

# [MATH-07] The product of two matrices

Miguel-Angel Canela  
Associate Professor, IESE Business School

## The product of two matrices

The definition of the product of two matrices extends the previous definition: in order to multiply two matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , we take  $\mathbf{B}$  as a pack of column vectors and multiply  $\mathbf{A}$  by each column of  $\mathbf{B}$ , placing the resulting vectors as the columns of the product matrix  $\mathbf{AB}$ . In mathematical symbols,

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1k} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & b_{m2} & \cdots & b_{mk} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_j a_{1j}b_{j1} & \sum_j a_{1j}b_{j2} & \cdots & \sum_j a_{1j}b_{jk} \\ \sum_j a_{2j}b_{j1} & \sum_j a_{2j}b_{j2} & \cdots & \sum_j a_{2j}b_{jk} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_j a_{nj}b_{j1} & \sum_j a_{nj}b_{j2} & \cdots & \sum_j a_{nj}b_{jk} \end{bmatrix},$$

or, if  $\mathbf{a}_1^\top, \dots, \mathbf{a}_n^\top$  are the rows of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m$  the columns of  $\mathbf{B}$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^\top \\ \mathbf{a}_2^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^\top \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{b}_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_1^\top \mathbf{b}_m \\ \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_2^\top \mathbf{b}_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{b}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{a}_n^\top \mathbf{b}_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that this definition only makes sense when the number of columns in  $\mathbf{A}$  (the length of the rows) equals the number of rows in  $\mathbf{B}$  (the length of the columns). The dimensions of the factors and the product satisfy the rule

$$[n \times m][m \times k] = [n \times k].$$

**Example 1.**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 21 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 11 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \quad \square$$

The product of matrices has the following properties (we assume that the dimensions are such that the formulas make sense):

- $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{BC}) = (\mathbf{AB})\mathbf{C}$  (associative property).
- In general,  $\mathbf{AB} \neq \mathbf{BA}$  (see the example below).
- $(\mathbf{AB})^\top = \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{A}^\top$ .
- $\text{tr}(\mathbf{AB}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{BA})$ .
- $\det(\mathbf{AB}) = \det(\mathbf{A})\det(\mathbf{B})$ .

**Example 2.** For

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$\mathbf{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{BA} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

so  $\mathbf{AB} \neq \mathbf{BA}$ . Nevertheless  $\det(\mathbf{AB}) = \det \mathbf{A} \det \mathbf{B} = -2$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.** A interesting example of non-commutativity of the product of matrices is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = [n], \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the trace of both products is equal to  $n$ .  $\square$

### Inverse matrices

The **identity matrix** of dimension  $n$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{I}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The subscript  $n$  in  $\mathbf{I}_n$  is omitted if there is no danger of confusion. For an  $(n, m)$ -matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ,

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{I}_m = \mathbf{I}_n\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}.$$

So, multiplying a matrix by the identity is like multiplying a number by 1. It is natural, then to define the inverse of a square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  as a matrix  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  such that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}.$$

A matrix is said to be **nonsingular** when it has inverse. It can be proved that, for an  $(n, n)$ -matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the following assertions are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular.
- $\det \mathbf{A} \neq 0$ .
- The rank of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $n$ .
- The only vector in the null space of  $\mathbf{A}$  is the zero vector.

If  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are nonsingular and have the same dimension,  $\mathbf{AB}$  is also nonsingular, and

$$(\mathbf{AB})^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}.$$

**Example 4.**

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}. \square$$

Can you give a rule for the inverses of diagonal matrices?

**Example 5.** The matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has no inverse. Indeed,  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{I}$  would lead to an impossible equation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{11} - b_{21} & b_{12} - b_{22} \\ -b_{11} + b_{21} & -b_{12} + b_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \square$$

### Homework

**A.** Calculate

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}.$$

**B.** Solve the equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix},$$

both directly and through the formula

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$