

Lista de exercícios 5

Estatística Bayesiana

3.44 *(Dawid et al. (1973)) Consider n random variables x_1, \dots, x_n , such that the first ξ of these variables has an $\text{Exp}(\eta)$ distribution and the $n-\xi$ other have a $\text{Exp}(c\eta)$ distribution, where c is known and ξ takes its values in $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

- Give the shape of the posterior distribution of ξ when $\pi(\xi, \eta) = \pi(\xi)$ and show that it only depends on $z = (z_2, \dots, z_n)$, with $z_i = x_i/x_1$.
- Show that the distribution of z , $f(z|\xi)$, only depends on ξ .
- Show that the posterior distribution $\pi(\xi|x)$ cannot be written as a posterior distribution for $z \sim f(z|\xi)$, whatever $\pi(\xi)$, although it only depends on z . How do you explain this?
- Show that the paradox does not occur when $\pi(\xi, \eta) = \pi(\xi)\eta^{-1}$.

2) $x_i | \xi, \eta \sim \text{Exp}(c_i \eta)$ com $c_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i \leq \xi \\ c, & i > \xi \end{cases}$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \xi, \eta) = \prod_{i=1}^{\xi} \eta e^{-x_i \eta} \prod_{i=\xi+1}^n c \eta e^{-x_i c \eta}$$

$$= c^{n-\xi} \eta^n e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i}.$$

$$\pi(\xi, \eta | x_1, \dots, x_n) \propto c^{n-\xi} \eta^n e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} \pi(\xi).$$

Assim

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\xi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &\propto \int_0^{+\infty} c^{n-\xi} \eta^n e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} \pi(\xi) d\eta \\ &= c^{n-\xi} \pi(\xi) \int_0^{+\infty} \eta^n e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i} d\eta \\ &\propto c^{-\xi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\xi} x_i + c \sum_{i=\xi+1}^n x_i \right)^{-(n+1)} \pi(\xi) \\ &\propto c^{-\xi} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\xi} z_i + c \sum_{i=\xi+1}^n z_i \right)^{-(n+1)} \pi(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

Gamma($n+1, \sum c_i x_i$)

Sendo que $z_1 = 1$.

b) $x \mapsto (x_1, z)$ é bijetiva, com

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\frac{x_2}{x_1} & \frac{1}{x_1} & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \\ -\frac{x_n}{x_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \det J = x_1^{-n+1}.$$

$$\text{Assim } f(x_1, z | \xi, \eta) = C^{n-\xi} \eta^n e^{-\eta \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i z_i} \cdot x_1^{n-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{e } f(z | \xi, \eta) &= C^{n-\xi} \eta^n \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\eta x_1 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i} \frac{x_1^{n-1}}{\text{Gamma}(n, \eta \sum c_i z_i)} dx_1 \\ &= C^{n-\xi} \eta^n \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{\eta^n (\sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i)^n} \\ &= (n-1)! C^{n-\xi} (\sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i)^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

que independe de η .

c) Por um fator $(\sum c_i z_i)^{-1}$, temos que independente da escala de $\pi(\xi)$, teremos que $f(z | \xi) \pi(\xi)$ não é proporcional a $\pi(\xi | x)$, embora esse só dependa de x através de z .

O problema parece advir da priori imprópria em η .

d) Quando $\pi(\xi, \eta) = \pi(\xi) / \eta$,

$$\pi(\xi | x) \propto C^{-\xi} (\sum_{i=1}^n z_i + C \sum_{i=\xi+1}^n z_i)^{-n} \pi(\xi) \text{ e o problema é resolvido.}$$

3.51 In relation to Example 3.5.7, if $x \sim \mathcal{B}(n, p)$, find a prior distribution on n such that $\pi(n|x)$ is $\text{Neg}(x, p)$.

Seja p fixo. Então

$$f(x|n) = \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \mathbb{1}_{\{0, \dots, n\}}(x)$$

Queremos encontrar $\pi(n)$ tal que

$$\pi(n|x) = \binom{n-1}{x-1} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$$

Aqui $n \sim \text{Neg}(x, p)$ significa n tentativas com x sucessos fixados a priori. (Errata do livro). Sejam $\pi(n)$ a priori desejada e $m(x)$ a marginal de x . Assim

$$\frac{\pi(n)}{m(x)} = \frac{\pi(n|x)}{f(x|n)} = \frac{(n-1)! x! (n-x)!}{n! (x-1)! (n-x)!} = \frac{1/n}{1/x}.$$

Considere a priori, imprópria, $\pi(n) = 1/n$. Assim

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(n|x) &\propto \frac{n!}{x!(n-x)!} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(x-1)!(n-x)!} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \\ &\propto \binom{n-1}{x-1} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \end{aligned}$$

Como desejávamos.

3.57 Show that a second-order approximation of both the entropy and Hellinger losses introduced in Section 2.5.4 is $(\theta - \delta)^2 I(\theta)$. Does this result give additional support to the use of the Jeffreys prior?

Ver exercício 2.48 resolvido na lista 2.

$$L_E(\theta, \delta) = C_E(\theta - \delta)^T I(\theta)(\theta - \delta) + O(\|\theta - \delta\|^2)$$
$$L_H(\theta, \delta) = C_H(\theta - \delta)^T I(\theta)(\theta - \delta) + O(\|\theta - \delta\|^2)$$

Sugiro o artigo "Jeffreys' prior is asymptotically least favourable under entropy risk" de Clarke e Barron.

Note que tomando $\tilde{\pi}(\theta) \propto \pi(\theta) I(\theta)$, temos que sob perda quadrática, $\delta^{\tilde{\pi}}$ é equivalente a δ^{π} sob

$$L(\theta, \delta) = I(\theta)(\theta - \delta)^2.$$

Com isso, o uso da priori de Jeffreys está associada a adotar L em um contexto frequentista, por exemplo.

3.58 Consider $x \sim \mathcal{P}(\theta)$.

- Determine the Jeffreys prior π^J and discuss whether the scale-invariant prior $\pi_0(\theta) = 1/\theta$ is preferable.
- Find the maximum entropy prior for the reference measure π_0^J and the constraints $E^\pi[\theta] = 1$, $\text{var}^\pi(\theta) = 1$. What about using π_0 instead?
- Actually, x is the number of cars crossing a railroad in a period T . Show that x is distributed according to a Poisson distribution $\mathcal{P}(\theta)$ if the interval between two arrivals is distributed according to $Exp(\lambda)$. Note that $\theta = \lambda T$.
- Use the above derivation of the Poisson distribution to justify the use of π_0 .

$$f(x|\theta) = \frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a)} \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x|\theta) &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \left(x \log \theta - \theta - \log x! \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{x}{\theta} - 1 \right) \\ &= -\frac{x}{\theta^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$I(\theta) = -E[-x/\theta^2] = 1/\theta. \text{ Assim } \pi^J(\theta) \propto 1/\sqrt{\theta}$$

Nesse caso, $\theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}(x+1/2, 1)$. Por outro lado, se $\pi_0(\theta) = 1/\theta$, $\theta|x \sim \text{Gamma}(x, 1)$. Um problema com π_0 é que se $x=0$, a posteriori é indefinida. $P(x=0|\theta) = e^{-\theta}$.

Além disso, θ não é somente um parâmetro de escala, o que reduz o apelo por π_0 . Por fim, se crenças sobre θ_1, θ_2 só dependem de θ_1/θ_2 , π_0 é vantajosa.

b) Suponha $E_\pi[\theta] = 1$ e $\text{Var}_\pi(\theta) = 1 \Rightarrow E[\theta^2] = 2$

$$E(\pi) = \int \log \left(\frac{\pi(\theta)}{\theta^{-1/2}} \right) \theta^{-1/2} d\theta$$

Pela Equação 3.2.2., a priori que maximiza E é

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^*(\theta) &\propto \exp \left\{ \lambda_1 \theta + \lambda_2 \theta^2 \right\} \theta^{-1/2} \\ &\propto \exp \left\{ \lambda_2 \left(\theta + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_2} \right)^2 \right\} \theta^{-1/2}\end{aligned}$$

Se π_0 é a priori de referência,

$$\pi^*(\theta) \propto \exp \left\{ \lambda_2 \left(\theta + \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_2} \right)^2 \right\} \theta^{-1}$$

c) Defina $T_i = \text{passagem do } i\text{-th carro}$. Suponha que $\{T_{i+1} - T_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ seja iid com $T_2 - T_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ e $T_0 = 0$.
Também defina

$$X = \max \{ n : T_n \leq T \}$$

Note que

$$P(X \geq k) = P(T_1 \leq T, \dots, T_k \leq T) = P(T_k \leq T).$$

$$\text{Logo } P(X=k) = P(T_k \leq T) - P(T_{k+1} \leq T).$$

$$= P(T_{k+1} > T) - P(T_{k+1} > t).$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^k (\lambda T)^i / i! e^{-\lambda T} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\lambda T)^i / i! e^{-\lambda T}$$

$$= \frac{(\lambda T)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda T},$$

em que a 3º igualdade se deve ao fato que

$$T_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} T_{i+1} - T_i \sim \text{Gamma}(k, \lambda).$$

Note que $\theta = \lambda T$.

d) Vamos encontrar a priori de Jeffreys para λ :

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(x|\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \Rightarrow I(\lambda) = 1/\lambda^2.$$

Com isso $\pi(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1}$. Usando a mudança de variáveis,

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \frac{1}{\theta} = \pi_0(\theta).$$

Isto justifica usar π_0 .

3.63 Consider the class of prior distributions

$$\Gamma = \{\mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2), 0 \leq \mu \leq 2, 2 \leq \tau^2 \leq 4\}$$

when $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$.

- a. Study the variations of $\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x]$ and $\text{var}^\pi(\theta|x)$ when $\pi \in \Gamma$.
- b. Study $\varrho(\pi, \delta^\pi)$ when $\pi, \pi' \in \Gamma$ and $\delta^\pi(x) = \mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x]$, $L(\theta, \delta) = (\theta - \delta)^2$ in order to determine the Γ -minimax estimator.

a) Se $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ e $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$, temos que

$$\theta|x \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{\mu/\tau^2 + x}{1/\tau^2 + 1}, \frac{\tau^2}{1 + \tau^2}\right),$$

pois $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$ é família conjugada para $\mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$.

$$\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x] = -\frac{\mu + \tau^2 \cdot x}{1 + \tau^2}$$

$$\text{Var}^\pi[\theta|x] = [\tau^{-2} + 1]^{-1}.$$

Se $\mu \in [0, 2]$ e $\tau^2 \in [2, 4]$,

- $\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x] \in \left[\frac{2x}{3}, \frac{2+4x}{5}\right]$

- $\text{Var}^\pi[\theta|x] \in \left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{5}\right]$

b) $\varrho(\pi, \delta^\pi) = \mathbb{E}^\pi[(\theta - \mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x])^2|x]$
 $= \mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta^2|x] - 2\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x]\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x] + (\mathbb{E}^\pi[\theta|x])^2$
 $= \frac{\tau^2}{1 + \tau^2} + \left(\frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2}\right)\left(\frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2}\right) + \left(\frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2}\right)^2$
 $= \frac{\tau^2}{1 + \tau^2} + \left(\frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2} - \frac{\mu + \tau^2 x}{1 + \tau^2}\right)^2$
 $\in \left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2x + 18}{5}\right]$