

Debugging time evolution

Lucas Myers

November 18, 2022

1 Checking periodically perturbed free energy

To check that the configuration force matches with the energy, we check the energy for a uniform configuration first, and then for a small perturbation. The latter check gives an estimate for the configuration force by the functional Taylor series expansion:

$$F(Q + \delta Q) = F(Q) + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\delta F}{\delta Q} \Big|_Q : \delta Q \right) dV + \mathcal{O}(|\delta Q|^2) \quad (1)$$

with

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta Q} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial Q} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial(\nabla Q)} \quad (2)$$

Since this holds for an arbitrary functional F , and also for an arbitrary (small) perturbation δQ , it's probably true that:

$$f(Q + \delta Q) = f(Q) + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial Q} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial(\nabla Q)} \right) : \delta Q + \mathcal{O}(|\delta Q|^2) \quad (3)$$

for each component of the free energy f . For the example we test, we take Q to be:

$$Q = S_0 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

with

$$\delta Q = S_0 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \epsilon \sin kx & 0 \\ \epsilon \sin kx & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

This corresponds (to first order) to a perturbation of the director so that the total configuration $(Q + \delta Q)$ is a uniform- S configuration with director $\mathbf{n} = (1, \epsilon \sin kx, 0)$.

Here, the nondimensional free energy is given by:

$$F = \int_{\Omega} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha Q : Q + (\log 4\pi - \log Z + \Lambda : (Q + \frac{1}{3} I)) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla Q|^2 \right) dV \quad (6)$$

Then we can calculate $F(Q)$ partially analytically and partially numerically. Of course the elastic part is zero, and we calculate:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \alpha Q : Q = -S_0^2 \frac{\alpha}{3} = -1.2153600266666669 \quad (7)$$

with $S_0 = 0.6751$ and $\alpha = 8.0$. Further, we can calculate numerically (see `calc_lambda` program with `generate_periodic_Q_tensors.py`) Λ and Z for $S_0 = 0.6751$:

$$Z = 3.87017170996747$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 3.6005952163635766 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.8002976081817883 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1.8002976081817883 \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Then we may calculate F by multiplying this energy density by the size of the domain. The uniform configuration check is then just to compare that number with the energy output from the simulation for a uniform configuration with the same S_0 and α values and domain size. Calculating the energy density from the mean-field interaction gives:

$$f_{\text{entropy}} = 3.60848720197831 \quad (9)$$

Running the simulation and calculating the free energy over the domain gives:

$$F_{\text{mean field}} = -11.99497833 \quad (10)$$

and calculating out the energy density from the entropy term gives:

$$F_{\text{entropy}} = 35.61419603 \quad (11)$$

Comparing these values to the energy density multiplied by $(3.1415926 \times 3.1415926)$ (the domain size) we get similar results.

We may also consider a configuration with a different S_0 -value, just to be sure. Running a similar calculation with $S_0 = 0.5$, we get the following values for Λ and Z :

$$Z = 1.8088523960817302$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 2.323990879382531 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1.1619954396912653 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

Then the entropy and mean field part of the free energy density is given by:

$$f_{\text{entropy}} = 3.100327077782174$$

$$f_{\text{mean field}} = -\frac{2}{3} \quad (13)$$

Both of these correspond with the energy densities written to the vtu file.

The configuration force, which is exactly the functional derivative of the free energy, is given by:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta Q} = -\alpha Q + \Lambda - \nabla^2 Q \quad (14)$$

We need to evaluate this with the unperturbed Q -tensor – since this is just a uniform configuration, the elastic term will be zero. However, if we explicitly calculate it we find that:

$$\left. \frac{\delta F}{\delta Q} \right|_Q : \delta Q \quad (15)$$

Hence, we actually can't get any information out of this particular perturbation.

2 S -value perturbation

To actually test this, we need to take:

$$\delta Q = \epsilon \sin kx Q \tag{16}$$

Taking $k = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0.1$, we may explicitly calculate (using values previously stated):

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\delta F}{\delta Q} \right|_Q : \delta Q &= -\frac{2}{3} S_0 \alpha \epsilon \sin kx + \epsilon \sin kx \left(\frac{2}{3} \Lambda_1 - \frac{1}{3} \Lambda_4 + \frac{1}{3} (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_4) \right) \\ &= (3.240541883030243) \sin kx \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$