

ELECTRODYNAMICS

HOMEWORK # 1

LUCAS VARELA ÁLVAREZ
201226169

1. Prove:

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{G}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{G}) - \nabla^2 \vec{G} \quad (1)$$

Proof:

$$[\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{G})]_i = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\nabla \times \vec{G})_k \quad (2)$$

To prove this it is useful to look at it by components (2).

$$(\nabla \times \vec{G})_k = \epsilon_{km\ell} \partial_m G_\ell \quad (3)$$

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j (\nabla \times \vec{G})_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \epsilon_{km\ell} \partial_m G_\ell = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{km\ell} \partial_j \partial_m G_\ell \quad (4)$$

Using the identity (3) and introducing it in (2) follows (4) using the fact that scalar commute.

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{km\ell} = \delta_{im} \delta_{j\ell} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jm} \quad (5)$$

$$\epsilon_{ikj} \epsilon_{km\ell} \partial_j \partial_m G_\ell = \delta_{im} \delta_{j\ell} \partial_j \partial_m G_\ell - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jm} \partial_j \partial_m G_\ell = \partial_\ell \partial_i G_\ell - \partial_m \partial_m G_i \quad (6)$$

With the aide of the identity (5) and introducing it in equation (4), it follows expression (6).

$$\partial_\ell \partial_i G_\ell - \partial_m \partial_m G_i = \partial_i \partial_\ell G_\ell - \partial_m \partial_m G_i \quad (7)$$

Clairaut's theorem allows to exchange the order of derivatives in line (7).

$$\partial_i \partial_\ell G_\ell - \partial_m \partial_m G_i = \partial_i \nabla \cdot \vec{G} - \nabla^2 G_i \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{G}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{G}) - \nabla^2 \vec{G} \quad (9)$$

Using the fact that $\partial_\ell G_\ell = \nabla \cdot \vec{G}$ and $\partial_m \partial_m = \nabla^2$ follows equation (8). It is seen from (8) that (9) is the vector with components (2). With proofs the identity (1).

2. Prove:

$$\nabla(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{G}) = (\vec{F} \cdot \nabla) \vec{G} + (\vec{G} \cdot \nabla) \vec{F} + \vec{F} \times (\nabla \times \vec{G}) + \vec{G} \times (\nabla \times \vec{F}) \quad (10)$$

Proof:

$$[\vec{F} \times (\nabla \times \vec{G})]_i = \epsilon_{ijk} F_j (\nabla \times \vec{G})_k = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{km\ell} F_j \partial_m G_\ell \quad (11)$$

$$= (\delta_{im} \delta_{j\ell} - \delta_{i\ell} \delta_{jm}) F_j \partial_m G_\ell = (\partial_i G_\ell) F_\ell - F_m \partial_m G_i = (\partial_i G_\ell) F_\ell - (\vec{F} \cdot \nabla) G_i \quad (12)$$

$$(\partial_i G_\ell) F_\ell = [\vec{F} \times (\nabla \times \vec{G})]_i + (\vec{F} \cdot \nabla) G_i \quad (13)$$

First it is useful to find the relation given by equation (13) which follows using by examining the components of the vector $\vec{F} \times (\nabla \times \vec{G})$.

$$(\partial_i F_\ell) G_\ell = [\vec{G} \times (\nabla \times \vec{F})]_i + (\vec{G} \cdot \nabla) F_i \quad (14)$$

As the procedure done to obtain relation (13) was done using arbitrary vector functions, the labels can be exchanged ($G \longrightarrow F$) and the identity (14) follows automatically.

$$[\nabla(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{G})]_i = \partial_i(F_j G_j) = (\partial_i G_\ell) F_\ell + (\partial_i F_\ell) G_\ell \quad (15)$$

Equation (15) follows from looking at the components of the vector $\nabla(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{G})$ and computing the derivative by means of the product rule.

$$[\nabla(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{G})]_i = \partial_i(F_j G_j) = [\vec{F} \times (\nabla \times \vec{G})]_i + (\vec{F} \cdot \nabla) G_i + [\vec{G} \times (\nabla \times \vec{F})]_i + (\vec{G} \cdot \nabla) F_i \quad (16)$$

Introducing the relations (13) and (14) in (15) i get expression (16). From expression (16) it can be seen that follows equation (10).

3. Prove:

$$\int_V (\phi \nabla^2 \psi + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi) d^3x = \oint_{\partial V} \phi \nabla \psi \cdot \hat{n} dA \quad (17)$$

Proof:

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi \nabla \psi) = \phi \nabla^2 \psi + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi \quad (18)$$

To prove relation (17) it suffices to show that the identity (18) holds. Then using the divergence theorem relation (17) follows.

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi \nabla \psi) = \partial_i(\phi \partial_i \psi) = \partial_i \phi (\partial_i \psi) + \phi \partial_i \partial_i \psi = \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi + \phi \nabla^2 \psi \quad (19)$$

$$\int_V (\phi \nabla^2 \psi + \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \psi) d^3x = \int_V \nabla \cdot (\phi \nabla \psi) d^3x = \oint_{\partial V} \phi \nabla \psi \cdot \hat{n} dA \quad (20)$$

4. Prove:

$$\int_V f(\nabla \cdot \vec{F}) d^3x = \oint_{\partial V} f \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} dA - \int_V \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f d^3x \quad (21)$$

Proof:

$$\nabla \cdot (f \vec{F}) = f \nabla \cdot \vec{F} + \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f \quad (22)$$

To prove (21) first I prove (22).

$$\nabla \cdot (f \vec{F}) = \partial_i(f F_i) = F_i \partial_i f + f \partial_i F_i = \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f + f \nabla \cdot \vec{F} \quad (23)$$

$$f \nabla \cdot \vec{F} = \nabla \cdot (f \vec{F}) - \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f \quad (24)$$

Equation (23) is computed using the product rule for derivatives. Relation (24) rearranges the terms of (23) leaving a relation for the term of interest, $f \nabla \cdot \vec{F}$.

$$\int_V f \nabla \cdot \vec{F} d^3x = \int_V \nabla \cdot (f \vec{F}) d^3x - \int_V \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f d^3x \quad (25)$$

$$\int_V \nabla \cdot (f \vec{F}) d^3x = \oint_{\partial V} f \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} dA \quad (26)$$

$$\int_V f \nabla \cdot \vec{F} d^3x = \oint_{\partial V} f \vec{F} \cdot \hat{n} dA - \int_V \vec{F} \cdot \nabla f d^3x \quad (27)$$

Using the identity (24) and the divergence theorem follows relation (27). This was the desired relation.