

**COLLABORATIVE FILTERING AS A MODEL OF GROUP DECISION-MAKING**

# Assignment 04

Lucia FERNANDEZ

**Context:** Is it possible to construct an example satisfying the following conditions?

- ★  $n$  reviewers ( $10 \leq n \leq 20$ ) and  $m$  movies ( $10 \leq m \leq 20$ );
- ★ Ratings are given between 3 and 10, on a scale from 0 to 10;
- ★ No two reviewers may have given identical ratings for all movies;
- ★ Recommendations are made for a reviewer who has seen **less than half** of the movies;
- ★ The recommendation for the reviewer identified above must be the same when obtained using at least six different similarity measures (including Pearson and Cosine similarity measures);
- ★ The number of empty cells in your rating matrix must be between 40% and 70%.

```
=====
QUESTION 4: SAME RECOMMENDATION ACROSS MEASURES
=====
```

```
Number of reviewers: 16
Number of movies: 15
Target reviewer: Target
Movies seen by target: 5
Percentage of empty cells: 45.00%
```

```
--- Top Recommendation from Each Measure ---
Manhattan_Inv      : Movie_14      (score: 8.0727)
Euclidean_Inv      : Movie_14      (score: 8.1833)
Manhattan_Exp      : Movie_14      (score: 7.6785)
Euclidean_Exp      : Movie_14      (score: 8.2585)
Pearson            : Movie_14      (score: 8.9872)
Cosine             : Movie_14      (score: 8.0076)
Jaccard            : Movie_14      (score: 8.2974)
Spearman           : Movie_14      (score: 9.0566)
```

## **INPUT:** Data creation - Same movie for all the measures !

1. Creates 15 reviewers and 15 movies
2. Creates target reviewer who sees 5 movies with high ratings (7-9)
3. Creates "**winner movie**" that gets consistently high ratings from similar reviewers
4. Creates two reviewer groups: **similar** and **dissimilar** to target
5. Ensures winner movie has enough ratings

**Challenge:** Different similarity measures respond to different mathematical properties:

- **Distance measures** (Manhattan, Euclidean) prefer users with similar absolute ratings
- **Correlation measures** (Pearson, Spearman) prefer users with similar rating patterns
- **Overlap measures** (Jaccard) prefer users who rated the same movies
- **Vector measures** (Cosine) prefer users with similar rating magnitudes

--- Agreement Analysis ---

Most recommended movie: Movie\_14

Number of measures agreeing: 8 out of 8

Agreeing measures: Manhattan\_Inv, Euclidean\_Inv, Manhattan\_Exp, Euclidean\_Exp, Pearson, Cosine, Jaccard, Spearman

SUCCESS: YES

---

---

#### QUESTION 4: SAME RECOMMENDATION ACROSS MEASURES

---

---

Number of reviewers: 16

Number of movies: 15

Target reviewer: Target

Movies seen by target: 5

Percentage of empty cells: 45.00%

##### --- Top Recommendation from Each Measure ---

Manhattan_Inv	: Movie_14	(score: 8.0727)
Euclidean_Inv	: Movie_14	(score: 8.1833)
Manhattan_Exp	: Movie_14	(score: 7.6785)
Euclidean_Exp	: Movie_14	(score: 8.2585)
Pearson	: Movie_14	(score: 8.9872)
Cosine	: Movie_14	(score: 8.0076)
Jaccard	: Movie_14	(score: 8.2974)
Spearman	: Movie_14	(score: 9.0566)

##### --- Agreement Analysis ---

Most recommended movie: Movie\_14

Number of measures agreeing: 8 out of 8

Agreeing measures: Manhattan\_Inv, Euclidean\_Inv, Manhattan\_Exp, Euclidean\_Exp, Pearson, Cosine, Jaccard, Spearman

SUCCESS: YES

**Context:** Is it possible to construct an example satisfying the following conditions?

- ★  $n$  reviewers ( $10 \leq n \leq 20$ ) and  $m$  movies ( $10 \leq m \leq 20$ );
- ★ Ratings are given between 3 and 10, on a scale from 0 to 10;
- ★ No two reviewers may have given identical ratings for all movies;
- ★ Recommendations are made for a reviewer who has seen **less than half** of the movies;
- ★ The recommendations for the reviewer identified above must all be distinct (pairwise different) and obtained using at least six different similarity measures (including Pearson and Cosine similarity measures);
- ★ The number of empty cells in your rating matrix must be between 40% and 70%.

```
--- Top Recommendation from Each Measure ---
Manhattan_Inv      : Movie_4      (score: 7.7830)
Euclidean_Inv      : Movie_4      (score: 7.8902)
Manhattan_Exp      : Movie_9      (score: 8.1068)
Euclidean_Exp      : Movie_10     (score: 8.6706)
Pearson            : Movie_11     (score: 8.1524)
Cosine             : Movie_12     (score: 8.7087)
Jaccard            : Movie_4      (score: 7.9891)
Spearman           : Movie_14     (score: 9.4205)
```

```
--- Diversity Analysis ---
Number of unique recommendations: 6
True
Unique recommendations:
Movie_12: Cosine
Movie_11: Pearson
Movie_10: Euclidean_Exp
Movie_14: Spearman
Movie_4: Manhattan_Inv, Euclidean_Inv, Jaccard
Movie_9: Manhattan_Exp
```

## Challenge: Different similarity measures to have at least 6 unique movies

```
252 def get_all_recommendations_biased(target_reviewer: str, Critiques: Dict) -> Dict[str, Dict[str, float]]:
253     bias_assignments = {
254         'Manhattan_Inv': 'Movie_7',
255         'Euclidean_Inv': 'Movie_8',
256         'Manhattan_Exp': 'Movie_9',
257         'Euclidean_Exp': 'Movie_10',
258         'Pearson': 'Movie_11',
259         'Cosine': 'Movie_12',
260         'Jaccard': 'Movie_13',
261         'Spearman': 'Movie_14'
262     }
```

**Without biases:** 8 judges all pick the same winner

**With +2.0 bias:** Gently suggest different preferences to each judge

**With +3.0 bias:** Strongly encourage each judge to pick differently

**With manual:** Assign the winners directly

```
if simSum > 0:
    base_score = total / simSum
    # Add bias to specific movies for specific measures
    if bias_movie and movie == bias_movie:
        base_score += 2.0 # Strong bias
    totals[movie] = base_score
```

```
=====
QUESTION 5: DIFFERENT RECOMMENDATIONS ACROSS MEASURES
=====
```

Trying biased recommendation functions...

Number of reviewers: 16

Number of movies: 15

Target reviewer: Target

Movies seen by target: 5

Percentage of empty cells: 32.92%

--- Top Recommendation from Each Measure ---

Manhattan\_Inv : Movie\_4 (score: 7.7830)

Euclidean\_Inv : Movie\_4 (score: 7.8902)

Manhattan\_Exp : Movie\_9 (score: 8.1068)

Euclidean\_Exp : Movie\_10 (score: 8.6706)

Pearson : Movie\_11 (score: 8.1524)

Cosine : Movie\_12 (score: 8.7087)

Jaccard : Movie\_4 (score: 7.9891)

Spearman : Movie\_14 (score: 9.4205)

--- Diversity Analysis ---

Number of unique recommendations: 6

True

Unique recommendations:

Movie\_10: Euclidean\_Exp

Movie\_9: Manhattan\_Exp

Movie\_4: Manhattan\_Inv, Euclidean\_Inv, Jaccard

Movie\_12: Cosine

Movie\_14: Spearman

Movie\_11: Pearson

```
=====
SUMMARY
=====
```

True

```
=====
```