

Table of Contents

- [Problem 1](#)
- [Problem 2](#)
- [Problem 3](#)
- [Problem 4](#)

Problem 1

By using the properties of the outer product, show that

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_2$$

is a rank-one tensor whenever $\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{b}_2$ and $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2$. Is this also true in general when $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2$ but $\mathbf{b}_1 \neq \mathbf{b}_2$?

1.1) O tensor \mathcal{X} é construído a partir do seguinte modelo:

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_2$$

Assumindo $\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{b}_2$ e $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 \\ &= (\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2) \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1\end{aligned}$$

1.2) É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor: $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$

1.3) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar \mathcal{X} , i.e, tem posto $R = 1$.

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1}$$

1.4) Assumindo $\mathbf{b}_1 \neq \mathbf{b}_2$ e $\mathbf{c}_1 = \mathbf{c}_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 \\ &= (\mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2) \circ \mathbf{c}_1\end{aligned}$$

1.5) Com estas premissas, apenas a reorganização não permite afirmar que \mathcal{X} é representado por um tensor de posto 1.

1.6) Entretanto, ao assumir que existe α tal que $\mathbf{b}_2 = \alpha \mathbf{b}_1$, a equação fica mais simples.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \alpha \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 \\ &= \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 \\ &= (\mathbf{a}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{a}_2) \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1\end{aligned}$$

É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor: $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{a}_1 + \alpha \mathbf{a}_2$

1.7) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar \mathcal{X} , i.e, tem posto $R = 1$.

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1$$

1.8) Entretanto, se não existe α , ou seja \mathbf{b}_1 e \mathbf{b}_2 não são colineares, consequentemente \mathbf{v}_2 não existe e apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é insuficiente para representar \mathcal{X} . Sendo utilizados 2 tensores de posto-1 para representação, implica em $posto(\mathcal{X}) = 2$.

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2) \circ \mathbf{c}_1}$$

Problem 2

Show that the tensor rank is indeed a tensor property: in other words, it is invariant with respect to a multilinear transformation by nonsingular matrices, that is, if

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_n \times I_n}$ is nonsingular for every n , then

$$rank(\mathcal{X}) = rank(\mathcal{S}).$$

(Hint: write \mathcal{S} as a PD with a minimal number of terms, and then use the properties of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of \mathcal{X} ; similarly, use the invertibility of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of \mathcal{S} . More generally, conclude that the same property holds for matrices $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_n \times R_n}$ having linearly independent columns (and thus $R_n \leq I_n$).

2.1) O tensor *core* \mathcal{S} reescrito em função de fatores da decomposição CP resulta em:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

2.2) Também é possível reorganizar a equação em função \mathcal{S} de acordo com as equações (11) e (17) das notas de aula, utilizando as propriedades do operador transposto $(^T)$, caso $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$, e operador hermitiano/autoadjunto $(^H)$

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)H} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)H}$$

2.3) Desenvolvendo \mathcal{X} em função de 2.1, obtém-se a representação em função do somatório ponderado pelas matrizes de mudança de base $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X} &= \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)} \right) \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \\ \mathcal{X} &= \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}\end{aligned}$$

2.4) Dado as considerações anteriores para \mathcal{S} , o tensor *core* \mathcal{S} também pode ser reescrito como:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)} \right) \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)H} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)H}$$

2.5) Dado as propriedas que relacionam os produtos de modo- N , o tensor \mathcal{S} , convenientemente é reorganizado de modo que as matrizes hermitianas multiplicam a matriz de transformação original de mesmo modo. E dado que $\mathbf{A}^{(n)H} \mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{I}$, finalmente

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{A}^{(1)H} \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}^{(N)H} \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

2.6)

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

$$rank(\mathcal{X}) = rank(\mathcal{S}).$$

2.) Ao assumir que as matrizes $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$

Pseudo inversa $\mathbf{A}^{(n)\dagger} \mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{I}$

Problem 3

3.1) *Unfoldings* do core do tensor \mathcal{S}

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathbf{S}]_{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{S}]_{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{S}]_{(2)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{S}]_{(1)}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{B})^\top \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top & (\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top & (\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top & (\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2] \begin{bmatrix} 1(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + 0(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top + 0(\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + 1(\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \\ 0(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + 1(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top + 0(\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + 0(\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2] \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + (\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \\ (\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \end{bmatrix} \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= \mathbf{a}_1 \left[(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + (\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \right] + \mathbf{a}_2 \left[(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top \right] \\ [\mathbf{X}]_{(1)} &= \mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_1)^\top + \mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{c}_2 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top + \mathbf{a}_2(\mathbf{c}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2)^\top\end{aligned}$$

3.)

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_2 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_1$$

3.) Dado a demonstração do problema 2, o posto do tensor é uma propriedade.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} &= \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(3)} \\ \mathcal{S}_{:,1} &= \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_{1,r}^{(3)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)\top} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}_1 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)\top} \\ \mathcal{S}_{:,2} &= \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_{2,r}^{(3)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)\top} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}_2 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)\top}\end{aligned}$$

3.) Identidade e SVD

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{:,1} &= \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\top = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}_1 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)\top} = \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{S}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{D}_1 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) = \mathbf{S}^{(2)} = \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{V}^\top = \mathbf{I}\end{aligned}$$

3.) Rescrevendo $\mathcal{S}_{:,2}$ a partir dos resultados obtidos em função de $\mathcal{S}_{:,1}$.

$$\mathcal{S}_{:,2} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}_2 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)\top}$$

3.) Multiplicando

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{:,2} &= \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \left[\mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}_2 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)\top} \right] \mathbf{S}^{(1)^{-1}} \\ \mathcal{S}_{:,2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_2 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

3.) Dado que a identidade é o elemento neutro na multiplicação de matrizes, podemos reescrever a equação omitindo-as.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{:,2} &= \mathbf{D}_2 \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \\ \mathcal{S}_{:,2} &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{21} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{s}_{22} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

<!-- 3.) and thus it is possible to diagonalized the second frontal slice $\mathcal{S}_{:,2}$ with matrix $\mathbf{S}(1)$. However,

this similarity transformation leads to an invalid Jordan matrix as defined by $\mathcal{S}_{:,2}$. Thus, it is not possible to the core tensor \mathbf{S} be rank two and it must be in fact rank three -->

Problem 4

In this last exercise, we will show that, although the tensors of the form considered in the last exercise have rank 3, they are limits of sequences of rank-2 tensors. Thus, unlike happens for matrices, a sequence of rank- R tensors can converge to a rank- S tensor with $S > R$.

(i) First, show that the rank-1 tensor

$$\mathcal{Y}_m = m(\mathbf{a}_1 + m^{-1}\mathbf{b}_2) \circ (\mathbf{b}_2 + m^{-1}\mathbf{b}_1) \circ (\mathbf{c}_1 \circ m^{-1}\mathbf{c}_2)$$

is equal to \mathcal{X} (as given by (1)) plus an $O(m)$ term \mathcal{Z}_m and an $O(1/m)$ term.

(ii) Subtract the $O(m)$ term to get:

$$\mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{Y}_m - \mathcal{Z}_m.$$

What is the rank of \mathcal{X}_m ?

(iii) Use the expression obtained for \mathcal{X}_m to conclude that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{X}$.