1. Proof that Champernowne is not supernormal.

For this proof we will assume the Champernowne sequence as the concatenation of all words of length k for k = 1, 2, ...

For every fixed k, the block X(k) = "All the words of length k" is a perfect necklace. Then X(k) has length $k2^k$ and if we look at X(k) as a necklace, all the words of length k occur exactly k times, in positions of X(k) that are different modulo k.

First, let's notice that if we analyze the occurrences of words of length k + log(k) + 1 in the first $2^{k+log(k)+1}$ symbols of Champernowne, the whole block X(k) will be covered, it is easy to prove by induction that $2^{k+log(k)+1} = 2k2^k > \sum_{i=1}^k i2^i$.

Secondly, as X(k) has length $k2^k$, the block X(k) accounts for half of the total amount of symbols in the first $2k2^k$ symbols of Chamernowne.

Now, let's take a look at what the words of length k + log(k) + 1 that occur in X(k) look like. There are four different cases that can happen of how a word x is formed with elements from X(k). In the following analysis, u, v and w are consecutive words of length k in X(k):

• Case 1:

$$x = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_{\log(k)} v_{\log(k)+1}$$

Which means it is the occurrence modulo 0 for a given word u of length k in X(k) plus the remaining log(k) + 1 symbols which are taken from the next word.

■ Case 2:

$$x = u_{k-log(k)-1} \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$$

Which is the case where the word of length k + log(k) + 1 is formed from the last log(k) + 1 symbols of a word and the whole k symbols of the next word.

■ <u>Case 3:</u>

$$x = u_{n+1}u_{n+2}\dots u_k \quad v_1v_2\dots v_{\log(k)+n+1}$$

with
$$n \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - log(k) - 2\}$$
.

Which is the case where the k + log(n) + 1 symbols are taken from two words of length k and none of the words is complete.

■ Case 4:

$$x = u_{k-\log(k)-1+n} u_{k-\log(k)+n} \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_k \quad w_1 w_2 \dots w_{\log(k)+1-n}$$

with
$$n \in \{1, 2, ..., log(k)\}$$

Which is the case where the word of length k + log(k) + 1 is formed by a full word, and the extra log(k) + 1 symbols are taken from both the end of the previous word and the beggining of the next one.

1.1. Case Analysis

The idea will be to count which are the different words that happen in each case to bound the amount of different words that occur in the first $2k2^k$ symbols of Champernowne and check that there are fewer words that occur at least one time than the expected amount.

For the simplicity of the proof we will define next(w) as |w| times 0 if w only consists of 1s and the word that comes after w in lexicographic order in any other case.

1.1.1. Case 1

$$x = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_{log(k)} v_{log(k)+1}$$

This case accounts for the occurrence modulo 0 for a given word u of length k in X(k) plus the remaining log(k) + 1 symbols which are taken from the next word. As an example, some of the words of length k + log(k) + 1 formed from X(k) taking k = 8, log(k) = 3 are shown between brackets:

 (000000000
 0000) 0001

 (00000001
 0000) 0010

 (00000010
 0000) 0011

 :
 (00001110
 0000) 1111

 (00001111
 0001) 0000

 (00010000
 0001) 0001

 :
 (11111110
 1111) 1111

There are two important things to notice here. The first one is that as the words of length k+log(k)+1 are formed by a full word of length k followed by the first log(k)+1 symbols from the next word, in almost case the first log(k)+1 symbols are equal to the last log(k)+1. The only way for this not to happen, is when the last k-log(k)-1 symbols from the first word u are all 1s, which means that the next word in lexicographic order v will consist of $next(v_1v_2\ldots v_{log(k)+1})$ concatenated with $next(v_{log(k)+2}v_{log(k)+3}\ldots v_k)$.

The second important thing to notice is that as X(k) is the concatenation of all words of length k, all words of length k occur one time in an alligned position modulo k. This means that the first k symbols of x will take every possible configuration.

These two facts leave two possible schemes for what a word x of case 1 may look like:

$$\underbrace{\frac{A}{\log(k)+1}}_{log(k)+1} \underbrace{\frac{B}{k-\log(k)-1}}_{next(A)} A$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{A}{\log(k)+1}}_{k-\log(k)-1} \underbrace{\frac{11\dots 1}{next(A)}}_{next(A)}$$

For the first scheme we have:

$$2^{\log(k)+1}(2^{k-\log(k)-1}-1)$$
$$2k(\frac{2^k}{2k}-1)$$
$$2^k-2k$$

 $2^k - 2k$ different words.

For the second scheme we substract one two the cases due to the fact that the last word of length k in X(k) has its continuation outside X(k):

$$2^{\log(k)+1} - 1$$
$$2k - 1$$

2k-1 different words.

Counting the whole case together we have $2^k - 2k + 2k - 1$ which is less than 2^k different words.

1.1.2. Case 2

$$x = u_{k-\log(k)-1} \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$$

This case accounts for the occurrence modulo 0 for a given word u of length k in X(k) plus the remaining log(k) + 1 symbols which are taken from the previous word. This means that in this case the word of length k + log(k) + 1 corresponding to the first word of X(k) does not have a corresponding word inside X(k). As an example, some of the words of length k + log(k) + 1 formed from X(k) taking k = 8, log(k) = 3 are shown between brackets:

As in the previous case, as X(k) is the concatenation of all words of length k, all words of length k occur one time in an alligned position modulo k. This means that the last k symbols of x will take every possible configuration. The other important thing to notice is that as the first log(k) + 1 symbols of x come from the word u which occups exactly before v in lexicographic order, then:

$$next(u_{k-log(k)-1}u_{k-log(k)}\dots u_k) = u_{v-log(k)-1}v_{k-log(k)}\dots v_k$$

This leaves only one possible scheme for what a word x of case 2 may look like:

$$\underbrace{A}_{log(k)+1} \qquad \underbrace{B}_{k-log(k)-1} \qquad next(A)$$

This scheme gives us the following amount of different words that may occur:

$$(2^{\log(k)+1}2^{k-\log(k)}) - 1$$
$$2k(\frac{2^k}{2k}) - 1$$
$$2^k - 1$$

 $2^k - 1$ different words which is less than 2^k diffrent words.

1.1.3. Case 3

$$x = u_{n+1}u_{n+2}\dots u_k \quad v_1v_2\dots v_{\log(k)+n+1}$$
 with $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - \log(k) - 2\}$.

This case accounts for when the k + log(n) + 1 symbols are taken from two words of length k and none of the words is complete. As an example, some of the words of length k + log(k) + 1 formed from X(k) taking k = 8, log(k) = 3 are shown between brackets Some extra spaces are added within u and v to make clear the scheme that will be explained later. Taking n = 1.

$$0 \ (0001 \ 110 \qquad 0 \ 0001) \ 111$$

$$0 \ (0001 \ 111 \qquad 0 \ 0010) \ 000$$

$$0 \ (0010 \ 000 \qquad 0 \ 0010) \ 001$$

$$\vdots$$

$$1 \ (1111 \ 101 \qquad 1 \ 1111) \ 110$$

$$0 \ (1111 \ 110 \qquad 1 \ 1111) \ 111$$

$$1111 \ 111$$

$$1000 \ (0000 \ 0 \qquad 000 \ 0000) \ 1$$

$$000 \ (0000 \ 1 \qquad 000 \ 0001) \ 0$$

$$000 \ (0001 \ 0 \qquad 000 \ 0001) \ 0$$

$$000 \ (0001 \ 1 \qquad 000 \ 0001) \ 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$111 \ (11110 \ 1 \qquad 111 \ 1111) \ 1$$

$$111 \ 1111) \ 1$$

In this case, it also happens that as X(k) is the concatenation of all words of length k, for each value of n, all words of length k take the u position once, except the last of the words of length k in X(k).

It is important to notice that for a given value of n, the first n symbols of u will not be considered to form x. This means that it can be interepreted that the symbols from u that are considered are, the first log(k) + 1 symbols after n which will be called A and the remaining k - log(k) - 1 - n symbols which will be called B.

Now, if we divide the n + log(k) + 1 symbols that are used from v to form x into the first n symbols which will be called C and the remaining log(k) + 1 symbols, it is possible to see that these log(k) + 1 symbols will always be equal to the symbols from A except for the case where B = 11...1 as they account for the same indexes of u and v and v comes immediately after u in lexicographic order.

These leave two possible schemes for what a word x of case 3 may look like:

$$\underbrace{\frac{A}{\log(k)+1}}_{log(k)+1} \underbrace{\frac{B}{k-\log(k)-1-n}}_{k-\log(k)-1-n} \underbrace{\frac{C}{n}}_{n} \underbrace{next(A)}$$

Looking closely at the first scheme, it is possible to see, if we put together B and C which have length k - log(k) - 1 - n and n respectively, that we have the following scheme:

$$\underbrace{A}_{log(k)+1} \qquad \underbrace{B}_{k-log(k)-1} \qquad A$$

which is exactly the same one as in case 1. This means that all the possible words that can be formed following this scheme don't yield any new words.

The same thing happens with the second scheme when concatenating 11...1 with C:

$$\underbrace{A}_{log(k)+1} \qquad \underbrace{11\dots 1C}_{k-log(k)-1} \qquad next(A)$$

Which is a particular case of case 2.

This means that for case 3 there are no words that appear that should be taken into account as new words.

1.1.4. Case 4

$$x = u_{k-\log(k)-1+n} u_{k-\log(k)+n} \dots u_k \quad v_1 v_2 \dots v_k \quad w_1 w_2 \dots w_{\log(k)+1-n}$$

with $n \in \{1, 2, ..., log(k)\}$

This case accounts for when the k + log(n) + 1 symbols are taken from three words of length k. The k symbols of v are used and the remaining log(k) + 1 symbols are taken from both the previous and the following words u and w. As an example, some of the words of length k + log(k) + 1 formed from X(k) taking k = 8, log(k) = 3 are shown between brackets Some extra spaces are added within u and v to make clear the scheme that will be explained later.

Taking n = 1.

00) 00011 01) 10000 10) 00001 11) 11111 0) 000010
10) 00001 11) 11111
10) 00001 11) 11111
11) 11111
,
,
0) 000010
0) 000010
0) 000011
0) 111111
1) 000000
1) 000001

In this case, it also happens that as X(k) is the concatenation of all words of length k, for each value of n, all words of length k take the u position once, except the last of the words of length k in X(k).

We will call A the first n symbols of x which are taken from the end of v. The following log(k) + 1 - n symbols which are the first of v will be called B and it happens that unless the remaining symbols of v are all 1s, they will be the same as the last log(k) + 1 - n of x because these symbols are the first log(k) + 1 - n from w. Now, we will consider the remaining k - log(k) - 1 + n symbols from v as two blocks, one block C of length k - log(k) - 1 and the remaining n symbols which are exctly next(A) as v is the next word in lexicographic order after v. This yields the two following schemes:

$$\underbrace{\frac{A}{n}}_{log(k)+1-n} \underbrace{\frac{B}{k-log(k)+1}}_{log(k)+1} next(A) \qquad B$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{11\dots 10}{n}}_{log(k)+1-n} \underbrace{\frac{B}{k-log(k)+1}}_{log(k)+1} next(A) \qquad next(B)$$

For the first scheme we have:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\log(k)} (2^n - 1)(2^{\log(k) + 1 - n})(2^{k - \log(k) + 1})$$