppose ν is a complex measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then:

(i) $\nu(E \setminus D) = \nu(E) - \nu(D)$ for all $D, E \in \mathcal{S}$ with $D \subseteq E$.

(ii) $\nu(D \cup E) = \nu(D) + \nu(E) - \nu(D \cap E)$ for all $D, E \in \mathcal{S}$.

(iii) If $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ are in \mathcal{S} , then

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_k).$$

(iv) If $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ are in \mathcal{S} , then

$$\nu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu(E_k).$$

(9.8) Definition (Total variation measure). Suppose ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . The *total variation measure* is the function $|\nu| : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ defined by

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \left\{ |\nu(E_1)| + \dots + |\nu(E_n)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, E_1, \dots, E_n \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{S}, \bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j \subseteq E \right\}.$$

(9.9) Result (Total variation measure of an \mathbb{R} -measure). Suppose ν is a real measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and $E \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \{ |\nu(A)| + |\nu(B)| : A, B \in \mathcal{S} \text{ disjoint and } A \cup B \subseteq E \}.$$

(9.10) Result (Total variation measure of $h d\mu$). Suppose μ is a (positive) measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , $h \in L^1(\mu)$, and $d\nu = h d\mu$. Then for all $E \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$|\nu|(E) = \int_E |h| d\mu.$$

(9.11) Result (Total variation measure is a measure). Suppose ν is a complex measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then the total variation $|\nu|$ is a (positive) measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) .

Proof (sketch). Clearly $|\nu|(\emptyset) = 0$. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be disjoint sets in \mathcal{S} and fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ choose disjoint sets $E_{k,1}, \dots, E_{k,n_k} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} E_{k,i} \subseteq A_k$. Then $\{E_{k,i}\}_{k,i}$ is disjoint and contained in $\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k$, so

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} |\nu(E_{k,i})| \leq |\nu| \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \right).$$

Taking the supremum over all such choices gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |\nu|(A_k) \leq |\nu| \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \right).$$

Conversely, if E_1, \dots, E_n are disjoint with $\bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(E_j)| = \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \nu(E_j \cap A_k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(E_j \cap A_k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |\nu|(A_k),$$

and taking the supremum over E_1, \dots, E_n yields

$$|\nu| \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m |\nu|(A_k).$$

Hence $|\nu|(\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^m |\nu|(A_k)$ for every m , and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ (using continuity from below) gives countable additivity. \square

(9.13) Definition (Addition and scalar multiplication of measures). Suppose μ, ν are \mathbb{C} -measures on (X, \mathcal{S}) and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Define the \mathbb{C} -measures $(\mu + \nu)$ and $(\alpha\nu)$ on (X, \mathcal{S}) by

$$(\mu + \nu)(E) = \mu(E) + \nu(E), \quad (\alpha\nu)(E) = \alpha \nu(E).$$

(9.14) Definition ($\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, \mathcal{S})$). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measurable space. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, \mathcal{S})$ denotes the vector space of \mathbb{F} -measures on (X, \mathcal{S}) , where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

(9.15) Definition (Total variation norm of a \mathbb{C} -measure). Suppose ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . The *total variation norm* of ν , denoted $\|\nu\|$, is defined by

$$\|\nu\| := |\nu|(X).$$

(9.17) Result (Total variation norm is finite). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}) is a measurable space and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathcal{S})$. Then

$$\|\nu\| < \infty.$$

(9.18) Result (Measures form a Banach space). For a measurable space (X, \mathcal{S}) , the space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, \mathcal{S})$ is a Banach space with the total variation norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Proof (standard completeness argument). Let ν_1, ν_2, \dots be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, \mathcal{S})$. For every $E \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$|\nu_j(E) - \nu_k(E)| = |(\nu_j - \nu_k)(E)| \leq |\nu_j - \nu_k|(E) \leq \|\nu_j - \nu_k\|.$$

Hence $(\nu_n(E))_{n \geq 1}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} , so it converges. Define $\nu : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\nu(E) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(E).$$

We claim $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{F}}(X, \mathcal{S})$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in $\|\cdot\|$.

First, ν is countably additive. Let E_1, E_2, \dots be disjoint in \mathcal{S} . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose m such that $\|\nu_j - \nu_m\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq m$. Since ν_m is a (real/complex) measure, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu_m(E_k)|$ converges, so pick N with $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu_m(E_k)| < \varepsilon$. For $j \geq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu_j(E_k)| &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu_j(E_k) - \nu_m(E_k)| + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu_m(E_k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu_j - \nu_m|(E_k) + \varepsilon = |\nu_j - \nu_m| \left(\bigcup_{k=N}^{\infty} E_k \right) + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \|\nu_j - \nu_m\| + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ gives $\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| \leq 2\varepsilon$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k)$ converges absolutely. Moreover, since $\nu_m(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_m(E_k)$ and $\nu_j \rightarrow \nu$ pointwise on sets,

$$\nu \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \nu_j \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_j(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_k),$$

where the exchange of limit and sum is justified by the uniform tail bound above. Hence ν is a measure.

Finally, $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in total variation norm. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose m such that $\|\nu_j - \nu_m\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $j \geq m$. For any disjoint sequence $(E_k) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(\nu - \nu_m)(E_k)| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(\nu_j - \nu_m)(E_k)| \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\nu_j - \nu_m\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Taking the supremum over all such disjoint sequences (as in the definition of total variation) yields $\|\nu - \nu_m\| \leq \varepsilon$. Thus $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in norm, proving completeness. \square

Exercises 9A

- 4) Suppose ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Prove that if $E \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| : E_1, E_2, \dots \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{S}, E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right\}.$$

Proof. Recall

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(F_j)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, F_1, \dots, F_n \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{S}, \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j \subseteq E \right\}.$$

First note the supremum is unchanged if we require $\bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j = E$: if F_1, \dots, F_n are disjoint with $\bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j \subseteq E$, set $F_{n+1} := E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j$. Then F_1, \dots, F_{n+1} are disjoint, $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} F_j = E$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} |\nu(F_j)| \geq \sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(F_j)|.$$

Hence

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(F_j)| : F_1, \dots, F_n \text{ disjoint, } \bigcup_{j=1}^n F_j = E \right\}. \quad (*)$$

Define

$$T(E) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| : (E_k)_{k \geq 1} \text{ disjoint in } \mathcal{S}, E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right\}.$$

(i) $T(E) \leq |\nu|(E)$. If $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ with E_k disjoint, then $|\nu(E_k)| \leq |\nu|(E_k)$ for each k , and since $|\nu|$ is a measure,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu|(E_k) = |\nu| \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k \right) = |\nu|(E).$$

Taking the supremum over all such sequences gives $T(E) \leq |\nu|(E)$.

(ii) $T(E) \geq |\nu|(E)$. Let F_1, \dots, F_n be a disjoint partition of E . Define a disjoint sequence (E_k) by $E_k = F_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $E_k = \emptyset$ for $k > n$. Then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k = E$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_k)| = \sum_{k=1}^n |\nu(F_k)|.$$

Thus every sum appearing in $(*)$ also appears among the candidates for $T(E)$, so $T(E) \geq |\nu|(E)$.

Combining (i) and (ii) yields $T(E) = |\nu|(E)$. \square

5) Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be a measure space and let $h \geq 0$ with $h \in L^1(\mu)$. Define $\nu(E) := \int_E h d\mu$ for $E \in \mathcal{S}$. Then ν is a (positive) measure, and for every \mathcal{S} -measurable $f \geq 0$,

$$\int f d\nu = \int f h d\mu.$$

Proof. For $f = \mathbf{1}_A$ with $A \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$\int \mathbf{1}_A d\nu = \nu(A) = \int_A h d\mu = \int \mathbf{1}_A h d\mu.$$

If $f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k}$ is a nonnegative simple function with $a_k \geq 0$ and pairwise disjoint $E_k \in \mathcal{S}$, then by linearity,

$$\int f d\nu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \nu(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \int_{E_k} h d\mu = \int \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbf{1}_{E_k} \right) h d\mu = \int f h d\mu.$$

For general measurable $f \geq 0$, choose simple functions $f_n \geq 0$ with $f_n \uparrow f$ pointwise. Then $f_n h \uparrow fh$, so by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int f d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n h d\mu = \int fh d\mu.$$

□

Section 9B: Decomposition Theorems

(9.23) Result (Hahn Decomposition Theorem). Suppose ν is an \mathbb{R} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then there exist sets $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ such that:

- (i) $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\nu(E) \geq 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{S}$ with $E \subseteq A$,
- (iii) $\nu(E) \leq 0$ for all $E \in \mathcal{S}$ with $E \subseteq B$.

In other words, a real measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) decomposes X into two disjoint measurable sets such that every measurable subset of one has nonnegative measure and every measurable subset of the other has nonpositive measure.

(9.28) Definition (Singular measures). Suppose μ and ν are complex or positive measures on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then μ and ν are called *singular with respect to each other* (denoted $\nu \perp \mu$) if there exist sets $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ such that:

- (i) $A \cup B = X$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\nu(E) = \nu(E \cap A)$ and $\mu(E) = \mu(E \cap B)$ for all $E \in \mathcal{S}$.

In other words, two complex (or positive) measures are singular if the two measures “live on different sets.”

Example. Suppose λ is Lebesgue measure on the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} . Let $r_1, r_2, \dots \in \mathbb{Q}$, and let w_1, w_2, \dots be a bounded sequence of complex numbers. Define a complex measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ by

$$\nu(E) := \sum_{r_n \in E} \frac{w_n}{2^n}, \quad E \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then $\nu \perp \lambda$ because ν is supported on \mathbb{Q} and λ is supported on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

(9.30) Result (Jordan Decomposition Theorem). Every \mathbb{R} -measure is the difference of two finite (positive) measures that are singular with respect to each other. More precisely: if ν is an \mathbb{R} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , then there exist unique finite (positive) measures ν^+, ν^- on (X, \mathcal{S}) such that

$$\nu = \nu^+ - \nu^-, \quad \nu^+ \perp \nu^-, \quad |\nu| = \nu^+ + \nu^-.$$

(9.32) Definition (Absolutely continuous). Suppose ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and μ is a (positive) measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) . Then ν is called *absolutely continuous with respect to μ* (denoted $\nu \ll \mu$) if

$$\nu(E) = 0 \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } \mu(E) = 0.$$

Examples:

- (i) If μ is a positive measure and $h \in L^1(\mu)$, then $h d\mu \ll \mu$.
- (ii) If ν is an \mathbb{R} -measure, then $\nu^+ \ll |\nu|$ and $\nu^- \ll |\nu|$.
- (iii) If ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure, then $\nu \ll |\nu|$, $\Re(\nu) \ll |\nu|$, and $\Im(\nu) \ll |\nu|$.
- (iv) Every measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) is absolutely continuous with respect to counting measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) .

(9.35) Result (Lebesgue Decomposition Theorem). Suppose μ is a (positive) measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) .

- (i) Every \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) is the sum of a \mathbb{C} -measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ and a \mathbb{C} -measure singular with respect to μ .

More precisely: if ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , then there exist unique \mathbb{C} -measures ν_a, ν_s on (X, \mathcal{S}) such that

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s, \quad \nu_a \ll \mu, \quad \nu_s \perp \mu.$$

In other words, a (positive) measure μ determines a decomposition of each complex measure into the sum of the two extreme types (absolutely continuous and singular).

(9.36) Result (Radon–Nikodym Theorem). Suppose μ is a (positive) σ -finite measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) and suppose ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) such that $\nu \ll \mu$. Then there exists $h \in L^1(\mu)$ such that

$$d\nu = h d\mu.$$

Equivalently: if μ is σ -finite, then every \mathbb{C} -measure absolutely continuous with respect to μ is of the form $h d\mu$ for some $h \in L^1(\mu)$.

(9.41) Result (Polar decomposition: $d\nu = h d|\nu|$). If ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , then $d\nu = h d|\nu|$ for some measurable h with $|h(x)| = 1$.

- (i) If ν is an \mathbb{R} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , then there exists an \mathcal{S} -measurable function $h : X \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $d\nu = h d|\nu|$.
- (ii) If ν is a \mathbb{C} -measure on (X, \mathcal{S}) , then there exists an \mathcal{S} -measurable function $h : X \rightarrow \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ such that $d\nu = h d|\nu|$.

(9.42) Result (Dual space of $L^p(\mu)$ is $L^{p'}(\mu)$). Suppose μ is a (positive) measure and $1 \leq p < \infty$ (with the additional hypothesis that μ is σ -finite if $p = 1$). Let p' be the conjugate exponent, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. For $h \in L^{p'}(\mu)$ define $\varphi_h : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\varphi_h(f) = \int f h d\mu.$$

Then $h \mapsto \varphi_h$ is an injective linear map from $L^{p'}(\mu)$ onto $(L^p(\mu))^*$. Furthermore,

$$\|\varphi_h\| = \|h\|_{p'} \quad \text{for all } h \in L^{p'}(\mu).$$

Exercises 9B

2) Suppose μ is a (positive) measure and $g, h \in L^2(\mu)$. Prove that

$$g d\mu \perp h d\mu \iff g(x)h(x) = 0 \text{ for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in X.$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If $g d\mu \perp h d\mu$, then there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$|g d\mu|(A) = 0, \quad |h d\mu|(X \setminus A) = 0.$$

But $|g d\mu|(A) = \int_A |g| d\mu$, so $g = 0$ μ -a.e. on A ; similarly $h = 0$ μ -a.e. on $X \setminus A$. Hence $g(x)h(x) = 0$ for μ -a.e. $x \in X$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume $g(x)h(x) = 0$ for μ -a.e. x . Set $A := \{x \in X : g(x) = 0\}$. Then $g = 0$ on A and, on $X \setminus A$, we have $g \neq 0$ so $h = 0$ μ -a.e. on $X \setminus A$ (by the assumption $gh = 0$ a.e.). Therefore,

$$|g d\mu|(A) = \int_A |g| d\mu = 0, \quad |h d\mu|(X \setminus A) = \int_{X \setminus A} |h| d\mu = 0,$$

which shows $g d\mu \perp h d\mu$. □

Exercises 9B (continued)

7) Use the Cantor set to prove that there exists a (positive) measure ν on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that

$$\nu \perp \lambda, \quad \nu(\mathbb{R}) \neq 0, \quad \text{but } \nu(\{x\}) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where λ denotes Lebesgue measure and $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ the Borel σ -algebra.

Proof. Let $C \subset [0, 1]$ be the Cantor set. Recall $\lambda(C) = 0$ and $C = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} C_n$, where $C_0 = [0, 1]$ and C_n is obtained from C_{n-1} by removing the middle third of each interval. At stage n , the set C_n is a union of 2^n pairwise disjoint closed intervals, each of length 3^{-n} ; write these as

$$C_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{2^n} I_k^{(n)}.$$

(i) Define a premeasure on the Cantor-interval algebra. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of finite disjoint unions of intervals of the form $I_k^{(n)}$. Define $\nu_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by declaring

$$\nu_0(I_k^{(n)}) := 2^{-n}, \quad \nu_0\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m J_j\right) := \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_0(J_j) \quad \text{for disjoint } J_j \in \{I_k^{(n)}\}.$$

This is well-defined: if $m \geq n$, then each $I_k^{(n)}$ is the disjoint union of exactly 2^{m-n} intervals of level m , so any refinement preserves total mass since

$$2^{m-n} \cdot 2^{-m} = 2^{-n}.$$

Hence ν_0 is a finitely additive premeasure on \mathcal{A} , and

$$\nu_0([0, 1]) = \nu_0(C_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \nu_0(I_k^{(n)}) = 2^n \cdot 2^{-n} = 1.$$

By Carathéodory's extension theorem, ν_0 extends to a measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$. Since the triadic intervals generate the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, 1]$, we may view this extension as a Borel measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$, still denoted ν .

Extend ν to \mathbb{R} by

$$\nu(E) := \nu(E \cap [0, 1]) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

(ii) $\nu(\mathbb{R}) \neq 0$ and $\nu \perp \lambda$. By construction,

$$\nu(\mathbb{R}) = \nu([0, 1]) = 1 \neq 0.$$

Also, for each n ,

$$[0, 1] = C_n \dot{\cup} ([0, 1] \setminus C_n), \quad \nu(C_n) = 1 \implies \nu([0, 1] \setminus C_n) = 0.$$

The sets $[0, 1] \setminus C_n$ increase to $[0, 1] \setminus C$, so by continuity from below,

$$\nu([0, 1] \setminus C) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu([0, 1] \setminus C_n) = 0.$$

Hence $\nu(\mathbb{R} \setminus C) = 0$ (since ν is supported on $[0, 1]$), while $\lambda(C) = 0$. Therefore $\nu \perp \lambda$.

(iii) $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \notin [0, 1]$, then $\nu(\{x\}) = \nu(\emptyset) = 0$. If $x \in [0, 1] \setminus C$, then $\nu(\{x\}) \leq \nu([0, 1] \setminus C) = 0$. If $x \in C$, then for each n there is a unique interval $I^{(n)}(x) \in \{I_k^{(n)}\}_{k=1}^{2^n}$ with $x \in I^{(n)}(x)$. These form a nested sequence

$$I^{(1)}(x) \supset I^{(2)}(x) \supset \dots, \quad \bigcap_{n \geq 1} I^{(n)}(x) = \{x\}.$$

Since $\nu(I^{(n)}(x)) = 2^{-n}$ and ν is finite on $[0, 1]$, continuity from above gives

$$\nu(\{x\}) = \nu\left(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} I^{(n)}(x)\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(I^{(n)}(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} = 0.$$

This completes the construction. \square

Chapter 10: Linear Maps on Hilbert Spaces

10A: Adjoints and Invertibility

(10.1) Definition (Adjoint, T^*). Suppose V, W are Hilbert spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ is a bounded linear map. The *adjoint* of T is the map $T^* : W \rightarrow V$ such that

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle_W = \langle f, T^*g \rangle_V \quad \forall f \in V, \forall g \in W.$$

(Here the inner product on the left is in W and on the right is in V .) Moreover, one has the estimate

$$\|T^*g\| \leq \|T\| \|g\| \quad \forall g \in W.$$

Example (Multiplication operators). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is a measure space and $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Define $M_h : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ by $M_h f = hf$. Then M_h is bounded and $\|M_h\| \leq \|h\|_\infty$. Also,

$$\langle M_h f, g \rangle = \int h f \bar{g} d\mu = \int f \bar{h} g d\mu = \langle f, M_{\bar{h}} g \rangle,$$

so $M_h^* = M_{\bar{h}}$.

Example (Integral operators). Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{T}, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces and let $K \in L^2(\mu \times \nu)$. Define $I_K : L^2(\nu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ by

$$(I_K f)(x) := \int_Y K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$|I_K f(x)|^2 \leq \left(\int_Y |K(x, y)|^2 d\nu(y) \right) \|f\|_2^2.$$

Integrating in x and using Tonelli yields

$$\|I_K f\|_2^2 \leq \|K\|_{L^2(\mu \times \nu)}^2 \|f\|_2^2,$$

so I_K is bounded and $\|I_K\| \leq \|K\|_2$. If $K^* : Y \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined by $K^*(y, x) := \overline{K(x, y)}$, then

$$\langle I_K f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle f, I_{K^*} g \rangle_{L^2(\nu)},$$

hence $(I_K)^* = I_{K^*}$. (Think of I_K as an “infinite matrix” acting by kernel multiplication.)

Example (Matrices). Take $X = \{1, \dots, m\}$, $Y = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with counting measures, and let $K = (K(i, j))$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $L^2(\nu) \cong \mathbb{F}^n$, $L^2(\mu) \cong \mathbb{F}^m$, and

$$(I_K f)(i) = \sum_{j=1}^n K(i, j) f(j).$$

Here $K^*(j, i) = \overline{K(i, j)}$ and $(I_K)^* = I_{K^*}$ (multiplication by the conjugate-transpose). A norm estimate is

$$\|I_K\| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |K(i, j)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(10.11) Result (T^* is bounded). Suppose V, W are Hilbert spaces and $T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$ (the Banach space of bounded linear maps $V \rightarrow W$). Then $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(W, V)$, $(T^*)^* = T$, and

$$\|T^*\| = \|T\|.$$

In other words, if $T : V \rightarrow W$, then $T^* : W \rightarrow V$.

(10.12) Result (Properties of the adjoint). Suppose V, W, U are Hilbert spaces. Then:

- (i) $(S + T)^* = S^* + T^*$ for all $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$.
- (ii) $(\alpha T)^* = \overline{\alpha} T^*$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and $T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$.
- (iii) $I^* = I$ where I is the identity operator on V .
- (iv) $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$ for $T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(W, U)$.

(10.13) Result (Null space and range of T^*). Suppose V, W are Hilbert spaces and $T \in \mathcal{B}(V, W)$. Then

$$\ker(T^*) = (\text{range } T)^\perp, \quad \text{range}(T^*) = (\ker T)^\perp,$$

and equivalently,

$$\ker(T) = (\text{range } T^*)^\perp, \quad \text{range}(T) = (\ker T^*)^\perp.$$

Continued – 10A

(10.14) Result (Condition for dense range). Suppose V, W are Hilbert spaces and $T \in B(V, W)$. Then T has dense range if and only if T^* is injective (one-to-one). Equivalently,

$$\overline{\text{Ran}(T)} = (\ker T^*)^\perp \implies \overline{\text{Ran}(T)} = W \iff \ker(T^*) = \{0\}.$$

Advantage: to check whether a bounded linear map between Hilbert spaces has dense range, it suffices to check whether $T^*g = 0$ implies $g = 0$.

(10.17) Definition (Operator; $B(V)$).

- An *operator* is a linear map from a vector space to itself.
- If V is a normed vector space, $B(V)$ denotes the normed vector space of bounded linear operators $V \rightarrow V$.
- More generally, $B(U, V)$ denotes the bounded linear maps $U \rightarrow V$ (so $B(V) = B(V, V)$).

(10.18) Definition (Invertible; T^{-1}). An operator $T : V \rightarrow V$ is *invertible* if T is bijective. Equivalently, T is invertible iff there exists an operator $T^{-1} : V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$T^{-1}T = TT^{-1} = I.$$

(10.19) Result (Inverse of the adjoint = adjoint of the inverse). If T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space, then

$$T \text{ invertible} \iff T^* \text{ invertible}, \quad (T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*.$$

(10.20) Result (Norm of a composition). Suppose U, V, W are normed vector spaces, $T \in B(U, V)$ and $S \in B(V, W)$. Then

$$\|ST\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|.$$

(10.21) Definition (T^k). Let T be an operator on a vector space V .

- For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, define $T^k := \underbrace{T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T}_{k \text{ times}}$.
- Define $T^0 := I : V \rightarrow V$.
- $T^j T^k = T^{j+k}$ and $(T^j)^k = T^{jk}$.
- If V is normed and $T \in B(V)$, then $\|T^k\| \leq \|T\|^k$.

(10.22) Result (Neumann series; ball around I consists of invertibles). If T is a bounded operator on a Banach space and $\|T\| < 1$, then $I - T$ is invertible and

$$(I - T)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k$$

(where the series converges in operator norm).

Proof sketch. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T^k\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T\|^k = \frac{1}{1-\|T\|} < \infty$, the operator series converges in $B(V)$. Moreover,

$$(I - T) \sum_{k=0}^n T^k = I - T^{n+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} I,$$

so $(I - T) (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T^k) = I$ (and similarly on the other side). \square

(10.25) Result (Invertible operators form an open set). Suppose V is a Banach space. Then

$$\text{Inv}(V) := \{T \in B(V) : T \text{ invertible}\}$$

is an open subset of $B(V)$.

(10.26) Definition (Left invertible; right invertible). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Banach space V .

- T is *left invertible* if there exists $S \in B(V)$ such that $ST = I$.
- T is *right invertible* if there exists $S \in B(V)$ such that $TS = I$.

In finite-dimensional linear algebra, left and right invertibility are equivalent; this can fail on infinite-dimensional spaces.

Equivalent conditions for left invertibility (Hilbert space case). Suppose V is a Hilbert space and $T \in B(V)$. The following are equivalent:

- T is left invertible.
- $\exists a > 0$ such that $\|f\| \leq a\|Tf\|$ for all $f \in V$ (equivalently, $\|Tf\| \geq c\|f\|$ for some $c > 0$).
- T is injective and $\text{Ran}(T)$ is closed.
- T^*T is invertible.

Example (closed range requirement). Define $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$T(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = \left(a_1, \frac{a_2}{2}, \frac{a_3}{3}, \dots \right).$$

Then T is bounded and injective, but it is *not* left invertible (its range is not closed). Indeed, if $S \in B(\ell^2)$ satisfied $ST = I$, then for the standard basis vectors e_n we have

$$e_n = nT(e_n) \Rightarrow S(e_n) = nS(T(e_n)) = n e_n,$$

so $\|S(e_n)\| = n \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting boundedness of S .

Equivalent conditions for right invertibility (Hilbert space case). Suppose V is a Hilbert space and $T \in B(V)$. The following are equivalent:

- T is right invertible.
- T is surjective.
- TT^* is invertible.

Exercises – 10A

3) Suppose V, W are Hilbert spaces and $g \in V, h \in W$. Define $T \in B(V, W)$ by

$$Tf = \langle f, g \rangle h.$$

Find a formula for T^* .

For $f \in V$ and $k \in W$,

$$\langle Tf, k \rangle_W = \langle \langle f, g \rangle h, k \rangle_W = \langle f, g \rangle \langle h, k \rangle_W.$$

To have $\langle Tf, k \rangle_W = \langle f, T^*k \rangle_V$ for all f , take $T^*k = \lambda(k)g$ and solve:

$$\langle f, \lambda(k)g \rangle_V = \overline{\lambda(k)} \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \langle h, k \rangle_W \Rightarrow \overline{\lambda(k)} = \langle h, k \rangle_W.$$

Hence $\lambda(k) = \overline{\langle h, k \rangle_W} = \langle k, h \rangle_W$, so

$$T^*k = \langle k, h \rangle_W g, \quad k \in W.$$

5) Prove or give a counterexample: If V is a Hilbert space and $T : V \rightarrow V$ is bounded with $\dim(\ker T) < \infty$, then $\dim(\ker T^*) < \infty$.

Counterexample. Let $V = \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and define $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, 0, x_2, 0, x_3, \dots),$$

i.e. $(Tx)_{2n} = x_n$ and $(Tx)_{2n-1} = 0$. Then $\|Tx\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |x_n|^2 = \|x\|^2$, so T is an isometry and $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ (hence $\dim \ker(T) = 0 < \infty$). But

$$\text{Ran}(T) = \{y \in \ell^2 : y_{2n-1} = 0 \ \forall n\} = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_2, e_4, e_6, \dots\},$$

so

$$\ker(T^*) = (\text{Ran}(T))^\perp = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_1, e_3, e_5, \dots\},$$

which is infinite-dimensional. Therefore $\dim(\ker T^*) = \infty$.

7) Suppose V is a Hilbert space and $\text{Inv}(V)$ is the set of invertible bounded operators on V . Show that $T \mapsto T^{-1}$ is continuous from $\text{Inv}(V)$ to $\text{Inv}(V)$.

Let $T \in \text{Inv}(V)$ and let $S \in B(V)$ be close to T . Write

$$S = T + (S - T) = T(I + T^{-1}(S - T)).$$

Set $A := T^{-1}(S - T)$. If $\|A\| < 1$, then $I + A$ is invertible and

$$(I + A)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-A)^n, \quad \|(I + A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A\|}.$$

Hence $S^{-1} = (I + A)^{-1}T^{-1}$ and

$$\|S^{-1} - T^{-1}\| = \|(I + A)^{-1}T^{-1} - T^{-1}\| = \|((I + A)^{-1} - I)T^{-1}\| \leq \|(I + A)^{-1} - I\| \|T^{-1}\|.$$

Moreover, using $(I + A)^{-1} - I = -(I + A)^{-1}A$,

$$\|S^{-1} - T^{-1}\| \leq \|(I + A)^{-1}\| \|A\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|T^{-1}\| \|A\|}{1 - \|A\|} \leq \frac{\|T^{-1}\|^2 \|S - T\|}{1 - \|T^{-1}\| \|S - T\|}.$$

Thus, given $\varepsilon > 0$, choosing $\|S - T\|$ sufficiently small forces $\|S^{-1} - T^{-1}\| < \varepsilon$. So inversion is continuous on $\text{Inv}(V)$.

Section 10B – Spectrum

(10.32) Definition (Spectrum; $\text{sp}(T)$; eigenvalue). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Banach space V over \mathbb{F} .

- A number $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ is an *eigenvalue* of T if $T - \alpha I$ is not injective.
- A nonzero vector $f \in V$ is an *eigenvector* corresponding to α if $Tf = \alpha f$.
- The *spectrum* of T , denoted $\text{sp}(T)$, is

$$\text{sp}(T) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} : T - \alpha I \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

Examples (eigenvalues and spectrum).

- (i) Let (b_1, b_2, \dots) be a bounded sequence in \mathbb{F} and define $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots).$$

Then the eigenvalues of T are $\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and

$$\text{sp}(T) = \overline{\{b_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}}.$$

- (ii) Suppose $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and define $M_h : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by $M_h f = fh$. Then $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of M_h iff

$$|\{t \in \mathbb{R} : h(t) = \alpha\}| > 0,$$

and

$$\alpha \in \text{sp}(M_h) \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0, |\{t \in \mathbb{R} : |h(t) - \alpha| < \varepsilon\}| > 0.$$

(10.34) Result ($T - \alpha I$ invertible for $|\alpha|$ large). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Banach space. Then:

- (i) $\text{sp}(T) \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} : |\alpha| \leq \|T\|\}$.
- (ii) $T - \alpha I$ is invertible for all α with $|\alpha| > \|T\|$.
- (iii) $\lim_{|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty} \|(T - \alpha I)^{-1}\| = 0$.

(10.36) Result (Spectrum is closed). The spectrum of a bounded operator on a Banach space is a closed subset of \mathbb{F} .

(10.37) Result (Analyticity of $\alpha \mapsto \langle (T - \alpha I)^{-1} f, g \rangle$). Suppose V is a complex Hilbert space and $T \in B(V)$. Then for fixed $f, g \in V$, the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \langle (T - \alpha I)^{-1} f, g \rangle$$

is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(T)$.

Proof sketch. Fix $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}(T)$. If $|\alpha - \beta| < \|(T - \beta I)^{-1}\|^{-1}$, then

$$T - \alpha I = (T - \beta I) \left(I - (\alpha - \beta)(T - \beta I)^{-1} \right),$$

and the Neumann series gives

$$\left(I - (\alpha - \beta)(T - \beta I)^{-1} \right)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \beta)^k (T - \beta I)^{-k}.$$

Thus $(T - \alpha I)^{-1}$ admits a power-series expansion in $\alpha - \beta$, and pairing with f, g preserves analyticity. \square

(10.38) Result (Spectrum is nonempty). If T is a bounded operator on a nonzero complex Hilbert space, then $\text{sp}(T)$ is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{C} .

Continued – 10B

(10.39) Definition ($p(T)$). Suppose T is an operator on a vector space V , and p is a polynomial over \mathbb{F} :

$$p(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \cdots + b_n z^n.$$

Then $p(T)$ is the operator on V defined by

$$p(T) := b_0 I + b_1 T + \cdots + b_n T^n.$$

If p, q are polynomials (with coefficients in \mathbb{F}), then

$$(pq)(T) = p(T) q(T).$$

(10.40) Result (Spectral Mapping Theorem). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a complex Banach space and p is a polynomial with complex coefficients. Then

$$\text{sp}(p(T)) = p(\text{sp}(T)).$$

(10.44) Definition (Self-adjoint). A bounded operator T on a Hilbert space is *self-adjoint* if $T^* = T$.

Example. Suppose (X, Σ, μ) is σ -finite and $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Define the multiplication operator M_h on $L^2(\mu)$ by $M_h f = h f$. Then $M_h^* = M_{\bar{h}}$, hence M_h is self-adjoint iff $h = \bar{h}$ a.e., i.e.

$$\mu(\{x \in X : h(x) \notin \mathbb{R}\}) = 0.$$

(10.46) Result ($\langle Tf, f \rangle = 0 \ \forall f \Rightarrow T = 0$). Suppose V is a Hilbert space, $T \in B(V)$, and

$$\langle Tf, f \rangle = 0 \quad \forall f \in V.$$

- (i) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, then $T = 0$.
- (ii) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and T is self-adjoint, then $T = 0$.

(10.48) Result (Self-adjoint characterized by $\langle Tf, f \rangle$). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a complex Hilbert space V . Then T is self-adjoint iff

$$\langle Tf, f \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall f \in V.$$

(10.49) Result (Self-adjoint operators have real spectrum). If T is a bounded self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space, then

$$\text{sp}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

(10.50) Definition (Normal operator). A bounded operator T on a Hilbert space is *normal* if it commutes with its adjoint:

$$T^*T = TT^*.$$

Examples.

(i) (Normal.) If (X, Σ, μ) is a measure space, $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$, and $M_hf = hf$ on $L^2(\mu)$, then $M_h^* = M_{\bar{h}}$ and

$$M_h^*M_h = M_{|h|^2} = M_hM_h^*,$$

so M_h is normal. If h is real-valued a.e., then M_h is self-adjoint.

(ii) (Not normal.) Let T be the right shift on ℓ^2 :

$$T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots).$$

Then T^* is the left shift, $T^*T = I$, but $TT^* \neq I$, hence $T^*T \neq TT^*$.

(10.53) Result (Normal in terms of norms). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space V . Then T is normal iff

$$\|Tf\| = \|T^*f\| \quad \forall f \in V.$$

(10.54) Result (Normal iff real/imaginary parts commute). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a complex Hilbert space V .

(i) There exist unique self-adjoint operators A, B on V such that

$$T = A + iB \quad \left(A = \frac{T + T^*}{2}, \quad B = \frac{T - T^*}{2i} \right).$$

(ii) T is normal iff $AB = BA$.

(10.55) Result (Invertibility for normal operators). Suppose V is a Hilbert space and $T \in B(V)$ is normal. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) T is invertible.

(b) T is left invertible.

(c) T is right invertible.

(d) T is surjective.

- (e) T is injective and has closed range.
- (f) T^*T (equivalently TT^*) is invertible.

(10.56) Result (Eigenvalues of T vs. T^* for normal T). Suppose T is normal on a Hilbert space V , $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, and $f \in V$. Then α is an eigenvalue of T with eigenvector f iff $\bar{\alpha}$ is an eigenvalue of T^* with the same eigenvector f :

$$Tf = \alpha f \iff T^*f = \bar{\alpha} f.$$

(10.57) Result (Orthogonal eigenvectors for normal operators). Eigenvectors of a normal operator corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

(10.58) Definition (Isometry; unitary). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space V .

- T is an *isometry* if $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in V$.
- T is *unitary* if $T^*T = TT^* = I$.

Examples.

- Let $T \in B(\ell^2)$ be the right shift $T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$. Then T is an isometry but not unitary (since T is not surjective, equivalently $TT^* \neq I$).
- Let $T \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}))$ be the (bilateral) shift $(Tf)(n) = f(n - 1)$. Then T is an isometry and unitary.
- Suppose (b_1, b_2, \dots) is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{F} and define $T \in B(\ell^2)$ by

$$T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (b_1 a_1, b_2 a_2, \dots).$$

Then T is an isometry iff T is unitary iff $|b_k| = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

- More generally, for M_h on $L^2(\mu)$ given by $M_h f = h f$, M_h is an isometry iff M_h is unitary iff

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |h(x)| \neq 1\}) = 0.$$

(10.60) Result (Isometries preserve inner products). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space V . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is an isometry (i.e. $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in V$).
- (b) $\langle Tf, Tg \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ for all $f, g \in V$.
- (c) $T^*T = I$.
- (d) For every orthonormal family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma} \subset V$, the family $\{Te_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is orthonormal.
- (e) There exists some orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ of V such that $\{Te_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is orthonormal.

(10.61) Result (Unitary operators and adjoints are isometries). Suppose T is a bounded operator on a Hilbert space V . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) T is unitary.
- (b) T is a surjective isometry.
- (c) T and T^* are both isometries.
- (d) T^* is unitary.
- (e) T is invertible and $T^{-1} = T^*$.
- (f) For every orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ of V , the family $\{Te_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of V .
- (g) There exists some orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ of V such that $\{Te_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ is an orthonormal basis of V .

(10.62) Result (Spectrum of a unitary operator). If T is unitary on a Hilbert space, then

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} : |\alpha| = 1\}.$$

Proof sketch. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ with $|\alpha| \neq 1$. Compute

$$(T - \alpha I)^*(T - \alpha I) = (T^* - \bar{\alpha}I)(T - \alpha I) = (1 + |\alpha|^2)I - (\bar{\alpha}T + \alpha T^*).$$

Factor:

$$(T - \alpha I)^*(T - \alpha I) = (1 + |\alpha|^2) \left(I - \frac{\bar{\alpha}T + \alpha T^*}{1 + |\alpha|^2} \right).$$

Since $\|T\| = \|T^*\| = 1$,

$$\left\| \frac{\bar{\alpha}T + \alpha T^*}{1 + |\alpha|^2} \right\| \leq \frac{|\alpha|\|T\| + |\alpha|\|T^*\|}{1 + |\alpha|^2} = \frac{2|\alpha|}{1 + |\alpha|^2} < 1,$$

so the bracketed operator is invertible by a Neumann series. Hence $(T - \alpha I)^*(T - \alpha I)$ is invertible, so $T - \alpha I$ is invertible, and $\alpha \notin \sigma(T)$. \square

(10.65) Result (Spectrum of an isometry). Suppose T is an isometry on a Hilbert space and T is not unitary. Then

$$\sigma(T) \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} : |\alpha| \leq 1\}.$$

Exercise 3. Suppose E is a bounded subset of \mathbb{F} . Show that there exist a Hilbert space V and $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ such that the set of eigenvalues of T equals E .

Construction/solution. Let

$$V = \ell^2(E) := \left\{ x = \sum_{\alpha \in E} x_\alpha e_\alpha : \sum_{\alpha \in E} |x_\alpha|^2 < \infty \right\}, \quad \langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in E} x_\alpha \overline{y_\alpha}.$$

Then V is a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in E}$. Define $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ by

$$Tx = \sum_{\alpha \in E} \alpha x_\alpha e_\alpha \quad (\text{i.e. } (Tx)_\alpha = \alpha x_\alpha).$$

Since E is bounded, $\exists M > 0$ such that $|\alpha| \leq M$ for all $\alpha \in E$, hence

$$\|Tx\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in E} |\alpha x_\alpha|^2 \leq M^2 \sum_{\alpha \in E} |x_\alpha|^2 = M^2 \|x\|^2,$$

so $\|T\| \leq M$ and T is bounded. For $\lambda \in E$, we have $Te_\lambda = \lambda e_\lambda$, so λ is an eigenvalue. Conversely, if $Tx = \lambda x$ with $x \neq 0$, choose $\alpha_0 \in E$ with $x_{\alpha_0} \neq 0$; then $\alpha_0 x_{\alpha_0} = \lambda x_{\alpha_0}$, so $\lambda = \alpha_0 \in E$. Thus $\text{Eig}(T) = E$. \square

Exercise 6. Suppose T is a bounded operator on a complex nonzero Banach space V .

- (a) Prove that for $f \in V$ and $\varphi \in V^*$, the function

$$\alpha \mapsto \varphi((T - \alpha I)^{-1} f)$$

is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$.

Solution. Let $\rho(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ and fix $\alpha_0 \in \rho(T)$. Set $S := (T - \alpha_0 I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(V)$. For $|\alpha - \alpha_0| < 1/\|S\|$,

$$T - \alpha I = (T - \alpha_0 I) \left(I - (\alpha - \alpha_0) S \right),$$

so

$$(T - \alpha I)^{-1} = \left(I - (\alpha - \alpha_0) S \right)^{-1} S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \alpha_0)^n S^{n+1},$$

with norm convergence (Neumann series). Applying $\varphi(\cdot f)$ gives a power series in $(\alpha - \alpha_0)$, hence analyticity on $\rho(T)$. \square

- (b) Prove that $\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Solution (contradiction via Liouville). Assume $\sigma(T) = \emptyset$, so $\rho(T) = \mathbb{C}$. For each $f \in V$, $\varphi \in V^*$, define the entire function

$$g_{f,\varphi}(\alpha) := \varphi((T - \alpha I)^{-1} f).$$

For $|\alpha| > \|T\|$,

$$(T - \alpha I)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(I - \frac{T}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{\alpha} \right)^n,$$

so $\|(T - \alpha I)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha| - \|T\|}$, hence $g_{f,\varphi}$ is bounded on \mathbb{C} . By Liouville, $g_{f,\varphi}$ is constant; since $g_{f,\varphi}(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\alpha| \rightarrow \infty$, the constant is 0:

$$\varphi((T - \alpha I)^{-1} f) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \forall f, \forall \varphi.$$

Fix α_0 and write $S_0 = (T - \alpha_0 I)^{-1}$. Then $\varphi(S_0 f) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in V^*$, so $S_0 f = 0$ for all f (e.g. by Hahn–Banach), hence $S_0 = 0$, impossible for an inverse. Therefore $\sigma(T) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Exercise 23. For a bounded operator T on a Banach space V , define

$$e^T := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^k}{k!}.$$

- (a) Show the series converges in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ and $\|e^T\| \leq e^{\|T\|}$.

Solution. Since $\|T^k/k!\| \leq \|T\|^k/k!$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T\|^k/k! = e^{\|T\|}$ converges, the operator series converges absolutely (hence in norm) in $\mathcal{B}(V)$, and

$$\|e^T\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|T\|^k}{k!} = e^{\|T\|}.$$

□

- (b) If $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ and $ST = TS$, prove $e^{S+T} = e^S e^T = e^T e^S$.

Solution. Using absolute convergence,

$$e^S e^T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^k}{k!} \frac{T^m}{m!}.$$

If $ST = TS$, then for each $n = k + m$ we can group terms:

$$\sum_{k+m=n} \frac{S^k T^m}{k! m!} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} S^k T^{n-k} = \frac{(S+T)^n}{n!},$$

so $e^S e^T = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S+T)^n/n! = e^{S+T}$. Symmetry gives $e^T e^S = e^{S+T}$ as well. □

- (c) If T is self-adjoint on a complex Hilbert space, show e^{iT} is unitary.

Solution. Since $T^* = T$, we have $(iT)^* = -iT$, and by termwise adjoint,

$$(e^{iT})^* = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(iT)^k}{k!} \right)^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((iT)^*)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-iT)^k}{k!} = e^{-iT}.$$

Because iT commutes with $-iT$,

$$(e^{iT})^* e^{iT} = e^{-iT} e^{iT} = e^0 = I \quad \text{and similarly} \quad e^{iT} (e^{iT})^* = I.$$

Thus e^{iT} is unitary. □

Exercise 24. A bounded operator T on a Hilbert space is a *partial isometry* if $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ for all $f \in (\ker T)^\perp$. Let (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) be σ -finite and $h \in L^\infty(\mu)$. Let $M_h \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mu))$ be the multiplication operator $M_h f = h f$. Prove:

$$M_h \text{ is a partial isometry} \iff \exists E \in \mathcal{S} \text{ s.t. } |h| = \chi_E \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

Solution sketch. Note $M_h^* = M_{\bar{h}}$, hence $M_h^* M_h = M_{|h|^2}$. A multiplication operator is an orthogonal projection iff its symbol is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued a.e. Thus M_h is a partial isometry $\iff M_h^* M_h$ is a projection $\iff |h|^2 = |h|^4$ a.e. $\iff |h|^2 \in \{0, 1\}$ a.e. $\iff |h| = \chi_E$ a.e. for $E = \{x : |h(x)| = 1\}$. □

(10.66) Definition (Compact operator). An operator T on a Hilbert space V is *compact* if for every bounded sequence $(f_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset V$, the sequence $(Tf_n)_{n \geq 1}$ has a convergent subsequence. The collection of compact operators on V is denoted $\mathcal{C}(V)$.

(10.67) Result (Finite-dimensional range \Rightarrow compact). If $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ and $\text{ran}(T)$ is finite-dimensional, then T is compact.

(10.68) Result (Compact operators are bounded). Every compact operator on a Hilbert space is bounded.

(10.69) Result ($\mathcal{C}(V)$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{B}(V)$). If V is a Hilbert space, then:

- $\mathcal{C}(V)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(V)$ (closed in the operator norm),
- if $T \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, then $ST \in \mathcal{C}(V)$ and $TS \in \mathcal{C}(V)$.

Equivalently, $\mathcal{C}(V)$ is a norm-closed two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{B}(V)$.

(10.70) Result (Compact integral operators / Hilbert–Schmidt). Suppose (X, \mathcal{S}, μ) is σ -finite and $k \in L^2(\mu \times \mu)$. Define $I_k : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ by

$$(I_k f)(x) = \int_X k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y) \quad (f \in L^2(\mu), \text{ a.e. } x).$$

Then I_k is compact.

(10.73) Result (Adjoint preserves compactness). For $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$, T is compact $\iff T^*$ is compact.

(10.74) Result (No infinite-dimensional closed subspaces in the range). If T is compact on a Hilbert space, then $\text{ran}(T)$ contains no infinite-dimensional closed subspace.

(10.76) Result (Compact operators are not invertible on infinite-dimensional spaces). If V is infinite-dimensional and T is compact on V , then $0 \in \sigma(T)$.

(10.77) Result (Closed range for T^*T). If T is compact on a Hilbert space, then T^*T has closed range.

(Fredholm alternative form). If T is compact on V , $f, g \in V$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$(T - \alpha I)g = f \text{ has a solution } g \in V \iff f \perp \ker(T^* - \bar{\alpha}I).$$

(10.81) Definition (Geometric multiplicity). The *geometric multiplicity* of an eigenvalue α of T is

$$\dim \ker(T - \alpha I).$$

Equivalently, it is the dimension of the eigenspace corresponding to α .

(10.82) Result (Nonzero eigenvalues have finite multiplicity). If T is compact on a Hilbert space and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\ker(T - \alpha I)$ is finite-dimensional.

(10.83) Result (Injective but not surjective). If T is injective but not surjective on a vector space, then

$$\text{ran}(T) \supsetneq \text{ran}(T^2) \supsetneq \text{ran}(T^3) \supsetneq \dots.$$

In particular, on a finite-dimensional space, every injective operator is surjective.

(10.85) Result (Fredholm alternative). Let T be compact on a Hilbert space and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\alpha \in \sigma(T)$,
- (ii) α is an eigenvalue of T ,
- (iii) $T - \alpha I$ is not surjective.

Equivalently, exactly one of the following holds:

- (1) $(T - \alpha I)f = 0$ has a nonzero solution $f \in V$;
- (2) For every $g \in V$, the equation $(T - \alpha I)f = g$ has a solution $f \in V$.

(10.91) Result (Equal dimensions of null spaces). If T is compact on a Hilbert space and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\dim \ker(T - \alpha I) = \dim \ker(T^* - \bar{\alpha} I).$$

(10.93) Result (Spectrum of a compact operator). If T is compact on a Hilbert space, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{\alpha \in \sigma(T) : |\alpha| \geq \varepsilon\} \text{ is a finite set.}$$

(In particular, the only possible accumulation point of $\sigma(T)$ is 0.)

Exercises 10C

2) **Claim.** If T is a compact operator on $L^2([0, 1])$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \|T(x^n)\|_2 = 0,$$

where x^n denotes the function $t \mapsto t^n$ in $L^2([0, 1])$.

Proof. Let $f_n(t) = t^n$. Then

$$\|f_n\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 t^{2n} dt = \frac{1}{2n+1} \implies \|f_n\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2n+1}}.$$

Define the normalized sequence

$$u_n := \frac{f_n}{\|f_n\|_2} = \sqrt{2n+1} f_n \quad \text{so that} \quad \|u_n\|_2 = 1.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} \|Tf_n\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \left\| T\left(\frac{u_n}{\sqrt{2n+1}}\right) \right\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} \|Tu_n\|_2.$$

So it suffices to show $\|Tu_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.

Weak convergence $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$. For a monomial $p(t) = t^k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$),

$$\langle p, u_n \rangle = \int_0^1 t^k \sqrt{2n+1} t^n dt = \frac{\sqrt{2n+1}}{n+k+1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence $\langle p, u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for all polynomials p . Since polynomials are dense in $L^2([0, 1])$ and $\{u_n\}$ is bounded, a standard density argument gives $\langle g, u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ for all $g \in L^2([0, 1])$, i.e. $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$.

Compactness \Rightarrow strong convergence of images. If $u_n \rightharpoonup 0$ and T is compact, then $\|Tu_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ (indeed, every subsequence of $\{Tu_n\}$ has a norm-convergent subsubsequence, and bounded linearity gives $Tu_n \rightharpoonup 0$, so 0 is the only possible norm-limit).

Therefore $\|Tu_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, and since $\sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$,

$$\sqrt{n} \|Tf_n\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2n+1}} \|Tu_n\|_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

- 4) **Claim.** Suppose $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and define $M_h \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ by $M_h f = fh$. If $\|h\|_\infty > 0$, then M_h is *not* compact.

Proof. Assume $\|h\|_\infty > 0$ and set $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2}\|h\|_\infty > 0$. By the definition of essential supremum, the set

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |h(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

has positive Lebesgue measure. Then $L^2(E)$ is infinite-dimensional, so choose an orthonormal sequence $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset L^2(E) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since $\{e_n\}$ is orthonormal, $e_n \rightharpoonup 0$. But

$$\|M_h e_n\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(x)e_n(x)|^2 dx \geq \int_E |h(x)|^2 |e_n(x)|^2 dx \geq \varepsilon^2 \int_E |e_n(x)|^2 dx = \varepsilon^2,$$

so $\|M_h e_n\|_2 \not\rightarrow 0$. A compact operator must send weakly convergent sequences to norm convergent sequences (in particular, $e_n \rightharpoonup 0$ would imply $\|M_h e_n\| \rightarrow 0$), contradiction. Hence M_h is not compact. □

- 5) **Claim.** Suppose $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots) \in \ell^\infty$. Define $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$T(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2, \dots).$$

Then T is compact $\iff b_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of ℓ^2 .

(\Rightarrow) If T is compact, then $e_n \rightharpoonup 0$ implies $\|Te_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. But $Te_n = b_n e_n$, so $\|Te_n\|_2 = |b_n|$. Hence $b_n \rightarrow 0$.

(\Leftarrow) If $b_n \rightarrow 0$, define finite-rank operators $T_N : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$T_N(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_N b_N, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Then each T_N has finite-dimensional range and is compact. Moreover,

$$\|(T - T_N)a\|_2^2 = \sum_{n>N} |b_n a_n|^2 \leq \left(\sup_{n>N} |b_n| \right)^2 \sum_{n>N} |a_n|^2 \leq \left(\sup_{n>N} |b_n| \right)^2 \|a\|_2^2,$$

so $\|T - T_N\| \leq \sup_{n>N} |b_n| \rightarrow 0$. Since the compact operators are closed in operator norm, T is compact. □

- 6) **Claim.** Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ where V is a Hilbert space. If there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ of V such that

$$\sum_{k \in \Gamma} \|Te_k\|^2 < \infty,$$

then T is compact.

Proof. Since $\sum_{k \in \Gamma} \|Te_k\|^2 < \infty$, the set $\{k : Te_k \neq 0\}$ is at most countable. Relabel so $\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$T_n x := \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x, e_k \rangle Te_k.$$

Then $\text{Ran}(T_n) \subseteq \text{span}\{Te_1, \dots, Te_n\}$, so T_n has finite rank and is compact.

For $x \in V$,

$$(T - T_n)x = \sum_{k>n} \langle x, e_k \rangle Te_k,$$

hence by Cauchy–Schwarz,

$$\|(T - T_n)x\| \leq \left(\sum_{k>n} |\langle x, e_k \rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k>n} \|Te_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|x\| \left(\sum_{k>n} \|Te_k\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $R_n := (\sum_{k>n} \|Te_k\|^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\|T - T_n\| \leq R_n \rightarrow 0$, so T is a norm-limit of compact operators, hence compact. \square

- 7) **Claim.** If $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Gamma}$ and $\{f_j\}_{j \in \Omega}$ are orthonormal bases of a Hilbert space V , then

$$\sum_{k \in \Gamma} \|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \Omega} \|Tf_j\|^2.$$

(So $\sum \|Te_k\|^2$ is independent of the chosen ONB whenever it is finite.)

Proof. Assume the sums are finite (otherwise the identity is interpreted in $[0, \infty]$). Let $A := T^*T$, so A is positive and self-adjoint. Then

$$\sum_k \|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_k \langle Te_k, Te_k \rangle = \sum_k \langle e_k, Ae_k \rangle.$$

Expand each $\langle e_k, Ae_k \rangle$ in the basis $\{f_j\}$:

$$\langle e_k, Ae_k \rangle = \sum_j \langle e_k, f_j \rangle \langle f_j, Ae_k \rangle,$$

so (using nonnegativity to justify switching summations),

$$\sum_k \langle e_k, Ae_k \rangle = \sum_j \sum_k \langle e_k, f_j \rangle \langle f_j, Ae_k \rangle.$$

Since $A = A^*$, $\langle f_j, Ae_k \rangle = \langle Af_j, e_k \rangle$, and by completeness of $\{e_k\}$,

$$\sum_k \langle Af_j, e_k \rangle \langle e_k, f_j \rangle = \langle Af_j, f_j \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\sum_k \|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_j \langle Af_j, f_j \rangle = \sum_j \langle Tf_j, Tf_j \rangle = \sum_j \|Tf_j\|^2.$$

\square

Section 10D: Spectral Theorem for Compact Operators

(10.96) Result. $T^*T - \|T\|^2 I$ is not invertible. In particular, if T is bounded on a nonzero Hilbert space, then

$$\|T\|^2 \in \sigma(T^*T).$$

(10.99) Result (Self-adjoint compact operators have an eigenvalue). If T is a self-adjoint compact operator on a nonzero Hilbert space, then either $\|T\|$ or $-\|T\|$ is an eigenvalue of T .

(10.100) Definition (Invariant subspace). Let T be an operator on a vector space V . A subspace $U \subseteq V$ is *invariant* for T if

$$T(U) \subseteq U \quad (\text{equivalently: } Tf \in U \ \forall f \in U).$$

Example. For $b \in [0, 1]$, the subspace

$$U_b := \{f \in L^2([0, 1]) : f(t) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in [b, 1]\}$$

is invariant for the Volterra operator $V : L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$ given by

$$(Vf)(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Also, for $0 \neq f \in V$, the subspace $\text{span}\{f\}$ is invariant for T iff f is an eigenvector of T .

Restriction preserves compactness. If T is compact on a Hilbert space V and U is invariant for T , then $T|_U$ is compact on U .

(10.102) Result (Orthogonal complements for self-adjoint operators). If U is invariant for a self-adjoint operator T , then:

- (i) U^\perp is invariant for T ;
- (ii) $T|_{U^\perp}$ is self-adjoint on U^\perp .

(10.103) Result (ONB of eigenvectors \Rightarrow self-adjoint/normal). Suppose T is bounded on a Hilbert space V and there is an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T .

- (i) If V is over \mathbb{R} , then T is self-adjoint.
- (ii) If V is over \mathbb{C} , then T is normal.

(10.106) Spectral theorem (self-adjoint compact operators). If T is a self-adjoint compact operator on a Hilbert space V , then:

- (i) There is an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T .
- (ii) Equivalently, there exist a (finite or countable) index set Ω , an orthonormal family $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Omega} \subset V$, and real scalars $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \Omega} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ with $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0$ (if Ω is infinite) such that for all $f \in V$,

$$Tf = \sum_{k \in \Omega} \lambda_k \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k,$$

with convergence in norm (and $Tf = 0$ on $\ker(T)$).

(10.108) Spectral theorem (normal compact operators). If T is a compact operator on a complex Hilbert space V , then there is an orthonormal basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of T iff T is normal.

Continued 10D

(10.113) Result (Singular value decomposition). If T is a compact operator on a Hilbert space V , then there exist a (finite or countable) index set Ω , orthonormal families $\{e_k\}_{k \in \Omega}$ and $\{h_k\}_{k \in \Omega}$ in V , and positive numbers $\{s_k\}_{k \in \Omega}$ such that for all $f \in V$,

$$Tf = \sum_{k \in \Omega} s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k,$$

with convergence in norm.

(10.116) Definition (Singular values). Let T be a compact operator on a Hilbert space. The *singular values* of T , denoted

$$s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq s_3(T) \geq \dots,$$

are the positive square roots of the positive eigenvalues of T^*T , listed in decreasing order with each singular value repeated according to the geometric multiplicity of the corresponding eigenvalue of T^*T . If T^*T has only finitely many positive eigenvalues, define $s_n(T) = 0$ for all remaining $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Example (finite-dimensional). Define $T : \mathbb{F}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^4$ by

$$T(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (0, 3z_1, 2z_2, -3z_4).$$

Then

$$(T^*T)(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (9z_1, 4z_2, 0, 9z_4),$$

so the eigenvalues of T^*T are 9, 4, 0 with $\dim \ker(T^*T - 9I) = 2$ and $\dim \ker(T^*T - 4I) = 1$. Hence the singular values of T are

$$3 \geq 3 \geq 2 \geq 0 \geq 0 \geq \dots.$$

(Note that here -3 and 0 are the only eigenvalues of T , but the singular values still detect 2 via T^*T .)

(10.120) Result (Sum of squares of singular values for integral operators). Let (X, μ) be σ -finite and suppose $k \in L^2(\mu \times \mu)$. Let T_k be the integral operator

$$(T_k f)(x) = \int_X k(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Then T_k is compact and

$$\|k\|_{L^2(\mu \times \mu)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (s_n(T_k))^2.$$

Proof sketch. Take an SVD $T_k f = \sum_n s_n \langle f, e_n \rangle h_n$. Extend $\{e_n\}$ to an ONB $\{e_j\}_{j \in \Gamma}$ of $L^2(\mu)$ and $\{h_n\}$ to an ONB $\{h_\ell\}_{\ell \in \Lambda}$. Define $\phi_{\ell j}(x, y) := e_j(y) \overline{h_\ell(x)}$. Then $\{\phi_{\ell j}\}_{\ell, j}$ is an ONB of $L^2(\mu \times \mu)$ and

$$\langle k, \phi_{\ell j} \rangle_{L^2(\mu \times \mu)} = \int_X \overline{h_\ell(x)} \left(\int_X k(x, y) e_j(y) d\mu(y) \right) d\mu(x) = \langle T_k e_j, h_\ell \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

By Parseval in $L^2(\mu \times \mu)$,

$$\|k\|_2^2 = \sum_{\ell,j} |\langle k, \phi_{\ell j} \rangle|^2 = \sum_{\ell,j} |\langle T_k e_j, h_\ell \rangle|^2.$$

For fixed j , Parseval in $L^2(\mu)$ gives $\sum_\ell |\langle T_k e_j, h_\ell \rangle|^2 = \|T_k e_j\|^2$, so $\|k\|_2^2 = \sum_j \|T_k e_j\|^2$. Using the SVD,

$$T_k e_j = \sum_n s_n \langle e_j, e_n \rangle h_n \quad \Rightarrow \quad \|T_k e_j\|^2 = \sum_n s_n^2 |\langle e_j, e_n \rangle|^2,$$

and summing over j plus Parseval for $\{e_j\}$ yields $\sum_j \|T_k e_j\|^2 = \sum_n s_n^2$. \square

Exercises 10D

- 8a) **Claim.** If T is a self-adjoint compact operator on a Hilbert space, then there exists a self-adjoint compact operator S such that $S^3 = T$.

Proof. By the spectral theorem for self-adjoint compact operators,

$$Tx = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow 0,$$

for some orthonormal family $\{e_n\}$. Define

$$Sx := \sum_n \sqrt[3]{\lambda_n} \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Then $\sqrt[3]{\lambda_n} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sqrt[3]{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$, so S is self-adjoint and compact, and

$$S^3 x = \sum_n (\sqrt[3]{\lambda_n})^3 \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n = Tx.$$

\square

- 8b) **Claim.** If T is a normal compact operator on a complex Hilbert space, then there exists a normal compact operator S such that $S^2 = T$.

Proof. By the spectral theorem for normal compact operators,

$$Tx = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n, \quad \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow 0,$$

in some orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Choose a branch of the square root on $\{\lambda_n\}$ and set $\mu_n = \sqrt{\lambda_n}$. Define

$$Sx := \sum_n \mu_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Then S is diagonal in an ONB, hence normal; and $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$, so S is compact. Finally,

$$S^2 x = \sum_n \mu_n^2 \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n = Tx.$$

\square

- 10) **Claim.** Suppose T is a self-adjoint compact operator on a Hilbert space and $\|T\| \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Then there exists a self-adjoint compact operator S such that

$$S^2 + S = T.$$

Proof. By the spectral theorem, $Tx = \sum_n \lambda_n \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n$ with $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$, and $\sigma(T) \subset [-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$. Define $f : [-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(t) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t}}{2}.$$

Then $1 + 4t \geq 0$ on this interval, f is continuous, and $f(0) = 0$. Define

$$Sx := \sum_n f(\lambda_n) \langle x, e_n \rangle e_n.$$

Since $f(\lambda_n) \rightarrow f(0) = 0$, S is compact, and since $f(\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}$, S is self-adjoint. Moreover, for each eigenvalue λ ,

$$f(\lambda)^2 + f(\lambda) = \lambda,$$

so $S^2 + S = T$ on the eigenbasis, hence on all of V . \square

- (11) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $g_k \in L^2((-\pi, \pi])$ and $h_k \in L^2((-\pi, \pi])$ by

$$g_k(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it/2} e^{ikt}, \quad h_k(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikt}, \quad (\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}).$$

- (a) Show $\{g_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an ONB of $L^2((-\pi, \pi])$.

For $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\langle g_k, g_j \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it/2} e^{ikt} \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it/2} e^{ijt}} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(k-j)t} dt = \begin{cases} 1, & k = j, \\ 0, & k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\{g_k\}$ is orthonormal. By the referenced example (density of trigonometric exponentials), $\overline{\text{span}}\{g_k\} = L^2((-\pi, \pi])$, so $\{g_k\}$ is an ONB.

- (b) Use (a) to show $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an ONB of $L^2((-\pi, \pi])$.

Define $U : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ by

$$(Uf)(t) := e^{-it/2} f(t).$$

Since $|e^{-it/2}| = 1$ for all t , U is an isometric bijection, hence a unitary multiplication operator. Moreover,

$$(Ug_k)(t) = e^{-it/2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it/2} e^{ikt} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikt} = h_k(t).$$

Unitary operators map ONBs to ONBs, so $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an ONB.

- (c) Use (b) to show the orthonormal family from Example 8.51 is an ONB of $L^2((-\pi, \pi])$.

Let $\mathcal{H} := \{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and let

$$\mathcal{T} := \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{\cos(kt)}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \frac{\sin(kt)}{\sqrt{\pi}} : k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Using Euler relations and $h_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{ikt}$,

$$\frac{\cos(kt)}{\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_k + h_{-k}), \quad \frac{\sin(kt)}{\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(h_k - h_{-k}).$$

Thus $\text{span}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H})$. Since \mathcal{H} is an ONB, $\overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{H}) = L^2$, hence $\overline{\text{span}}(\mathcal{T}) = L^2$. Because \mathcal{T} is orthonormal and its span is dense, \mathcal{T} is an ONB.

(14) Suppose T is a compact operator on a Hilbert space V with singular value decomposition

$$Tf = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(T) \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k \quad (\forall f \in V).$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ define $T_n : V \rightarrow V$ by

$$T_n f := \sum_{k=1}^n s_k(T) \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k.$$

Show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T - T_n\| = 0$.

Let $R_n := T - T_n$. Then

$$R_n f = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} s_k(T) \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k.$$

Using orthonormality of $\{h_k\}$ and Parseval,

$$\|R_n f\|^2 = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |s_k(T)|^2 |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \leq s_{n+1}(T)^2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \leq s_{n+1}(T)^2 \|f\|^2,$$

where the last inequality is Bessel's inequality. Hence $\|R_n\| \leq s_{n+1}(T)$. Since T is compact, $s_n(T) \rightarrow 0$, so $\|T - T_n\| = \|R_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

(16) Suppose T is compact on a Hilbert space V and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Prove

$$s_n(T) = \inf \left\{ \|T|_{U^\perp}\| : U \subseteq V \text{ subspace, } \dim(U) < n \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}_n := \{U \subseteq V : \dim(U) < n\}$.

- *Upper bound.* Let $U_0 := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$, so $\dim(U_0) = n-1$ and $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}_n$. If $u \in U_0^\perp$, then $u = \sum_{j \geq n} c_j e_j$, hence

$$Tu = \sum_{j \geq n} s_j c_j h_j, \quad \|Tu\|^2 = \sum_{j \geq n} s_j^2 |c_j|^2 \leq s_n(T)^2 \sum_{j \geq n} |c_j|^2 = s_n(T)^2 \|u\|^2.$$

Thus $\|T|_{U_0^\perp}\| \leq s_n(T)$, so $\inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n} \|T|_{U^\perp}\| \leq s_n(T)$.

- *Lower bound.* Fix arbitrary $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Let $W := \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ so $\dim(W) = n$. Since $\dim(U) < n$, we have $\dim(W \cap U^\perp) \geq n - \dim(U) > 0$, hence choose $0 \neq v \in W \cap U^\perp$ and normalize $\|v\| = 1$. Writing $v = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j e_j$ gives

$$\|Tv\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 |c_j|^2 \geq s_n(T)^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|^2 = s_n(T)^2 \|v\|^2 = s_n(T)^2.$$

Therefore $\|T|_{U^\perp}\| \geq \|Tv\| \geq s_n(T)$. Taking the infimum over U yields $\inf_{U \in \mathcal{U}_n} \|T|_{U^\perp}\| \geq s_n(T)$.

Combining both inequalities gives the claimed equality.

(17) Suppose T is compact on a Hilbert space V with SVD

$$Tf = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k.$$

Show that

$$T^*g = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle g, h_k \rangle e_k \quad (\forall g \in V).$$

Let $f, g \in V$. Then

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k, g \right\rangle = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle \langle h_k, g \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle \overline{\langle g, h_k \rangle}.$$

Using conjugate-linearity in the second argument,

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \left\langle f, \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle g, h_k \rangle e_k \right\rangle = \langle f, T^*g \rangle.$$

Since f is arbitrary, $T^*g = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle g, h_k \rangle e_k$.

(18) Suppose T is an operator on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V with $\dim(V) = n$.

(a) Prove T is invertible iff $s_n(T) \neq 0$.

Let $Tu = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \langle u, e_j \rangle h_j$ with $s_1 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq 0$. If T is invertible, then $\ker(T) = \{0\}$. If $s_n(T) = 0$, then $Te_n = s_n h_n = 0$ with $e_n \neq 0$, contradicting injectivity. Hence $s_n(T) \neq 0$.

Conversely, assume $s_n(T) > 0$. For any $f \in V$,

$$\|Tf\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j^2 |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2 \geq s_n(T)^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2 = s_n(T)^2 \|f\|^2.$$

So if $Tf = 0$ then $\|f\| = 0$, hence $f = 0$ and $\ker(T) = \{0\}$. In finite dimension, injective \Leftrightarrow invertible.

(b) Assume T is invertible and has SVD $Tf = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle h_k$. Show

$$T^{-1}f = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle f, h_k \rangle}{s_k} e_k.$$

Define $S \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ by

$$Sf := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle f, h_k \rangle}{s_k} e_k.$$

Then for each $f \in V$,

$$STf = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\langle Tf, h_k \rangle}{s_k} e_k.$$

But $\langle Tf, h_k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j \langle f, e_j \rangle \langle h_j, h_k \rangle = s_k \langle f, e_k \rangle$, so $STf = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle f, e_k \rangle e_k = f$ (since $\{e_k\}$ is an ONB). Hence $ST = I$, so $S = T^{-1}$.

(19) Suppose T is compact on a Hilbert space V . Prove that for any ONB $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ of V ,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n(T)^2.$$

Let $Tf = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n \langle f, u_n \rangle v_n$ be an SVD, with $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ orthonormal. For each k ,

$$\|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} |\langle Te_k, v_n \rangle|^2 \quad (\text{Parseval in the ONB } \{v_n\}).$$

Moreover,

$$\langle Te_k, v_n \rangle = \sum_{m \geq 1} s_m \langle e_k, u_m \rangle \langle v_m, v_n \rangle = s_n \langle e_k, u_n \rangle.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \|Te_k\|^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n^2 |\langle e_k, u_n \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n^2 \sum_{k \geq 1} |\langle u_n, e_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n^2 \|u_n\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} s_n^2,$$

where Tonelli/Fubini applies since all terms are nonnegative, and the inner sum equals $\|u_n\|^2 = 1$ by Parseval.

(20) Use Example 10.24 to evaluate $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$.

Let $S := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ and split into odd and even parts:

$$S = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{n^2} + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

The even part is

$$\sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{1}{n^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{1}{4} S.$$

Hence $\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{n^2} = S - \frac{1}{4} S = \frac{3}{4} S$. From Example 10.24, $\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}$, so

$$\frac{3}{4} S = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \implies S = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

11A. Fourier Series and Poisson Integral

Fourier coefficients and the Riemann–Lebesgue lemma.

- For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $e_k : (-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$e_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(kt), & k > 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & k = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos(kt), & k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2((-\pi, \pi])$.

- In this chapter we work on the unit circle in \mathbb{C} instead of the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$ via the map

$$t \mapsto e^{it} = \cos t + i \sin t.$$

(11.3) **Definition (Unit disk and unit circle).**

$$\mathbb{D} := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| < 1\}, \quad \partial\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}.$$

(11.4) **Definition (Measurable subsets of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and the measure σ).**

- A set $E \subseteq \partial\mathbb{D}$ is *measurable* if $\{t \in (-\pi, \pi] : e^{it} \in E\}$ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R} .
- Define σ on measurable subsets of $\partial\mathbb{D}$ by transferring (normalised) Lebesgue measure from $(-\pi, \pi]$:

$$\sigma(E) := \frac{1}{2\pi} m(\{t \in (-\pi, \pi] : e^{it} \in E\}), \quad \text{so that } \sigma(\partial\mathbb{D}) = 1.$$

- This definition gives the change-of-variables formula

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(z) d\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) dt, \quad f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable.}$$

(11.5) **Definition ($L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$).** For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, define $L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$ as the usual complex L^p -space over $(\partial\mathbb{D}, \sigma)$. Note: if $z = e^{it}$ then $\bar{z} = e^{-it}$ and $z^n \bar{z}^m = z^{n-m}$.

(11.6) **Result (Orthonormal family in $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$).** The family $\{z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is orthonormal in $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$:

$$\langle z^m, z^n \rangle = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^m \bar{z}^n d\sigma = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} z^{m-n} d\sigma = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n, \\ 0, & m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

(Equivalently, $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(m-n)t} dt$.)

Moreover, if $f \in \overline{\text{span}}\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, z^n \rangle z^n$$

with convergence in $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ (unconditional in the Hilbert space sense).

(11.7) **Definition (Fourier coefficient and Fourier series).** For $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ (in particular for $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$) and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(z) \bar{z}^n d\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{-int} dt.$$

The *Fourier series* of f is the formal sum

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^n.$$

(11.8) Examples (Fourier coefficients).

- If h is analytic on an open set containing $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, then

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{uniformly on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}.$$

In particular $h|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and its Fourier coefficients satisfy

$$\widehat{h}(n) = \begin{cases} a_n, & n \geq 0, \\ 0, & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

(So on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, the Fourier series agrees with the Taylor series.)

- Let $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(3-z)(3-\bar{z})} = \frac{1}{|3-z|^2}.$$

For $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ one can rewrite

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{z}{3-z} + \frac{\bar{z}}{3-\bar{z}} \right) = \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{z/3}{1-z/3} + \frac{\bar{z}/3}{1-\bar{z}/3} \right),$$

and expand each term as a geometric series to obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{z^n}{3^{|n|}} \quad (z \in \partial\mathbb{D}).$$

Hence

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{8} \cdot \frac{1}{3^{|n|}}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(11.9) Result (Algebraic properties of Fourier coefficients). If $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

- (i) $\widehat{(f+g)}(n) = \widehat{f}(n) + \widehat{g}(n)$,
- (ii) $\widehat{(\alpha f)}(n) = \alpha \widehat{f}(n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (iii) $|\widehat{f}(n)| \leq \|f\|_1$.

(11.10) Result (Riemann–Lebesgue lemma). If $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then $\widehat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof sketch. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $g \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D}) \cap L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ such that $\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon$. By Bessel's inequality, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{g}(n)|^2 \leq \|g\|_2^2 < \infty$, so $|\widehat{g}(n)| < \varepsilon$ for all $|n| \geq N$. Then for $|n| \geq N$,

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| \leq |\widehat{f}(n) - \widehat{g}(n)| + |\widehat{g}(n)| \leq \|f - g\|_1 + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon,$$

so $\widehat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$.

(II.11) Definition (Poisson-type operator via Fourier series). For $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < r < 1$, define $P_r f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(P_r f)(z) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} r^{|n|} \widehat{f}(n) z^n.$$

There are no convergence issues since $|z^n| = 1$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ and

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |r^{|n|} \widehat{f}(n) z^n| \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} = \|f\|_1 \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} r^n\right) = \|f\|_1 \frac{1+r}{1-r} < \infty.$$

Hence the partial sums converge uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, and $P_r f$ is continuous on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

(II.14) Definition (Poisson kernel). For $0 < r < 1$, define $P_r : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$P_r(\zeta) := \frac{1-r^2}{|1-r\zeta|^2}, \quad \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

The family $\{P_r\}_{0 < r < 1}$ is called the *Poisson kernel* on \mathbb{D} .

(II.15) Result (Integral formula / Poisson averaging). Let $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < r < 1$. For $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$,

$$(P_r f)(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\omega) P_r(z\bar{\omega}) d\sigma(\omega) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\omega) \frac{1-r^2}{|1-rz\bar{\omega}|^2} d\sigma(\omega),$$

where $d\sigma$ is normalized arc-length measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (equivalently $d\sigma(e^{it}) = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$).

(II.16) Result (Approximate identity properties of P_r). The Poisson kernels satisfy:

(a) $P_r(\zeta) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

(b) $\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} P_r(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = 1$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$.

(c) For every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \int_{\{e^{it}: |t| \geq \delta\}} P_r(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} = 0,$$

i.e. the mass concentrates near 1 as $r \uparrow 1$.

(II.18) Result (Uniform approximation for continuous data). If $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \|f - P_r f\|_{\infty} = 0.$$

Equivalently, $P_r f \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as $r \uparrow 1$.

(II.19) Definition (Harmonic function). Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open. A function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *harmonic* if

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(w) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in G.$$

The left-hand side is the *Laplacian* $\Delta u(w)$; thus u is harmonic iff $\Delta u \equiv 0$ on G .

(II.22) Result (Poisson integral is harmonic). Let $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$. Define $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(rz) := (P_r f)(z), \quad 0 < r < 1, z \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

Then u is harmonic on \mathbb{D} . This u is called the *Poisson integral* of f . Moreover, if $w = rz \in \mathbb{D}$ ($z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$), then (in terms of Fourier coefficients)

$$u(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) r^{|n|} z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \widehat{f}(n) w^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} \widehat{f}(-n) \overline{w}^n.$$

(II.23) Result (Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{D}). If $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, define $u : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(rz) = (P_r f)(z) \quad (0 \leq r < 1, z \in \partial\mathbb{D}), \quad \text{and} \quad u(z) = f(z) \quad (z \in \partial\mathbb{D}).$$

Then u is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, harmonic on \mathbb{D} , and $u|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = f$.

(II.24) Definition (k times continuously differentiable on $\partial\mathbb{D}$). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define the 2π -periodic lift

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \tilde{f}(t) := f(e^{it}).$$

We say f is k times continuously differentiable (write $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$) if \tilde{f} is k times differentiable on \mathbb{R} and $\tilde{f}^{(k)}$ is continuous. If $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$, define $f^{(k)} : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f^{(k)}(e^{it}) := \tilde{f}^{(k)}(t), \quad \text{with } f^{(0)} = f.$$

(Heuristic: lift to \mathbb{R} , differentiate, then push back to $\partial\mathbb{D}$.)

(II.26) Result (Fourier coefficients of derivatives). If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{f^{(k)}}(n) = (in)^k \widehat{f}(n).$$

(Proof sketch: integration by parts on \mathbb{R} using periodicity.)

(II.27) Result (Uniform convergence for C^2 data). If $f \in C^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then its Fourier series converges uniformly and equals f :

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

and the symmetric partial sums $\sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n) z^n$ converge uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. (Reason: from (II.26) with $k = 2$, $|\widehat{f}(n)| \lesssim 1/n^2$, so the series is absolutely and uniformly convergent.)

Exercise (1). For $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{\overline{f}}(n) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-n)}.$$

(Compute directly from the definition and take complex conjugates.)

Exercise (2). Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $\Lambda_n : L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Lambda_n(f) = \widehat{f}(n)$.

(a) Λ_n is bounded linear and $\|\Lambda_n\| = 1$.

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| = \left| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \bar{\zeta}^n d\sigma(\zeta) \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|\bar{\zeta}^n\|_q = \|f\|_p,$$

where q is the Hölder conjugate of p (and $\|\bar{\zeta}^n\|_q = 1$). Equality is attained e.g. by $f(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ (normalized), so $\|\Lambda_n\| = 1$.

(b) Characterize the extremizers: find $f \in L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f\|_p = 1$ and $|\widehat{f}(n)| = 1$. Equality in Hölder forces $|f|$ to be a.e. constant and the argument aligned with ζ^n ; thus (up to a unimodular constant)

$$f(\zeta) = c \zeta^n \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad |c| = 1.$$

Exercise (3). For $0 \leq r < 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_r(e^{it}) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2}.$$

(Use $|1 - re^{it}|^2 = (1 - re^{it})(1 - re^{-it}) = 1 - 2r \cos t + r^2$.)

Exercise (4). If $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, and f is continuous at z , then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} (P_r f)(z) = f(z).$$

(Use the approximate identity properties: split the integral into a small arc near z and its complement.)

Exercise (5). Assume $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, and the one-sided limits exist:

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} f(e^{it} z) = a, \quad \lim_{t \uparrow 0} f(e^{it} z) = b.$$

Then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} (P_r f)(z) = \frac{a + b}{2}.$$

(Proof idea: split the integral into $t \in (0, \delta)$, $t \in (-\delta, 0)$, and the outer region; use that $P_r(e^{it})$ is even in t and concentrates at $t = 0$.)

Exercise (6). For every $p \in [1, \infty)$, there exists $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(n)|^p = \infty.$$

Construction: let $\alpha = \frac{1}{p} \in (0, 1]$ and prescribe Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{f}(n) = n^{-\alpha} \quad (n \geq 1), \quad \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \quad (n \leq 0).$$

Then $\sum_{n \geq 1} |\widehat{f}(n)|^p = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-1} = \infty$. Using standard asymptotics for trigonometric series with monotone coefficients (here $n^{-\alpha}$), one gets $f(e^{it}) = O(|t|^{\alpha-1})$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, which is integrable for $\alpha > 0$, hence $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$.

Exercise (9) (worked from Example 11.8-style computation). Let

$$f(e^{it}) = \frac{8}{|3 - 2e^{it}|^2} = \frac{8}{13 - 12\cos t} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Using the known Fourier expansion of $\frac{1}{13 - 12\cos t}$ (with ratio 2/3), one obtains

$$f(e^{it}) = \frac{8}{5} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{|n|} e^{int}.$$

Hence the Poisson integral scales coefficients by $r^{|n|}$:

$$(Pf)(re^{it}) = \frac{8}{5} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2r}{3}\right)^{|n|} e^{int} = \frac{8}{5} P_{2r/3}(e^{it}) = \frac{8}{5} \cdot \frac{9 - 4r^2}{|3 - 2re^{it}|^2}.$$

In particular, $(Pf)(e^{it}) = f(e^{it})$.

Exercise (10). If $f \in C^3(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then the Fourier series may be differentiated term-by-term:

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (in) \widehat{f}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

with uniform convergence on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. (Reason: from (II.26) with $k = 3$, $|n|^3 |\widehat{f}(n)| \lesssim 1$, so $\sum |n| |\widehat{f}(n)| < \infty$ and the derivative series converges uniformly.)

Exercise (11) (Dirichlet kernel / unbounded partial sum functionals). Let $C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ be the Banach space of continuous functions $\partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. For $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ define $\varphi_M : C(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\varphi_M(f) := \sum_{n=-M}^M \widehat{f}(n),$$

i.e. the symmetric partial sum evaluated at $z = 1$.

(a) (Dirichlet kernel formula.) Let

$$D_M(t) := \sum_{n=-M}^M e^{int} = \frac{\sin((M + \frac{1}{2})t)}{\sin(t/2)}.$$

Then

$$\varphi_M(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) D_M(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi}, \quad \forall f \in C(\partial\mathbb{D}).$$

(b) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |D_M(t)| \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \infty$. (Indeed the L^1 -norm of D_M grows like $\log M$; a standard lower bound comes from comparing $\sin(t/2)$ with $t/2$ on small intervals and summing a harmonic series.)

(c) $\sup_{M \geq 1} \|\varphi_M\| = \infty$. (Using (a), $\|\varphi_M\| \geq \int |D_M| dt/(2\pi)$ by approximating $\text{sgn}(D_M)$ with continuous functions.)

(d) There exists $f \in C(\partial\mathbb{D})$ such that $\{\varphi_M(f)\}_{M \geq 1}$ diverges (equivalently, the Fourier series of f diverges at $z = 1$). (If every f had convergent partial sums at 1, then $\{\varphi_M\}$ would be pointwise bounded; the Uniform Boundedness Principle would force $\sup_M \|\varphi_M\| < \infty$, contradicting (c).)

(II.14) Definition (Poisson kernel). For $0 < r < 1$, define $P_r : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$P_r(\zeta) := \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - r\zeta|^2}, \quad \zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

The family $\{P_r\}_{0 < r < 1}$ is called the *Poisson kernel* on \mathbb{D} .

(II.15) Result (Integral formula / Poisson averaging). Let $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $0 < r < 1$. For $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$,

$$(P_r f)(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\omega) P_r(z\bar{\omega}) d\sigma(\omega) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\omega) \frac{1 - r^2}{|1 - rz\bar{\omega}|^2} d\sigma(\omega),$$

where $d\sigma$ is normalized arc-length measure on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (equivalently $d\sigma(e^{it}) = \frac{dt}{2\pi}$).

(II.16) Result (Approximate identity properties of P_r). The Poisson kernels satisfy:

(a) $P_r(\zeta) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

(b) $\int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} P_r(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = 1$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$.

(c) For every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \int_{\{e^{it}: |t| \geq \delta\}} P_r(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} = 0,$$

i.e. the mass concentrates near 1 as $r \uparrow 1$.

(II.18) Result (Uniform approximation for continuous data). If $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} \|f - P_r f\|_\infty = 0.$$

Equivalently, $P_r f \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ as $r \uparrow 1$.

(II.19) Definition (Harmonic function). Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open. A function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *harmonic* if

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(w) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(w) = 0, \quad \forall w \in G.$$

The left-hand side is the *Laplacian* $\Delta u(w)$; thus u is harmonic iff $\Delta u \equiv 0$ on G .

(II.22) Result (Poisson integral is harmonic). Let $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$. Define $u : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(rz) := (P_r f)(z), \quad 0 < r < 1, z \in \partial\mathbb{D}.$$

Then u is harmonic on \mathbb{D} . This u is called the *Poisson integral* of f . Moreover, if $w = rz \in \mathbb{D}$ ($z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$), then (in terms of Fourier coefficients)

$$u(w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) r^{|n|} z^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \widehat{f}(n) w^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} \widehat{f}(-n) \bar{w}^n.$$

(II.23) Result (Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{D}). If $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, define $u : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(rz) = (P_r f)(z) \quad (0 \leq r < 1, z \in \partial\mathbb{D}), \quad \text{and} \quad u(z) = f(z) \quad (z \in \partial\mathbb{D}).$$

Then u is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, harmonic on \mathbb{D} , and $u|_{\partial\mathbb{D}} = f$.

(II.24) Definition (k times continuously differentiable on $\partial\mathbb{D}$). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define the 2π -periodic lift

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \tilde{f}(t) := f(e^{it}).$$

We say f is k times continuously differentiable (write $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$) if \tilde{f} is k times differentiable on \mathbb{R} and $\tilde{f}^{(k)}$ is continuous. If $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$, define $f^{(k)} : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$f^{(k)}(e^{it}) := \tilde{f}^{(k)}(t), \quad \text{with } f^{(0)} = f.$$

(Heuristic: lift to \mathbb{R} , differentiate, then push back to $\partial\mathbb{D}$.)

(II.26) Result (Fourier coefficients of derivatives). If $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $f \in C^k(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{f^{(k)}}(n) = (in)^k \widehat{f}(n).$$

(Proof sketch: integration by parts on \mathbb{R} using periodicity.)

(II.27) Result (Uniform convergence for C^2 data). If $f \in C^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then its Fourier series converges uniformly and equals f :

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) z^n, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D},$$

and the symmetric partial sums $\sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{f}(n) z^n$ converge uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$. (Reason: from (II.26) with $k = 2$, $|\widehat{f}(n)| \lesssim 1/n^2$, so the series is absolutely and uniformly convergent.)

Exercise (1). For $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{\bar{f}}(n) = \overline{\widehat{f}(-n)}.$$

(Compute directly from the definition and take complex conjugates.)

Exercise (2). Fix $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define $\Lambda_n : L^p(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\Lambda_n(f) = \widehat{f}(n)$.

(a) Λ_n is bounded linear and $\|\Lambda_n\| = 1$.

$$|\widehat{f}(n)| = \left| \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \bar{\zeta}^n d\sigma(\zeta) \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|\bar{\zeta}^n\|_q = \|f\|_p,$$

where q is the Hölder conjugate of p (and $\|\bar{\zeta}^n\|_q = 1$). Equality is attained e.g. by $f(\zeta) = \zeta^n$ (normalized), so $\|\Lambda_n\| = 1$.

(b) Characterize the extremizers: find $f \in L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f\|_p = 1$ and $|\widehat{f}(n)| = 1$. Equality in Hölder forces $|f|$ to be a.e. constant and the argument aligned with ζ^n ; thus (up to a unimodular constant)

$$f(\zeta) = c \zeta^n \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad |c| = 1.$$

Exercise (3). For $0 \leq r < 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P_r(e^{it}) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos t + r^2}.$$

(Use $|1 - re^{it}|^2 = (1 - re^{it})(1 - re^{-it}) = 1 - 2r \cos t + r^2$.)

Exercise (4). If $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, and f is continuous at z , then

$$\lim_{r \uparrow 1} (P_r f)(z) = f(z).$$

(Use the

(2) A boundary function with jump discontinuities

Define $f : \partial\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \Im z > 0, \\ 0, & \Im z = 0, \\ -1, & \Im z < 0. \end{cases}$$

(Equivalently, writing $z = e^{it}$ with $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$, we have $f(e^{it}) = 1$ for $t \in (0, \pi)$ and $f(e^{it}) = -1$ for $t \in (-\pi, 0)$.)

(a) **Fourier coefficients.** For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2i}{\pi n}, & n \text{ odd}, \\ 0, & n \text{ even}. \end{cases}$$

Sketch of computation: for $n \neq 0$,

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^\pi e^{-int} dt - \int_{-\pi}^0 e^{-int} dt \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2 - 2 \cos(n\pi)}{in},$$

which vanishes for even n and equals $-2i/(\pi n)$ for odd n ; also $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$.

(b) **Closed form for the Poisson integral.** For $0 < r < 1$ and $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$,

$$(P_r f)(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{2r \Im z}{1 - r^2} \right).$$

Derivation idea: using the Fourier series form

$$(P_r f)(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) r^{|n|} e^{int},$$

and combining $\pm n$ for odd n gives

$$(P_r f)(e^{it}) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{2k+1}}{2k+1} \sin((2k+1)t).$$

Recognize $\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{w^{2k+1}}{2k+1} = \tanh^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+w}{1-w}$ with $w = re^{it}$, so the sum is an argument (imaginary part of a log), yielding

$$(P_r f)(e^{it}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \operatorname{Arg} \left(\frac{1+re^{it}}{1-re^{it}} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{2r \sin t}{1-r^2} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{2r \Im(e^{it})}{1-r^2} \right).$$

(c) **Pointwise boundary convergence.** Fix $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ and write $y = \Im z$. Then as $r \rightarrow 1^-$,

$$\frac{2r y}{1-r^2} \rightarrow \begin{cases} +\infty, & y > 0, \\ -\infty, & y < 0, \\ 0, & y = 0, \end{cases}$$

so $\arctan(\cdot) \rightarrow \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ (or 0), hence $(P_r f)(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ for every $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$.

(d) **Not uniform convergence on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.** Each $P_r f$ is continuous on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (for fixed $r < 1$), but f is discontinuous at $z = \pm 1$. A uniform limit of continuous functions is continuous, so $P_r f \not\rightarrow f$ uniformly on $\partial\mathbb{D}$.

11B. Fourier Series and L^p on the Unit Circle

(11.30) Orthonormal basis of $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$

The family $\{z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$.

Proof sketch: Let $H = \overline{\text{span}}\{z^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$. If $f \perp H$, then $\widehat{f}(n) = \langle f, z^n \rangle = 0$ for all n . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $g \in C^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ with $\|f - g\|_2 < \varepsilon$ (density). For $g \in C^2$, one has $|\widehat{g}(n)| \lesssim 1/n^2$, hence its Fourier series converges absolutely and uniformly, so $g \in H$ and therefore $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$. Then

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = \langle f, f - g \rangle \leq \|f\|_2 \|f - g\|_2 < \varepsilon \|f\|_2,$$

so $\|f\|_2 < \varepsilon$; since ε is arbitrary, $f = 0$ and thus $H = L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$.

(11.31) L^2 -convergence of Fourier series

If $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(n) z^n \quad \text{with convergence in } L^2(\partial\mathbb{D}).$$

(11.32) Example: computing $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2}$

Let $f(e^{it}) = t$ for $t \in (-\pi, \pi]$ (extended 2π -periodically). Then $\widehat{f}(0) = 0$, and for $n \neq 0$,

$$\widehat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t e^{-int} dt = \frac{i(-1)^n}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad \|f\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} t^2 dt = \frac{\pi^2}{3}.$$

By Parseval,

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

(11.36) Definition: convolution on $\partial\mathbb{D}$

For $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, define

$$(f * g)(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} f(w) g(z\bar{w}) d\sigma(w),$$

for those z for which the integral is well-defined (in fact for a.e. z).

(11.37) Convolution preserves L^1

If $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then $f * g$ is defined a.e., $f * g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, and

$$\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1.$$

(11.38) Young's inequality (one form)

If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_1.$$

(11.41) Convolution is commutative

If $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then $f * g = g * f$.

(11.42) Approximate identity (Poisson kernel)

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\partial\mathbb{D})$,

$$\|P_r * f - f\|_p \longrightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow 1^-).$$

(11.43) Uniqueness in L^1

If $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $\widehat{f}(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f = 0$ a.e.

(11.44) Fourier coefficients of a convolution

If $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(n) = \widehat{f}(n) \widehat{g}(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(11.46) Convolution is associative

If $f, g, h \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$(f * g) * h = f * (g * h).$$

Exercise (1): a real ONB on $[-\pi, \pi]$

Define $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ on $[-\pi, \pi]$ by

$$e_k(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(kt), & k > 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & k = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos(|k|t), & k < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2([-\pi, \pi])$. (Normalization follows from $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^2(nt) dt = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos^2(nt) dt = \pi$, and orthogonality from standard trig product-to-sum identities.)

Exercise (3): computing $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^4}$

Let $f(x) = x^2$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$ (extended 2π -periodically). Then f is even, so $b_n = 0$ and

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^2 dx = \frac{2\pi^2}{3}, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^2 \cos(nx) dx = \frac{4(-1)^n}{n^2} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Parseval gives

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^4 dx = \frac{a_0^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2.$$

Compute

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x^4 dx = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} x^4 dx = \frac{2\pi^4}{5}, \quad \frac{a_0^2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right)^2 = \frac{2\pi^4}{9}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n^2 = 16 \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^4}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{2\pi^4}{5} = \frac{2\pi^4}{9} + 16 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}.$$

Exercise (6): real-valued functions and Fourier symmetry

For $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, f is real-valued a.e. iff

$$\widehat{f}(-n) = \overline{\widehat{f}(n)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Exercise (7): L^2 iff square-summable Fourier coefficients

If $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then

$$f \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D}) \iff \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{f}(n)|^2 < \infty.$$

(\Rightarrow is Parseval. For \Leftarrow , use Riesz–Fischer to build $g \in L^2$ with $\widehat{g}(n) = \widehat{f}(n)$, note $g \in L^1$ since $\partial\mathbb{D}$ has finite measure, and conclude $f = g$ a.e. by L^1 uniqueness.)

Exercise (8): unimodular functions and an autocorrelation identity

Let $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$. Then $|f(z)| = 1$ a.e. on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ iff for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \overline{\widehat{f}(k-n)} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ 0, & n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Idea: set $h(z) = |f(z)|^2 - 1 = f(z)\overline{f(z)} - 1 \in L^1$ (by Hölder). Then $h = 0$ a.e. iff $\widehat{h}(n) = 0$ for all n . Using the Fourier-coefficient formula for products (cf. Exercise (15) below) gives

$$\widehat{f\bar{f}}(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \overline{\widehat{f}(k-n)},$$

and $\widehat{1}(n) = \delta_{n0}$, so $\widehat{h}(n) = 0$ is exactly the displayed condition.

Exercise (10): convolution operator on L^2

Let $f \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and define $T : L^2(\partial\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$ by $Tg = f * g$.

(a) T is compact on $L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$. Indeed, with $e_n(z) = z^n$ (an ONB), (11.44) gives

$$T(e_n) = f * e_n = \widehat{f}(n) e_n,$$

so T is diagonal with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = \widehat{f}(n) \rightarrow 0$ by Riemann–Lebesgue; hence T is compact.

(b) T is injective iff $\widehat{f}(n) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. (If $\widehat{f}(n_0) = 0$ then $T(e_{n_0}) = 0$; conversely, if all $\widehat{f}(n) \neq 0$ then $Tg = 0$ forces $\widehat{g}(n) = 0$ for all n .)

(d) The adjoint satisfies $T^* = T_{f^*}$ where

$$f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})} \quad (z \in \partial\mathbb{D}).$$

Equivalently, $\widehat{f^*}(n) = \overline{\widehat{f}(n)}$, so $T^*(e_n) = \overline{\widehat{f}(n)} e_n$.

Exercise (11): convolution in angular coordinates

If $f, g \in L^1(\partial\mathbb{D})$ and $z = e^{it}$, then

$$(f * g)(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{ix}) g(e^{i(t-x)}) dx,$$

obtained by parametrizing $w = e^{ix}$ in $(f * g)(z) = \int f(w)g(z\bar{w}) d\sigma(w)$.

Exercise (15): Fourier coefficients of a product

If $f, g \in L^2(\partial\mathbb{D})$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{(fg)}(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \widehat{g}(n-k),$$

and the series converges absolutely by Cauchy–Schwarz since $(\widehat{f}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\widehat{g}(k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Exercise (18): Wirtinger's inequality

If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 , 2π -periodic, and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0$, then

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t)^2 dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (f'(t))^2 dt,$$

with equality iff $f(t) = a \sin t + b \cos t$ for some constants a, b .

Proof outline via Fourier series: write

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos(nt) + b_n \sin(nt)) \quad (a_0 = 0 \text{ by the mean-zero condition}),$$

so Parseval yields $\int f^2 = \pi \sum_{n \geq 1} (a_n^2 + b_n^2)$. Differentiate termwise,

$$f'(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(-a_n \sin(nt) + b_n \cos(nt)),$$

and Parseval gives $\int (f')^2 = \pi \sum_{n \geq 1} n^2(a_n^2 + b_n^2) \geq \pi \sum_{n \geq 1} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) = \int f^2$. Equality forces $a_n = b_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, hence $f(t) = a_1 \cos t + b_1 \sin t$.

Chapter IIC: Fourier Transform

Conventions

Throughout this section, $L^p(\mathbb{R}) = L^p(\lambda)$ where λ is Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , and for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|f\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|.$$

(II.47) Definition: Fourier transform

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the **Fourier transform** of f is the function $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i tx} dx.$$

(Remark: Some texts use $\mathcal{F}_0 f(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$, which differs from the above by a rescaling of frequency.)

Example (Fourier transform of $e^{-2\pi|x|}$). If $f(x) = e^{-2\pi|x|}$, then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2\pi|x|} e^{-2\pi itx} dx = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2\pi x} e^{-2\pi itx} dx + \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\pi x} e^{-2\pi itx} dx = \frac{1}{\pi(1+t^2)}.$$

(II.48) Result: Riemann–Lebesgue lemma

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then \widehat{f} is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} and

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_1, \quad \lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{f}(t) = 0.$$

(II.50) Result: Derivative of a Fourier transform

Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and define $g(x) = xf(x)$. If $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then \widehat{f} is continuously differentiable and

$$(\widehat{f})'(t) = -2\pi i \widehat{g}(t) = -2\pi i \widehat{(xf)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Example: $e^{-\pi x^2}$ equals its Fourier transform. Let $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$. Using integration by parts (or the identity above),

$$(\widehat{f})'(t) = -2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}} x e^{-\pi x^2} e^{-2\pi itx} dx = -2\pi t \widehat{f}(t).$$

But $f'(t) = -2\pi t f(t)$ as well, hence $\left(\frac{\widehat{f}}{f}\right)' = 0$, so $\widehat{f} = cf$ for some constant c . Evaluating at $t = 0$ gives

$$c = \widehat{f}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\pi x^2} dx = 1,$$

so $\widehat{f} = f$.

(II.54) Result: Fourier transform of a derivative

Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is continuously differentiable and $f' \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. (Under these hypotheses one also has $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$.) Then, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\widehat{f}'(t) = 2\pi i t \widehat{f}(t).$$

(II.56) Result: Translations, modulations, and dilations

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

- If $g(x) = f(x - b)$, then $\widehat{g}(t) = e^{-2\pi i tb} \widehat{f}(t)$.
- If $g(x) = e^{2\pi i bx} f(x)$, then $\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t - b)$.
- If $b \neq 0$ and $g(x) = f(bx)$, then $\widehat{g}(t) = \frac{1}{|b|} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)$.

Example (Fourier transform of a “rotated” exponential)

Fix $y > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and define

$$h(t) = e^{-2\pi y|t|} e^{2\pi ixt}.$$

Let $f_0(t) = e^{-2\pi|t|}$ so that $\widehat{f}_0(s) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+s^2)}$. Since $e^{-2\pi y|t|} = f_0(yt)$, by dilation,

$$(\widehat{e^{-2\pi y|t|}})(s) = \frac{1}{y} \widehat{f}_0\left(\frac{s}{y}\right) = \frac{y}{\pi(s^2 + y^2)}.$$

Then, by modulation,

$$\widehat{h}(s) = \frac{y}{\pi((s-x)^2 + y^2)}.$$

(II.58) Result: Integral of a function times a Fourier transform

If $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t) g(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \widehat{g}(t) dt.$$

(Proof: expand $\widehat{f}(t)$ and apply Fubini/Tonelli.)

Consequence (Poisson kernel identity). With $h(t) = e^{-2\pi y|t|} e^{2\pi ixt}$ as above, for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t) e^{-2\pi y|t|} e^{2\pi ixt} dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \widehat{h}(t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} dt.$$

(II.63) Definition: Convolution on \mathbb{R}

Let $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be measurable. The **convolution** $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) g(x-t) dt$$

for those x for which the integral makes sense.

(II.64) Result: L^p -convolution estimate (Young's inequality, special case)

If $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then $f * g$ is defined for a.e. x and

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p.$$

(Note: On \mathbb{R} , neither $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ nor $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ is contained in the other in general.)

(II.65) Result: Commutativity

If f, g are measurable and $(f * g)(x)$ is defined, then $(f * g)(x) = (g * f)(x)$.

(II.66) Result: Fourier transform of a convolution

If $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(t) = \widehat{f}(t) \widehat{g}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(II.67) Definition: Upper half-plane

Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : y > 0\}$$

be the upper half-plane, and identify its boundary with the real line $\partial\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}$.

(II.68) Definition: Poisson kernel

For $y > 0$, define $P_y : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$P_y(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

The family $\{P_y\}_{y>0}$ is the **Poisson kernel** on \mathbb{H} . Key properties:

- $P_y(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y > 0$.
- $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_y(x) dx = 1$ for all $y > 0$.
- For every $\delta > 0$, $\int_{|x| \geq \delta} P_y(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $y \downarrow 0$.

(II.70) Definition: Poisson integral $P_y f$

For $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ (some $p \in [1, \infty]$) and $y > 0$, define

$$(P_y f)(x) = (f * P_y)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) P_y(x - t) dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \frac{y}{(x - t)^2 + y^2} dt.$$

(II.71) Result: Uniform approximation (bounded uniformly continuous case)

If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded and uniformly continuous, then

$$\lim_{y \downarrow 0} \|f - P_y f\|_{\infty} = 0,$$

i.e. $P_y f \rightarrow f$ uniformly on \mathbb{R} as $y \downarrow 0$.

(II.72) Result: Poisson integral is harmonic

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$. Define $u : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(x, y) = (P_y f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0.$$

Then u is harmonic on \mathbb{H} .

Proof sketch. Write $z = x + iy$ and note

$$\frac{y}{(x-t)^2 + y^2} = -\Im\left(\frac{1}{z-t}\right).$$

Hence

$$u(x, y) = -\Im\left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)}{z-t} dt\right).$$

The integral defines an analytic function of $z \in \mathbb{H}$ (justified by Hölder and dominated convergence), so its imaginary part is harmonic.

(II.73) Result: Dirichlet problem on the upper half-plane

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded and uniformly continuous. Define $u : \overline{\mathbb{H}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(x+iy) = \begin{cases} (P_y f)(x), & y > 0, \\ f(x), & y = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then u is continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$, harmonic on \mathbb{H} , and $u|_{\partial\mathbb{H}} = f$.

(II.74) Result: L^p convergence

If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{y \downarrow 0} \|f - P_y f\|_p = 0.$$

(One standard proof uses Minkowski/Hölder and the function $h(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - f(x+t)|^p dx$, observing h is bounded, uniformly continuous, and $h(0) = 0$, then bounding $\|f - P_y f\|_p^p$ by $(P_y h)(0)$.)

(II.76) Result: Fourier inversion formula

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) e^{2\pi itx} dt.$$

Equivalently, $\widehat{\widehat{f}}(x) = f(-x)$ (a.e.), hence $f(x) = \widehat{\widehat{f}}(-x)$ (a.e.).

(II.80) Result: Functions are determined by their Fourier transforms

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{f}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f = 0$ a.e.

(II.81) Result: Convolution is associative

If $f, g, h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$(f * g) * h = f * (g * h) \quad (\text{a.e.}).$$

(II.82) Result: Plancherel theorem

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_2 = \|f\|_2.$$

In particular, the map $f \mapsto \widehat{f}$ extends uniquely to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(II.85) Definition: Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}f = \widehat{f} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

(II.87) Properties of \mathcal{F} on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

- \mathcal{F} is unitary on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.
- $\mathcal{F}^4 = I$ (in fact $\mathcal{F}^2 f(x) = f(-x)$).
- $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \{1, i, -1, -i\}$, and in fact $\sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \{1, i, -1, -i\}$.

Exercises IIC

(1) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Prove that $\|\widehat{f}\|_\infty = \|f\|_1$ iff there exist $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{i\theta} f(x) e^{-2\pi i t_0 x} \geq 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Equivalently: after multiplying by a constant phase and a character, f is a.e. nonnegative real.)

(2) Suppose $f(x) = xe^{-\pi x^2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that

$$\widehat{f} = -if.$$

(Hint: Use $\widehat{e^{-\pi x^2}} = e^{-\pi t^2}$ and the identity $\widehat{(xg)} = \frac{i}{2\pi}(\widehat{g})'$.)

(3) Suppose

$$f(x) = 4\pi x^2 e^{-\pi x^2} - e^{-\pi x^2} = (4\pi x^2 - 1)e^{-\pi x^2}.$$

Show that

$$\widehat{f} = -f.$$

(6) Suppose

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} xe^{-2\pi x}, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Show that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2(1+it)^2}.$$

(Compute $\widehat{f}(t) = \int_0^\infty xe^{-2\pi(1+it)x} dx$.)

(8) Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $g(x) = x^n f(x)$. Prove that if $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then \widehat{f} is n -times continuously differentiable on \mathbb{R} and

$$(\widehat{f})^{(n)}(t) = (-2\pi i)^n \widehat{g}(t) = (-2\pi i)^n \widehat{(x^n f)}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Chapter 12: Probability Measures

(12.1) Definition: Probability measure

Let \mathcal{F} be a σ -algebra on a set Ω . A *probability measure* on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a measure P such that

$$P(\Omega) = 1.$$

Here Ω is the *sample space*. An *event* is a set $A \in \mathcal{F}$, and $P(A)$ is the probability of A . If P is a probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , then (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a *probability space*.

(12.3) Definition: Indicator function

If $A \subseteq \Omega$, the indicator (characteristic) function of A is

$$\mathbf{1}_A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{1}_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & \omega \in A, \\ 0, & \omega \notin A. \end{cases}$$

(12.4) Definition: Almost surely

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space. An event A happens *almost surely* (a.s.) if

$$P(A) = 1 \iff P(\Omega \setminus A) = 0.$$

Example (informal): “Picking a random real number gives an irrational number a.s.”

(12.6) Result: Borel–Cantelli Lemma (I)

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a sequence of events with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) < \infty.$$

Then

$$P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 0, \quad \text{where } \{A_n \text{ i.o.}\} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m.$$

Proof sketch. Let $A = \limsup A_n$. For each n ,

$$\mathbf{1}_A \leq \sum_{m \geq n} \mathbf{1}_{A_m}.$$

Integrate and use monotone convergence to get

$$P(A) = E[\mathbf{1}_A] \leq \sum_{m \geq n} P(A_m) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

(12.7) Definition: Independent events

Events $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ are *independent* if

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B).$$

A family $\{A_k\}_{k \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is *independent* if for any distinct $k_1, \dots, k_n \in I$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_{k_j}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(A_{k_j}).$$

(12.9) Example: Independence in a product space

If $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P_2)$ are probability spaces, then

$$(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, P_1 \times P_2)$$

is a probability space. If $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_2$, then the events

$$A \times \Omega_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_1 \times B$$

are independent since

$$(A \times \Omega_2) \cap (\Omega_1 \times B) = A \times B, \quad (P_1 \times P_2)(A \times B) = P_1(A)P_2(B).$$

(12.10) Result: Borel–Cantelli Lemma (II) (Reverse, under independence)

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$ an independent family of events such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \infty.$$

Then

$$P(A_n \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

Proof sketch. Let $A = \limsup A_n$. Then $A^c = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m^c$. By independence,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m^c\right) = \prod_{m \geq n} (1 - P(A_m)).$$

Using $\log(1-x) \leq -x$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, this product is $\leq \exp(-\sum_{m \geq n} P(A_m)) \rightarrow 0$, hence $P(A^c) = 0$.

(12.13) Definition: Random variable; expectation

A *random variable* on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) is a measurable function $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $X \in L^1(P)$, its *expectation* is

$$E[X] = \int_{\Omega} X dP.$$

Since $P(\Omega) = 1$, $E[X]$ can be interpreted as the average/mean of X .

(12.14) Definition: Independent random variables

Random variables X, Y are *independent* if for all Borel sets $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, the events $\{X \in U\}$ and $\{Y \in V\}$ are independent. More generally, $\{X_k\}_{k \in I}$ is independent if for any distinct k_1, \dots, k_n and Borel sets U_1, \dots, U_n ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n \{X_{k_j} \in U_j\}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^n P(X_{k_j} \in U_j).$$

(12.16) Result: Functions of independent r.v.'s are independent

If X, Y are independent and $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Borel measurable, then $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are independent. **Proof sketch.** For Borel U, V ,

$$\{f(X) \in U\} = \{X \in f^{-1}(U)\}, \quad \{g(Y) \in V\} = \{Y \in g^{-1}(V)\}.$$

(12.17) Result: Expectation of the product of independent r.v.'s

If X, Y are independent and integrable (e.g. $X, Y \in L^1(P)$ and $XY \in L^1(P)$), then

$$E[XY] = E[X]E[Y].$$

Proof sketch. Verify first for simple functions, then extend by approximation and standard convergence arguments.

(12.19) Definition: Variance and standard deviation

If $X \in L^2(P)$, define

$$\text{var}(X) = E[(X - E[X])^2], \quad \sigma(X) = \sqrt{\text{var}(X)}.$$

Example: for an event A ,

$$\text{var}(\mathbf{1}_A) = E[(\mathbf{1}_A - P(A))^2] = P(A)(1 - P(A)), \quad \text{so} \quad \sigma(\mathbf{1}_A) = \sqrt{P(A)(1 - P(A))}.$$

(12.20) Result: Variance formula

If $X \in L^2(P)$, then

$$\text{var}(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2.$$

Proof sketch. Expand $E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - 2E[X]E[X] + (E[X])^2$.

(12.21) Result: Chebyshev's inequality

If $X \in L^2(P)$ and $t > 0$, then

$$P(|X - E[X]| \geq t\sigma(X)) \leq \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

Proof sketch. Apply Markov to $(X - E[X])^2$:

$$P((X - E[X])^2 \geq t^2\sigma^2(X)) \leq \frac{E[(X - E[X])^2]}{t^2\sigma^2(X)} = \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

(12.22) Result: Variance of a sum of independent r.v.'s

If $X_1, \dots, X_n \in L^2(P)$ are independent, then

$$\text{var}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n X_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \text{var}(X_k).$$

Proof sketch. Use $\text{var}(S) = E[S^2] - (E[S])^2$ with $S = \sum X_k$ and independence to get $E[X_i X_j] = E[X_i] E[X_j]$ for $i \neq j$.

(12.23) Definition: Conditional probability

If $B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $P(B) > 0$, define $P(\cdot | B) : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}.$$

(12.24) Result: Bayes' theorem (V1)

If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ with $P(A) > 0$ and $P(B) > 0$, then

$$P(B | A) = \frac{P(A | B) P(B)}{P(A)}.$$

(12.25) Result: Bayes' theorem (V2)

Let B be an event with $P(B) > 0$, and let A_1, \dots, A_n be pairwise disjoint events with $P(A_i) > 0$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \Omega$. Then for $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$P(A_k | B) = \frac{P(B | A_k) P(A_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(B | A_i) P(A_i)}.$$

(12.27) Definition: Distribution and distribution function

Let X be a random variable. Its (*probability*) *distribution* is the probability measure P_X on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ defined by

$$P_X(B) = P(X \in B) = P(X^{-1}(B)), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Its *distribution function* (CDF) is $F_X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by

$$F_X(s) = P(X \leq s) = P_X((-\infty, s]).$$

(12.29) Result: Characterization of distribution functions

A function $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a distribution function (i.e. $H = F_X$ for some r.v. X) iff:

1. H is nondecreasing: $s \leq t \Rightarrow H(s) \leq H(t)$;
2. $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} H(s) = 0$;
3. $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} H(s) = 1$;
4. H is right-continuous: $\lim_{s \downarrow t} H(s) = H(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(12.32) Definition: Density function

A random variable X has a *density* (PDF) h if there exists $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $h \geq 0$ a.e. such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$P(X \leq s) = \int_{-\infty}^s h(u) du.$$

(Equivalently, $P_X(B) = \int_B h(u) du$ for all Borel B .)

(12.33) Result: Mean and variance from a density

If $h \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) dx = 1$, define a probability measure P on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ by

$$P(B) = \int_B h(x) dx.$$

Let $X : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $X(x) = x$. Then h is a density for X , and (when integrable)

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x h(x) dx, \quad \text{var}(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 h(x) dx - \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x h(x) dx \right)^2.$$

(12.35) Definition: Identically distributed; i.i.d.

A family of random variables is *identically distributed* if all have the same distribution function. More explicitly, $\{X_k\}_{k \in I}$ is identically distributed if for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $j, k \in I$,

$$P(X_j \leq s) = P(X_k \leq s).$$

If additionally the family is independent, it is *i.i.d.* (When moments exist, identically distributed implies $E[X_j] = E[X_k]$ and $\sigma(X_j) = \sigma(X_k)$.)

(12.38) Result: Weak Law of Large Numbers

Let $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be an i.i.d. family with $X_k \in L^2(P)$ and $E[X_k] = \mu$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k - \mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

Proof sketch. Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Then $E[\bar{X}_n] = \mu$ and $\text{var}(\bar{X}_n) = \text{var}(X_1)/n$ by independence. Chebyshev gives

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{var}(X_1)}{n\varepsilon^2} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$