

## Plurality

Pros Cand. with most 1<sup>st</sup> place votes wins.

Cons

- Susceptible to socially pre-determined winner (ex; Russia has 9 parties, Putin always wins)
- Really not IIA
- Can elect ant.-maj
- With lots of candidates winner could have a really tiny approval rating

## Borda

Pro Monotone

Pareto

values ranks other than 1<sup>st</sup> & last

might be good at finding Condorcet cand.

- Doesn't satisfy Majority Strategic?

- Easily manipulate point values (ex. 0,1,2,3 vs. 0,2,5,3)

## dictator

All the criteria!

No one else matters  
not Condorcet  
who gets to be dictator? me  
not anon

### Approval

IIA

easy to use  
Gives 3<sup>rd</sup> party  
candidates a chance

### Instant runoff

Pros: Cares about preferences  
tries to be IIA

### Coombs

Pros: never elects most  
hated candidate  
tries to be IIA

Con: No distinction between  
1 voter approving 2+ candidates

Can lead to lots of  
different outcomes with  
the same pref lists

easy to strategically vote?

how to decide where your cutoff is?

### Cons:

Not IIA  
not always monotone  
(but how often?)

### Cons:

Not IIA  
Not Condorcet

Copeland

ost

Satisfies Condorcet criterion

Arguably makes most people  
decently satisfied?

Seems like a huge hassle to  
calculate with lots of candidates