

# Complex Task Allocation: A Comparison of Metaheuristics

Group 27

Lucas Wojciechowksi, Ariel Weingarten, Alexander Maguire,  
Austin Dobrik, Dane Carr

July 18, 2014

## Summary

### 1 Introduction

### 2 Literature Review

The following papers were reviewed to obtain some perspective on different approaches to complex task allocation: “Complex Task Allocation in Mobile Surveillance Systems”, “A comparative Study between Optimization and Market-based Approaches to Multi-robot Task Allocation”.

#### 2.1 Motivation & Applications

Search and rescue, military surveillance, resource gathering.

#### 2.2 Definition & Categorization

#### 2.3 Challenges

Not all robots can perform all required tasks.  
How decompose tasks?

### 3 Problem Formulation and Modeling

Suppose we have a number of mobile *robots*

$$robots = \{robot_i | i \in [1, n_{robots}]\}$$

Using these *robots*, we are to perform a number of tasks

$$tasks = \{task_i | i \in [1, n_{tasks}]\}$$

Each task has a *priority*

$$priority(task_i) \in [0, 1]$$

*robots* are not homogeneous; some are more “skilled” at certain tasks than others

$$skill(robot_i, task_j) \in [0, 1]$$

Tasks are located some distance each other

$$distance(task_i, task_j) > 0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Each *robot* starts at some location, its *depot*.  $robot_i$  starts at  $depot_i$

Each *depot* is located a certain distance from each task.

$$distance(depot_i, task_j)$$

*tasks* are assigned to *robots*.

$$task_j \rightarrow robot_i$$

We seek, for each *robot*, a path through the *tasks* such that each *task* is performed exactly once and our overall cost is minimized.

A solution,  $S$ , consists of a mapping of *robots* to paths through *tasks*.

$$S(robot_i) = \{task_0, task_1, \dots, task_n\}$$

#### 3.1 Cost Function

The cost of some path,  $S(i)$  is given by

$$\text{cost}(S(i)) = w(i, S(i, |S(i)|), S(i, 0)) + \sum_{t=0}^{|S(i)|-1} w(i, S(i, t), S(i, t+1))$$

Subject to the constraint

$$n \notin S(i) \quad \text{if} \quad M_{skill}(i, n) = 0$$

Therefore, the overall performance of a solution is:

$$\sum_{i \in robot} cost_i$$

Our weighted-distance function is defined as follows:

$$w(i, src, dst) = \begin{cases} (\alpha - priority(dst))^\gamma \times (\beta - skill(i, dst)) \times distance(src, dst) & dst \neq S(i, 0) \\ distance(src, dst) & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$ . These parameters are to ensure that the priority and skill factors are aligned with the minimization of the function.

$\gamma$  is used to tweak the relative importance of a task's priority, and has no constraints on it.

The  $distance(src, dst)$  function makes use of  $D_{task-task}$ ,  $D_{depot-task}$ , and  $D_{task-depot}$ .  $D_{task-task}$  is a square matrix that contains the distances between tasks i.e. the distance between task  $i$  and  $j$  is found at  $D_{task-task}(i, j)$ .  $D_{depot-task}$  contains the distances from depots to tasks i.e. the distance from depot  $i$  to task  $j$  is  $D_{depot-task}(i, j)$ .  $D_{task-depot}$  contains the distances from the tasks to the depots i.e. the distance from task  $i$  to depot  $j$  is  $D_{task-depot}(i, j)$ .

The  $priority(dst)$  function makes use of  $P$ .  $P$  is a vector where the priority of task <sub>$i$</sub>  is contained in  $P(i)$ .

The  $skill(i, dst)$  function makes use of the matrix  $M_{skill}$ . The skill of robot <sub>$i$</sub>  at performing task <sub>$j$</sub>  is contained in  $M_{skill}(i, j)$ .

### 3.2 Modeling as Traveling Salesman Problem

Given a population of *robots*, a set of *tasks*, a set of *depots* a priority for each task  $P$ , each robot's skill at performing each task  $M_{skill}(r, t)$  and an ordered assignment of tasks to robots  $S(r)$ , for each  $r \in robots$  we can create a directed, weighted graph as follows:

We will model complex task allocation loosely on the traveling salesman problem.

Given a random initial solution,  $S_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= (V, E) \\ V &= depots \cup tasks \\ E_{task_i, task_j} &= D_{task-task}(i, j) & \forall t \in tasks \\ E_{depot_i, task_j} &= D_{depot-task}(i, j) & \forall d \in depots \\ E_{task_i, depot_j} &= D_{task-depot}(i, j) & \forall d \in depots \end{aligned}$$

That is, we create a graph that shows the distances between every task and the distances between every task and every depot.

From here, we can run TSP on the created graph. The cost of taking each edge will be determined using  $w$  which will take in the distance represented by that edge as an input. Every robot starts at their depot.

## 4 Potential other model

This is almost verbatim out of the literature.

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if arc}(i,j) \text{ is used in the tour} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{minimize } \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \times x_{ij} \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = n \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} x_{ij} \leq |\text{SubTour}| - 1 \quad (4)$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall (i, j) \in A \quad (5)$$

$$\forall \text{SubTour} \subseteq V \setminus \phi \quad (6)$$

### 4.1 Reduced Size Problem

In this section, we define a reduced size complex task allocation problem which will be used to perform hand-iterations of various optimization algorithms in the following sections.

Suppose we have 2 robots

$$\text{robots} = \{\text{robot}_0, \text{robot}_1\}$$

These robots must collectively perform 3 tasks

$$\text{tasks} = \{\text{task}_0, \text{task}_1, \text{task}_2\}$$

The priority of each task is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{task}_0 & 0.1 \\ \text{task}_1 & 0.2 \\ \text{task}_2 & 0.3 \end{array}$$

The skill of each robot at each task is

	$task_0$	$task_1$	$task_2$
$robot_0$	0.1	0.4	0.5
$robot_1$	0.2	0.3	0.6

The distances between tasks are

	$task_0$	$task_1$	$task_2$
$task_0$	0	0.1	0.2
$task_1$	0.1	0	0.3
$task_2$	0.2	0.3	0

Each robot,  $robot_i$ , starts at a depot,  $depot_i$ . The distance from each depot to each task is

	$task_0$	$task_1$	$task_2$
$depot_0$	0.6	0.3	0.1
$depot_1$	0.5	0.4	0.2

## 4.2 Real Problem

# 5 Proposed Solution

As an initial solution, we will use

$$S_0 = [0, 1, sentinel, 2]$$

Which specifies the paths

$$robot_0 : depot_0 \rightarrow task_0 \rightarrow task_1 \rightarrow depot_0 \quad robot_1 : depot_1 \rightarrow task_2 \rightarrow depot_1$$

## 5.1 Tabu Search Algorithm

### 5.1.1 Hand Iterations

We will use a tabu tenure of 1.

We define our tabu list as...

#### 5.1.1.1 Iteration 1

We begin with our randomly selected initial solution

$$S_0 = [0, 1, X, 2]$$

Next, we find all neighbouring solutions and evaluate the cost of each. No solutions are tabu yet because our tabu list is empty

	swap	solution	tabu?	cost
$S_{1,1}$	1, 2	[1, 0, X, 2]	no	1.0810
$S_{1,2}$	1, 3	[X, 1, 0, 2]	no	0.55200
$S_{1,3}$	1, 4	[2, 1, X, 0]	no	1.3390
$S_{1,4}$	2, 3	[0, X, 1, 2]	no	1.5940
$S_{1,5}$	2, 4	[0, 2, X, 1]	no	1.2800
$S_{1,6}$	3, 4	[0, 1, 2, X]	no	0.73900

Observe that  $S_{1,6}$  has the lowest cost. Therefore the best solution,  $S_{1,\text{best}}$ .

Since this solution was created by swapping elements 3 and 4 in  $S_0$ , we mark those in our tabu list

position	1	2	3	4
tenure	0	0	1	1

### 5.1.1.2 Iteration 2

We begin with

$$S_{1,\text{best}} = [0, 1, 2, X]$$

Next, we find all neighbouring solutions and evaluate the cost of each.

	swap	solution	tabu?	cost
$S_{2,1}$	1, 2	[1, 0, 2, X]	no	0.39500
$S_{2,2}$	1, 3	[2, 1, 0, X]	yes	0.86000
$S_{2,3}$	1, 4	[X, 1, 2, 0]	yes	0.95200
$S_{2,4}$	2, 3	[0, 2, 1, X]	yes	1
$S_{2,5}$	2, 4	[0, X, 2, 1]	yes	1.7100
$S_{2,6}$	3, 4	[0, 1, X, 2]	yes	1.0900

Observe that  $S_{2,1}$  has the lowest cost and is not tabu. Therefore it is the best solution,  $S_{2,\text{best}}$ .

Since this solution was created by swapping elements 1 and 2, we mark those as tabu with a starting tenure of 1. We also decrement the tenure of all other elements in the tabu list.

position	1	2	3	4
tenure	1	1	0	0

After two iterations, our best solution is

$$S_{\text{best}} = S_{2,\text{best}} = S_{2,1}$$

**5.2 Simulated Annealing Algorithm**

**5.3 Genetic Algorithm**

**5.4 Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm**

**5.5 Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm**

**6 Performance Evaluation**

**7 Conclusions & Recommendations**

**References**