

Lista 2 [TI8419 - Multilinear Algebra]

Lucas Abdalah

Professors: André Lima e Henrique Goulart

Table of Contents

- [Problem 1](#)
- [Problem 2](#)
- [Problem 3](#)
- [Problem 4](#)

Problem 1

By using the properties of the outer product, show that

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{2\}$$

is a rank-one tensor whenever $\mathbf{b}\{1\} = \mathbf{b}\{2\}$ and $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$. Is this also true in general when $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$ but $\mathbf{b}\{1\} \neq \mathbf{b}\{2\}$?

1.1) O tensor \mathcal{X} é construído a partir do seguinte modelo:
$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{2\}$$

Assumindo $\mathbf{b}\{1\} = \mathbf{b}\{2\}$ e $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

1.2) É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor: $\mathbf{v}\{1\} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\}$

1.3) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar \mathcal{X} , i.e, tem posto $R = 1$.

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}}$$

1.4) Assumindo $\mathbf{b}\{1\} \neq \mathbf{b}\{2\}$ e $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

1.5) Com estas premissas, apenas a reorganização não permite afirmar que \mathcal{X} é representado por um tensor de posto 1.

1.6) Entretanto, ao assumir que existe α tal que $\mathbf{b}\{2\} = \alpha \mathbf{b}\{1\}$, a equação fica mais simples.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \alpha \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor: $\mathbf{v}\{2\} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\}$

1.7) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar \mathcal{X} , i.e, tem posto $R = 1$.

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}$$

1.8) Entretanto, se não existe α , ou seja $\mathbf{b}\{1\}$ e $\mathbf{b}\{2\}$ não são colineares, consequentemente $\mathbf{v}\{2\}$ não existe e apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é insuficiente para representar \mathcal{X} . Sendo utilizados 2 tensores de posto-1 para representação, implica em $\text{posto}(\mathcal{X}) = 2$.

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}}$$

Problem 2

Show that the tensor rank is indeed a tensor property: in other words, it is invariant with respect to a multilinear transformation by nonsingular matrices, that is, if

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)}$$

where $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_n \times I_n}$ is nonsingular for every n , then

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{S}).$$

(Hint: write \mathcal{S} as a PD with a minimal number of terms, and then use the properties of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of \mathcal{X} ; similarly, use the invertibility of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of \mathcal{S} . More generally, conclude that the same property holds for

matrices $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \{\mathbb{C}\}^{L_n \times R_n}$ having linearly independent columns (and thus $R_n \leq L_n$).

2.1) O tensor *core* \mathcal{S} reescrito em função de fatores da decomposição CP resulta em:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

2.2) Também é possível reorganizar a equação em função \mathcal{S} de acordo com as equações (11) e (17) das notas de aula, utilizando as propriedades do operador transposto $\left(\cdot\right)^{\top}$, caso $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$, e operador hermitiano/autoadjunto $\left(\cdot\right)^H$

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times_1 \{\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\}^H \dots \times_N \{\mathbf{A}^{(N)}\}^H$$

2.3) Desenvolvendo \mathcal{X} em função de 2.1, obtém-se a representação em função do somatório ponderado pelas matrizes de mudança de base $\mathbf{A}^{(n)}$.

$$\mathcal{X} = \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)} \right) \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)}$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

2.4) Dado as considerações anteriores para \mathcal{S} , o tensor *core* \mathcal{S} também pode ser reescrito como:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)} \right) \times_1 \{\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\}^H \dots \times_N \{\mathbf{A}^{(N)}\}^H$$

2.5) Dado as propriedades que relacionam os produtos de modo- N , o tensor \mathcal{S} , convenientemente é reorganizado de modo que as matrizes hermitianas multiplicam a matriz de transformação original de mesmo modo. Sendo $\{\mathbf{A}^{(n)}\}^H \mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{I}$, obtemos:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \{\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\}^H \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \{\mathbf{A}^{(N)}\}^H \mathbf{A}^{(N)} \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(N)}$$

2.6) Consequentemente, \mathcal{S} preserva o posto de \mathcal{X} , i.e., $\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{S})$.

2.7) Para o primeiro caso onde a matriz era quadrada, os operadores de inversa e hermitiano foram utilizados. Já para o caso $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \{\mathbb{C}\}^{L_n \times R_n}$, é necessário estender o conceito para pseudo-inversa de uma matriz. Assumindo a sua existência, obtém-se

$$\{\mathbf{A}^{(n)}\}^\dagger \mathbf{A}^{(n)} = \mathbf{I}$$

E isso permite a extensão da demonstração para matrizes retangulares.

Problem 3

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3}$ be given by

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \circ \mathbf{b}_1 \circ \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \circ \mathbf{b}_2 \circ \mathbf{c}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \circ \mathbf{b}_3 \circ \mathbf{c}_3$$

where the vectors are assumed to satisfy the following:

- \mathbf{a}_1 is not collinear with \mathbf{a}_2 ;
- \mathbf{b}_1 is not collinear with \mathbf{b}_2 ;
- \mathbf{c}_1 is not collinear with \mathbf{c}_2 .

The goal of this exercise is to show that any such tensor has rank three, that is, it cannot be expressed as a sum of fewer terms. We will proceed by steps.

(i) First, show that

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_1 \mathbf{A} \times_2 \mathbf{B} \times_3 \mathbf{C}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \quad \mathbf{a}_2], \quad \mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1 \quad \mathbf{b}_2], \quad \mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{c}_1 \quad \mathbf{c}_2],$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{1\cdot} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{2\cdot} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, using the result of Exercise 2), conclude that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{S} have the same rank.

(ii) Hence, it suffices to show that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{S}) = 3$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{S}) = 2$. Using the properties of the PARAFAC decomposition, show that this implies the existence of matrices \mathbf{U} , \mathbf{V} , \mathbf{D}_1 , $\mathbf{D}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that \mathbf{D}_1 , \mathbf{D}_2 are diagonal and

$$\mathbf{S}_{1\cdot} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{V}^{\top}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{2\cdot} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_2 \mathbf{V}^{\top}$$

(iii) Now, use the fact that $\mathbf{X}_{1\cdot} = \mathbf{I}$ to show that (2) implies that $\mathbf{S}_{2\cdot}$ can be diagonalized by \mathbf{U} , that is, there exists a diagonal matrix \mathbf{D} such that

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U}^{-1}.$$

(iv) Conclude that this leads to a contradiction, by taking into account the Jordan form of $\mathbf{S}_{\{2\}}$.

3.1) *Unfoldings* do core do tensor \mathcal{S}

$$\mathbf{S}_{\{1\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\{2\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_{\{2\}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S}_{\{1\}} (\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{B})^{\text{top}}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = [\mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \quad \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left[(\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} \quad (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \quad (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} \quad (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = [\mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \quad \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + 0(\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + 0(\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + 1(\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \\ 0 & (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + 1(\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + 0(\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + 0(\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = [\mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \quad \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}}] \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \\ (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = \mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \left[(\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} \right] + \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}} \left[(\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} \right]$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{\{1\}} = \mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}} (\mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}})^{\text{top}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}} (\mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}})^{\text{top}}$$

3.)

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}} \circ \mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{1\}} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}} \circ \mathbf{c}_{\{2\}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\{1\}} \circ \mathbf{c}_{\{1\}} + \mathbf{a}_{\{2\}} \circ \mathbf{b}_{\{2\}} \circ \mathbf{c}_{\{2\}}$$

3.) Dado a demonstração do problema 2, o posto do tensor é uma propriedade.

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(3)}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{..1} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_{1,r}^{(3)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}\{1\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \mathbf{s}_{2,r}^{(3)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(1)} \circ \mathbf{s}_r^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}\{2\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \mathbf{I}$$

3.) Identidade e SVD

$$\mathcal{S}_{..1} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\text{top}} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}\{1\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=} \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{S}^{(1)} = \mathbf{D}\{1\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) = \mathbf{S}^{(2)} = \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{V}^{\text{top}} = \mathbf{I}$$

3.) Rescrevendo $\mathcal{S}_{..2}$ a partir dos resultados obtidos em função de $\mathcal{S}_{..1}$.

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}\{2\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{top}}{=}$$

3.) Multiplicando

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \left[\mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}\{2\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \right] \mathbf{S}^{(1)-1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}\{2\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.) Dado que a identidade é o elemento neutro na multiplicação de matrizes, podemos reescrever a equação omitindo-as.

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \mathbf{D}\{2\} \left(\mathbf{S}^{(3)} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{..2} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{s}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

3.) and thus it is possible to diagonalized the second frontal slice $S_{...2}$ with matrix $S(1)$. However, this similarity transformation leads to an invalid Jordan matrix as defined by $S_{...2}$. Thus, it is not possible to the core tensor S be rank two and it must be in fact rank three

Problem 4

In this last exercise, we will show that, although the tensors of the form considered in the last exercise have rank 3 , they are limits of sequences of rank- 2 tensors. Thus, unlike happens for matrices, a sequence of rank- R tensors can converge to a rank- S tensor with $S > R$.

(i) First, show that the rank- 1 tensor

$$\mathcal{Y}_m = m(\mathbf{a}_1 + m^{-1} \mathbf{b}_2) \circ (\mathbf{b}_2 + m^{-1} \mathbf{b}_1) \circ (m^{-1} \mathbf{c}_1 + m^{-1} \mathbf{c}_2)$$

is equal to \mathcal{X} (as given by (1)) plus an $O(m)$ term \mathcal{Z}_m and an $O(1/m)$ term.

(ii) Subtract the $O(m)$ term to get:

$$\mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{Y}_m - \mathcal{Z}_m$$

What is the rank of \mathcal{X}_m ?

(iii) Use the expression obtained for \mathcal{X}_m to conclude that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{X}_m = \mathcal{X}$.

$$\vdots$$