(network.stlx durch sigmoid\_timing.stlx oder zip\_timing.stlx ersetzen zum Testen)

1. Aufruf der Funktion zip(11, 12). Bildung jeweils einer Liste für 11 und 12 (durch toList(v)) bedeutet zusätzlichen Rechenaufwand.

Codeabschnitte mit Zeitmessungspunkten:

```
sgd := procedure(training_data, epochs, mini_batch_size, eta, test_data) {
   if(test_data != null) {
       n_test := #test_data;
   n := #training_data;
   for(j in {0..epochs}) {
       s1 := now();
       training_data := shuffle(training_data);
       mini_batches := [ training_data[k..k+mini_batch_size-1] : k in [1,mini_batch_size..n] ];
        for(mini_batch in mini_batches) {
           update_mini_batch(mini_batch, eta);
       epoche_time := now() - s1;
        if(test data != null) {
           ev := evaluate(test_data);
           print("Zipping-time:\t" + zip_time);
           print("Epoche-time:\t" + epoche_time);
           print("--> " + 100.0 * zip_time/epoche_time + "%");
           this.zip_time := 0;
       else {
           print("Epoch $j$ complete");
};
zip := procedure(l1, l2) {
   s1 := now();
   res := toList(l1) >< toList(l2);
   this.zip_time += (now() - s1);
   return res;
toList := procedure(v) {
   return [v[i] : i in [1..#v]];
```

Anzahl Datensätze: 10.000 Testsätze, 10.000 Trainingssätze Rechnerdaten: Intel Core i7-4720HQ, 16GB RAM

## Ergebnisse 1.:

Start SGD

Zipping-time: 7154
Epoche-time: 23136
--> 30.921507607192254%
Zipping-time: 6451
Epoche-time: 19665

--> 32.8044749555047%

Zipping-time: 6006

Epoche-time: 20937

--> 28.68605817452357%

Zipping-time: 6371 Epoche-time: 19349 --> 32.926766241149416%

Zipping-time: 6550
Epoche-time: 20508
--> 31.938755607567778%
Zipping-time: 6229

Epoche-time: 18742
--> 33.23551381922954%
Zipping-time: 6248
Epoche-time: 18913

--> 33.03547824247872%

## 2. sigmoid\_prime(z) und sigmoid\_vector(z)

## Codeabschnitte mit Zeitmessungspunkten:

```
sgd := procedure(training_data, epochs, mini_batch_size, eta, test_data) {
    if(test_data != null) {
        n_test := #test_data;
    n := #training_data;
    for(j in {0..epochs}) {
        s1 := now();
        training_data := shuffle(training_data);
        mini_batches := [ training_data[k..k+mini_batch_size-1] : k in [1,mini_batch_size..n] ];
        for(mini_batch in mini_batches) {
            update_mini_batch(mini_batch, eta);
        epoche_time := now() - s1;
        if(test_data != null) {
            ev := evaluate(test_data);
            print("Sigmoid-time:\t" + sigmoid_time);
            print("Epoche-time:\t" + epoche_time);
            print("--> " + 100.0 * sigmoid_time/epoche_time + "%");
            this.sigmoid_time := 0;
        else {
            print("Epoch $j$ complete");
```

```
// Sigmoid function for vectors
// 1.0/(1.0+np.exp(-z))
sigmoid_vector := procedure(z) {
    // z is a vector, so the function has to be used on every part of it
    s1 := now();
    res := la_vector([ 1.0/(1.0 + exp(- z[i] )) : i in [1..#z] ]);
    this.sigmoid_time += (now() - s1);
    return res;
};

// Derivative of the sigmoid function, when z is a vector
// sigmoid(z)*(1-sigmoid(z))
sigmoid_prime := procedure(z) {
    s := sigmoid_vector(z);
    s1 := now();
    res := la_matrix([ [ s[i] * (1 - s[i]) ] : i in [1..#s] ]);
    this.sigmoid_time += (now() - s1);
    return res;
};
```

## Ergebnisse 1.:

Start SGD

Sigmoid-time: 1423 Epoche-time: 23065 --> 6.169520919141556% Sigmoid-time: 1391 Epoche-time: 20955 --> 6.63803388212837% Sigmoid-time: 1328 Epoche-time: 24388 --> 5.445300967689027% Sigmoid-time: 1220 Epoche-time: 21434 --> 5.691891387515163% Sigmoid-time: 1583 Epoche-time: 22733 --> 6.963445211806625% Sigmoid-time: 1337

--> 6.396211070181313%

20903

Epoche-time: