Flat vs. smooth shading

The decision of whether or not triangles should share vertices

Normals

- Mathematics: A vector that is perpendicular to the surface at a given point -- particularly, pointing outward
- Graphics: We're only interested in vertex normals -discrete "approximations" of the normal sampled at points on the imaginary surface
 - True realism would require calculation of normal/derivative at every point along a continuous shape - not feasible

Reminder: What are they used for?

 Lighting! The direction of the normal determines how the light will bounce off each surface when modeling the rays

Our shapes so far have easy normal vectors.

- "Z axis" vector is perpendicular to Triangle and Square
- For a cube, normals would also just be axis-aligned
- For a sphere, we know analytically that the vector away from the center (perpendicular to the formula's surface) will be the normal. Equals position coord.

What do you do when the normals aren't known?


• Hint: Think per-triangle.

What do you do when the normals aren't known?

- Using the indices, collect the positions of the three points of the triangle.
- Create two vectors out of the triangle.
- Cross product
- What to do if the normal points inside the shape instead of out?
- How to detect that? Assume shape is convex.

- That algorithm produces a normal per whole triangle
 - Distribute it to all three vertices identically
 - Result is flat lighting across the triangle
- When vertices are shared, we logistically cannot do that
 - A vertex can't have two normals at once
 - Other triangles will fight over assigning normals to a vertex

- As opposed to "Smooth shading"
 - Deriving normals from some source besides the triangle, like the analytic formula of the shape (like Sphere)
 - Lighting formulas can react to the normal being different across a surface
 - Comparison:



Review:

- Flat shading:
 - All three normals are the same.
- Smooth Shading:
 - All three normals are not the same.

- Smooth Shading:
 - All three normals are not the same.
 - Allows interpolation of infinity different normals as you move from one extreme point of the triangle to the other
 - This creates a different lighting formula estimate and different color at each pixel
 - Interpolation done in fragment shader (per pixel in triangle), and fed into the lighting formula

- Flat shading
 - Nothing to interpolate. All three extreme points hold the same value. That value gets spread across whole triangle, giving the same color result everywhere.
 - The GPU still most likely does all the same interpolation math
 - (Flat shading doesn't actually speed it up, but just produces repetitive color answers across the triangle).

- How do we fix things, if we already <u>did</u> share vertices when we built the shape, perhaps for simplicity?
 - Loop through all the index triples and create a new, unique, vertex for every index -- based on what used to be at that index.
 - Overwrite our old lists with this.
 - In your code, class Shape already has a short utility function that does this, called make flat shaded version().