## Minimum energy configurations

Lucas Myers

June 27, 2023

## 1 Relevant equation and weak form

It's unclear to me whether the simulations are running for long enough to reach a minimum energy configuration. To deal with this, I'm writing a Newton-Rhapson method solver which, presumably, will run much faster. To this end, the equilibrium configuration is one in which  $\partial_t Q = 0$ . Then the equilibrium Q-tensor is the one that fulfills the following equation:

$$0 = 2\alpha Q - nk_B T\Lambda + 2L_1 \nabla^2 Q$$

$$+ L_2 \left( \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot Q \right) + \left[ \nabla \left( \nabla \cdot Q \right) \right]^T - \frac{2}{3} \left( \nabla \cdot \left( \nabla \cdot Q \right) \right) I \right)$$

$$+ L_3 \left( 2\nabla \cdot \left( Q \cdot \nabla Q \right) - \left( \nabla Q \right) : \left( \nabla Q \right)^T + \frac{1}{3} \left| \nabla Q \right|^2 I \right)$$

$$(1)$$

Note that this is the same as our discrete time evolution equation, except taking  $\delta t \to \infty$ . Hence, we may take the weak-form residual and Jacobian from our previous calculations, but just take  $\delta t \to \infty$  and we will be left with the corresponding equations to solve for a given Newton-Rhapson iteration to find the equilibrium configuration. The weak-form residual is then:

$$\mathcal{R}_i(Q) = \alpha \langle \Phi_i, Q \rangle - \langle \Phi_i, \Lambda(Q) \rangle + \mathcal{E}_i^{(1)}(Q, \nabla Q) + L_2 \mathcal{E}_i^{(2)}(Q, \nabla Q) + L_3 \mathcal{E}_i^{(3)}(Q, \nabla Q)$$
 (2)

and the corresponding Jacobian is:

$$\mathcal{R}'_{ij}(Q) = \alpha \left\langle \Phi_i, \Phi_j \right\rangle - \left\langle \Phi_i, \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial Q_j} \right\rangle + \frac{\mathcal{E}_i^{(1)}}{\partial Q_j} + L_2 \frac{\mathcal{E}_i^{(2)}}{\partial Q_j} + L_3 \frac{\mathcal{E}_i^{(3)}}{\partial Q_j}$$
(3)

Given this, Newton's method reads:

$$\mathcal{R}'_{ij}(Q)\delta Q_j = -\mathcal{R}_i(Q) \tag{4}$$

with each iteration given by:

$$Q^n = Q^{n-1} + \alpha \delta Q \tag{5}$$