

ESCOLA BRASILEIRA DE ECONOMIA E FINANÇAS - EPGE

Luciano Fabio Busatto Venturim

Econometrics 1 - Problem Set 4

Rio de Janeiro

4th Quarter - 2021

**Question 1:**

- 1 . We want to show that the distribution of  $Y_{ij}|\mu_j$  given  $\bar{Y}_j = 1/N \sum_{i=1}^N Y_{ij}$  does not depend of  $\mu_j$ . First, since  $\epsilon_{ij}|\mu_j \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ ,  $Y_{ij}|\mu_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$ . Second, since  $\{\epsilon_{ij}|\mu_j\}_{i=1}^N$  are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} f(Y_j|\mu_j) &= \prod_{i=1}^N f(Y_{ij}|\mu_j) = (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_{ij} - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_j + \bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_j)^2 + (\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2 + 2(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_j)(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \\ &= (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-N(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Last, by independence of the  $\epsilon_{ij}|\mu_j$ ,  $\bar{Y}_j|\mu_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_\epsilon^2/N)$ , with pdf

$$g(\bar{Y}_j|\mu_j) = N^{\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-N(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)$$

Thus, if we let

$$h(Y_j) = N^{-\frac{1}{2}} (2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{-\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)$$

a function that does not depend on  $\mu_j$ , we have  $f(Y_j|\mu_j) = h(Y_j)g(\bar{Y}_j|\mu_j)$ . Therefore, by the Factorization Theorem,  $\bar{Y}_j|\mu_j$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\mu_j$

2 . By independence of the  $\epsilon_{ij}|\mu_j, \bar{Y}_j|\mu_j \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_\epsilon^2/N)$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mu_j|\bar{Y}_j)f(\bar{Y}_j) &= f(\bar{Y}_j, \mu_j) = g(\bar{Y}_j|\mu_j)f(\mu_j) \\
&= N^{\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi\sigma_\epsilon^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-N(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right) \cdot (2\pi\sigma_\mu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-(\mu_j - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_\mu^2}\right) \\
&= (2^2\pi^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon\sigma_\mu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_\mu^2(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_j)^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon(\mu_j - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)\right) \\
&= (2^2\pi^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon\sigma_\mu^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{(\mu_j - \frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2})^2}{\frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}} + \frac{(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)\right) \\
&= (2\pi(\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{(\bar{Y}_j - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)\right) \\
&\times \left(2\pi\left(\frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\exp\left(\frac{-1}{2}\left(\frac{(\mu_j - \frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2})^2}{\frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

See that the first term of the multiplication is the pdf of a  $N(\mu_0, (\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2))$  distribution, while the second term is the pdf of a  $N\left(\frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}, \frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)$ . Thus we get that

$$\bar{Y}_j \sim N(\mu_0, (\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2))$$

and

$$\mu_j|\bar{Y}_j \sim N\left(\frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}, \frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)$$

3 . As seen in item 2,

$$\mu_j|\bar{Y}_j \sim N\left(\frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}, \frac{\sigma_\mu^2N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\right)$$

See that  $\mathbb{E}[\mu_j|\bar{Y}_j] = \frac{\sigma_\mu^2\bar{Y}_j + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2\mu_0}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma_\mu^2}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\bar{Y}_j + \frac{N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sigma_\mu^2 + N^{-1}\sigma_\epsilon^2}\mu_0$ , i.e, the expectation if a weighted mean of  $\bar{Y}_j$  and  $\mu_0$ , with more weight given to  $\bar{Y}_j$ , the sample mean, when the unconditional variance of  $\mu_j$  is bigger.

**Question 2:**

1 . Let  $d_i$  be an  $n \times 1$  vector of dummy variables that indicates the individual, i.e.,  $d_i$  is a vector with 1 in the  $i$ th element and 0 in the others. Consider the model  $Y_{it} = \alpha d_i + \beta X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$ . Applying the FWL theorem, we first regress  $Y_{it}$  on  $d_i$  to get  $Y_{it} = \tilde{\alpha} d_i + \tilde{\epsilon}_{it}$ . Since  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = d_i \bar{Y}_i$ , we have that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{it} = Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i$ . Second, we regress  $X_{it}$  on  $d_i$ , and get  $X_{it} = \tilde{\delta} d_i + \tilde{u}_{it}$ , and have  $\tilde{u}_{it} = X_{it} - \bar{X}_i$ . Finally, we regress  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{it} = Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i$  on  $\tilde{u}_{it} = X_{it} - \bar{X}_i$ , i.e. we regress the model  $Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i = (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)\beta + \eta_{ij} \Rightarrow Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i = (X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)\beta + \epsilon_{it} - \bar{\epsilon}_i$ . Since we assumed strict exogeneity,  $E(\epsilon_{it} - \bar{\epsilon}_i | X_{it} - \bar{X}_i) = 0$ , which implies that the LSDV estimator of  $\beta$  is consistent.

2 . We simulate a model with  $X_{it} \sim N(2, 4)$ ,  $\epsilon_{it} \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha_i \sim N(0, 1)$ , and  $Y_{it} = \alpha_i + 3 \cdot X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$ . As we see in the code, the estimators are identical.

3 . By item 1, we have that  $\hat{\beta}_{LSDV}$  comes from the regression of  $Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i$  on  $(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)$ , so 
$$\hat{\beta}_{LSDV} = \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i)}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)}.$$

Now, consider the model

$$Y_{it} = a_0 + a_1 \bar{X}_i + \beta X_{it} + u_{it}$$

where  $u_{it} = v_i + \epsilon_{it}$ . Consider first the regression of  $X_{it} = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \bar{X}_i + \gamma_{it}$ . We have that

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\sum_i \sum_t (X_{it} - \bar{X})(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})}{\sum_i (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2} = 1, \text{ and } \hat{\lambda}_0 = \bar{X} - \bar{X} \hat{\lambda}_1 = 0. \text{ Thus, } \tilde{\gamma}_{it} = X_{it} - \hat{\lambda}_0 - \hat{\lambda}_1 \bar{X}_i = X_{it} - \bar{X}_i$$

Consider also the linear projection  $Y_{it} = \tau_0 + \tau_1 \bar{X}_i + \zeta_{it}$  and let  $\tilde{\zeta}_{it} = Y_{it} - \hat{\tau}_0 + \hat{\tau}_1 \bar{X}_i$ . By

the FWL theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} &= \frac{\text{cov}(\tilde{\gamma}_{it}, \tilde{\zeta}_{it})}{\text{var}(\tilde{\gamma}_{it})} \\
&= \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, Y_{it} - \hat{\tau}_0 - \hat{\tau}_1 \bar{X}_i)}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)} \\
&= \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, (Y_{it} - \bar{Y}) - \hat{\tau}_1(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}))}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)} \\
&= \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, Y_{it} - \bar{Y})}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)} \\
&= \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, Y_{it})}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)} \\
&= \frac{\text{cov}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i, Y_{it} - \bar{Y}_i)}{\text{var}(X_{it} - \bar{X}_i)} \\
&= \hat{\beta}_{LSDV}
\end{aligned}$$

- 4 . To test for correlation between the person effect and  $X_{it}$ , we can test  $\tilde{\delta} = 0$  in the regression of  $X_{it}$  in  $d_i$ , like in item 1, using a t-statistic.

For  $Var(v_i)$ , we can assume a random effects model to estimate  $\alpha_i$ . With these estimates, we can estimate  $Var(v_i)$  as we would usually do in the regression  $\hat{\alpha}_i = a_0 + a_1 \bar{X}_i + v_i$ . With  $Var(\alpha_i)$  in hands, we can estimate  $Var(\alpha_i) = a_1^2 Var(\bar{X}_i) + Var(v_i)$ .