

## Lista 2 [TI8419 - Multilinear Algebra]

Lucas Abdalah

Professors: André Lima e Henrique Goulart

# Table of Contents

- [Problem 1](#)
- [Problem 2](#)
- [Problem 3](#)
- [Problem 4](#)

## Problem 1

By using the properties of the outer product, show that

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{2\}$$

is a rank-one tensor whenever  $\mathbf{b}\{1\} = \mathbf{b}\{2\}$  and  $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$ . Is this also true in general when  $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$  but  $\mathbf{b}\{1\} \neq \mathbf{b}\{2\}$ ?

1.1) O tensor  $\mathcal{X}$  é construído a partir do seguinte modelo: 
$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{2\}$$

Assumindo  $\mathbf{b}\{1\} = \mathbf{b}\{2\}$  e  $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

1.2) É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor:  $\mathbf{v}\{1\} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\}$

1.3) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e, tem posto  $R = 1$ .

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}}$$

1.4) Assumindo  $\mathbf{b}\{1\} \neq \mathbf{b}\{2\}$  e  $\mathbf{c}\{1\} = \mathbf{c}\{2\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

1.5) Com estas premissas, apenas a reorganização não permite afirmar que  $\mathcal{X}$  é representado por um tensor de posto 1.

1.6) Entretanto, ao assumir que existe  $\alpha$  tal que  $\mathbf{b}\{2\} = \alpha \mathbf{b}\{1\}$ , a equação fica mais simples.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \alpha \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= \mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \\ &= (\mathbf{a}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\} \end{aligned}$$

É conveniente observar a soma como um novo vetor:  $\mathbf{v}\{2\} = \mathbf{a}\{1\} + \alpha \mathbf{a}\{2\}$

1.7) Finalmente, esta representação torna mais evidente que apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é suficiente para representar  $\mathcal{X}$ , i.e, tem posto  $R = 1$ .

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbf{v}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}$$

1.8) Entretanto, se não existe  $\alpha$ , ou seja  $\mathbf{b}\{1\}$  e  $\mathbf{b}\{2\}$  não são colineares, consequentemente  $\mathbf{v}\{2\}$  não existe e apenas 1 tensor de posto-1 é insuficiente para representar  $\mathcal{X}$ . Sendo utilizados 2 tensores de posto-1 para representação, implica em  $\text{posto}(\mathcal{X}) = 2$ .

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X} = (\mathbf{a}\{1\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{1\} + \mathbf{a}\{2\} \circ \mathbf{b}\{2\}) \circ \mathbf{c}\{1\}}$$

## Problem 2

Show that the tensor rank is indeed a tensor property: in other words, it is invariant with respect to a multilinear transformation by nonsingular matrices, that is, if

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_n \times I_n}$  is nonsingular for every  $n$ , then

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{X}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{S}).$$

(Hint: write  $\mathcal{S}$  as a PD with a minimal number of terms, and then use the properties of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of  $\mathcal{X}$ ; similarly, use the invertibility of the multilinear transformation to bound the rank of  $\mathcal{S}$ . More generally, conclude that the same property holds for

matrices  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_n \times R_n}$  having linearly independent columns (and thus  $R_n \leq I_n$ ).

---

2.1) O tensor *core*  $\mathcal{S}$  reescrito em função de fatores da decomposição CP resulta em:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{r=1}^R \mathbf{s}^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{s}^{(N)}$$

2.2) Também é possível reorganizar a equação em função  $\mathcal{S}$  de acordo com as equações (11) e (17) das notas de aula, utilizando as propriedades do operador transposto  $\left(\cdot\right)^{\text{top}}$ , caso  $\mathbf{A}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$ , e operador hermitiano/autoadjunto  $\left(\cdot\right)^H$

---

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{X} \times_1 \{\mathbf{A}^{(1)}\}^H \dots \times_N \{\mathbf{A}^{(N)}\}^H$$

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S} \times_1 \mathbf{A}^{(1)} \dots \times_N \mathbf{A}^{(N)}$$

## Problem 3

---

Let  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3}$  be given by  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{c}_2$ , (1) where the vectors are assumed to satisfy the following: •  $\mathbf{a}_1$  is not collinear with  $\mathbf{a}_2$ ; •  $\mathbf{b}_1$  is not collinear with  $\mathbf{b}_2$ ; •  $\mathbf{c}_1$  is not collinear with  $\mathbf{c}_2$ . The goal of this exercise is to show that any such tensor has rank three, that is, it cannot be expressed as a sum of fewer terms. We will proceed by steps. 1 (i) First, show that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{S}^{(1)} \mathbf{A}^{(2)} \mathbf{B}^{(3)} \mathbf{C}$ , where  $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2]$ ,  $\mathbf{B} = [\mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{b}_2]$ ,  $\mathbf{C} = [\mathbf{c}_1 \mathbf{c}_2]$ , and  $\mathbf{S}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{S}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then, using the result of Exercise 2), conclude that  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  have the same rank. (ii) Hence, it suffices to show that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) = 3$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{S}) = 2$ . Using the properties of the PARAFAC decomposition, show that this implies the existence of matrices  $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  such that  $\mathbf{D}_1, \mathbf{D}_2$  are diagonal and  $\mathbf{S}^{(1)} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{V}^T$ ,  $\mathbf{S}^{(2)} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}_2 \mathbf{V}^T$ . (2) (iii) Now, use the fact that  $\mathbf{X}^{(1)} = \mathbf{I}$  to show that (2) implies that  $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$  can be diagonalized by  $\mathbf{U}$ , that is, there exists a diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  such that  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{U}^H$ . (iv) Conclude that this leads to a contradiction, by taking into account the Jordan form of  $\mathbf{S}^{(2)}$ .

## Problem 4

---

In this last exercise, we will show that, although the tensors of the form considered in the last exercise have rank 3, they are limits of sequences of rank-2 tensors. Thus, unlike happens for matrices, a sequence of rank- $R$  tensors can converge to a rank- $S$  tensor with  $S \neq R$ . (i) First, show that the rank-1 tensor  $\mathbf{Y}_m = \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{b}_2 \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 \mathbf{b}_1 \mathbf{c}_2$  is equal to  $\mathbf{X}$  (as given by (1)) plus an  $\mathbf{O}(m^{-1})$  term  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  and an  $\mathbf{O}(m^{-1})$  term. (ii) Subtract the  $\mathbf{O}(m^{-1})$  term to get:  $\mathbf{X}_m = \mathbf{Y}_m - \mathbf{Z}_m$ . What is the rank of  $\mathbf{X}_m$ ? (iii) Use the expression obtained for  $\mathbf{X}_m$  to conclude that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{X}_m = \mathbf{X}$ .