

Adapting Engelbrecht-Wiggans et al. (1983)

This version incorporates switching costs. Let bank 1 be the incumbent, which has a relationship with the borrower. The borrower incurs a switching cost  $\lambda > 0$  if they choose bank 2. This borrower chooses bank 2 if  $r_1 > r_2 + \lambda$ , otherwise it chooses bank 1.

Define  $h$  to be default probability, there is an informed bank (bank 1) and an uninformed bank (bank 2), which is smoothly distributed in the population according to the cdf  $F(h)$  and pdf  $f(h)$ . The strategies are  $r_1(h) = \sigma(h) : h \rightarrow r$  and  $G(x) = \Pr(r_2 \leq x)$ , which are interest rates.

Assume that in equilibrium  $\sigma$  is an increasing function, and denote by  $\tau : r \rightarrow h$  its inverse,  $\tau(\sigma(h)) = h$ .

Then expected profits of bank 1 are:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(r_1(h)) &= \Pr(\sigma(h) \leq r_2 + \lambda) \cdot [(1 - h)\sigma(h) - 1] \\ &= \Pr(r_2 \geq \sigma(h) - \lambda) \cdot [(1 - h)\sigma(h) - 1] \\ &= [1 - G(\sigma(h) - \lambda)] \cdot [(1 - h)\sigma(h) - 1]\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

and the expected profits of bank 2 are:

Bank 2 wins if  $r_2 < \sigma(h) - \lambda$ , which is  $\sigma(h) > r_2 + \lambda$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_2(r_2) &= \Pr(\sigma(h) > r_2 + \lambda) \cdot E[(1 - h) \cdot r_2 - 1 \mid \sigma(h) > r_2 + \lambda] \\ &= \Pr(h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)) \cdot E[(1 - h) \cdot r_2 - 1 \mid h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)] \\ &= [1 - F(\tau(r_2 + \lambda))] \cdot [E[(1 - h) \mid h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)] \cdot r_2 - 1]\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Assume that bank 2 makes zero profits<sup>1</sup>, then we have:

$$[1 - F(\tau(r_2 + \lambda))] \cdot [E[(1 - h) \mid h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)] \cdot r_2 - 1] = 0\tag{3}$$

since the winning probability is not zero, then the expected profits have to be zero.

$$E[(1 - h) \mid h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)] \cdot r_2 - 1 = 0 \implies r_2 = \frac{1}{E[(1 - h) \mid h > \tau(r_2 + \lambda)]}\tag{4}$$

Let  $k = \tau(r_2 + \lambda)$ , which means  $\sigma(k) = r_2 + \lambda$ . Therefore  $r_2 = \sigma(k) - \lambda$ . Substituting:

$$\sigma(k) - \lambda = \frac{1}{E[1 - h \mid h > k]}$$

Hence,

$$\sigma(h) = \lambda + \mu(h)^{-1}\tag{5}$$

where  $\mu(h) = E[1 - H \mid H > h]$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> Would have to be proved, but I am confident that it is true since the uninformed firm in auction models always makes zero profits.

Then we can use profit maximization by the first firm, the FOC of equation 1 are:

$$\begin{aligned} -g(\sigma(h) - \lambda)[(1-h)\sigma(h) - 1] + [1 - G(\sigma(h) - \lambda)][1-h] &= 0 \\ \frac{1-h}{[(1-h)\sigma(h) - 1]} &= \frac{g(\sigma(h) - \lambda)}{[1 - G(\sigma(h) - \lambda)]} = -\frac{d}{d\sigma}[\log(1 - G(\sigma(h) - \lambda))] \\ \frac{1-\tau(r)}{[(1-\tau(r))r-1]} &= -\frac{d}{d\sigma}[\log(1 - g(r - \lambda))] \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Integrating both sides from  $\underline{r} = \sigma(\underline{h})$  to a given  $r$ , where  $G(\underline{r} - \lambda) = 0$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} -[\log(1 - G(r - \lambda)) - \underbrace{\log(1 - G(\underline{r} - \lambda))}_{=0}] &= \int_{\underline{r}}^r \frac{1 - \tau(u)}{[(1 - \tau(u))u - 1]} du \\ -\log(1 - G(r - \lambda)) &= \int_{\underline{r}}^r \frac{1 - \tau(u)}{[(1 - \tau(u))u - 1]} du \\ G(r - \lambda) &= 1 - \exp \left[ - \int_{\underline{r}}^r \frac{1 - \tau(u)}{[(1 - \tau(u))u - 1]} du \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

## 0.1 Case with $\lambda = 0$

From equation 5 we have that:

$$\sigma(h) = \frac{1}{E[(1-H) | H \leq h]} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu(h)} \quad (8)$$

Then we can obtain the mixed strategy of bank 2 by a change of variables, consider  $u = \sigma(t) \implies \tau(u) = t, du = \sigma'(t)dt$ , then the limits of integration change from  $[\underline{r}, r]$  to  $[\underline{h}, \tau(r)]$ . Substituting into equation 7 we have:

$$G(\sigma(h)) = 1 - \exp \left[ - \int_{\underline{h}}^h \frac{1-t}{[(1-t)\sigma(t)-1]} \sigma'(t) dt \right] \quad (9)$$

given that  $\sigma(t) = 1/\mu(t)$ , we have  $\sigma'(t) = -\mu'(t)/\mu(t)^2$ , replacing in the equation above:

$$G(\sigma(h)) = 1 - \exp \left[ \int_{\underline{h}}^h \frac{1-t}{\frac{1-t}{\mu(t)} - 1} \frac{\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)^2} dt \right] = 1 - \exp \left[ \int_{\underline{h}}^h \frac{(1-t)\mu'(t)}{(1-t-\mu(t))\mu(t)} dt \right] \quad (10)$$

## 0.2 Example

To derive a closed-form solution, we assume that the default risk  $h$  is uniformly distributed on  $[\underline{h}, \bar{h}]$ , where  $0 < \underline{h} < \bar{h} < 1$ . This ensures that even the safest borrower has positive default risk and that no borrower defaults with certainty. The conditional expectation is then:

$$E[1-h | h > k] = \frac{\int_k^{\bar{h}} (1-x)dx}{\int_k^{\bar{h}} dx} = \frac{\left[ (x - \frac{x^2}{2}) \right]_k^{\bar{h}}}{\bar{h} - k} = \frac{(\bar{h} - \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2}) - (k - \frac{k^2}{2})}{\bar{h} - k}$$

Simplifying the numerator:

$$\bar{h} - \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2} - k + \frac{k^2}{2} = (\bar{h} - k) - \frac{\bar{h}^2 - k^2}{2} = (\bar{h} - k) - \frac{(\bar{h} - k)(\bar{h} + k)}{2} = (\bar{h} - k) \left(1 - \frac{\bar{h} + k}{2}\right)$$

Therefore:

$$E[1 - h \mid h > k] = 1 - \frac{\bar{h} + k}{2} = \frac{2 - \bar{h} - k}{2}$$

Substituting this into equation 4 with  $k = \tau(r_2 + \lambda)$ :

$$r_2 = \frac{1}{(2 - \bar{h} - \tau(r_2 + \lambda))/2} = \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - \tau(r_2 + \lambda)}$$

We can solve this for  $\tau$ . Let  $r = r_2 + \lambda$ , so  $r_2 = r - \lambda$ . The equation becomes:

$$r - \lambda = \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - \tau(r)} \implies 2 - \bar{h} - \tau(r) = \frac{2}{r - \lambda} \implies \tau(r) = 2 - \bar{h} - \frac{2}{r - \lambda}$$

This is the inverse of bank 1's strategy. To find the strategy  $\sigma(h)$  itself, we set  $h = \tau(r)$  and solve for  $r$ :

$$h = 2 - \bar{h} - \frac{2}{r - \lambda} \implies r - \lambda = \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - h} \implies r = \sigma(h) = \lambda + \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - h}$$

This is the equilibrium pricing function for the informed bank. It prices at a markup over the switching cost  $\lambda$ , where the markup depends on the borrower's risk and the upper bound  $\bar{h}$ .

**Verification:** We can verify this makes economic sense:

- For the safest borrower ( $h = \underline{h}$ ):  $\sigma(\underline{h}) = \lambda + \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - \underline{h}}$
- For the riskiest borrower ( $h = \bar{h}$ ):  $\sigma(\bar{h}) = \lambda + \frac{2}{2 - 2\bar{h}} = \lambda + \frac{1}{1 - \bar{h}}$
- Since  $\bar{h} < 1$ , the rate is finite and positive for all borrowers in the support.
- The profit margin for type  $h$  is  $(1 - h)\sigma(h) - 1 = (1 - h) \left(\lambda + \frac{2}{2 - \bar{h} - h}\right) - 1$ .

Finally, we can use our expression for  $\tau(u)$  to find the explicit distribution  $G$  for bank 2 from equation 7. We have:

$$1 - \tau(u) = 1 - \left(2 - \bar{h} - \frac{2}{u - \lambda}\right) = \bar{h} - 1 + \frac{2}{u - \lambda}$$

The integrand becomes:

$$\frac{1 - \tau(u)}{[(1 - \tau(u))u - 1]} = \frac{\bar{h} - 1 + \frac{2}{u - \lambda}}{\left(\bar{h} - 1 + \frac{2}{u - \lambda}\right)u - 1}$$

Let  $A = \bar{h} - 1$  (note  $A < 0$  since  $\bar{h} < 1$ ). Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A + \frac{2}{u-\lambda}}{\left(A + \frac{2}{u-\lambda}\right) u - 1} &= \frac{A(u-\lambda) + 2}{(u-\lambda)} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{[A(u-\lambda)+2]u-(u-\lambda)}{u-\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{A(u-\lambda) + 2}{Au^2 - A\lambda u + 2u - u + \lambda} \\ &= \frac{A(u-\lambda) + 2}{Au^2 + (2 - A\lambda - 1)u + \lambda} \\ &= \frac{Au - A\lambda + 2}{Au^2 + (1 - A\lambda)u + \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting back  $A = \bar{h} - 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(\bar{h} - 1)u - (\bar{h} - 1)\lambda + 2}{(\bar{h} - 1)u^2 + (1 - (\bar{h} - 1)\lambda)u + \lambda} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{h} - 1)(u - \lambda) + 2}{(\bar{h} - 1)u^2 + (1 - (\bar{h} - 1)\lambda)u + \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

This integral is complex in the general case. For a cleaner closed-form solution, consider the case where  $\bar{h} = 1$  (so default probability ranges from  $\underline{h} > 0$  to 1). With  $\bar{h} = 1$ :

$$\sigma(h) = \lambda + \frac{2}{2 - 1 - h} = \lambda + \frac{2}{1 - h}$$

and

$$\tau(r) = 2 - 1 - \frac{2}{r - \lambda} = 1 - \frac{2}{r - \lambda}$$

The lower bound of integration is  $\underline{r} = \sigma(\underline{h}) = \lambda + \frac{2}{1 - \underline{h}}$ .

Now we compute:

$$1 - \tau(u) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2}{u - \lambda}\right) = \frac{2}{u - \lambda}$$

The integrand becomes:

$$\frac{1 - \tau(u)}{(1 - \tau(u))u - 1} = \frac{\frac{2}{u - \lambda}}{\frac{2u}{u - \lambda} - 1} = \frac{\frac{2}{u - \lambda}}{\frac{2u - (u - \lambda)}{u - \lambda}} = \frac{2}{u + \lambda}$$

The integral becomes:

$$\int_{\underline{r}}^r \frac{2}{u + \lambda} du = 2 \ln(u + \lambda) \Big|_{\underline{r}}^r = 2 \ln \left( \frac{r + \lambda}{\underline{r} + \lambda} \right) = \ln \left( \left( \frac{r + \lambda}{\underline{r} + \lambda} \right)^2 \right)$$

Substituting into equation 7:

$$\begin{aligned} -\log(1 - G(r - \lambda)) &= \ln \left( \left( \frac{r + \lambda}{\underline{r} + \lambda} \right)^2 \right) \\ \implies G(r - \lambda) &= 1 - \left( \frac{\underline{r} + \lambda}{r + \lambda} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x = r - \lambda$ , so  $r = x + \lambda$ . Bank 2's strategy  $G(x) = \Pr(r_2 \leq x)$  is:

$$G(x) = 1 - \left( \frac{\underline{r} + \lambda}{x + 2\lambda} \right)^2$$

where  $\underline{r} + \lambda = 2\lambda + \frac{2}{1-\underline{h}}$ .

The support for bank 2's offers starts where  $G(x) = 0$ , which occurs at  $x = \underline{r} - \lambda = \frac{2}{1-\underline{h}}$ .

### 0.3 Switchers

What is the probability a bank switches?

## 0.4 Equilibrium computation

Previously we derived the equilibrium conditions (see equations 5 and 7) for the informed incumbent bank and the uninformed entrant bank.

For a generic distribution  $F(h)$  with support  $[h_{min}, h_{max}]$ , we cannot obtain closed-form solutions. Instead, we use numerical methods to compute the equilibrium strategies.

### 0.4.1 Step 1: Define the conditional expectation function

For each type  $h$ , we need to compute the conditional expectation:

$$\mu(h) = E[1 - H \mid H > h] = \frac{\int_h^{h_{max}} (1-t)f(t)dt}{1 - F(h)} \quad (11)$$

**Properties:**

- $\mu(h)$  is decreasing in  $h$  (higher types have worse expected repayment)
- $\mu(h_{min}) = E[1 - H]$  (unconditional expectation)
- As  $h \rightarrow h_{max}$ :  $\mu(h) \rightarrow 1 - h_{max}$

**Empirical computation:** Given  $N$  draws from  $F$ :  $\{h_1, \dots, h_N\}$ , we compute  $\mu$  on a grid of  $M$  points  $\{h_1^{grid}, \dots, h_M^{grid}\}$ :

$$\mu(h_k^{grid}) = \frac{1}{|\{i : h_i > h_k^{grid}\}|} \sum_{i:h_i>h_k^{grid}} (1 - h_i) \quad (12)$$

### 0.4.2 Step 2: Support of strategies

The incumbent's offers range from:

$$\underline{r} = \sigma(h_{min}) = \lambda + \frac{1}{E[1 - H]} \quad (13)$$

$$\bar{r} = \sigma(h_{max}) = \lambda + \frac{1}{1 - h_{max}} \quad (14)$$

The entrant's offers have support  $[x_{min}, \infty)$  where  $x_{min} = \underline{r} - \lambda = \frac{1}{E[1 - H]}$ .

### 0.4.3 Step 3: Compute the inverse function $\tau(r)$

From  $\sigma(\tau(r)) = r$ , we need to find  $\tau(r)$  for each  $r \in [\underline{r}, \bar{r}]$ . Given  $\sigma(h) = \lambda + 1/\mu(h)$ :

$$r = \lambda + \frac{1}{\mu(\tau(r))} \implies \mu(\tau(r)) = \frac{1}{r - \lambda} \quad (15)$$

**Numerical solution:** For each  $r$  in a grid, solve for  $\tau(r)$  by finding  $h$  such that  $\mu(h) = 1/(r - \lambda)$  using interpolation or root-finding.

#### 0.4.4 Step 4: Compute the entrant's mixed strategy

From equation 7, we compute:

$$G(r - \lambda) = 1 - \exp \left[ - \int_{\underline{r}}^r \frac{1 - \tau(u)}{(1 - \tau(u))u - 1} du \right] \quad (16)$$

**Numerical algorithm:**

1. Create a grid of  $M$  points:  $r_1 = \underline{r}, r_2, \dots, r_M$  in  $[\underline{r}, \bar{r}]$
2. For each  $r_j$ , compute  $\tau(r_j)$  as described in Step 3
3. Compute the integrand:  $I(r_j) = \frac{1 - \tau(r_j)}{(1 - \tau(r_j))r_j - 1}$
4. Use numerical integration (e.g., trapezoidal rule):

$$\int_{\underline{r}}^{r_j} I(u) du \approx \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{I(r_k) + I(r_{k+1})}{2} (r_{k+1} - r_k) \quad (17)$$

5. Compute:  $G(r_j - \lambda) = 1 - \exp \left[ - \int_{\underline{r}}^{r_j} I(u) du \right]$

#### 0.4.5 Step 5: Sample from the entrant's strategy

To simulate the model with  $N$  borrowers:

1. Draw  $N$  borrowers:  $h_i \sim F(h)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$
2. For each borrower  $i$ , compute incumbent's offer:  $r_1^i = \sigma(h_i) = \lambda + 1/\mu(h_i)$
3. Draw entrant's offers from  $G$  using inverse transform sampling:
  - Draw  $U_i \sim \text{Uniform}[0, 1]$
  - Find  $r_2^i$  such that  $G(r_2^i) = U_i$  by interpolation
4. Borrower  $i$  switches if  $r_2^i + \lambda < r_1^i$

#### 0.4.6 Verification

The numerical solution should satisfy:

- Bank 2's expected profit is zero for all  $r_2$  in its support
- Bank 1 is indifferent over its support for each type  $h$
- $G$  is a valid CDF:  $G(x_{min}) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} G(x) = 1$