## Image Denoising

Homework n°1 - Exercises

### Exercise 4.1

Let  $X \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} k \mathbb{P}(X=k) \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} k \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^k \frac{k}{k \times (k-1)!} \\ &= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \lambda^k \frac{k}{k \times (k-1)!} \\ &= \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} + \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^k}{(k-1)!} \\ &= \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^l}{l!} \\ &= \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^l}{l!} + \lambda e^{-\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda^m}{m!} \\ &= \lambda^2 + \lambda \end{split}$$

And 
$$Var(X) = \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2 = (\lambda^2 + \lambda) - \lambda^2 = \lambda$$
.

So we have shown  $\mathbb{E}[X] = Var(X) = \lambda$ .

We first prove the result for n = 2. Let  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_1)$  and  $X_2 \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_2)$  be independent random variables.

 $X_1 + X_2$  is valued in  $\mathbb{N}$ , and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(X_1+X_2=k) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{l=0}^k \{X_1=l, X_2=k-l\}\right) \text{ by the total probability formula} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \mathbb{P}(X_1=l, X_2=k-l) \text{ because the union is disjoint} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \mathbb{P}(X_1=l)\mathbb{P}(X_2=k-l) \text{ because } X_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp X_2 \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k e^{-\lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^l}{l!} e^{-\lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_2^{k-l}}{(k-l)!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{k}{l} \lambda_1^l \lambda_2^{k-l} \\ &= \frac{e^{-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}}{k!} (\lambda_1+\lambda_2)^k \text{ by the binomial theorem.} \end{split}$$

This shows that  $X_1 + X_2 \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ . Then, we prove the result for any  $n \geq 1$  by induction.

- The result is clear for n=1.
- Assume the result holds for some  $n \geq 1$ , and write  $X_1 + \cdots + X_{n+1} = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n) + X_{n+1}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $X_1 + \cdots + X_n \sim \mathcal{P}(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k)$ .

We also have that  $X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  and  $X_{n+1}$  are independent (because  $X_{n+1}$  is independent from  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ ).

So by what we showed previously, we have  $X_1 + \cdots + X_{n+1} \sim \mathcal{P}(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k + \lambda_{n+1}) = \mathcal{P}(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \lambda_k)$ .

This proves the induction, and concludes the exercise.

By Taylor's expansion, for f smooth we have  $f(\tilde{u}) \approx f(u) + f'(u)(\tilde{u} - u) \approx f(u) + f'(u)g(u)n$ .

To have a variance independent of u, we want f'(u)g(u) to be constant, that is,  $f'(u) = \frac{c_1}{g(u)}$ .

Here, 
$$g(u) = \sqrt{u}$$
, so  $f'(u) = \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{u}}$  and  $f(u) = 2c_1\sqrt{u} + c_2$ .  
Setting  $c_2 = 0$  and  $c_1 = c$  yields  $f(u) = 2c\sqrt{u}$ .  
In that case,  $f(\tilde{u}) \approx 2c\sqrt{u} + cn$ .

Setting 
$$c_2 = 0$$
 and  $c_1 = c$  yields  $f(u) = 2c\sqrt{u}$ .

Set 
$$\mathbf{D} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a(i) G_i G_i^T$$
.

Since  $(G_i)_{i=1,\dots,M}$  is an orthonormal basis, we have  $U = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \langle U, G_i \rangle G_i$ , and since  $\tilde{U} = U + N$ , we have  $\mathbf{D}\tilde{U} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} a(i) \left( \langle U, G_i \rangle + \langle N, G_i \rangle \right) G_i$ . So:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}\tilde{U}\|^{2}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle - a(i)\left(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle + \langle N, G_{i}\rangle\right)\right)G_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left((1 - a(i))\langle U, G_{i}\rangle - a(i)\langle N, G_{i}\rangle\right)G_{i}\right\|^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left((1 - a(i))\langle U, G_{i}\rangle - a(i)\langle N, G_{i}\rangle\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{M}\left((1 - a(i))^{2}\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + a(i)^{2}\langle N, G_{i}\rangle^{2} - 2a(i)(1 - a(i))\langle U, G_{i}\rangle\langle N, G_{i}\rangle\right)\right]$$

For each i,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\langle N, G_i \rangle\right] = \langle \mathbb{E}[N], G_i \rangle = \langle 0, G_i \rangle = 0$ , and  $\mathbb{E}\left[\langle N, G_i \rangle^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[G_i^T N N^T G_i\right] = G_i^T \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[N N^T\right]}_{=\sigma^2 I_M} G_i = \sigma^2 G_i^T G_i = \sigma^2$ , so:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}\tilde{U}\|^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( (1 - a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2 \right)$$
(1)

Minimizing this quantity is equivalent to minimizing  $(1 - a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2$  for each i. And differentiating with respect to a(i), we find:

$$\frac{d}{da(i)}\left((1-a(i))^2\langle U,G_i\rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2\right) = 2(a(i)-1)\langle U,G_i\rangle^2 + 2\sigma^2 a(i), \text{ which is 0 for } a(i) = \frac{\langle U,G_i\rangle^2}{\langle U,G_i\rangle^2 + \sigma^2}.$$

Replacing a(i) in (1) then yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}_{inf}\tilde{U}\|^{2}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left(\frac{\sigma^{4}}{(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2})^{2}} \langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2} \frac{\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{4}}{(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2})^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma^{2} \langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2})^{2}} + \frac{\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2}}{(\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2})^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{\sigma^{2} \langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2}}{\langle U, G_{i}\rangle^{2} + \sigma^{2}}$$

We have shown that 
$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left[ \|U - \mathbf{D}_{inf} \tilde{U}\|^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\sigma^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2}{\langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2} \right|$$

From the previous exercise (see (1)), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}\tilde{U}\|^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left( (1 - a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2 \right)$$
 (2)

Set  $a(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2 \ge c\sigma^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  for some c > 1.

- If  $|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2 \ge c\sigma^2$ , then  $\min(|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2, c\sigma^2) = c\sigma^2$ , and a(i) = 1 so  $((1 a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2) = \sigma^2 \stackrel{c \ge 1}{\le} c\sigma^2 = \min(|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2, c\sigma^2).$
- If  $|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2 < c\sigma^2$ , then  $\min(|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2, c\sigma^2) = |\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2$ , and a(i) = 0, so  $((1 a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2) = |\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2 = \min(|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2, c\sigma^2)$ .

We have shown that  $\forall i$ ,  $((1 - a(i))^2 \langle U, G_i \rangle^2 + \sigma^2 a(i)^2) \leq \min(|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2, c\sigma^2)$ , so by summing over i, and using (2), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}\tilde{U}\|^2\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \min(\left|\langle U, G_i \rangle\right|^2, c\sigma^2) \text{ for } c > 1$$

Notice that the inequality comes from the upper-bound  $\sigma^2 \leq c\sigma^2$  in the case  $|\langle U, G_i \rangle|^2 \geq c\sigma^2$ . So when c = 1, all inequalities become equalities, that is:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|U - \mathbf{D}\tilde{U}\|^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \min(\left|\langle U, G_i \rangle\right|^2, c\sigma^2) \text{ for } c = 1$$

.

#### DCT:

The DCT can be rewritten Y = AX where A is an  $N \times N$  matrix with coefficients given by  $A_{k,l} = 2\alpha_k \cos\left(\pi\left(l + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{k}{N}\right)$   $(0 \le k, l \le N - 1)$ , where  $\alpha_k = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1/(4N)} & \text{if } k = 0\\ \sqrt{1/(2N)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Saying that the DCT is an isometry is equivalent to saying that A is an isometry, i.e., that  $A^TA = I_N$ . For  $0 \le k, l \le N - 1$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} (A^TA)_{k,l} &= \sum_{m=1}^{N} (A^T)_{k,m} A_{m,l} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} A_{m,k} A_{m,l} \\ &= 4 \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \alpha_m^2 \cos \left( \pi \left( k + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \cos \left( \pi \left( l + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \alpha_m^2 \left( \cos \left( \pi \left( k + l + 1 \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) + \cos \left( \pi \left( k - l \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \alpha_m^2 \Re \left( \exp \left( i \pi \left( k + l + 1 \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) + 2 \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \alpha_m^2 \Re \left( \exp \left( i \pi \left( k - l \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) \\ &= 4 \sum_{n=1/(4N)}^{2} + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \underbrace{\alpha_m^2}_{n=1/(2N)} \Re \left( \exp \left( i \pi \left( k + l + 1 \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \underbrace{\alpha_m^2}_{n=1/(2N)} \Re \left( \exp \left( i \pi \left( k - l \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \Re \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \exp \left( i \pi \left( k + l + 1 \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{N} \Re \left( \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \exp \left( i \pi \left( k - l \right) \frac{m}{N} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

Then, we use the formula  $\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} a^m = \begin{cases} \frac{a-a^N}{1-a} & \text{if } a \neq 1 \\ N & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

• We have  $0 \le k, l \le N-1$ , so  $\frac{1}{N} \le \frac{k+l+1}{N} \le 2 - \frac{1}{N}$ , so the first sum corresponds to  $a \ne 1$ , that is:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{m}{N}\right) = \frac{\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\right)}{1 - \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{N}\right)}$$

We then simplify by  $\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)$ :

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{m}{N}\right) &= \frac{\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{2N}\right)\right)}{\exp\left(-i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)} \\ &= \frac{\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)}{-2i\sin\left(\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)} \end{split}$$

For k+l even, k+l+1 is odd, and the numerator becomes  $\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)+\exp\left(-i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)=2\cos\left(\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)$ , so the sum is an imaginary number, with real part 0.

For k+l odd, k+l+1 is even, and the numerator becomes  $\exp\left(i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)-\exp\left(-i\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)=2i\sin\left(\pi\left(k+l+1\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)$ , so the sum is equal to -1.

• For the second sum, if k = j then the sum is equal to N - 1. If  $k \neq l$ , then we have a geometric sum with  $a \neq 1$ , and

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \exp\left(i\pi (k-l) \frac{m}{N}\right) = \frac{\exp\left(i\pi (k-l) \frac{1}{N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi (k-l)\right)}{1 - \exp\left(i\pi (k-l) \frac{1}{N}\right)}$$
$$= \frac{\exp\left(i\pi (k-l) \frac{1}{2N}\right) - \exp\left(i\pi (k-l) (1 - \frac{1}{2N})\right)}{-2i\sin\left(\pi (k-l) \frac{1}{2N}\right)}$$

For k-l even (which is equivalent to k+l even), the numerator becomes  $2i\sin\left(\pi\left(k-l\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)$  and the sum is -1.

For k-l odd, the numerator becomes  $2\cos\left(\pi\left(k-l\right)\frac{1}{2N}\right)$  and the real part of the sum is 0.

So when  $k \neq l$ , the real part of the sums are -1 and 0, and by the above calculation we have  $(A^T A)_{k,l} = 0$ .

For k = l, k + l = 2k is even so the real part of the first sum is 0, and the second sum is N - 1, which gives  $(A^T A)_{k,l} = 1$ .

We have shown  $A^T A = I_N$ , so DCT defines an isometry

#### IDCT:

The IDCT can be rewritten X = BY where B is an  $N \times N$  matrix with coefficients given by  $B_{k,l} = 2\tilde{\beta}_l \cos\left(\pi\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{l}{N}\right) \ (0 \le k, l \le N - 1)$ , where  $\tilde{\beta}_l = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1/(4N)} & \text{if } l = 0\\ \sqrt{1/(2N)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

And recall that  $A_{l,k} = 2\alpha_l \cos\left(\pi\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{l}{N}\right)$  with  $\alpha_l = \tilde{\beta}_l$ , so  $B_{k,l} = A_{l,k}$  and  $B = A^T$ , and since  $A^T = A^{-1}$ ,  $B = A^{-1}$ .

So DCT and IDCT are inverse of each other.

And  $B^T B = (A^{-1})^T A^{-1} = (A^T)^{-1} A^{-1} = (A^{-1})^{-1} A^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I_N$ , so B is orthogonal, and IDCT defines an isometry.

Let 
$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{k} \alpha_k^2 \sigma_k^2$$
, and  $g(\alpha) = \sum_{k} \alpha_k$ .  
The optimization problem reads

$$\begin{cases} \min_{\alpha} f(\alpha) \\ \text{s.t. } g(\alpha) = 1, \alpha \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$

f and g are convex, so this is a convex optimization problem. Note that the set  $\{\alpha \mid \alpha \succeq \alpha \mid \alpha \in \alpha \mid \alpha \in \beta \}$  $0, g(\alpha) = 1$  is a non-empty compact set, and f is continuous, so there exists an optimal  $\alpha$ .

The Lagrangian reads  $\mathcal{L}(\alpha; \lambda) = f(\alpha) + \lambda(1 - g(\alpha))$ .

Slater's conditions hold, so there exists  $\lambda$  optimal for the dual problem, and using the KKT condition, we have  $\nabla_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}(\alpha; \lambda) = 0$  for optimal  $\alpha, \lambda$ , which is equivalent to  $\forall k, 2\sigma_k^2 \alpha_k - \lambda = 0$ , or  $\forall k, 2\alpha_k \sigma_k^2 = \lambda$ .

By Parseval theorem, for a patch  $X_k$ , we have  $\operatorname{Var}(X_k) = \mathbb{E}\left[(X_k - \mathbb{E}[X_k])^2\right] = \sigma^2 \sum_j (\rho_{P_k})_j^2$ , which can be rewritten  $\sigma_k^2 = \sigma^2 \|\rho_{P_k}\|^2$ .

which can be rewritten 
$$\sigma_k^2 = \sigma^2 \|\rho_{P_k}\|^2$$
.  
And since  $\alpha_k = \frac{\sigma_k^{-2}}{\sum_j \sigma_j^{-2}}$ , we have  $\alpha_k = \frac{\sigma^{-2} \|\rho_{P_k}\|^{-2}}{\sigma^{-2} \sum_j \|\rho_{P_j}\|^{-2}}$ , that is  $\alpha_k = \frac{\|\rho_{P_k}\|^{-2}}{\sum_j \|\rho_{P_j}\|^{-2}}$ .