

Fokker-Plank equation

Lucas Moschen

Abril de 2021

Abstract

This text aims to summarise the content studied during the period in the Department of Mathematics at Imperial College London with professor Dante Kalise. The object of study is the Fokker-Planck equation and Optimal Control.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Well-posedness	3
3	Operator form	4
3.1	Decoupling the Fokker-Planck equation	5
4	Ricatti-based feedback control	5
5	Methods for solving a PDE	5
5.1	Spectral-Legendre method	6

Notation

This list is part of the notation we use throughout the text:

- $\langle X \rangle = \mathbb{E}[X]$ is the expected value of the random variable X .
- For $f, g \in H^1(\Omega)$, we define $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x) dx$

1 Introduction

Consider the Stochastic Differential Equation

$$dX_t = -\nabla V(x, t) dt + \sqrt{2\nu} dB_t.$$

The probability density function ρ of the process $\{X_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is the solution of

$$\rho_t(x, t) = \nabla \cdot J(x, t), \text{ where } J(x, t) = \nu \nabla \rho(x, t) + \rho(x, t) \nabla V(x, t), (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty),$$

subject to $J(x, t) \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ and $\rho(x, 0) = \rho_0(x)$ in Ω . Consider the potential

$$V(x, t) = G(x) + u(t)\alpha(x),$$

such that u is a control function and α is a control shape function that satisfies $\nabla \alpha \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. With that, we get that the boundary condition reduces to

$$0 = (\nu \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla V) \cdot \vec{n} = \nu (\nabla \rho) \cdot \vec{n} + \rho (\nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} + u \cancel{\rho (\nabla \alpha) \cdot \vec{n}},$$

which implies that

$$(\nu \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let ρ_∞ be the steady state for the uncontrolled system, i.e., the function that solves

$$\nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla \rho_\infty + \rho_\infty \nabla G) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

subject to $(\nu \nabla \rho_\infty + \rho_\infty \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Define $y = \rho - \rho_\infty$. The problem turns to

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \nabla \cdot [\nu \nabla (y + \rho_\infty) + (y + \rho_\infty) \nabla V] \\ &= \nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla y + y \nabla V + u \nabla \alpha \rho_\infty) + \cancel{\nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla \rho_\infty + \rho_\infty \nabla G)}, \end{aligned}$$

in $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$ subject to

$$0 = (\nu \nabla (y + \rho_\infty) + (y + \rho_\infty) \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} = (\nu \nabla y + y \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

and $y(x, 0) = \rho_0(x) - \rho_\infty(x)$ in Ω . We can write the above problem as a bilinear control system

$$\dot{y} = \mathcal{A}y + u\mathcal{N}y + \mathcal{B}u, \quad y(0) = y_0,$$

where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{N}\rho_\infty$.

The first feedback strategy is based on the cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \langle y, \mathcal{M}y \rangle + |u|^2 dt,$$

and it is obtained solving the Riccati Equation

$$\mathcal{A}^*\Pi + \Pi\mathcal{A} - \Pi\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*\Pi + \mathcal{M} = 0$$

and $u = -\mathcal{B}^*\Pi y$.

The second strategy is based on the solution Γ to a Lyapunov equation

$$\mathcal{A}^*\Upsilon + \Upsilon\mathcal{A} + 2\mu I = 0,$$

for a properly chosen parameter $\mu > 0$.

Some additional references:

- [1]: covers the existence and the uniqueness of a class of Fokker-Planck equations with coefficients in Sobolov spaces $W^{1,p}$.
- [3]: existence of steady state of Fokker-Planck equation in $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

2 Well-posedness

We search for a solution $\rho \in W(0, T) = L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1(0, t; (H^1(\Omega))^*)$ and

$$\langle \rho_t, \phi \rangle + \langle \nu \nabla \rho(t) + \rho(t) \nabla G, \nabla \phi \rangle + u(t) \langle \rho(t) \nabla \alpha, \nabla \phi \rangle = 0, \forall \phi \in H^1(\Omega),$$

where integration by parts was applied. It is assumed that

$$G, \alpha \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,\max(2,n)}(\Omega).$$

With that in mind, is possible to prove that for every $u \in L^2(0, T)$ and $\rho_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists an unique solution to Fokker-Planck equation.

Moreover the solution satisfies

- (i) For every $t \in [0, T]$, we have that $\langle \rho(t) - \rho_0, 1_\Omega \rangle = 0$.

- (ii) If $\rho_0 \geq 0$ almost everywhere on Ω , then $\rho(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$ and almost all $x \in \Omega$.

3 Operator form

Define the operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) &\rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\rho \in H^2(\Omega) : (\nu \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\} \\ \mathcal{A}\rho &= \nu \Delta \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla G),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N} : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega), \mathcal{N}\rho = \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla \alpha).$$

The adjoint operators are well-defined and given by

$$\mathcal{A}^* \varphi = \nu \Delta \varphi - \nabla G \cdot \nabla \varphi, (\nu \nabla \varphi) \cdot \vec{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}^* \varphi = -\nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \alpha.$$

Considering the uncontrolled system $\dot{\rho} = \mathcal{A}\rho$, introduce the function $\Phi(x) = \log \nu + \frac{G(x)}{\nu}$. Further, define the operator $\mathcal{A}_s = e^{\Phi/2} \mathcal{A} e^{-\Phi/2}$. Then,

$$\mathcal{A} (e^{-\Phi/2} \rho) = \nu e^{-\Phi/2} \left(\Delta \rho + \frac{1}{2} \rho \Delta \Phi - \frac{1}{4} \rho \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla \Phi \right).$$

The following results can be obtained:

- \mathcal{A}_s is self-adjoint.
- The spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_s)$ consists of non-positive pure points with $0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_s)$.
- The eigenfunctions $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ form a complete orthogonal set.
- $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_s) = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ and ψ_i is eigenfunction of \mathcal{A} iff $e^{\Psi/2} \psi_i$ is eigenfunction of \mathcal{A}_s .
- ψ_i is eigenfunction of \mathcal{A} iff $e^{\Psi} \psi_i$ is eigenfunction of \mathcal{A}^* .
- $\rho_\infty = c e^{-\Phi}$ is eigenfunction of \mathcal{A} associated with the eigenvalue 0.

3.1 Decoupling the Fokker-Planck equation

Consider the projection transform \mathcal{P} onto 1^\perp along ρ_∞ , written as

$$\mathcal{P}y = y - \int_{\Omega} y dx \rho_\infty,$$

and its complementary $\mathcal{Q}y = (I - \mathcal{P})y$. If $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we can decompose $\mathcal{Y} = \text{im}(\mathcal{P}) \oplus \text{im}(\mathcal{Q})$, which implies $y = \mathcal{P}y + \mathcal{Q}y = y_{\mathcal{P}} + y_{\mathcal{Q}}$. Therefore, Fokker-Planck equation turns to

$$\dot{y}_{\mathcal{P}} + \dot{y}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{A}(y_{\mathcal{P}} + y_{\mathcal{Q}}) + u\mathcal{N}(y_{\mathcal{P}} + y_{\mathcal{Q}}) + \mathcal{B}u.$$

Applying \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{Q} to this equation and noticing that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}y) = \mathcal{P}y$, $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{Q}y) = \mathcal{Q}y$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Q}y) = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}y) = 0$, we get the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{y}_{\mathcal{P}} \\ \dot{y}_{\mathcal{Q}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{\mathcal{P}} \\ y_{\mathcal{Q}} \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{N} & \mathcal{P}\mathcal{N} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_{\mathcal{P}} \\ y_{\mathcal{Q}} \end{bmatrix} + u \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}\mathcal{B} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where other identities were also used.

4 Ricatti-based feedback control

It is considered the linearised version of the system, that is, uNy term is dropped. In this section, they derive the Ricatti equation.

5 Methods for solving a PDE

- (a) Finite differences: [2] build a scheme where positivity and conservation of mass are maintained.
- (b) Finite elements
- (c) Collocation methods, which is a spectral method in the strong sense
- (d) Spectral-Legendre method

The equation we are trying to solve is

$$y_t = \nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla y + y \nabla G + uy \nabla \alpha + u \rho_\infty \nabla \alpha),$$

subject to $(\nu \nabla y + y \nabla G) \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ in the boundary and $y = \rho_0 - \rho_\infty$ as initial condition. In the weak formulation, for every $\phi \in H^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$\langle y_t, \phi \rangle = -\langle \nu \nabla y + y \nabla G, \nabla \phi \rangle - u(t) \langle \nabla \alpha y, \nabla \phi \rangle - u(t) \langle \nabla \alpha \rho_\infty, \nabla \phi \rangle.$$

5.1 Spectral-Legendre method

Let us consider the one-dimensional case for now: $\Omega = [a, b]$. To simplify future calculations, we consider the variable

$$\tilde{y}(x, t) = y \left(\left(\frac{b-a}{2} \right) x + \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right), t \right), \forall x \in [-1, 1], t > 0.$$

We do the same for G , α and ρ_∞ . For sake of conciseness, we drop \sim for now. The formulation turns to

$$\langle y_t, \phi \rangle = - \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^2 \langle \nu y_x + y \dot{G} + u y \dot{\alpha} + u \rho_\infty \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi} \rangle.$$

Consider the space

$$X_n = \{ \phi \in P_n : \nu \dot{\phi}(\pm 1) + \phi(\pm 1) \dot{G}(\pm 1) = 0 \},$$

where P_n is the space of polynomials with degree up to n . Notice that $\dim(X_n) = n-1$. Let $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^{n-2}$ be a basis for X_n and write

$$y(x, t) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} y_j(t) \phi_j(x).$$

Considering the set of test equal to the trial functions, we get in the following formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \dot{y}_j(t) \langle \phi_j, \phi_i \rangle &= - \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} y_j(t) \left(\nu \langle \dot{\phi}_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle + \langle \dot{G} \phi_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle + u(t) \langle \dot{\alpha} \phi_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + u(t) \langle \dot{\alpha} \rho_\infty, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as a system of ODEs:

$$\Phi \dot{y}(t) = -\left(\frac{2}{b-a}\right)^2 (\Lambda + \Theta^1 + u(t)\Theta^2)y(t) - \frac{2}{b-a}u(t)v.$$

Following the suggestion from [4, p.7], we consider

$$\phi_k(x) = L_k(x) + \alpha_k L_{k+1}(x) + \beta_k L_{k+2}(x),$$

where L_k is the Legendre polynomial of degree k and the coefficients chosen as to satisfy the boundary conditions. Let us calculate this quantities:

$$\nu(\dot{L}_k(\pm 1) + \alpha_k \dot{L}_{k+1}(\pm 1) + \beta_k \dot{L}_{k+2}(\pm 1)) + \dot{G}(\pm 1)(L_k(\pm 1) + \alpha_k L_{k+1}(\pm 1) + \beta_k L_{k+2}(\pm 1)) = 0.$$

which can be written in matrix formulation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nu(k+1)(k+2) - 2g_- & -\nu(k+2)(k+3) + 2g_- \\ \nu(k+1)(k+2) + 2g_+ & \nu(k+2)(k+3) + 2g_+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \nu k(k+1) - 2g_- \\ -\nu k(k+1) - 2g_+ \end{bmatrix},$$

where $g_{\pm} = \dot{G}(\pm 1)$ and the system is numerically solved.

Let's now pre-calculate the matrices in terms of the legendre polynomials.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ij} = \langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle &= \langle L_i, L_j \rangle + \alpha_i \langle L_{i+1}, L_j \rangle + \beta_i \langle L_{i+2}, L_j \rangle \\ &+ \alpha_j \langle L_i, L_{j+1} \rangle + \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle L_{i+1}, L_{j+1} \rangle + \beta_i \alpha_j \langle L_{i+2}, L_{j+1} \rangle \\ &+ \beta_j \langle L_i, L_{j+2} \rangle + \alpha_i \beta_j \langle L_{i+1}, L_{j+2} \rangle + \beta_i \beta_j \langle L_{i+2}, L_{j+2} \rangle \\ &= 2 \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2i+1} + 2\alpha_i \frac{\delta_{i+1,j}}{2(i+1)+1} + \beta_i \frac{\delta_{i+2,j}}{2(i+2)+1} \\ &= 2\alpha_j \frac{\delta_{i,j+1}}{2i+1} + 2\alpha_i \alpha_j \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2(i+1)+1} + 2\beta_i \alpha_j \frac{\delta_{i+1,j}}{2(i+2)+1} \\ &= 2\beta_j \frac{\delta_{i,j+2}}{2i+1} + 2\alpha_i \beta_j \frac{\delta_{i,j+1}}{2(i+1)+1} + 2\beta_i \beta_j \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2(i+2)+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{ij} = \nu \langle \dot{\phi}_i, \dot{\phi}_j \rangle &= \nu [\langle \dot{L}_i, \dot{L}_j \rangle + \alpha_i \langle \dot{L}_{i+1}, \dot{L}_j \rangle + \beta_i \langle \dot{L}_{i+2}, \dot{L}_j \rangle \\ &+ \alpha_j \langle \dot{L}_i, \dot{L}_{j+1} \rangle + \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \dot{L}_{i+1}, \dot{L}_{j+1} \rangle + \beta_i \alpha_j \langle \dot{L}_{i+2}, \dot{L}_{j+1} \rangle \\ &+ \beta_j \langle \dot{L}_i, \dot{L}_{j+2} \rangle + \alpha_i \beta_j \langle \dot{L}_{i+1}, \dot{L}_{j+2} \rangle + \beta_i \beta_j \langle \dot{L}_{i+2}, \dot{L}_{j+2} \rangle], \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \dot{L}_i, \dot{L}_j \rangle = L_{\max(i,j)}(1)\dot{L}_{\min(i,j)}(1) - L_{\max(i,j)}(-1)\dot{L}_{\min(i,j)}(-1)$, integrating by parts and observing that Legendre polynomials are orthogonal to other polynomials with

smaller degree. Therefore,

$$\langle \dot{L}_i, \dot{L}_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \min(i, j)(\min(i, j) + 1) \left(1 + (-1)^{i+j}\right).$$

Finally, we calculate

$$\Theta_{ij}^1 = \langle \dot{G}\phi_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle, \Theta_{ij}^2 = \langle \dot{\alpha}\phi_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle \text{ and } v_i = \langle \dot{\alpha}\rho_\infty, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle$$

This is the method of solving the PDE. Before solving it, we need to compute the optimal control. For that, we have to discretise the operators \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{M} :

The discretised versions are

$$A_{ij} = \langle \mathcal{A}\phi_j, \phi_i \rangle = -\langle \nu\dot{\phi}_j + \dot{G}\phi_j, \dot{\phi}_i \rangle = -\Lambda_{ij} - \Theta_{ij}^1 \implies A = -(\Lambda + \Theta^1)$$

and

$$B_i = \langle \mathcal{B} \cdot 1, \phi_i \rangle = -v_i \implies B = -v.$$

With that in mind, we have to solve the discrete Ricatti equation

$$A^T \Pi + \Pi A + \Pi B B^T \Pi + M = 0.$$

with $u(t) = -B^T \Pi y(t)$. With this feedback, we solve

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi \dot{y} &= \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^2 (A + \Theta^2 B^T \Pi y(t)) y(t) - \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^2 B B^T \Pi y(t) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{b-a} \right)^2 (A - B B^T \Pi + \Theta^2 B^T \Pi y(t)) y(t). \end{aligned}$$

After solving this system, we have to come back to the original coordinate system.

Remark 5.1.1. Notice that $M_{ij} = \langle \mathcal{M}\phi_j, \phi_i \rangle$. For instance, if \mathcal{M} is the identity operator, we have $M = \Lambda$.

References

- [1] C Le Bris and P-L Lions. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to fokker-planck type equations with irregular coefficients. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 33(7):1272–1317, 2008.

- [2] JS Chang and G Cooper. A practical difference scheme for fokker-planck equations. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 6(1):1–16, 1970.
- [3] Wen Huang, Min Ji, Zhenxin Liu, and Yingfei Yi. Steady states of fokker-planck equations: I. existence. *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 27(3):721–742, 2015.
- [4] Jie Shen, Tao Tang, and Li-Lian Wang. *Spectral methods: algorithms, analysis and applications*, volume 41. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.