

Homework Assignment 12

December 5, 2024

Lucas Miguel Tassis

Exercise 1

The exercise asks us to define $X \sim Y$ by asserting the existence of a unary function F from X to Y which is both injective and surjective. One possible way is:

$$X \sim Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists F. \left((\forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. \forall z \in Y. (F(x) \approx z \wedge F(y) \approx z \rightarrow x \approx y)) \wedge (\forall y \in Y. \exists x \in X. (F(x) \approx y)) \right)$$

The first line says that F is injective from X to Y , and the second says that F is surjective from X to Y .

Exercise 2

1. The first item asks us to define a 2nd-order sentence $\Psi_{\text{countable-infnty}}(Y)$ s.t. $\mathcal{A} \models \Psi_{\text{countable-infnty}}$ iff \mathcal{A} is countably infinite. Similarly to the sentence in the slide, we can define as:

$$\Psi_{\text{countable-infnty}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(Y) \wedge (\forall X \subseteq Y. \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(X) \rightarrow (X \sim Y)),$$

where

$$\Psi_{\text{infnty}}(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists F. \left((\forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. \forall z \in X. (F(x) \approx z \wedge F(y) \approx z \rightarrow x \approx y)) \wedge (\exists y \in X. \forall x \in X. \neg (F(x) \approx y)) \right),$$

and $X \sim Y$ is as defined in Exercise 1. In this case, the model should be infinite, and also there is a bijection from every subset X of Y from X to Y .

2. The second item asks us to define a 2nd-order sentence $\Psi_{\text{uncountable}}(Y)$ s.t. $\mathcal{A} \models \Psi_{\text{uncountable}}$ iff \mathcal{A} is uncountably infinite. We can define the sentence as:

$$\Psi_{\text{uncountable}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(Y) \wedge \neg (\forall X \subseteq Y. \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(X) \rightarrow (X \sim Y)),$$

where $\Psi_{\text{infnty}}(Y)$ and $X \sim Y$ are the same as defined in Item 1. We can also rewrite the sentence by pushing the negation:

$$\Psi_{\text{uncountable}}(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(Y) \wedge (\exists X \subseteq Y. \Psi_{\text{infnty}}(X) \wedge \neg (X \sim Y)),$$

In this case, the model should still be infinite, however, there is not a bijection from every subset X of Y from X to Y .

Exercise 3

The exercise asks us to define a second-order wff $\theta(x, y)$, such that $\theta(x, y)$ iff “no binary predicate Y can discern x and y ”. One possible way is:

$$\theta(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall Y. \left(\forall c. (Y(x, c) \leftrightarrow Y(y, c) \wedge Y(c, x) \leftrightarrow Y(c, y)) \right)$$

The idea behind the wff is that x and y are identical to θ iff x and y satisfy the same binary predicates, and each iff in the wff guarantees this.

Exercise 4

The Lean template file with the solutions is available on [GitHub](#).

Exercise 5

The Lean template file with the solutions is available on [GitHub](#).

Exercise 6

The Lean template file with the solutions is available on [GitHub](#).

Problem 2

The Lean template file with the solutions is available on [GitHub](#).