

Labor market informality, risk, and insurance

Lucas Finamor

(Institute for Fiscal Studies)

Disclaimer

*"This research uses information from the Chilean Social Protection Survey (Encuesta de Protección Social). I thank the Undersecretary of Social Protection, the intellectual owner of the survey, for the authorization to use the de-identified dataset. **All the results from this research are the responsibility of the author and do not implicate the Chilean Undersecretary of Social Protection.**"*

Widespread labor market informality in developing countries

- ▶ South America: high informality rates (for 2019, ILO):
 - Lowest: Uruguay (24.5%); Highest: Bolivia (81.5%)
 - Brazil (40.1%), Colombia (62.1%), Argentina (49.7%), Peru (68.4%), **Chile (29.3%)**

Widespread labor market informality in developing countries

- ▶ South America: high informality rates (for 2019, ILO):
 - Lowest: Uruguay (24.5%); Highest: Bolivia (81.5%)
 - Brazil (40.1%), Colombia (62.1%), Argentina (49.7%), Peru (68.4%), **Chile (29.3%)**
- ▶ Informal workers: employment status cannot be verified by the government

Informal workers and insurance

- ▶ Informal workers have limited access to public insurance programs
 - Unemployment insurance & employment protection
 - Pensions
 - Paid leaves, Disability insurance
- * **Formal** jobs are valuable because they offer insurance

Informal workers and insurance

- ▶ Informal workers have limited access to public insurance programs
 - Unemployment insurance & employment protection
 - Pensions
 - Paid leaves, Disability insurance
- * **Formal** jobs are valuable because they offer insurance
- ▶ Informal jobs can be (usually) quickly found
- * **Informal** jobs are valuable because they are an easy way out of unemployment

Informality and Public Policy

- ▶ Limited monitoring imposes challenges when designing public policy:
 - Targeting: low income vs “invisible” income

Informality and Public Policy

- ▶ Limited monitoring imposes challenges when designing public policy:
 - Targeting: low income vs “invisible” income
- ▶ Tradeoff: providing insurance vs encouraging formal employment
- ▶ The design of these programs defines insurance level/exposure to risk

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

Q1) What are the drivers of life-cycle labor market choices?

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

- Q1) What are the drivers of life-cycle labor market choices?

- Q2) How much workers value the insurance tied to the formal sector?

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

- Q1) What are the drivers of life-cycle labor market choices?
- Q2) How much workers value the insurance tied to the formal sector?
- Q3) How does the insurance value change with policy reforms?

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

- Q1) What are the drivers of life-cycle labor market choices?
- Q2) How much workers value the insurance tied to the formal sector?
- Q3) How does the insurance value change with policy reforms?
- Q4) How much valuable is the informal sector for workers?

Research Questions

How do workers make employment/savings decisions with widespread informality?

- Q1) What are the drivers of life-cycle labor market choices?
- Q2) How much workers value the insurance tied to the formal sector?
- Q3) How does the insurance value change with policy reforms?
- Q4) How much valuable is the informal sector for workers?

Essential dimensions:


- ▶ Life-cycle
- ▶ Informal sector: **informal employees** and **self-employed**

Informality over the life-cycle – Chile

Cohorts

Gender/Educ

Other Countries



This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance

This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance
 - Risk-aversion, Search Frictions, and Income Shocks
 - Social Insurance (UI and Pensions) and Savings

This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance
 - Risk-aversion, Search Frictions, and Income Shocks
 - Social Insurance (UI and Pensions) and Savings

⇒ Wealth-dependent labor market decisions

This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance
2. Rich characterization of informality: informal workers & self-employed
 - Self-employment requires physical capital
 - Different job characteristics (amenities, hours of work)

This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance
2. Rich characterization of informality: informal workers & self-employed
3. Estimate the model with rich microdata & exploiting pension reforms
 - Chilean microdata: longitudinal survey + administrative data
 - Estimate the causal effects of early retirement restrictions

This paper

1. Develop a model with employment and savings decisions, risk, and social insurance
2. Rich characterization of informality: informal workers & self-employed
3. Estimate the model with rich microdata & exploiting pension reforms
4. Use the model:
 - Learn drivers of employment decisions
 - Compute the value of a formal job
 - Compute the value of the informal sector
 - Analyze pension reforms

Main findings

1. Important drivers for the life-cycle allocation:
 - Savings influence job search (different reservation wages)
 - Amenities, physical capital and borrowing constraints

Main findings

1. Important drivers for the life-cycle allocation:
 - Savings influence job search (different reservation wages)
 - Amenities, physical capital and borrowing constraints
2. Informal workers are willing to cut wages to be "formal workers"
 - WTP of 20.3% of net earnings to be "formalized"

Main findings

1. Important drivers for the life-cycle allocation:
 - Savings influence job search (different reservation wages)
 - Amenities, physical capital and borrowing constraints
2. Informal workers are willing to cut wages to be "formal workers"
 - WTP of 20.3% of net earnings to be "formalized"
 - 2/3 due to stability/search prospects
 - 1/3 to insurance

Main findings

1. Important drivers for the life-cycle allocation:
 - Savings influence job search (different reservation wages)
 - Amenities, physical capital and borrowing constraints
2. Informal workers are willing to cut wages to be "formal workers"
 - WTP of 20.3% of net earnings to be "formalized"
 - 2/3 due to stability/search prospects
 - 1/3 to insurance
3. WTP the formal sector can be used to infer changes in formal employment in policy reforms
 - Reform $\rightarrow \Delta \text{WTP} \rightarrow \Delta \text{prop Formal}$

Main findings

1. Important drivers for the life-cycle allocation:
 - Savings influence job search (different reservation wages)
 - Amenities, physical capital and borrowing constraints
2. Informal workers are willing to cut wages to be "formal workers"
 - WTP of 20.3% of net earnings to be "formalized"
 - 2/3 due to stability/search prospects
 - 1/3 to insurance
3. WTP the formal sector can be used to infer changes in formal employment in policy reforms
 - Reform $\rightarrow \Delta$ WTP $\rightarrow \Delta$ prop Formal
4. Informal sector brings value
 - Welfare loss of 8.5% if access to the informal sector is cut
 - Particularly harmful to young/no-wealth workers ("insurance value")

Literature

- A. Firms' and workers' formality decision
- B. Social Insurance and Informality
- C. Self-employment in developing countries

Literature

A. Firms' and workers' formality decision

Zenou (2008), Albrecht, Navarro and Vroman (2009), Ulyssea (2010), Bosch and Esteban-Pretel (2012), Lopez Garcia (2015), Meghir, Narita and Robin (2015), Pardo and Ruiz-Tagle (2016), Ulyssea (2018), Albertini and Terriaud (2019), Narita (2020), Haanwinckel and Soares (2021), Herreño and Ocampo (2021), Bobba, Flabbi, Levy and Tejada (2021), Bobba, Flabbi and Levy (2022), Conti, Ginja and Narita (2022), da Costa and Lobel (2022)

Risk-aversion, savings, and social insurance \Rightarrow Insurance Values

Savings \Rightarrow $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{job search behavior} \\ \text{self-employment investment} \end{array} \right.$

- B. Social Insurance and Informality
- C. Self-employment in developing countries

Literature

- A. Firms' and workers' formality decision
- B. Social Insurance and Informality

[Unemployment Insurance] Huneeus, Leiva and Micco (2012), Espino and Sanchez (2013), Gonzalez-Rozada and Ruffo (2016), Audoly (2018), Gerard and Gonzaga (2021), Britto (2022), de Azevedo (2022), Bloise and Santos (2022)

[Health Insurance] Calderón-Mejía and Marinescu (2012), Azuara and Marinescu (2013)

[Minimum Wage] Granda and Hamann (2015), Parente (2022), Engbom and Moser (2021)

[Pensions] Attanasio, Meghir and Otero (2011), Behrman, Calderon, Mitchell, Vasquez and Bravo (2011), Cruces and Bérgolo (2013), Todd and Vélez-Grajales (2008), Joubert (2015), McKiernan (2019), Joubert and Todd (2020), Ferreira and Parente (2020), Moreno (2022)

Causal effects of early retirement restrictions

Dynamic model combining main social insurance programs

- C. Self-employment in developing countries

Literature

- A. Firms' and workers' formality decision
- B. Social Insurance and Informality
- C. Self-employment in developing countries

Narita (2020), Bobba, Flabbi, Levy and Tejada (2021), Herreño and Ocampo (2021), Bobba, Flabbi and Levy (2022), Moreno (2022)

Importance of start-up costs, borrowing constraints, and amenities

Institutional setting and data

1. Unemployment Insurance

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$
- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

1. **Unemployment Insurance**

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$
- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

2. **Severance Pay**

- One monthly wage for every year on the job

1. **Unemployment Insurance**

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$
- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

2. **Severance Pay**

- One monthly wage for every year on the job

3. **Pension system**

- Individual capitalization with privately managed accounts
- 10% of wages
- Minimum pension policies

1. **Unemployment Insurance**

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$
- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

2. **Severance Pay**

- One monthly wage for every year on the job

3. **Pension system**

- Individual capitalization with privately managed accounts
- Minimum pension policies
- 10% of wages

4. **Welfare Programs** and **Income Tax**

Encuesta de Protección Social (EPS)

- ▶ Panel survey at the individual level
- ▶ 7 waves (2002-2020)
- ▶ + labor market history

Encuesta de Protección Social (EPS)

- ▶ Panel survey at the individual level
- ▶ 7 waves (2002-2020)
- ▶ + labor market history

+

Historia Previsional de Afiliados (HPA)

- ▶ Panel (1980-2019)
- ▶ Administrative data on the pension system

Encuesta de Protección Social (EPS)

- ▶ Panel survey at the individual level
- ▶ 7 waves (2002-2020)
- ▶ + labor market history

+

Historia Previsional de Afiliados (HPA)

- ▶ Panel (1980-2019)
- ▶ Administrative data on the pension system

⇒ Sample: Men born between 1941 and 1989 with HS or less (7k individuals)

Encuesta de Protección Social (EPS)

- ▶ Panel survey at the individual level
- ▶ 7 waves (2002-2020)
- ▶ + labor market history

+

Historia Previsional de Afiliados (HPA)

- ▶ Panel (1980-2019)
- ▶ Administrative data on the pension system

⇒ Sample: Men born between 1941 and 1989 with HS or less (7k individuals)

- ▶ Additional data: employment surveys, administrative data (UI, pension claims)

Definitions

Self-reported information from the primary occupation + administrative data

Self-reported	Administrative Data	Sector	Example
Employed by a firm	Contributing to pensions	Formal	<i>Office clerks</i>
Employed by a firm	Not contributing	Informal	<i>Construction workers</i>
Self-employed	-	Self-employed	<i>Car/Taxi/Van drivers</i>

Definitions

Self-reported information from the primary occupation + administrative data

Self-reported	Administrative Data	Sector	Example
Employed by a firm	Contributing to pensions	Formal	<i>Office clerks</i>
Employed by a firm	Not contributing	Informal	<i>Construction workers</i>
Self-employed	-	Self-employed	<i>Car/Taxi/Van drivers</i>

Self-employment is informal [more](#)

- ▶ 16% are contributing to the pensions
- ▶ 33% are registered in the Tax Authority
- ▶ 67-91% are one-worker firm

Empirical Findings

Empirical Findings

1. Early retirement restrictions were raised in 2004 for those born after August 1949 [more](#)

- ⇒ Sharp decline on early retirement
- ⇒ Smaller increase in the probability to contribute for Pensions

Empirical Findings

1. Early retirement restrictions were raised in 2004 for those born after August 1949 [more](#)

- ⇒ Sharp decline on early retirement
- ⇒ Smaller increase in the probability to contribute for Pensions

2. Substantial sector heterogeneity [more](#)

Empirical Findings

1. Early retirement restrictions were raised in 2004 for those born after August 1949 [more](#)

- ⇒ Sharp decline on early retirement
- ⇒ Smaller increase in the probability to contribute for Pensions

2. Substantial sector heterogeneity [more](#)

3. Self-employment

- Part-time work and flexible work locations [Hours](#) [Workplace](#)
- Entry associated with investments in physical capital [Regression](#)
- Use own savings [Source of Capital](#)

Empirical Findings

1. Early retirement restrictions were raised in 2004 for those born after August 1949 [more](#)

⇒ Model estimation

- ⇒ Sharp decline on early retirement
- ⇒ Smaller increase in the probability to contribute for Pensions

2. Substantial sector heterogeneity [more](#)

⇒ Modeling choices

3. Self-employment

- Part-time work and flexible work locations [Hours](#) [Workplace](#)
- Entry associated with investments in physical capital [Regression](#)
- Use own savings [Source of Capital](#)

⇒ Modeling choices

Model

The model in a nutshell

- ▶ Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**

	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment

The model in a nutshell

- ▶ Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**

	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment
Wage	Accepted offer	Accepted offer	Volatile (AR1 process)

The model in a nutshell

- ▶ Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**

	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment
Wage	Accepted offer	Accepted offer	Volatile (AR1 process)
Hours	Full-time	Full-time	Full or part-time

The model in a nutshell

- Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**

	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment
Wage	Accepted offer	Accepted offer	Volatile (AR1 process)
Hours	Full-time	Full-time	Full or part-time
Exit	UI + SP	-	Recoup % investment

The model in a nutshell

- Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**

	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment
Wage	Accepted offer	Accepted offer	Volatile (AR1 process)
Hours	Full-time	Full-time	Full or part-time
Exit	UI + SP	-	Recoup % investment
Taxes & Pension	Yes	-	-


The model in a nutshell

- Risk-averse individuals **consume, save** and decide **employment status**


	Formal	Informal	Self-employment
Entry	Receive offer	Receive offer	Pay up-front investment
Wage	Accepted offer	Accepted offer	Volatile (AR1 process)
Hours	Full-time	Full-time	Full or part-time
Exit	UI + SP	-	Recoup % investment
Taxes & Pension	Yes	-	-

- If not working:
 - Unemployed or Retired (endogenous)
 - No re-entry after retirement
- Arrival/Destruction rates depend on the sector


Model




Model




Model



Model



Model



Model



Consumption/savings choice

$$V_t(\chi) = \max_{\substack{h, \ell, c, \tilde{k} \\ \text{state space}}} \left\{ \frac{\phi_j(c^\nu \ell^{1-\nu})^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\downarrow} [V_{t+1}(\chi')] \right\}$$

Job Destruction, Arrival Offers, Self-Emp Earnings, Survival,...

Consumption/savings choice

$$V_t(\chi) = \max_{\substack{h, \ell, c, \tilde{k} \\ \text{state space}}} \left\{ \frac{\phi_j(c^\nu \ell^{1-\nu})^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\downarrow} [V_{t+1}(\chi')] \right\}$$

Job Destruction, Arrival Offers, Self-Emp Earnings, Survival,...

(budget constraint)

$$c + \tilde{k} = (1 + r)k + y^j \left(\begin{array}{c} wh \\ \uparrow \\ \text{labor earnings} \end{array} \right)$$

(leisure)

$$\ell = \overline{L} - h \left(\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{stock of hours} \end{array} \right)$$

(no borrowing constraint)

$$\tilde{k} \geq \underline{B}$$

Consumption/savings choice

$$V_t(\chi) = \max_{\substack{h, \ell, c, \tilde{k} \\ \text{state space}}} \left\{ \frac{\phi_j(c^\nu \ell^{1-\nu})^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta \mathbb{E}[\tilde{V}_{t+1}(\chi')] \right\}$$

Job Destruction, Arrival Offers, Self-Emp Earnings, Survival,...

(budget constraint)

$$c + \tilde{k} = (1 + r)k + y^j \uparrow \text{labor earnings}$$

(leisure)


$$\ell = \overline{L} - h \uparrow \text{stock of hours}$$

(no borrowing constraint)

$$\tilde{k} \geq \underline{B}$$

ϕ_j : amenities from each sector

Model



Formal

Legend

- random
- choice if offered
- choice

Sector j'

$(w' + UI, k')$


Employment choice

Back




Employment choice

Back




Employment choice

Back




Employment choice

Back




Employment choice

Back




Employment choice

Back



Employment choice

Back




Legend

→ random
→ choice if offered
→ choice
Sector j'
$(w' + UI, k')$

Employment choice

Back




Legend

→ random
→ choice if offered
→ choice
Sector j'
$(w' + UI, k')$

Employment choice


Back




Legend

→ random
→ choice if offered
→ choice
Sector j'
$(w' + UI, k')$


Model




Unemployment insurance




Unemployment insurance




Unemployment insurance




Unemployment insurance



Unemployment insurance



Unemployment insurance



The pension system

Pension wealth

$$p' = p(1 + r^P) + \begin{cases} 0.10w & \text{if formal} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The pension system

Pension wealth

$$p' = p(1 + r^P) + \begin{cases} 0.10w & \text{if formal} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Pension benefits: $y^R(t_R, p)$
- ▶ Early retirement is possible if $y^R(t_R, p) \geq \max\{A, \alpha\bar{w}\}$

The pension system

Pension wealth

$$p' = p(1 + r^P) + \begin{cases} 0.10w & \text{if formal} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Pension benefits: $y^R(t_R, p)$
- ▶ Early retirement is possible if $y^R(t_R, p) \geq \max\{A, \alpha\bar{w}\}$
- ▶ Bequeath remaining wealth

$$B(k) = \psi \left[\frac{(\bar{K} + k)^{\nu(1-\gamma)}}{1 - \gamma} \right]$$

Types

- ▶ Individuals differ in three dimensions:
 - **Cohort**: age they will face the pension reforms (unexpected)

Types

- ▶ Individuals differ in three dimensions:
 - **Cohort**: age they will face the pension reforms (unexpected)
 - **Initial wealth**: amount of capital at time 0

Types

- ▶ Individuals differ in three dimensions:
 - **Cohort**: age they will face the pension reforms (unexpected)
 - **Initial wealth**: amount of capital at time 0
 - **Ability**: general and entrepreneurial ability
 - (g, e)
 - Affect earnings
- ▶ Three dimensions are independent

Estimation

1. Set and estimate some parameters outside the model

- Set coefficient of risk aversion: $\gamma = 3$

Kotlikoff et al. (1999), Conesa et al. (2009), Nishiyama (2011), O'Dea (2018)

- Estimate interest rate: $r = 4.5\%$ and $r^P = 5.8\%$

- Estimate Self-Employment earnings persistence: $\rho = 0.68$ (annually)

- Policy values for social insurance, welfare programs and taxes

2. Estimate remaining parameters using SMM

[more](#)

Preferences

- Discount factor
- Consumption weight
- Amenities for Self-Employed
- Bequest weight and shifter

2. Estimate remaining parameters using SMM

[more](#)

Preferences

- Discount factor
- Consumption weight
- Amenities for Self-Employed
- Bequest weight and shifter

Technology

- Arrival and destruction rates
- Wage offer distributions and Self-Employment earnings
- Investment to become Self-Employed
- Ability vectors

2. Estimate remaining parameters using SMM

[more](#)

Preferences

- Discount factor
- Consumption weight
- Amenities for Self-Employed
- Bequest weight and shifter

Technology

- Arrival and destruction rates
- Wage offer distributions and Self-Employment earnings
- Investment to become Self-Employed
- Ability vectors

► Choose set of moments related to these parameters

[Moments](#)

Results

Arrival and destruction rates

- ▶ Informal arrival rates > Formal rates

	Unemp	Formal	Informal	SelfEmp
Destruction	-	0.022	0.039	0.007
	-	-	-	(0.001)
Arrival Formal	0.112 (0.003)	0.267 (0.019)	0.040 (0.002)	0.172 (0.020)
Arrival Informal	0.391 (0.026)	0.499 (0.074)	0.285 (0.022)	0.944 (0.190)

Wages

► Average Wages

Sector	Hourly	(%)	Monthly	(%)
Formal	0.897	(100.0%)	174.823	(100.0%)
Informal	0.631	(70.3%)	123.125	(70.4%)
Self-Employed	0.724	(80.7%)	133.001	(76.1%)

- Self-employment start-up cost: 2787.3 (~ 20 monthly earnings)
50% can be recouped


Ability types

- ▶ Sub-types (g, e)


Type 1: (1.000, 0.000)

Type 2: (0.598, 0.647)


Sector allocation over the life-cycle




Reservation wages for the informal sector



Reservation wages for the informal sector



Reservation wages for the informal sector




Willingness to pay for a formal job


- ▶ Compute their WTP to “formalize” informal jobs (all in net earnings)

Sector	WTP	Amenities	Job stability/Search	Insurance
Informal employees	20.3%	-	13.5%	6.8%

Willingness to pay for a formal job



Willingness to pay for a formal job



Willingness to pay for a formal job

- ▶ Compute their WTP to “formalize” informal jobs (all in net earnings)

Sector	WTP	Amenities	Job stability/Search	Insurance
Informal employees	20.3%	-	13.5%	6.8%
Self-employed	-35.6%	-37.2%	-7.7%	9.3%

- ▶ The WTP is a summary measure of how attractive the formal sector is
- ▶ Particularly linked with the programs/insurance that is offered

- ▶ The WTP is a summary measure of how attractive the formal sector is
- ▶ Particularly linked with the programs/insurance that is offered
- ▶ Pension Reforms:

2008 : Introduced new pension floor + bonus + raised early retirement requirements
New : Discussions of raising pension floor

- ▶ The WTP is a summary measure of how attractive the formal sector is
- ▶ Particularly linked with the programs/insurance that is offered
- ▶ Pension Reforms:

2008 : Introduced new pension floor + bonus + raised early retirement requirements
New : Discussions of raising pension floor


Reform	Δ WTP Insurance	Δ Formal	Δ Inf+Self
2008	-3.4%	-0.02pp	0.01pp

- ▶ The WTP is a summary measure of how attractive the formal sector is
- ▶ Particularly linked with the programs/insurance that is offered
- ▶ Pension Reforms:

2008 : Introduced new pension floor + bonus + raised early retirement requirements
New : Discussions of raising pension floor

Reform	Δ WTP Insurance	Δ Formal	Δ Inf+Self
2008	-3.4%	-0.02pp	0.01pp
New	-35.9%	-1.52pp	1.55pp

WTP and Policy Reforms



Insurance value of the Informal sector

- ▶ Access to informal jobs is valuable, particularly when staying unemployed is costly
- ▶ What is the welfare cost of shutting access to the informal sector for one individual?

Insurance value of the Informal sector

- ▶ Access to informal jobs is valuable, particularly when staying unemployed is costly
- ▶ What is the welfare cost of shutting access to the informal sector for one individual?
 - Average welfare cost is -0.085

Insurance value of the Informal sector

- ▶ Access to informal jobs is valuable, particularly when staying unemployed is costly
- ▶ What is the welfare cost of shutting access to the informal sector for one individual?
 - Average welfare cost is -0.085
 - Heterogeneous by wealth and age more

Conclusions

- ▶ Dynamic model with risk, savings, and insurance

Conclusions

- ▶ Dynamic model with risk, savings, and insurance
- ▶ Use the model to:
 - i. Understand the drivers of life-cycle labor decisions: **savings**
 - ii. Compute the WTP to become a formal worker
 - iii. Relate WTP and policy reforms
 - iv. (Insurance) value of the informal sector

Thank you

Lucas Finamor
lucas.finamor@ifs.org.uk

Appendix

Presentation

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Literature
- ▶ Institutional setting and data
- ▶ (Institutional setting)
- ▶ Empirical Findings
- ▶ Model
- ▶ Estimation
- ▶ Results
- ▶ Conclusions
- ▶ Appendix
- ▶ Additional Results
- ▶ Why Chile
- ▶ Risk and Insurance in the model
- ▶ Wage growth
- ▶ Earnings variance
- ▶ Minimum Wage
- ▶ Family and Spouse
- ▶ Education and Cohorts
- ▶ Pension returns risk
- ▶ Risk Aversion and Self-Employment
- ▶ Value Functions (formulae)
- ▶ Reordering

Additional results

- ▶ All parameters
- ▶ Transitions
- ▶ Accepted wages
- ▶ Average wages
- ▶ Ability types
- ▶ Self-Employment earnings
- ▶ Wealth
- ▶ Retirement
- ▶ Part-time work
- ▶ Wage correlation
- ▶ Amenities
- ▶ Decision to be self-employed
- ▶ Value Functions
- ▶ No amenities
- ▶ (Andrews, Gentzkow and Shapiro 2017)
Sensitivity analysis
- ▶ Untargeted moments pension wealth and contributions

Setting: Chile

1. Social insurance programs co-existing with labor market informality

Setting: Chile

1. Social insurance programs co-existing with labor market informality
2. Country implemented several reforms in those programs

Setting: Chile


1. Social insurance programs co-existing with labor market informality
2. Country implemented several reforms in those programs
3. Data
 - Long longitudinal survey
 - Disaggregated wealth
 - Administrative data on the pension system

Risk and Social Insurance in the paper

	In the model	Not in the model
Risk		
Separation shocks	All sectors	-
Earnings shocks (within job)	Self-employment	Formal and informal jobs
Uncertain prospects job-search	All sectors	-
Longevity risk	✓	-
Returns risk	-	Pension returns, liquid savings returns
Health risk	-	Health shocks
Social Insurance		
Unemployment insurance	When fired	When quitting
Severance payment	Yes	-
Pensions	Yes	-
Welfare programs	Yes	-
Minimum wage	Yes	-
Health insurance	-	No
Disability insurance	-	No

Earnings variance

- ▶ Using the employment survey, I compute the (de-trended) 1-year log-wage growth
- ▶ Compute the variance for those formal, informal, and self-employed (and remaining in that state)
- ▶ Variance of log-wage increase
 - Formal: 0.100
 - Informal: 0.286
 - Self-employed: 0.631



Life Cycle - Cohorts

[Back](#)



— Formal Workers — Informal Workers — Self-Employed — 1940 ··· 1950 ··· 1960 ··· 1970 ···

Life Cycle - Other Countries

[Back](#)





Fig. 1. Composition of workforce by age.

Extracted from Narita (2020)

Life Cycle - Other Countries

[Back](#)


USA



NOTES: NLSY-79. Displayed as % of employed population.

Education over time

[Back](#)



1. **Unemployment Insurance**

[more](#)[payments](#)

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$
- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

2. **Severance Payment**

- One monthly wage for every year on the job

3. **Pension system**

- Individual capitalization with privately managed accounts
- 10% of wages
- Normal retirement age for men is 65
- Early retirement is possible
- Minimum pension policies

4. **Welfare Programs** and **Income Tax**

1. **Unemployment Insurance**

[more](#)[payments](#)

- 3% of wages:
$$\underbrace{(\text{individual account})}_{\text{forced savings}} + \underbrace{(\text{collective account})}_{\text{insurance/redistribution}}$$

- Withdrawal schedule (50%,45%,40%,...)
- Limit of 5 months from the collective account

2. **Severance Payment**

- One monthly wage for every year on the job

3. **Pension system**

- Individual capitalization with privately managed accounts
- 10% of wages

- Normal retirement age for men is 65
- Early retirement is possible
- Minimum pension policies

4. **Welfare Programs** and **Income Tax**


Social Security

<u>Formal Workers Pay:</u>					<u>Formal Firms Pay:</u>		
7%	0.6%	10%	≈2%		2.4%		
Health System	+	Unemp Insurance	+	Pension Contributions	+	Commissions & Disab. Insurance	Unemp Insurance

- ▶ Using data from a 20% sample of the UI system
- ▶ Among those involuntarily separated in my sample:
 - 72.7% were eligible to use the common funds
 - 43.9% used it

UI number of payments

[Back](#)



- ▶ Men born between 1941–1989, with at most high school degree
- ▶ Discard individuals who did not switch to the new pension system in 1980
- ▶ Monetary values are in 2004 values (de-trended). 1,000 Chilean pesos \approx 1.50 USD
Use the information that was reported within 18 months
- ▶ Restricted monetary values from (2002-2008). Labor market information from (2002-2015)
- ▶ Recent data for retirement patterns (up to 2019) and older cohorts to get wealth accumulation at old ages (up to 89 years)

Table: Proportion among self-employed

Variable	Value
Formal Accounting	22.0%
Separate Accounting (from HH)	32.4%
Registered in the Tax Authority	32.7%
Only 1 worker	91.7%
Contributing to Pension	15.7%

Note: EME 2009/2011 and EPS 2002-2016

- ▶ Normal retirement age: 65
- ▶ **Early Retirement** allowed if resulting pension (y_p) meets the two requirements

$$y_p > \alpha \times \text{Avg Wage}$$

\uparrow
fraction

and

$$y_p > A$$

\uparrow
threshold

- ▶ Normal retirement age: 65
- ▶ **Early Retirement** allowed if resulting pension (y_p) meets the two requirements

$$y_p > \alpha \times \text{Avg Wage}$$

\uparrow
fraction


and

$$y_p > A$$


\uparrow
threshold

- ▶ 2004 Reform:
 - Raised α and A (gradually)
 - Individuals born before August 1949 were exempted

2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement

[Regression](#)[Age 55](#)[back](#)

2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement


[Regression](#)[Age 55](#)[back](#)

2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement


Regression

Age 55


back




2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement

[Regression](#)[Age 55](#)[back](#)

2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement


[Regression](#)[Age 55](#)[back](#)

2004 Reform - Delayed Retirement

[Regression](#)[Age 55](#)[back](#)


2004 Reform – Retirement at age 55

[Back](#)




2004 Reform – Retirement at age 55

[Back](#)




2004 Reform – Retirement at age 55

[Back](#)



Empirical Findings II: Sector heterogeneity

back



► Working part-time [more](#)


- Self-employed: 24%
- Informal employees: 8%
- Formal employees: 2%

► Working at the firm site [more](#)

- Self-employed: 14%
- Informal employees: 56%
- Formal employees: 70%


Hours distribution by sector

Back



Work place by sector

[Back](#)



2004 Reform – Delayed Retirement

Back

Outcome:	Retired (1)	Contributing (2)
(Intercept)	0.246 (0.031)	0.382 (0.027)
T1 (Sep1949–Aug1950)	-0.062 (0.052)	0.018 (0.050)
T2 (Sep1950–Aug1952)	-0.155 (0.037)	0.064 (0.046)
Age Range	63	63
Age fixed-effects	-	-
Observations	7,584	7,584

2004 Reform – Delayed Retirement

[Back](#)

Outcome:	Retired (1)	Contributing (2)	Retired (3)	Contributing (4)
(Intercept)	0.246 (0.031)	0.382 (0.027)		
T1 (Sep1949–Aug1950)	-0.062 (0.052)	0.018 (0.050)	-0.040 (0.038)	0.028 (0.048)
T2 (Sep1950–Aug1952)	-0.155 (0.037)	0.064 (0.046)	-0.105 (0.032)	0.044 (0.037)
Age Range	63	63	[50–63]	[50–63]
Age fixed-effects	-	-	Yes	Yes
Observations	7,584	7,584	56,105	56,105

2004 Reform – Delayed Retirement


[Back](#)

Outcome:	Retired (1)	Contributing (2)	Retired (3)	Contributing (4)	Formal (5)	Informal (6)	Self-Employed (7)
(Intercept)	0.246 (0.031)	0.382 (0.027)					
T1 (Sep1949–Aug1950)	-0.062 (0.052)	0.018 (0.050)	-0.040 (0.038)	0.028 (0.048)	0.005 (0.042)	-0.007 (0.019)	-0.003 (0.032)
T2 (Sep1950–Aug1952)	-0.155 (0.037)	0.064 (0.046)	-0.105 (0.032)	0.044 (0.037)	0.052 (0.039)	0.003 (0.016)	0.045 (0.030)
Age Range	63	63	[50–63]	[50–63]	[50–63]	[50–63]	[50–63]
Age fixed-effects	-	-	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	7,584	7,584	56,105	56,105	56,105	56,105	56,105

Empirical Findings III: Physical capital for self-employment

Back

What was the main source of funding to start self-employment activity?



- ▶ Use panel dimension to investigate wealth held as physical capital
- ▶ 4 groups based on the self-employment status in t and $t + 1$

Empirical Findings III: Physical capital for self-employment

[back](#)

- ▶ Use panel dimension to investigate wealth held as physical capital
- ▶ 4 groups based on the self-employment status in t and $t + 1$
- ▶ $Y_i = \beta_g G_i \times Post_t + G_i$
- ▶ CDF survey


Outcome variable: Indicator for physical capital > 0

Group	Coeff
Group 00 (NotSE → NotSE)	-0.0002 (0.0017)
Group 11 (SE → SE)	0.0021 (0.0100)
Group 01 (NotSE → SE)	0.0335*** (0.0117)
Group 10 (SE → NotSE)	-0.0451*** (0.0121)

N Obs 27,926


Self-Employment and start-up costs

Back




Self-Employment and start-up costs

[Back](#)



Physical capital

Back



$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \overbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w),}^{\text{stay}} \right.$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \overbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}^{\text{stay}}, \overbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}^{\text{quit}}, \right.$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}} \right\},$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w), V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \right.$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w), V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{move SE}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w) - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), \right.$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w), V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0),}_{\text{move SE}} \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{quit}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w) - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), \right. \\ \left. V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q) \right\} +$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w) - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), \right. \\ \left. V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q) \right\} + (1 - \delta_F) \left[(1 - \lambda_F^F)(1 - \lambda_F^I) \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F + \right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w), V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0),}_{\text{move SE}} \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w) - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), \right. \\ \left. V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q) \right\} + (1 - \delta_F) \left[(1 - \lambda_F^F)(1 - \lambda_F^I) \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F + \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\lambda}_F^F \int \max \left\{ \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F, V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, \tilde{w}) \right\} dW^F(\tilde{w}) \right]$$

$$\tilde{V}_{a+1}^F := \max \left\{ \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, w)}_{\text{stay}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, U, 0)}_{\text{quit}}, \right. \\ \left. \underbrace{\int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w})}_{\text{move SE}}, \underbrace{V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q)}_{\text{retire}} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_F[V_{a+1}(b', p', k', j', w')] =$$

$$\delta_F \max \left\{ V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w), U, 0), \int V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k} + SP(w) - X, S, \tilde{w}) dW^S(\tilde{w}), \right. \\ \left. V_{a+1}^{\text{Ret}}(\tilde{k}, y^P, q) \right\} + (1 - \delta_F) \left[(1 - \lambda_F^F)(1 - \lambda_F^I) \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F + \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\lambda}_F^F \int \max \left\{ \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F, V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, F, \tilde{w}) \right\} dW^F(\tilde{w}) + \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\lambda}_F^I \int \max \left\{ \tilde{V}_{a+1}^F, V_{a+1}(b', p', \tilde{k}, I, \tilde{w}) \right\} dW^I(\tilde{w}) \right]$$

1. Age: discrete from 16 to 100 y.o. (quarterly)
2. Type I: Reform 2004 and 2008 (3)
3. Type II: Ability type (2)
4. Wealth: continuous 12 points
5. Pension Wealth: continuous / 10 points
6. Sector: 4
7. Wage: continuous 11 points
8. Hours: discrete part-time x full-time (2 points)
9. UI status: discrete (3 points)

⇒ State space: $\sim 12.9 \text{mi}$ points

Estimation Details

Back

- i. Model is quarterly from age 16-100
- ii. Pension reforms come as surprises
- iii. Solved numerically
- iv. Weighting matrix: inverse of the diagonal of the var-cov matrix
- v. Global (Controlled Random Search) + local (Brent's algorithm) – derivative free
- vi. Continuous variables are discretized in grid points: capital (12), pension wealth (10) and wage/earnings (11)
- vii. Numerical integration using Gauss-Legendre (for Normal and Beta distributions)
- viii. Interpolation: linear interpolation for 1D,2D,3D
- ix. Numerical derivatives using two symmetrical deviations with a step size of 2.5%

► GMM estimation with moments computed by simulated data

1. Given a vector of parameters: ξ
2. Obtain the solution of the model: policy functions $P^*(\xi)$
3. Simulate individuals that behave according to $P^*(\xi)$
4. Compute moments from the simulated individuals: $M(P^*(\xi))$
5. Choose ξ^* that minimizes:

$$\left(M(P^*(\xi)) - M_d \right)' \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{moments in the data}}}{\Sigma_{M_d}} \left(M(P^*(\xi)) - M_d \right)$$

weighting matrix

2nd stage parameters

Back

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
-----	----	-----------------------------------

2nd stage parameters

Back

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups

2nd stage parameters

Back

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups

2nd stage parameters

[Back](#)

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)
Destruction rates (δ^j)	1	(E)
Arrival rates ($\lambda^{j,j}$)	8	(E)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups
(E)	14	Transitions

2nd stage parameters

[Back](#)

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)
Destruction rates (δ^j)	1	(E)
Arrival rates ($\lambda^{j,j}$)	8	(E)
Formal Wage (ξ_1^F, ξ_2^F)	2	(F)
Informal Wage (ξ_1^I, ξ_2^I)	2	(G)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups
(E)	14	Transitions
(F)	13	Formal Wage Percentiles
(G)	13	Informal Wage Percentiles

2nd stage parameters

[Back](#)

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)
Destruction rates (δ^j)	1	(E)
Arrival rates ($\lambda^{j,j}$)	8	(E)
Formal Wage (ξ_1^F, ξ_2^F)	2	(F)
Informal Wage (ξ_1^I, ξ_2^I)	2	(G)
Self-Emp Earnings (σ)	1	(H)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups
(E)	14	Transitions
(F)	13	Formal Wage Percentiles
(G)	13	Informal Wage Percentiles
(H)	7	Self-Emp Earnings

2nd stage parameters

[Back](#)

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)
Destruction rates (δ^j)	1	(E)
Arrival rates ($\lambda^{j,j}$)	8	(E)
Formal Wage (ξ_1^F, ξ_2^F)	2	(F)
Informal Wage (ξ_1^I, ξ_2^I)	2	(G)
Self-Emp Earnings (σ)	1	(H)
Self-Emp Fixed Cost (x)	1	(I)

Moments (212)

(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups
(E)	14	Transitions
(F)	13	Formal Wage Percentiles
(G)	13	Informal Wage Percentiles
(H)	7	Self-Emp Earnings
(I)	1	Physical Capital

2nd stage parameters

[Back](#)

Estimated Parameters (22)

Discount factor (β)	1	(A)
Bequest (ψ, \bar{K})	2	(A)
Consumption weight (ν)	1	(B),(C)
Amenities (ϕ_j)	1	(D)
Destruction rates (δ^j)	1	(E)
Arrival rates ($\lambda^{j,j}$)	8	(E)
Formal Wage (ξ_1^F, ξ_2^F)	2	(F)
Informal Wage (ξ_1^I, ξ_2^I)	2	(G)
Self-Emp Earnings (σ)	1	(H)
Self-Emp Fixed Cost (x)	1	(I)
Abilities types	2	(J),(F-H)


Moments (212)





(A)	39	Median Wealth \times age groups
(B)	33	Prop Retired \times age \times cohort
(C)	11	Prop Part-time \times age groups
(D)	81	Emp-Sector \times age groups
(E)	14	Transitions
(F)	13	Formal Wage Percentiles
(G)	13	Informal Wage Percentiles
(H)	7	Self-Emp Earnings
(I)	1	Physical Capital
(J)	1	Wage correlation

Preference	β	ν	ψ	\bar{K}	ϕ_S
estimate	0.94	0.404	214.696	10752.7	1.176
(s.e.)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(62.326)	(2641.1)	(0.018)
Formal Arrival Rates	$\lambda_{U,F}$	$\lambda_{F,F}$	$\lambda_{I,F}$	$\lambda_{S,F}$	
estimate	0.112	0.267	0.04	0.172	
(s.e.)	(0.003)	(0.019)	(0.002)	(0.02)	
Informal Arrival Rates	$\lambda_{U,I}$	$\lambda_{F,I}$	$\lambda_{I,I}$	$\lambda_{S,I}$	
estimate	0.391	0.499	0.285	0.944	
(s.e.)	(0.026)	(0.074)	(0.022)	(0.19)	
Wage (Shape)	ζ_1^F	ζ_2^F	ζ_1^I	ζ_2^I	
estimate	2.268	8.6	1.185	11.389	
(s.e.)	(0.17)	(0.393)	(0.103)	(0.514)	
Self-Employment	δ_S	σ	X	g_2	e_2
estimate	0.007	0.068	2787.3	0.598	0.647
(s.e.)	(0.001)	(0.003)	(81.5)	(0.011)	(0.011)


Accepted wages


[Back](#)






○ Data ■ Model ○ Data ■ Model






Transitions

Back




Retirement age

[Back](#)



Wage correlation age

[Back](#)




Amenities

- ▶ Consumption equivalent (intra-period) to yield the same utility as working formally full-time

Sector	Formal	Unemployed	Informal	Self-employed
Consumption Equivalent <i>(baseline)</i>	1.000	0.496	1.000	0.850


Untargetted moments: pension wealth

[Back](#)




Untargetted moments: pension contributions

[Back](#)




Involuntary transitions

[Back](#)




Formal




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Sensitivity Matrix

[Back](#)




Value Function

[back](#)




Willingness to pay for a formal job –

back




Willingness to pay for a formal job – capital

back




Willingness to pay for a formal job – wages

back




Willingness to pay for a formal job – age

back




No amenities

[back](#)




No Part-time

[back](#)




Closing Informal Sector

[Back](#)




Closing Informal Sector

[Back](#)



Closing Informal Sector

[Back](#)




Sector — Informal — Formal — Self-Employment

2008 Pension Reform


[Full BC](#)[Back](#)

- ▶ ↑ floor/bonus pension benefits
- ▶ ↑ requirements for early retirement




Pension Reform

Back




Pension Reform

Back




Pension Reform

Back



2008 Reform - New Budget Constraint


[Back](#)



- ▶ Several proposals including:
 - Raising the minimum pension (pension floor)
 - Increasing contribution rates
 - Individual & collective accounts
- ▶ Estimating raising the minimum pension floor to 125 thousand Chilean pesos


Pension Reform: New

[Back](#)




Additional

Modest wage growth for High School or less




Log-Monthly Wages, for those working full time, de-trended using a linear trend.

Modest wage growth for High School or less



Log-Monthly Wages, for those working full time, de-trended using a linear trend.

Minimum Wage



Spouses' working sector

Own sector ↓	Spouse's sector:				
	Out Labor Force	Unemployed	Formal	Informal	Self-Employed
Informal	-0.0026 (0.0227)	0.0419*** (0.0132)	-0.0429*** (0.0152)	0.0013 (0.0082)	0.0003 (0.0096)
Self-Employed	-0.0857*** (0.0218)	0.0114 (0.0095)	-0.0300** (0.0142)	-0.0051 (0.0071)	0.1068*** (0.0146)
Observations	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771	2,771
Age FE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Family composition


Sector:						
	Out Labor Force	Unemployed	Formal	Informal	Self-Employed	Retired
Married	-0.0473*** (0.0060)	-0.0289*** (0.0046)	0.0973*** (0.0092)	-0.0254*** (0.0056)	-0.0101 (0.0080)	0.0019 (0.0031)
Children 0–6	-0.0343*** (0.0053)	-0.0146** (0.0063)	0.0382*** (0.0124)	-0.0077 (0.0072)	0.0172* (0.0096)	-0.0083*** (0.0025)
Children 7–18	-0.0287*** (0.0053)	-0.0176*** (0.0051)	0.0377*** (0.0100)	-0.0025 (0.0058)	0.0104 (0.0081)	-0.0081*** (0.0026)
Observations	28,010	28,010	28,010	28,010	28,010	28,010
Age FE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Pension returns risk

- ▶ Pension returns' risk:
 - Estimate an AR(1) model with the monthly pension returns
 - Simulate accrued pension wealth for a fixed wage profile
 - Ratio of 10%-90% is 2.56
- ▶ Longevity risk:
 - Mortality tables imply that the 10%-90% survival gap for those alive at age 50 is 26 years

Pension returns risk

Monthly Return by Pension Funds Risk



Note: HPA. A is the riskiest fund and E the safest one. The blue lines and blue numbers represent the average monthly return for each fund. The green numbers in brackets are the proportion of pension wealth allocated in each fund.

Risk aversion and sector of employment

	Formal	Informal	Self-employed
(Intercept)	0.417 (0.006)	0.123 (0.004)	0.189 (0.005)
Risk 1	0.035 (0.017)	0.013 (0.012)	0.013 (0.014)
Risk 2	0.0007 (0.015)	-0.005 (0.010)	0.010 (0.012)
Risk 3	-0.041 (0.010)	0.008 (0.007)	0.054 (0.009)
Observations	15,885	15,885	15,885

Reordering